

**A SHARP CONDITION FOR EXISTENCE
OF AN INERTIAL MANIFOLD**

By

Milan Miklavčič

IMA Preprint Series # 604

February 1990

A SHARP CONDITION FOR EXISTENCE OF AN INERTIAL MANIFOLD

MILAN MIKLAVČIČ*

1. Introduction. In recent years it has been shown, see [1-9] for some references, that solutions of many important PDE's approach exponentially to a flow on a smooth invariant finite dimensional manifold. The crucial part often lies in finding an invariant attracting manifold for the flow in a Hilbert space X generated by

$$(1.1) \quad u' + Au = F(u)$$

where A is a sectorial operator [6] in X and F is such that for some $\alpha \in [0, 1)$, $B \in \mathcal{B}(X^\alpha, X)$

$$\|F(x) - F(y)\| \leq \|B(x - y)\| \quad \text{for all } x, y \in X^\alpha;$$

here $\mathcal{B}(X^\alpha, X)$ denotes the space of bounded operators from X^α [6] into X . Various conditions that ensure existence of an inertial (invariant and attracting) manifold for (1.1) are known [1-9], however, since the problem seems to be a fundamental one it would be nice to have optimal conditions. Here a condition that is sharp in a sense is presented.

Let $\lambda > 0$ be such that

$$\lambda + i\omega \text{ is in the resolvent set of } A, \rho(A), \text{ for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

It is well known [6] that A has an invariant subspace X_1 which is the range of the projection associated with the spectral set in the half plane $Re z < \lambda$. X_1 is an inertial manifold for $u' + Au = 0$. If $\lambda + i\omega \in \rho(A - B)$ for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$ the same could be said for the equation $u' + Au = Bu$ and one way to ensure this is by requiring that

$$(1.2) \quad \|B(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| < 1 \quad \text{for all } \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

since

$$(A - B - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1} = (A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}(1 - B(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1})^{-1}.$$

In this paper it is proven that (1.2) is actually also sufficient for existence of an inertial manifold for the nonlinear equation (1.1) - no additional assumptions are needed. In spite of weaker and much simpler assumptions the exponential attractivity result presented here (Theorem 4.1) is actually stronger than in [1,3,4,6].

The paper is organized as follows. Assumptions, notation and some well known facts are presented in Section 2. Existence and some properties of the invariant manifold are derived in Section 3. In Section 4 exponential tracking is proven. Sections 3 and 4 are almost completely independent. In Section 5 it is shown how to modify assumptions so that the results of Sections 3, 4 become applicable also to hyperbolic problems. A comparison of various assumptions is made in Section 6.

*Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455.
Permanent address: Department of Mathematics, Michigan State University, East Lansing, MI 48824.

2. Assumptions and Preliminaries. The following is the list of all assumptions that will be in effect in Sections 3, 4:

(H1) X is a complex Banach space.

(H2) There exists $M_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that if $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, X)$ and $\|f(\cdot)\| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt \leq M_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq M_0^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} f(t) dt \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathbb{R} .$$

(H3) A is a sectorial operator [6] in X , $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and X^α is defined as usually [6, p.29].

(H4) $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is such that $\lambda + i\omega$ is in the resolvent set of A for all $\omega \in \mathbb{R}$.

(H5) $F : \mathbb{R} \times X^\alpha \rightarrow X$ is continuous and such that for some $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{B}(X^\alpha, X)$ we have that

$$\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \|B_j(x - y)\| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X^\alpha .$$

(H6) $\int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\mu t} F(t, 0)\|^2 dt < \infty$ for some $\mu < \lambda$.

(H7) $M_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|B_j(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| < 1$.

Observe that if X is any Hilbert space then (H2) holds with $M_0 = 1$. If $M_0 = 1$ then X has to be a Hilbert space [10]. A slightly strengthened version of (H2) would imply that X would have to be homeomorphic to a Hilbert space [10]. However, the intuitive argument presented in the introduction suggests that (H2) is probably not needed. (H2) is used only in the proofs of Lemmas 3.2, 4.2.

Various well known consequences of the above assumptions and some definitions that will be used in Sections 3,4 will now be presented.

Let $\sigma(A)$ denotes the spectrum of A . Choose $a < \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma(A)$ and note that [6, p.29] X^α is equal to the domain of $(A - a)^\alpha$, $\|x\|_\alpha = \|(A - a)^\alpha x\|$ for $x \in X^\alpha$. Since [6, p.26]

$$\|(A - a)^\alpha (A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| \leq \operatorname{const.} \|(A - a)(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\|^\alpha \|(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\|^{1-\alpha}$$

we have that

$$\sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|(A - a)^\alpha (A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| < \infty .$$

Thus, by choosing $B_0 = l(A - a)^\alpha$ with $l \in (0, \infty)$ small enough we may assume that

$$\rho(\lambda) \equiv M_0 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j(\lambda) < 1$$

where

$$c_j(\lambda) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|B_j(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

Since A is sectorial we have that $\rho(\tilde{\lambda}) < 1$ whenever $|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|$ is small enough. Observe also that

$$\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\| \leq L \|x - y\|_\alpha \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X^\alpha$$

where

$$L = \sum_{j=0}^m \|B_j(A - a)^{-\alpha}\|.$$

Let

$$\sigma_1 = \{z \in \sigma(A) \mid \operatorname{Re} z < \lambda\}, \quad \sigma_2 = \{z \in \sigma(A) \mid \operatorname{Re} z > \lambda\}.$$

Note that $\sigma(A) = \sigma_1 \cup \sigma_2$ and that σ_1 is bounded. Let $P_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ be the projection associated with σ_1 , $P_2 = 1 - P_1$, $X_i = P_i X$ for $i = 1, 2$. Choose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ so that $\sup \operatorname{Re} \sigma_1 < \lambda_1 < \lambda < \lambda_2 < \inf \operatorname{Re} \sigma_2$. From [6, p.30] one obtains that

$$\begin{aligned} X_1 &\subset \mathcal{D}(A), \quad AX_1 \subset X_1, \\ A_1 &\equiv A \text{ restricted to } X_1, \quad A_1 \in \mathcal{B}(X_1), \\ P_i e^{-At} &= e^{-At} P_i \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \\ e^{-A_1 z} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-A_1 z)^n}{n!} \quad \text{for } z \in \mathbb{C}, \\ e^{-A_1 t} x &= e^{-At} x \quad \text{for } x \in X_1, t \geq 0, \end{aligned}$$

and that there exists $M < \infty$ such that for all $x \in X$

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \|e^{-At}\| &\leq M e^{-at} && t \geq 0, \\ \|e^{-At} x\|_\alpha &\leq M t^{-\alpha} e^{-at} \|x\| && t > 0, \\ \|e^{-A_1 t} P_1 x\| &\leq M e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|x\| && t \leq 0, \\ \|e^{-A_1 t} P_1 x\|_\alpha &\leq M e^{-\lambda_1 t} \|x\| && t \leq 0, \\ \|e^{-At} P_2 x\| &\leq M e^{-\lambda_2 t} \|x\| && t \geq 0, \\ \|e^{-At} P_2 x\|_\alpha &\leq M t^{-\alpha} e^{-\lambda_2 t} \|x\| && t > 0. \end{aligned}$$

3. Invariant Manifold. For $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ define $\mathcal{M}(\tau) \subset X$ as follows: $x \in \mathcal{M}(\tau)$ if and only if there exists $v \in C((-\infty, 0], X^\alpha)$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} v(0) &= x, \\ v(t) &= e^{-A(t-T)}v(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)}F(s+\tau, v(s))ds \quad \text{for } -\infty < T \leq t \leq 0, \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda t}v(t)\|_\alpha^p dt &< \infty \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for each $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X^\alpha$ there exists a unique $u \in C([\tau, \infty), X^\alpha)$ such that

$$u(t) = e^{-A(t-\tau)}x + \int_\tau^t e^{-A(t-s)}F(s, u(s))ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.$$

Therefore if $x \in \mathcal{M}(\tau)$ then there exists $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, X^\alpha)$ such that $u(\tau) = x$, $u(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and

$$u(t) = e^{-A(t-T)}u(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)}F(s, u(s))ds \quad \text{for } -\infty < T \leq t < \infty.$$

Thus, \mathcal{M} is an invariant manifold. Obviously, if F is periodic in or independent of the first variable the same is true for \mathcal{M} . Some of the properties of \mathcal{M} that are proved in the rest of this section are gathered in the following theorem.

THEOREM 3.1. *There exists a continuous $h : \mathbb{R} \times X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \cap X^\alpha$ such that*

$$\mathcal{M}(\tau) = \{x + h(\tau, x) | x \in X_1\} \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Moreover, there exists $c < \infty$ such that

$$\|h(\tau, x) - h(\tau, y)\|_\alpha \leq c\|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X_1.$$

Define a normed space Y by

$$\begin{aligned} Y &= \{v \in C((-\infty, 0], X^\alpha) \mid \int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda t}v(t)\|_\alpha^p < \infty \text{ for } p = 1, 2\}, \\ |v|_Y &= \sum_{j=0}^m \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda t}B_j v(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } v \in Y. \end{aligned}$$

Following [3] define $S : \mathbb{R} \times Y \times X_1 \rightarrow Y$ by

$$S(\tau, v, x)(t) = e^{-A_1 t} x + \int_0^t e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds$$

for $\tau \in \mathbb{R}, v \in Y, x \in X_1, t \leq 0$. To see that $u = S(\tau, v, x) \in Y$ observe that

$$\|e^{\lambda t} u(t)\|_\alpha \leq M e^{(\lambda - \lambda_1)t} \|x\| + M \int_{-\infty}^0 K(t-s) \theta(s) ds$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \theta(s) &= e^{\lambda s} \|F(s + \tau, v(s))\| \leq L \|e^{\lambda s} v(s)\|_\alpha + e^{\lambda s} \|F(s + \tau, 0)\| \\ K(t) &= \begin{cases} e^{(\lambda - \lambda_1)t} & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ t^{-\alpha} e^{(\lambda - \lambda_2)t} & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases} \\ \theta &\in L^1(-\infty, 0) \cap L^2(-\infty, 0), \quad K \in L^1(\mathbb{R}). \end{aligned}$$

The following observation will come useful

$$(3.1) \quad u(t) = e^{-A(t-T)} u(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \quad \text{for } -\infty < T \leq t \leq 0$$

which follows from the following

$$\begin{aligned} P_1 u(t) &= e^{-A_1 t} x + \int_0^t e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \\ e^{A_1 t} P_1 u(t) &= x + \int_0^t e^{A_1 s} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \\ P_1 u(t) &= e^{-A_1(t-T)} P_1 u(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \\ P_2 u(t) &= \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \\ P_2 u(t) &= e^{-A(t-T)} P_2 u(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 3.2. $|S(\tau, u, x) - S(\tau, v, x)|_Y \leq \rho(\lambda)|u - v|_Y$ for $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $x \in X_1$, $u, v \in Y$.

Proof. Let $c = S(\tau, u, x)(0) - S(\tau, v, x)(0)$

$$g(t) = \begin{cases} e^{\lambda t} e^{-At} c & \text{if } t > 0 \\ e^{\lambda t} (S(\tau, u, x)(t) - S(\tau, v, x)(t)) & \text{if } t \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

$$f(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t > 0 \\ e^{\lambda t} (F(\tau + t, u(t)) - F(\tau + t, v(t))) & \text{if } t \leq 0. \end{cases}$$

Note that $g \in C(\mathbf{R}, X^\alpha)$

$$\|g(\cdot)\|_\alpha, \|f(\cdot)\| \in L^1(\mathbf{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbf{R})$$

$$g(t) = \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 f(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 f(s) ds, \quad t \leq 0$$

$$c = P_2 c = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-\lambda s} e^{As} P_2 f(s) ds.$$

For $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$ let

$$\hat{g}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} g(t) dt \quad (\text{Bochner integral in } X^\alpha).$$

A straightforward calculation gives that for all $\omega \in \mathbf{R}$

$$\begin{aligned} \sqrt{2\pi} \hat{g}(\omega) - (A - \lambda + i\omega)^{-1} c &= \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-i\omega t} g(t) dt \\ &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \int_{-\infty}^s dt e^{(\lambda - i\omega)(t-s)} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 e^{-i\omega s} f(s) + \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 ds \int_s^0 dt e^{(\lambda - i\omega)(t-s)} e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 e^{-i\omega s} f(s) \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^0 (A - \lambda + i\omega)^{-1} P_1 e^{-i\omega s} f(s) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^0 (A - \lambda + i\omega)^{-1} (1 - e^{As} e^{-s(\lambda - i\omega)}) P_2 e^{-i\omega s} f(s) ds \\ \hat{g}(\omega) &= (A - \lambda + i\omega)^{-1} \hat{f}(\omega). \end{aligned}$$

Since $B_j \in \mathcal{B}(X^\alpha, X)$ for $j = 0, 1, \dots, m$ we have that $\widehat{B_j g} = B_j \hat{g}$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 \|B_j g(t)\|^2 dt &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|B_j g(t)\|^2 dt \leq M_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|B_j \hat{g}(\omega)\|^2 d\omega \\ &\leq M_0 c_j^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq M_0^2 c_j^2 \int_{-\infty}^0 \|f(t)\|^2 dt \leq M_0^2 c_j^2 |u - v|_Y^2. \end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion.

LEMMA 3.3. *For each $x \in X_1$, $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$ there exists a unique $v \in Y$ so that $S(\tau, v, x) = v$.*

Proof. Uniqueness follows from Lemma 3.2. Define

$$\begin{aligned} v_0 &= 0, \quad v_{n+1} = S(\tau, v_n, x) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0 \\ r_n(s) &= e^{\lambda s} (F(\tau + s, v_{n+1}(s)) - F(\tau + s, v_n(s))) \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, s \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Note that for $n \geq 0$, $t \leq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\int_{-\infty}^0 \|r_n(s)\|^2 ds \right)^{1/2} &\leq |v_{n+1} - v_n|_Y \leq \rho^n |v_1|_Y \\ e^{\lambda t} (v_{n+2}(t) - v_{n+1}(t)) &= \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 r_n(s) ds + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 r_n(s) ds \\ \|e^{\lambda t} (v_{n+2}(t) - v_{n+1}(t))\| &\leq k_1 \rho^n, \quad k_1 = M |v_1|_Y ((\lambda - \lambda_1)^{-1/2} + (\lambda_2 - \lambda)^{-1/2}). \end{aligned}$$

Choose $\varepsilon \in (0, 1)$ so that $\varepsilon \geq \rho$ and fix $T \in (-\infty, 0)$. For $n \geq 0$, $t \in (T, 0]$ we obtain from (3.1)

$$\begin{aligned} v_{n+2}(t) - v_{n+1}(t) &= e^{-A(t-T)} (v_{n+2}(T) - v_{n+1}(T)) + \\ &\quad + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} (F(s + \tau, v_{n+1}(s)) - F(s + \tau, v_n(s))) ds \\ \|v_{n+2}(t) - v_{n+1}(t)\|_\alpha &\leq M(t-T)^{-\alpha} e^{-a(t-T) - \lambda T} k_1 \varepsilon^n + \\ &\quad + ML \int_T^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} e^{-a(t-s)} \|v_{n+1}(s) - v_n(s)\|_\alpha ds \\ &\leq k_2 (t-T)^{-\alpha} \varepsilon^n + \varepsilon k_3 \int_T^t (t-s)^{-\alpha} \|v_{n+1}(s) - v_n(s)\|_\alpha ds \end{aligned}$$

where $k_2 = Me^{(a-\lambda)T}k_1(1 + e^{-aT})$, $k_3 = ML(1 + e^{aT})/\varepsilon$. Thus, for $n \geq 1$, $t \in (T, 0]$

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \varepsilon^{-n+1} \|v_{n+1}(t) - v_n(t)\|_\alpha &\leq k_2 \sum_{j=1}^n k_3^{j-1} \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^j}{\Gamma(j-j\alpha)} (t-T)^{j-j\alpha-1} + \\ &+ k_3^n \frac{\Gamma(1-\alpha)^n}{\Gamma(n-n\alpha)} \int_T^t (t-s)^{n-n\alpha-1} \varepsilon \|v_1(s)\|_\alpha ds \end{aligned}$$

and therefore there exists $k_4 < \infty$ such that

$$\|v_{n+1}(t) - v_n(t)\|_\alpha \leq \varepsilon^{n-1} (t-T)^{-\alpha} k_4 \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, t \in (T, 0].$$

Therefore there exists $v \in C((-\infty, 0], X^\alpha)$ such that for all $T \in (-\infty, 0)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{T \leq t \leq 0} \|v(t) - v_n(t)\|_\alpha = 0$$

and this implies

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda t} v(t)\|_\alpha^2 dt &< \infty \\ \int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda t} (v(t) - v_n(t))\|_\alpha^2 dt &\leq \left(\frac{\rho^n}{1-\rho} \frac{|v_1|_Y}{l} \right)^2 \quad \text{for } n \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\rho(\tilde{\lambda}) < 1$ and v_n do not depend on $\tilde{\lambda}$ for $\tilde{\lambda}$ close to λ we also have

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\tilde{\lambda} t} v(t)\|_\alpha^2 dt < \infty$$

and hence $v \in Y$, $|v - v_n|_Y \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $S(\tau, v, x) = v$.

Define $h : \mathbb{R} \times X_1 \rightarrow X_2 \cup X^\alpha$ as follows: choose $x \in X_1, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, let $v \in Y$ be such that $S(\tau, v, x) = v$ and define

$$h(\tau, x) = P_2 v(0) = \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{As} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds = v(0) - x .$$

Note, if F is bounded in X and $\lambda_2 > 0$ then h is bounded in X^α .

LEMMA 3.4. $\mathcal{M}(\tau) = \{x + h(\tau, x) | x \in X_1\}$ for all $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Proof. If $x \in X_1, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and $v \in Y$ satisfies $S(\tau, v, x) = v$ then $x + h(\tau, x) = v(0)$ and in view of (3.1) we have that $x + h(\tau, x) \in \mathcal{M}(\tau)$.

If v is as in the definition of $\mathcal{M}(\tau)$ then for $t \leq 0$

$$P_1 v(0) = e^{A_1 t} P_1 v(t) + \int_t^0 e^{A_1 s} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds$$

$$P_1 v(t) = e^{-A_1 t} P_1 v(0) + \int_0^t e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds.$$

If $-\infty < T \leq t \leq 0$ then

$$P_2 v(t) = e^{-A(t-T)} P_2 v(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds$$

and since the integral converges as $T \rightarrow -\infty$ the limit of

$$\|e^{-A(t-T)} P_2 v(T)\| \leq M e^{-\lambda_2 t} e^{\lambda T} \|v(T)\|$$

as $T \rightarrow -\infty$ has to exist and since $v \in Y$ it has to be 0. Therefore

$$P_2 v(t) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s + \tau, v(s)) ds \quad \text{for } t \leq 0$$

and hence $v = S(\tau, v, P_1 v(0)), v(0) = P_1 v(0) + h(\tau, P_1 v(0))$.

LEMMA 3.5. *There exists $c < \infty$ such that*

$$\|h(\tau, x) - h(\tau, y)\|_\alpha \leq c \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X_1.$$

Proof. Choose $x, y \in X_1, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and let $u, v \in Y$ be such that

$$S(\tau, u, x) = u, \quad S(\tau, v, y) = v.$$

d_1, d_2, \dots will denote various constants - independent of τ, x, y . Note

$$u - v = S(\tau, u, y) - S(\tau, v, y) + e^{-A_1 t}(x - y)$$

$$|u - v|_Y \leq \rho |u - v|_Y + d_1 \|x - y\|$$

$$|u - v|_Y \leq d_2 \|x - y\|$$

$$e^{\lambda t}(u(t) - v(t)) = e^{\lambda t} e^{-A_1 t}(x - y) + \int_0^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 r(s) ds +$$

$$+ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 r(s) ds$$

where $r(t) = e^{\lambda t}(F(t + \tau, u(t)) - F(t + \tau, v(t)))$ for $t \leq 0$. Since $\int_{-\infty}^0 \|r(t)\|^2 dt \leq |u - v|_Y^2$

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t} \|u(t) - v(t)\| &\leq M \|x - y\| + M \int_t^0 e^{(\lambda - \lambda_1)(t-s)} \|r(s)\| ds + M \int_{-\infty}^t e^{(\lambda - \lambda_2)(t-s)} \|r(s)\| ds \\ &\leq M \|x - y\| + d_3 |u - v|_Y \leq d_4 \|x - y\| \quad \text{for } t \leq 0. \end{aligned}$$

(3.1) implies that for $-\infty < T < t \leq 0$

$$u(t) - v(t) = e^{-A(t-T)}(u(T) - v(T)) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)}(F(s + \tau, u(s)) - F(s + \tau, v(s))) ds$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|u(t) - v(t)\|_\alpha &\leq M(t - T)^{-\alpha} e^{-a(t-T) - \lambda T} d_4 \|x - y\| + \\ &\quad + ML \int_T^t (t - s)^{-\alpha} e^{-a(t-s)} \|u(s) - v(s)\|_\alpha ds \end{aligned}$$

which implies, see (3.2), that for some d_5 we have

$$\|u(t) - v(t)\|_\alpha \leq \|x - y\| (t - T)^{-\alpha} d_5 \quad \text{for } t \in (T, 0]$$

and since $h(\tau, x) - h(\tau, y) = u(0) - v(0) - x + y$ we are done.

LEMMA 3.6. $h : \mathbb{R} \times X_1 \rightarrow X^\alpha$ is continuous.

REMARK. Inequality (3.3) below can sometimes imply more regularity of h .

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Fix $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, $x \in X_1$. Take $u \in C(\mathbb{R}, X^\alpha)$ such that $u(\tau) = x + h(\tau, x)$, $u(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and for $-\infty < T \leq t < \infty$

$$u(t) = e^{-A(t-T)} u(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} F(s, u(s)) ds.$$

For $\sigma \in \mathbb{R}$, $y \in X_1$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} h(\sigma, y) - h(\tau, x) &= h(\sigma, y) - h(\sigma, x) + h(\sigma, P_1 u(\tau)) - h(\sigma, P_1 u(\sigma)) + P_2 u(\sigma) - P_2 u(\tau) \\ (3.3) \quad \|h(\sigma, y) - h(\tau, x)\|_\alpha &\leq c \|y - x\| + c \|P_1\| \|u(\tau) - u(\sigma)\| + \|P_2\| \|u(\sigma) - u(\tau)\|_\alpha \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\|h(\sigma, y) - h(\tau, x)\|_\alpha \rightarrow 0$ as $\sigma \rightarrow \tau$, $y \rightarrow x$.

4. Exponential Tracking. Choose any $\tau \in \mathbf{R}$, $u \in C([\tau, \infty), X^\alpha)$ such that

$$u(t) = e^{-A(t-\tau)}u(\tau) + \int_{\tau}^t e^{-A(t-s)}F(s, u(s))ds \quad \text{for } t \geq \tau.$$

The purpose of this section is to prove

THEOREM 4.1. *There exists a unique $v \in C(\mathbf{R}, X^\alpha)$ such that*

$$v(t) = e^{-A(t-T)}v(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)}F(s, v(s))ds \quad \text{for } -\infty < T \leq t < \infty$$

$$\int_{\tau}^{\infty} \|e^{\lambda t}(u(t) - v(t))\|_{\alpha}^p dt + \int_{-\infty}^{\tau} \|e^{\lambda t}v(t)\|_{\alpha}^p dt < \infty \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2.$$

Moreover, $v(t) \in \mathcal{M}(t)$ for all $t \in \mathbf{R}$ and there exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ which depends only on $M, \lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha, L, l, \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$$e^{\lambda t}\|v(t) - u(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq Ce^{\lambda T} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}(T)} \|x - u(T)\|_{\alpha} \quad \text{for all } \tau \leq T \leq t < \infty.$$

Theorem 3.1 gives a bound for

$$P_2u(t) - h(t, P_1u(t)) = P_2(u(t) - v(t)) + h(t, P_1v(t)) - h(t, P_1u(t)).$$

Define $u(t) = e^{-\lambda_1(t-\tau)}u(\tau)$ for $t < \tau$ and let

$$Z = \left\{ \phi \in C(\mathbf{R}, X^\alpha) \mid \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{\lambda t}\phi(t)\|_{\alpha}^p dt < \infty \quad \text{for } p = 1, 2 \right\}$$

$$|\phi|_z = \sum_{j=0}^m \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|e^{\lambda t}B_j\phi(t)\|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{for } \phi \in Z$$

$$w(t) = -u(t) + e^{-A_1(t-\tau)}P_1u(\tau) + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)}P_2F(s, u(s))ds -$$

$$- \int_t^{\tau} e^{-A_1(t-s)}P_1F(s, u(s))ds \quad \text{for } t \leq \tau$$

$$w(t) = e^{-A(t-\tau)}w(\tau) = e^{-A(t-\tau)}P_2w(\tau) \quad \text{for } t > \tau.$$

Observe that $w \in Z$. Define $R : Z \rightarrow Z$ by

$$(R\phi)(t) = w(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2(F(s, \phi(s) + u(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds - \\ - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1(F(s, \phi(s) + u(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds.$$

LEMMA 4.2. $|R\phi - R\psi|_z \leq \rho(\lambda)|\phi - \psi|_z$ for $\phi, \psi \in Z$.

Proof. For $t \in \mathbb{R}$ let

$$g(t) = e^{\lambda t}((R\phi)(t) - (R\psi)(t)) \\ f(t) = e^{\lambda t}(F(t, \phi(t) + u(t)) - F(t, \psi(t) + u(t))).$$

As in the proof of Lemma 3.2

$$\hat{g}(\omega) = (A - \lambda + i\omega)^{-1} \hat{f}(\omega) \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathbb{R}$$

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|B_j g(t)\|^2 dt \leq M_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|B_j \hat{g}(\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq M_0^2 c_j^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt \\ \leq M_0^2 c_j^2 |\phi - \psi|_z^2.$$

LEMMA 4.3. There exists a unique $\Theta \in Z$ such that $R\Theta = \Theta$. Moreover, there exists $C \in [0, \infty)$ which depends only on $M, \lambda, \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \alpha, L, l, \rho(\lambda)$ such that

$$e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq C e^{\lambda T} \inf_{x \in \mathcal{M}(T)} \|x - u(T)\|_{\alpha} \quad \text{whenever } \tau \leq T \leq t < \infty.$$

Proof. Uniqueness follows from Lemma 4.2. Let $\phi_0 = 0$, $\phi_{n+1} = R\phi_n$ for $n \geq 0$. Note that for $t \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq 0$

$$e^{\lambda t}(\phi_{n+2}(t) - \phi_{n+1}(t)) = \int_{-\infty}^t e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 r_n(s) ds - \int_t^{\infty} e^{\lambda(t-s)} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 r_n(s) ds, \\ r_n(t) = e^{\lambda t}(F(t, \phi_{n+1}(t) + u(t)) - F(t, \phi_n(t) + u(t))).$$

Let $f_n(t) = e^{\lambda t} \|\phi_{n+1}(t) - \phi_n(t)\|_{\alpha}$ and

$$K(t) = \begin{cases} ML e^{(\lambda - \lambda_1)t} & \text{if } t \leq 0 \\ ML t^{-\alpha} e^{(\lambda - \lambda_2)t} & \text{if } t > 0 \end{cases}$$

and note that

$$f_{n+1}(t) \leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(t-s)f_n(s)ds \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Define $K_1 = K$, $K_{j+1} = K * K_j$ for $j \geq 1$ and note that

$$f_{n+j} \leq K_j * f_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, j \geq 1.$$

Choose an integer $N \geq 1$ such that $2N(1 - \alpha) > 1$. Young's inequality [6, p.34] gives

$$K_n \in L^{q_n}(\mathbb{R}), \quad q_n = 2N/(2N - n) \quad \text{for } 1 \leq n \leq 2N.$$

Thus, $K_N \in L^2(\mathbb{R})$ and for $n \geq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \|f_{n+N}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|K_N\|_2 \|f_n\|_2 \leq \|K_N\|_2 l^{-1} |\phi_{n+1} - \phi_n|_z \\ \|f_{n+N}\|_{\infty} &\leq \|K_N\|_2 l^{-1} |\phi_1|_z \rho^n. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, there exist $\Theta \in C(\mathbb{R}, X^{\alpha})$, $d \in \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t) - \phi_n(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq d \rho^{n-N} \quad \text{for } n \geq N, t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Using the facts that we can replace λ with $\tilde{\lambda}$ provided that $|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|$ is small enough and that this change does not effect ϕ_n and hence Θ we see that there exist $d_1 < \infty$, $\varepsilon < 1$, $\delta > 0$ such that

$$e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t) - \phi_n(t)\|_{\alpha} \leq d_1 \varepsilon^n e^{-\delta|t|} \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, n \geq N.$$

Thus $\Theta \in Z$, $|\Theta - \phi_n|_z \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and therefore $R\Theta = \Theta$.

To prove the moreover part choose any $T \in [\tau, \infty)$, $x \in \mathcal{M}(T)$. Let $\psi \in Y$ be such that $S(T, \psi, P_1 x) = \psi$, hence $x = \psi(0)$. Define

$$\psi_0(t) = \begin{cases} \psi(t-T) - u(t) & \text{for } t \leq T \\ 0 & \text{for } t > T. \end{cases}$$

ψ_0 is in general not continuous, however, $\psi_1 \equiv R\psi_0$ can clearly be evaluated and a long but straightforward calculation gives

$$\psi_1(t) - \psi_0(t) = \begin{cases} e^{-A_1(t-T)} P_1(u(T) - x) & \text{if } t \leq T \\ e^{-A(t-T)} P_2(x - u(T)) & \text{if } t > T \end{cases}$$

and thus $\psi_1 \in Z$. Define $\psi_{n+1} = R\psi_n$ also for $n \geq 1$.

Since $|\phi_n - \Theta|_z \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ Lemma 4.2 implies

$$|\Theta - \psi_n|_z \leq \rho^{n-1} |\psi_2 - \psi_1|_z / (1 - \rho) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1.$$

For $n \geq 0$, $t \in \mathbb{R}$ define $g_n(t) = e^{\lambda t} \|\psi_{n+1}(t) - \psi_n(t)\|_\alpha$. As above

$$\begin{aligned} g_{n+j} &\leq K_j * g_n \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, j \geq 1 \\ \|g_{n+N}\|_\infty &\leq \|K_N\|_2 \|g_n\|_2 \leq \|K_N\|_2 l^{-1} |\psi_{n+1} - \psi_n|_z \leq \|K_N\|_2 l^{-1} |\psi_2 - \psi_1|_z \rho^{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \\ \|g_n\|_\infty &\leq \|K_N\|_2 L l^{-1} \|g_1\|_2 \rho^{n-N-1} \leq \|K_N\|_2 \|K\|_1 L l^{-1} \|g_0\|_2 \rho^{n-N-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq N+1 \\ e^{\lambda t} \|\psi_j(t) - \psi_n(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \|K_N\|_2 \|K\|_1 L l^{-1} (1 - \rho)^{-1} \|g_0\|_2 \rho^{n-N-1} \quad \text{for } N+1 \leq n \leq j, t \in \mathbb{R} \\ e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t) - \psi_n(t)\|_\alpha &\leq \|K_N\|_2 \|K\|_1 L l^{-1} (1 - \rho)^{-1} \|g_0\|_2 \rho^{n-N-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq N+1, t \in \mathbb{R}. \end{aligned}$$

For $t > T$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t)\|_\alpha &\leq e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t) - \psi_{N+1}(t)\|_\alpha + g_0(t) + g_1(t) + \cdots + g_N(t) \\ &\leq \|K_N\|_2 \|K\|_1 L l^{-1} (1 - \rho)^{-1} \|g_0\|_2 + (1 + \|K\|_1 + \cdots + \|K\|_1^N) \|g_0\|_\infty. \end{aligned}$$

Evaluation of $\|g_0\|_2, \|g_0\|_\infty$ gives

$$e^{\lambda t} \|\Theta(t)\|_\alpha \leq C e^{\lambda T} \|x - u(T)\|_\alpha \quad \text{for } t \geq T$$

where

$$C = \|K_N\|_2 \|K\|_1 L l^{-1} (1 - \rho)^{-1} M \sqrt{\frac{1}{2(\lambda - \lambda_1)} + \frac{1}{2(\lambda_2 - \lambda)}} + M(1 + \|K\|_1 + \cdots + \|K\|_1^N).$$

Proof of Theorem 4.1. If $\Theta \in Z$ is such that $R\Theta = \Theta$ and $v = \Theta + u$ then a long but obvious calculation shows that this v has the desired properties.

Suppose that we have v as in Theorem 4.1. Obviously $v - u \in Z$. We will show that $v - u = R(v - u)$ and hence Lemma 4.3 will imply uniqueness.

For $-\infty < T \leq t < \infty$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} P_2(v(t) - u(t)) &= -P_2 u(t) + e^{-A(t-T)} P_2 v(T) + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds + \\ &\quad + \int_T^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s, u(s)) ds \end{aligned}$$

letting $T \rightarrow -\infty$ we obtain (as in the proof of Lemma 3.4)

$$(4.2) \quad \begin{aligned} P_2(v(t) - u(t)) &= -P_2u(t) + \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 F(s, u(s)) ds + \\ &+ \int_{-\infty}^t e^{-A(t-s)} P_2 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds. \end{aligned}$$

If $\tau \leq t \leq T$ then

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(v(T) - u(T)) &= e^{-A_1(T-t)} P_1(v(t) - u(t)) + \int_t^T e^{-A_1(T-s)} P_1 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds \\ P_1(v(t) - u(t)) &= e^{-A_1(t-T)} P_1(v(T) - u(T)) - \int_t^T e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds \end{aligned}$$

letting $T \rightarrow \infty$ we obtain that for $t \geq \tau$

$$(4.3) \quad P_1(v(t) - u(t)) = - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds .$$

If $t \leq \tau$ then

$$\begin{aligned} P_1(v(t) - u(t)) &= -P_1u(t) + e^{-A_1(t-\tau)} P_1(v(\tau) - u(\tau)) + e^{-A_1(t-\tau)} P_1u(\tau) - \\ &\quad - \int_t^{\tau} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s, v(s)) ds \quad \text{and (4.3) implies} \\ &= -P_1u(t) + e^{-A_1(t-\tau)} P_1u(\tau) - \int_t^{\tau} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 F(s, u(s)) ds - \\ &\quad - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds \\ &= P_1w(t) - \int_t^{\infty} e^{-A_1(t-s)} P_1 (F(s, v(s)) - F(s, u(s))) ds \end{aligned}$$

this, (4.2) and (4.3) imply that $v - u = R(v - u)$.

5. Hyperbolic extension. The assumption used so far that A is a sectorial operator can be weakened by requiring that $-A$ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup

and thus the theory becomes applicable to hyperbolic problems. In this case the condition that $\lambda + i\omega$ is in the resolvent set of A for all real ω does not guarantee existence of subspaces with bounds on the semigroup as presented in Section 2 – therefore we have to postulate them. With these changes and $\alpha = 0$ (hence $X^\alpha = X$, $\|\cdot\|_\alpha = \|\cdot\|$) the results of Sections 3 and 4 apply unchanged. For the sake of clarity let me state explicitly all assumptions needed in this case.

(V1) X is a complex Banach space.

(V2) There exists $M_0 \in (0, \infty)$ such that if $f \in C(\mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, X)$ and $\|f(\cdot)\| \in L^1(\mathbb{R}) \cap L^2(\mathbb{R})$ then

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt \leq M_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|\hat{f}(\omega)\|^2 d\omega \leq M_0^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|f(t)\|^2 dt$$

where

$$\hat{f}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-i\omega t} f(t) dt \quad \text{for } \omega \in \mathbb{R}.$$

(V3) $-A$ is the generator of a strongly continuous semigroup e^{-At} , $t \geq 0$, on X .

(V4) $X = X_1 \oplus X_2$ with $e^{-At}X_1 = X_1$, $e^{-At}X_2 \subset X_2$ for $t \geq 0$ and there exist $M_1 < \infty$ and $-\infty < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} \|e^{-At}x\| &\leq M_1 e^{-\lambda_2 t} \|x\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, x \in X_2, \\ \|x\| &\leq M_1 e^{\lambda_1 t} \|e^{-At}x\| \quad \text{for } t \geq 0, x \in X_1. \end{aligned}$$

(V5) $F : \mathbb{R} \times X \rightarrow X$ is continuous and such that for some $B_1, \dots, B_m \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ we have that

$$\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\| \leq \sum_{j=1}^m \|B_j(x - y)\| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X.$$

(V6) $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ and $\int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\mu t} F(t, 0)\|^2 dt < \infty$ for some $\mu < \lambda$.

(V7) $M_0 \sum_{j=1}^m \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|B_j(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| < 1$.

Observe that (V4) implies that there exist projections $P_1, P_2 \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ such that $P_i X = X_i$, $P_i e^{-At} = e^{-At} P_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, $t \geq 0$ and $P_1 + P_2 = I$. (V4) also implies that e^{-At} is invertible on X_1 , hence, it can be extended to a strongly continuous group defined by

$$\mathcal{B}(X_1) \ni e^{-A_1 t} = \begin{cases} e^{-At} & \text{for } t \geq 0 \\ (e^{At})^{-1} & \text{for } t < 0. \end{cases}$$

For $z \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\lambda_1 < \operatorname{Re} z < \lambda_2$ one can easily show that z is in the resolvent set of A and that for all $x \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} (A - z)^{-1}P_1x &= - \int_{-\infty}^0 e^{-A_1 t} e^{zt} P_1 x dt \\ (A - z)^{-1}P_2x &= \int_0^{\infty} e^{-At} e^{zt} P_2 x dt \\ \|(A - z)^{-1}\| &\leq M_1 \left(\frac{\|P_1\|}{\operatorname{Re} z - \lambda_1} + \frac{\|P_2\|}{\lambda_2 - \operatorname{Re} z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

As in Section 2 let $B_0 = l \cdot I$ with $l \in (0, \infty)$ so small that

$$\rho(\lambda) \equiv M_0 \sum_{j=0}^m c_j(\lambda) < 1$$

where

$$c_j(\lambda) = \sup_{\omega \in \mathbb{R}} \|B_j(A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| \quad \text{for } j = 0, 1, \dots, m.$$

(V6), (V7) and $\rho(\lambda) < 1$ also remain valid if λ is replaced with $\tilde{\lambda}$ provided that $|\lambda - \tilde{\lambda}|$ is small enough. Note

$$\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\| \leq L\|x - y\| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbb{R}, x, y \in X$$

where

$$L = \sum_{j=0}^m \|B_j\|.$$

Now $\alpha = 0$, $X^\alpha = X$, $\|\cdot\|_\alpha = \|\cdot\|$. Clearly, one can find $a < \lambda_1$ and $M < \infty$ so that the bounds (2.1) hold.

THEOREM 5.1. *In Sections 3 and 4 everything remains valid under the above assumptions (V1)-(V7) and with the above notation.*

Observe also that proofs of Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 and 4.3 can be simplified when $\alpha < 1/2$.

6. Examples. The following examples are presented for comparison purposes.

Example 6.1. Assume that A is a selfadjoint operator in a Hilbert space X and that

the spectrum of A is contained in $(a, \lambda_1] \cup [\lambda_2, \infty)$

for some $-\infty < a < \lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \infty$. A can have continuous spectrum. Observe that if $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ then

$$(6.1) \quad \sup_{\omega \in \mathbf{R}} \|(A - a)^\alpha (A - \lambda - i\omega)^{-1}\| \leq \max \left\{ \frac{(\lambda_1 - a)^\alpha}{\lambda - \lambda_1}, \frac{(\lambda_2 - a)^\alpha}{\lambda_2 - \lambda} \right\}.$$

Fix $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ and assume that $F : \mathbf{R} \times X^\alpha \rightarrow X$ is continuous and that for some $L \in [0, \infty)$

$$\|F(t, x) - F(t, y)\| \leq L \|(A - a)^\alpha (x - y)\| \quad \text{for } t \in \mathbf{R}, x, y \in \mathcal{D}((A - a)^\alpha) = X^\alpha.$$

Using (6.1) with $\lambda \in (\lambda_1, \lambda_2)$ that minimizes the right hand side of (6.1) we see that all assumptions (H1)–(H7) are satisfied if

$$(6.2) \quad \int_{-\infty}^0 \|e^{\lambda_1 t} F(t, 0)\|^2 dt < \infty \quad \text{and} \\ L((\lambda_2 - a)^\alpha + (\lambda_1 - a)^\alpha) < \lambda_2 - \lambda_1.$$

In the literature the conditions corresponding to (6.2) are much more involved (see (5.1) in [3], (5.3) in [4], p.143-150 in [6], p.423 in [9]). Their expressions become singular as $\alpha \rightarrow 1$ ($\alpha \leq 1/2$ in [9]). However, it was known [8] that if $\alpha = 0$ then (6.2) is sufficient for existence of an inertial manifold when the spectrum of A consists of eigenvalues only - which is assumed also in [4,9]. No assumptions on the range of F are made here, however, if one has that $F : X^{\alpha+\beta} \rightarrow X^\beta$ for some $\beta > 0$ then one may want to use X^β instead of X for the basic space.

Example 6.2. Consider

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} u_t &= u_{xx} + f(x, t, u, u_x) & 0 < x < \pi, t > 0 \\ u(0, t) &= u(\pi, t) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

where $f : [0, \pi] \times \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C} \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ is continuous and such that for some $L_1 < \infty, L_2 < \infty$ we have that for all values of arguments

$$|f(x, t, z_1, z_2) - f(x, t, s_1, s_2)| \leq L_1 |z_1 - s_1| + L_2 |z_2 - s_2|.$$

Let $X = L^2(0, \pi)$, $Au = -u''$ for $u \in H_0^1(0, \pi) \cap H^2(0, \pi)$, $B_1 u = L_1 u$, $B_2 u = L_2 u'$ for $u \in H^1(0, \pi)$, $\alpha \in [1/2, 1)$, $\lambda = (n^2 + (n + 1)^2)/2$. By using (6.1) (H7) becomes

$$\frac{L_1}{n + 1/2} + L_2 \frac{n + 1}{n + 1/2} < 1.$$

Thus if $L_2 < 1$ and

$$\int_{-\infty}^0 dt \int_0^\pi dx |e^{\mu t} f(x, t, 0, 0)|^2 < \infty$$

for some real μ then all assumptions (H1)–(H7) can be satisfied by choosing n large enough. Existence of an invariant manifold for sufficiently small L_2 has been shown in [2].

Acknowledgments. It is my pleasure to thank Professor Pavol Brunovsky for many indispensable discussions and a critical reading of the manuscript. I also wish to thank Professor E. Fabes for bringing [10] to my attention and to Professors S.-N. Chow, R.A. Johnson, K. Lu, J. Mallet-Paret, H. Matano, H. Weinberger for many illuminating discussions.

REFERENCES

- [1] A.V. BABIN AND M.I. VISHIK, *Unstable invariant sets of semigroups of nonlinear operators and their perturbations*, Russian Math. Surveys, **41** (1986), 1–41.
- [2] P. BRUNOVSKY AND I. TERESCAK, *Regularity of invariant manifolds*, preprint.
- [3] S.-N. CHOW AND K. LU, *Invariant manifolds for flows in Banach spaces*, J. Differential Equations, **74** (1988), 285–317.
- [4] C. FOIAS, G.R. SELL AND E.S. TITI, *Exponential tracking and approximation of inertial manifolds for dissipative nonlinear equations*, J. Dynamics and Diff. Eq., **1** (1989), 199–244.
- [5] J. K. HALE, *Asymptotic Behavior of Dissipative Systems*, Math. Surveys Monographs, **25**, Am. Math. Soc., Providence (1988).
- [6] D. HENRY, *Geometric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equations*, Lecture Notes in Math., **840**, Springer-Verlag, New York (1981).
- [7] J. MALLET-PARET AND G.R. SELL, *Inertial manifolds for reaction diffusion equations in higher space dimensions*, J. Am. Math. Soc., **1** (1988), 805–866.
- [8] H. MATANO, personal communication.
- [9] R. TEMAM, *Infinite-Dimensional Dynamical Systems in Mechanics and Physics*, Springer-Verlag, New York (1988).
- [10] S. VAGI, *A remark on Plancherel’s theorem for Banach space valued functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, **23** (1969), 305–315.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
547	M. Slemrod,	Dynamics of Measured Valued Solutions to a Backward-Forward Heat Equation
548	Avner Friedman and Jürgen Sprekels,	Steady States of Austenitic–Martensitic–Domains in the Ginzburg–Landau Theory of Shape Memory Alloys
549	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu,	Degenerate Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman Equations in a Bounded Domain
550	E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr., and M.V. Tratnik,	Families of Orthogonal and Biorthogonal Polynomials on the N-Sphere
551	Heinrich Freistühler,	On Compact Linear Degeneracy
552	Matthew Witten,	Quantifying the Concepts of Rate and Acceleration/Deceleration of Aging
553	J.P. Albert and J.L. Bona,	Total Positivity and the Stability of Internal Waves in Stratified Fluids of Finite Depth
554	Brian Coomes and Victor Zurkowski,	Linearization of Polynomial Flows and Spectra of Derivations
555	Yuriko Renardy,	A Couette-Poiseuille Flow of Two Fluids in a Channel
556	Michael Renardy,	Short wave instabilities resulting from memory slip
557	Daniel D. Joseph and Michael Renardy,	Stokes' first problem for linear viscoelastic fluids with finite memory
558	Xiaxi Ding,	Superlinear Conservation Law with Viscosity
559	J.L. Ericksen,	Liquid Crystals with Variable Degree of Orientation
560	F. Robert Ore, Jr. and Xinfu Chen,	Electro-Optic Modulation in an Arbitrary Cross-Section Waveguide
561	M.V. Tratnik,	Multivariable biorthogonal continuous-discrete Wilson and Racah polynomials
562	Yisong Yang,	Existence of Solutions for a Generalized Yang-Mills Theory
563	Peter Gritzmann, Laurent Habsieger and Victor Klee,	Good and Bad Radii of Convex Polygons
564	Martin Golubitsky, Martin Krupa and Chjan. C. Lim,	Time-Reversibility and Particle Sedimentation
565	G. Yin,	Recent Progress in Parallel Stochastic Approximations
566	G. Yin,	On H-Valued SA: Finite Dimensional Approximations
567	Chien-Cheng Chang,	Accurate Evaluation of the Effect of Diffusion and Conductivity in Certain Equations
568	Chien-Cheng Chang and Ruey-Ling Chern,	The Effect of Viscous Diffusion in Discrete Vortex Dynamics for Slightly Viscous Flows
569	Li Ta-Tsien (Li Da-qian) and Zhao Yan-Chun,	Global Existence of Classical Solutions to the Typical Free Boundary Problem for General Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and its Applications
570	Thierry Cazenave and Fred B. Weissler,	The Structure of Solutions to the Pseudo-Conformally Invariant Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation
571	Marshall Slemrod and Athanasios E. Tzavaras,	A Limiting Viscosity Approach for the Riemann Problem in Isentropic Gas Dynamics
572	Richard D. James and Scott J. Spector,	The Formation of Filamentary Voids in Solids
573	P.J. Vassiliou,	On the Geometry of Semi-Linear Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations in the Plane Integrable by the Method of Darboux
574	Jerome V. Moloney and Alan C. Newell,	Nonlinear Optics
575	Keti Tenenblat,	A Note on Solutions for the Intrinsic Generalized Wave and Sine-Gordon Equations
576	P. Szmolyan,	Heteroclinic Orbits in Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations
577	Wenxiong Liu,	A Parabolic System Arising In Film Development
578	Daniel B. Dix,	Temporal Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of the Benjamin-Ono-Burgers Equation
579	Michael Renardy and Yuriko Renardy,	On the nature of boundary conditions for flows with moving free surfaces
580	Werner A. Stahel,	Robust Statistics: From an Intellectual Game to a Consumer Product
581	Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich,	The Stefan Problem with Small Surface Tension
582	E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr.,	Separation of Variables Methods for Systems of Differential Equations in Mathematical Physics
583	Mitchell Luskin and George R. Sell,	The Construction of Inertial Manifolds for Reaction-Diffusion Equations by Elliptic Regularization
584	Konstantin Mischaikow,	Dynamic Phase Transitions: A Connection Matrix Approach
585	Philippe Le Floch and Li Tatsien,	A Global Asymptotic Expansion for the Solution to the Generalized Riemann Problem
586	Matthew Witten, Ph.D.,	Computational Biology: An Overview
587	Matthew Witten, Ph.D.,	Peering Inside Living Systems: Physiology in a Supercomputer

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
588	Michael Renardy ,	An existence theorem for model equations resulting from kinetic theories of polymer solutions
589	Daniel D. Joseph and Luigi Preziosi ,	Reviews of Modern Physics: Addendum to the Paper "Heat Waves"
590	Luigi Preziosi ,	An Invariance Property for the Propagation of Heat and Shear Waves
591	Gregory M. Constantine and John Bryant ,	Sequencing of Experiments for Linear and Quadratic Time Effects
592	Prabir Daripa ,	On the Computation of the Beltrami Equation in the Complex Plane
593	Philippe Le Floch ,	Shock Waves for Nonlinear Hyperbolic Systems in Nonconservative Form
594	A.L. Gorin, D.B. Roe and A.G. Greenberg ,	On the Complexity of Pattern Recognition Algorithms On a Tree-Structured Parallel Computer
595	Mark J. Friedman and Eusebius J. Doedel ,	Numerical computation and continuation of invariant manifolds connecting fixed points
596	Scott J. Spector ,	Linear Deformations as Global Minimizers in Nonlinear Elasticity
597	Denis Serre ,	Richness and the classification of quasilinear hyperbolic systems
598	L. Preziosi and F. Rosso ,	On the stability of the shearing flow between pipes
599	Avner Friedman and Wenxiong Liu ,	A system of partial differential equations arising in electrophotography
600	Jonathan Bell, Avner Friedman, and Andrew A. Lacey ,	On solutions to a quasilinear diffusion problem from the study of soft tissue
601	David G. Schaeffer and Michael Shearer ,	Loss of hyperbolicity in yield vertex plasticity models under nonproportional loading
602	Herbert C. Kranzer and Barbara Lee Keyfitz ,	A strictly hyperbolic system of conservation laws admitting singular shocks
603	S. Laederich and M. Levi ,	Qualitative dynamics of planar chains
604	Milan Miklavčič ,	A sharp condition for existence of an inertial manifold
605	Charles Collins, David Kinderlehrer, and Mitchell Luskin ,	Numerical approximation of the solution of a variational problem with a double well potential
606	Todd Arbogast ,	Two-phase incompressible flow in a porous medium with various nonhomogeneous boundary conditions
607	Peter Poláčik ,	Complicated dynamics in scalar semilinear parabolic equations in higher space dimension
608	Bei Hu ,	Diffusion of penetrant in a polymer: a free boundary problem
609	Mohamed Sami ElBialy ,	On the smoothness of the linearization of vector fields near resonant hyperbolic rest points
610	Max Jodeit, Jr. and Peter J. Olver ,	On the equation $\text{grad } f = M \text{ grad } g$
611	Shui-Nee Chow, Kening Lu, and Yun-Qiu Shen ,	Normal form and linearization for quasiperiodic systems
612	Prabir Daripa ,	Theory of one dimensional adaptive grid generation
613	Michael C. Mackey and John G. Milton ,	Feedback, delays and the origin of blood cell dynamics
614	D.G. Aronson and S. Kamin ,	Disappearance of phase in the Stefan problem: one space dimension
615	Martin Krupa ,	Bifurcations of relative equilibria
616	D.D. Joseph, P. Singh, and K. Chen ,	Couette flows, rollers, emulsions, tall Taylor cells, phase separation and inversion, and a chaotic bubble in Taylor-Couette flow of two immiscible liquids
617	Artemio González-López, Niky Kamran, and Peter J. Olver ,	Lie algebras of differential operators in two complex variables
618	L.E. Fraenkel ,	On a linear, partly hyperbolic model of viscoelastic flow past a plate
619	Stephen Schecter and Michael Shearer ,	Undercompressive shocks for nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws
620	Xinfu Chen ,	Axially symmetric jets of compressible fluid
621	J. David Logan ,	Wave propagation in a qualitative model of combustion under equilibrium conditions
622	M.L. Zeeman ,	Hopf bifurcations in competitive three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra Systems
623	Allan P. Fordy ,	Isospectral flows: their Hamiltonian structures, Miura maps and master symmetries
624	Daniel D. Joseph, John Nelson, Michael Renardy, and Yuriko Renardy ,	Two-Dimensional cusped interfaces