

Adaptive Control of Linear Discrete Time Systems with External Disturbances under Inaccurate Modelling: a case study

María Inés Troparevsky

Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales
Universidad de Buenos Aires
Ciudad Universitaria- Pabellón I
(1428) Nuñez
Buenos Aires
Argentina

Introduction

This paper deals with adaptive control techniques implemented to control linear systems with unknown parameters.

In many practical cases the hypothesis that the system parameters values are known it is not realistic, instead, it may be possible to estimate them by observing the system response under appropriated experimental conditions. Based on this idea, adaptive control techniques consist of an on-line parameter estimation combined with an on-line control law (see *Goodwin-Sin* [1984]).

An essential requirement of a practical adaptive system is to preserve its performance when faced with disturbances. For a linear, discrete time system, the disturbances can be mainly of two types: inaccurate modelling and external noise.

When dealing with a linear, discrete time system which is suppose to track a reference y_k^* with bounded external disturbance, the bound being known, the projection algorithm with dead zone, introduced in *Edgart* [1978], can be implemented to cope with the disturbance. This algorithm briefly switches off the adaptation when the estimated error is within a selected threshold. For input-output stable systems of the form:

$$y_{k+1} = \theta_0^T \phi(k)$$

where Θ_0 is the vector of parameters and $\Phi(k)$ is a vector containing past inputs and outputs, it can be proved that the tracking error, $e_k = |y_{k+1} - y_k^*|$ verifies (see *Goodwin-Sin* [1984]):

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k^2 \leq 4\Delta^2$$

where Δ is the bound of the external disturbance.

Recently, different algorithms based on the idea of dead zone were developed,

(Ortega and Lozano-Leal [87], Ortega and Lozano-Leal [87], Canudas de Witt-Carrillo [90]). Their results are concerned with the control or parameter identification of linear discrete time systems with external bounded disturbances, where the upper bound is known.

In the case of inaccurate modelling different situations, from stable to chaotic behaviour, can arise. In *Mareels and Bitmead [86-88]* the authors studied a particular case of a second order linear discrete time system with no external perturbation, undermodelled by a first order one. The objective was to regulate the system output to zero. They observed chaotic behaviour on the succession of estimated parameters for some values of the real parameters of the system although the convergence of the output was obtained. The same example was picked up by *González-Troparevsky-D'Attellis [93]*, and with a little modification on the adaptation algorithm, no chaos appeared and the objective was fulfilled for a range of parameter values taking part in the system.

In this work we obtained results about stabilization of a linear discrete time stable system, with bounded external disturbances, under inaccurate modelling. Conditions between the value of the "unmodelled parameters" and an upper bound of the disturbance (Δ) that ensure that the tracking error satisfies:

$$\limsup |e_k| \leq \beta \Delta$$

for some $\beta > 1$, are established.

In this way the result for the stabilization of linear, discrete time systems with external perturbation is generalized to the case of inaccurate modelling.

Description of the system

We will consider the linear, second order, discrete time system presented in *Mareels-Bitmead [86-88]* and in *González-Troparevsky-D'Attellis [93]* added with an external perturbation:

$$(1) \quad y_{k+1} = ay_k + by_{k-1} + u_k + d_k ,$$

where a, b are real unknown constants, y_k, u_k are respectively the output and the control at time k , and d_k denotes an external disturbance that verifies:

$$|d_k| \leq \Delta .$$

The objective is to regulate the output to zero.

The proposed model to represent the system is a first order one:

$$y_{k+1} = \hat{a}_k y_k + u_k .$$

The control is calculated directly from the proposed model to achieve the control

objective, that is:

$$u_k = -\hat{a}_k y_k .$$

The parameter is updated via the projection algorithm with dead zone:

$$\hat{a}_{k+1} = \hat{a}_k + \alpha_k y_{k+1} \frac{y_k}{\gamma + y_k^2} .$$

where $a_k = a - \hat{a}_k$, $\gamma > 0$ and α_k depends on the output value:

$$(2) \quad \alpha_k = \begin{cases} 0 & y_{k+1}^2 \leq \beta^2 \Delta^2 \\ \alpha & y_{k+1}^2 > \beta^2 \Delta^2 \end{cases}$$

The closed-loop system can be described by:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} y_{k+1} = a_k y_k + b y_{k-1} + d_k \\ a_{k+1} = a_k - \alpha_k y_{k+1} \frac{y_k}{\gamma + y_k^2} \end{cases}$$

We stated that in presence of a stable system with $|y_k| \leq M$, $\forall k$, and if $M|b| < \varepsilon\beta/2 \Delta$, $\beta > 2/(2-\varepsilon)$, $2 > \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the algorithm (3) generates successions $\{y_k\}$ and $\{a_k\}$ that verifies:

$$a_{k+1}^2 \leq a_k^2$$

$$\limsup |y_k| \leq \beta \Delta$$

Main result

From now on we will work with the system (1) and the closed loop (3). All the constants that will appear correspond to those of the preceeding section.

Proposition 1: If $|b|M \leq \varepsilon(\beta/2)\Delta$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that:

$$a_{k+1}^2 \leq a_k^2$$

$$\limsup |y_k| \leq \beta \Delta$$

Proof:

From (2) and (3)

$$a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_k^2 y_{k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_k y_{k+1}^2 + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{k+1}| |y_{k-1}| + 2\alpha_k y_{k+1} d_k}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

and as

$$2y_{k+1} d_k \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2}{\xi} + \xi d_k^2 \quad \forall \xi > 0,$$

we obtained

$$(4) \quad a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi}) + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{k+1}| |y_{k-1}| + \alpha_k \xi \Delta^2}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

Suppose that there exists $R < 0$ such that :

$$(5) \quad a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_k R (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2}.$$

We will demonstrate the proposition under the hypothesis (5); finally, we will demonstrate (5) to complete the proof.

In that case, as the right side of the last inequality is always less than or equal to 0 (see(2)) we can conclude that a_k^2 is a positive decreasing sequence. In fact, calling

$$S_N \triangleq \sum_0^N \frac{\alpha_k (-R) (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

from (5) it results:

$$S_N \leq a_0^2 - a_{N+1}^2 \leq a_0^2 .$$

We can conclude

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2} = 0 .$$

In view of the hypothesis $|y_k| \leq M \quad \forall k$, and from the fact

$$\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq 0 ,$$

we have the following result:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) = 0 .$$

As

$$\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \alpha (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \quad \forall k ,$$

we have that

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) ,$$

and from there we obtained:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y_k| \leq \beta \Delta .$$

To complete the proof of the Proposition we have to demonstrate (5) .

From the fact that

$$\alpha_k > 0 \Rightarrow y_{k+1}^2 > \beta^2 \Delta^2 ,$$

and under the hypothesis $|b|M \leq (\beta/2)\varepsilon\Delta$, it results:

$$\varepsilon |y_{k+1}| > \beta \varepsilon \Delta \geq 2|b|M \geq 2|b||y_{k-1}| ,$$

that is:

$$-\alpha_k \varepsilon y_{k+1}^2 + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{k-1}| |y_{k+1}| \leq 0 .$$

We can now return to (4) to state:

$$a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon) + \Delta^2 \alpha_k \xi}{\gamma + y_k^2} .$$

Choosing α , ξ and R verifying: $-2 < \omega = \alpha + \varepsilon - 2 \leq -2/\beta$, $\zeta \in (0, [-\omega\beta^2 + (\omega^2\beta^4 - 4\beta^2)^{1/2}]/2)$ and $R \in (\omega + 1/\zeta, -\zeta/\beta^2)$ we have:

$$(6) \quad y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon) + \Delta^2 \alpha_k \xi \leq \alpha_k R (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) .$$

In that case equation (5) is verified and the proof ends. ■

The width of the band where the output of the system asymptotically remains is $2\beta\Delta$, $\beta > 1$. Note that if $\varepsilon < 1$ we can choose $\beta < 2$, while in the ideal case when there is no undermodelling (see *Goodwin-Sin [84]*) the band width is 4Δ .

The following Proposition shows that the result of Proposition 1 cannot be improved in the sense of obtaining a narrower band, that is $\beta \leq 1$.

Proposition 2 : Under the hypothesis of the previous sections, we cannot choose $\beta \leq 1$ so that equation (6) holds.

Proof:

Let us suppose that equation (6) holds for $\beta = 1$. In that case we will have:

$$(7) \quad \alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon - \alpha_k R + \frac{\Delta^2}{y_{k+1}^2} (\alpha_k \xi + \alpha_k R \beta^2) \leq 0 .$$

As $A_k \triangleq \Delta^2/y_{k+1}^2$ can be as near to 0 as wanted, we obtained:

$$\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon - \alpha_k R \leq 0 .$$

We have two possibilities:

i) $\xi + R \leq 0$

ii) $\xi + R > 0$.

If i) holds we will have:

$$0 \geq \omega + \frac{1}{\xi} - R \geq \omega + \frac{1}{\xi} + \xi \geq 2 + \omega > 0 ,$$

so the only possibility which last is ii). Remembering that (7) must hold for every A_k , we take A_k converging to 1 and always less than 1. The expression appearing in (7) converges to $\alpha^2 + \varepsilon\alpha - 2\alpha + \alpha/\xi - R\alpha + \xi\alpha + R\alpha = \alpha(\omega + 1/\xi + \xi) > 0$. On the other hand as the expression in (7) is always negative, its limit must also be less than or equal to 0. With this contradiction we prove that β cannot be 1.

If β is less than 1 we will have:

$$\alpha_k(-R)(y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \alpha_k(-R)(y_{k+1}^2 - \Delta^2) ,$$

and this contradicts what we have just proved for $\beta = 1$. ■

Conclusions

Proposition 1 demonstrates that under appropriated hypothesis a slight modification to the standard dead zone algorithm enables the system to tolerate both: external bounded perturbation and undermodelling. The hypothesis relate the magnitude of $|b|$ (the undermodelled parameter) with the upper bounds of the disturbance and that of the output of the system. The result of the Proposition 1 can be resumed by saying that if $|b|M < \varepsilon(\beta/2)\Delta$ we can choose α_k so that the output y_k of the closed loop system (3) asymptotically remains between $-\beta\Delta$ and $\beta\Delta$ while α_k^2 is a decreasing sequence. In this way, the result appearing in Proposition 1 generalizes that of the dead-zone algorithm for linear, discrete-time systems with external bounded perturbation to the case where we also have inaccurate modelling. It is worth noting that if $\varepsilon < 1$ we can choose $\beta < 2$. With this choice we ensure that the output of the system will asymptotically remain within a band of width $2\beta\Delta$, a narrower one than that of the

general case of complete model(see *Goodwin-Sin [84]*).

Proposition 2 shows that the band width obtained in Proposition 1 is the best one in this scheme, in the sense that $\beta > 1$.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially done during the author's visit to the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota.

The author would like to thank Dr. C. E. D'Attellis for helpful conversations on the contents of this paper.

References

Canudas de Witt, C. and Carrillo, J.(1990). *A Modified EW-RLS Algorithm for Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol 26 n^o3 pp. 599-606.

Egardt, B. (1978). *Stability of Model Reference Adaptive and Self Tunning Regulators*. Tech. Rep., Dept. Aut. Control, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund Sweden.

Goodwin, G. C. and Sin, K. S.(1984). *Adaptive Filtering Prediction and Control*. Prentice- Hall, Englewood Cliffs. NJ.

Gonzalez, G. A., Troparevsky, M. I. and D'Attellis, C. E. (1993). *A Remark on Chaotic Behaviour in Adaptive Control Systems*. Sent to Automatica, under revision.

Mareels, I. M. Y. and Bitmead, R.(1986). *Nonlinear Dynamics in Adaptive Control: Chaotic and Periodic Stabilization*. Automatica, vol 22 pp.641-655.

Mareels, I. M. Y. and Bitmead, R.(1988). *Nonlinear Dynamics in Adaptive Control: Chaotic and Periodic Stabilization II*. Automatica, vol 24 pp. 485-497.

Ortega, R. and Lozano-Leal, R.(1987). *A Note on Direct Adaptive Control of Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol.23 n^o2 pp.253-254.

Ortega, R. and Lozano-Leal, R.(1987). *Reformulation of the Parameter Identification Problem for Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol 23 n^o2 pp. 247-251.

#	Author/s	Title
1078	Michael Böhm & Mario Taboada,	Global existence and regularity of solutions of the nonlinear string equation
1079	Zhangxin Chen,	BDM mixed methods for a nonlinear elliptic problem
1080	J.J.L. Velázquez,	On the dynamics of a closed thermosyphon
1081	Frédéric Bonnans & Eduardo Casas,	Some stability concepts and their applications in optimal control problems
1082	Hong-Ming Yin,	$L^{2,\mu}(Q)$ -estimates for parabolic equations and applications
1083	David L. Russell & Bing-Yu Zhang,	Smoothing and decay properties of solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation on a periodic domain with point dissipation
1084	J.E. Dunn & K.R. Rajagopal,	Fluids of differential type: Critical review and thermodynamic analysis
1085	Mary Elizabeth Bradley & Mary Ann Horn,	Global stabilization of the von Kármán plate with boundary feedback acting via bending moments only
1086	Mary Ann Horn & Irena Lasiecka,	Global stabilization of a dynamic von Kármán plate with nonlinear boundary feedback
1087	Vilmos Komornik,	Decay estimates for a petrovski system with a nonlinear distributed feedback
1088	Jesse L. Barlow,	Perturbation results for nearly uncoupled Markov chains with applications to iterative methods
1089	Jong-Shenq Guo,	Large time behavior of solutions of a fast diffusion equation with source
1090	Tongwen Chen & Li Qiu,	\mathcal{H}_∞ design of general multirate sampled-data control systems
1091	Satyanad Kichenassamy & Walter Littman,	Blow-up surfaces for nonlinear wave equations, I
1092	Nahum Shimkin,	Asymptotically efficient adaptive strategies in repeated games, Part I: certainty equivalence strategies
1093	Caroline Fabre, Jean-Pierre Puel & Enrique Zuazua,	On the density of the range of the semigroup for semilinear heat equations
1094	Robert F. Stengel, Laura R. Ray & Christopher I. Marrison,	Probabilistic evaluation of control system robustness
1095	H.O. Fattorini & S.S. Sritharan,	Optimal chattering controls for viscous flow
1096	Kathryn E. Lenz,	Properties of certain optimal weighted sensitivity and weighted mixed sensitivity designs
1097	Gang Bao & David C. Dobson,	Second harmonic generation in nonlinear optical films
1098	Avner Friedman & Chaocheng Huang,	Diffusion in network
1099	Xinfu Chen, Avner Friedman & Tsuyoshi Kimura,	Nonstationary filtration in partially saturated porous media
1100	Walter Littman & Baisheng Yan,	Rellich type decay theorems for equations $P(D)u = f$ with f having support in a cylinder
1101	Satyanad Kichenassamy & Walter Littman,	Blow-up surfaces for nonlinear wave equations, II
1102	Nahum Shimkin,	Extremal large deviations in controlled I.I.D. processes with applications to hypothesis testing
1103	A. Narain,	Interfacial shear modeling and flow predictions for internal flows of pure vapor experiencing film condensation
1104	Andrew Teel & Laurent Praly,	Global stabilizability and observability imply semi-global stabilizability by output feedback
1105	Karen Rudie & Jan C. Willems,	The computational complexity of decentralized discrete-event control problems
1106	John A. Burns & Ruben D. Spies,	A numerical study of parameter sensitivities in Landau-Ginzburg models of phase transitions in shape memory alloys
1107	Gang Bao & William W. Symes,	Time like trace regularity of the wave equation with a nonsmooth principal part
1108	Lawrence Markus,	A brief history of control
1109	Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey & Bryan L. Shader,	Bipartite graphs and inverse sign patterns of strong sign-nonsingular matrices
1110	A. Kersch, W. Morokoff & A. Schuster,	Radiative heat transfer with quasi-monte carlo methods
1111	Jianhua Zhang,	A free boundary problem arising from swelling-controlled release processes
1112	Walter Littman & Stephen Taylor,	Local smoothing and energy decay for a semi-infinite beam pinned at several points and applications to boundary control
1113	Srdjan Stojanovic & Thomas Svobodny,	A free boundary problem for the Stokes equation via nonsmooth analysis
1114	Bronislaw Jakubczyk,	Filtered differential algebras are complete invariants of static feedback
1115	Boris Mordukhovich,	Discrete approximations and refined Euler-Lagrange conditions for nonconvex differential inclusions
1116	Bei Hu & Hong-Ming Yin,	The profile near blowup time for solution of the heat equation with a nonlinear boundary condition
1117	Jin Ma & Jiongmin Yong,	Solvability of forward-backward SDEs and the nodal set of Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations
1118	Chaocheng Huang & Jiongmin Yong,	Coupled parabolic and hyperbolic equations modeling age-dependent epidemic dynamics with nonlinear diffusion

- 1119 **Jiongmin Yong**, Necessary conditions for minimax control problems of second order elliptic partial differential equations
- 1120 **Eitan Altman & Nahum Shimkin**, Worst-case and Nash routing policies in parallel queues with uncertain service allocations
- 1121 **Nahum Shimkin & Adam Shwartz**, Asymptotically efficient adaptive strategies in repeated games, part II: Asymptotic optimality
- 1122 **M.E. Bradley**, Well-posedness and regularity results for a dynamic Von Kármán plate
- 1123 **Zhangxin Chen**, Finite element analysis of the 1D full drift diffusion semiconductor model
- 1124 **Gang Bao & David C. Dobson**, Diffractive optics in nonlinear media with periodic structure
- 1125 **Steven Cox & Enrique Zuazua**, The rate at which energy decays in a damped string
- 1126 **Anthony W. Leung**, Optimal control for nonlinear systems of partial differential equations related to ecology
- 1127 **H.J. Sussmann**, A continuation method for nonholonomic path-finding problems
- 1128 **Yung-Jen Guo & Walter Littman**, The null boundary controllability for semilinear heat equations
- 1129 **Q. Zhang & G. Yin**, Turnpike sets in stochastic manufacturing systems with finite time horizon
- 1130 **I. Györi, F. Hartung & J. Turi**, Approximation of functional differential equations with time- and state-dependent delays by equations with piecewise constant arguments
- 1131 **I. Györi, F. Hartung & J. Turi**, Stability in delay equations with perturbed time lags
- 1132 **F. Hartung & J. Turi**, On the asymptotic behavior of the solutions of a state-dependent delay equation
- 1133 **Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, Numerical optimization and quasiconvexity
- 1134 **Jie Tai Yu**, Resultants and inversion formula for N polynomials in N variables
- 1135 **Avner Friedman & J.L. Velázquez**, The analysis of coating flows in a strip
- 1136 **Eduardo D. Sontag**, Control of systems without drift via generic loops
- 1137 **Yuan Wang & Eduardo D. Sontag**, Orders of input/output differential equations and state space dimensions
- 1138 **Scott W. Hansen**, Boundary control of a one-dimensional, linear, thermoelastic rod
- 1139 **Robert Lipton & Bogdan Vernescu**, Homogenization of two phase emulsions with surface tension effects
- 1140 **Scott Hansen & Enrique Zuazua**, Exact controllability and stabilization of a vibrating string with an interior point mass
- 1141 **Bei Hu & Jiongmin Yong**, Pontryagin Maximum principle for semilinear and quasilinear parabolic equations with pointwise state constraints
- 1142 **Mark H.A. Davis**, A deterministic approach to optimal stopping with application to a prophet inequality
- 1143 **M.H.A. Davis & M. Zervos**, A problem of singular stochastic control with discretionary stopping
- 1144 **Bernardo Cockburn & Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, An error estimate for finite element methods for scalar conservation laws
- 1145 **David C. Dobson & Fadil Santosa**, An image enhancement technique for electrical impedance tomography
- 1146 **Jin Ma, Philip Protter, & Jiongmin Yong**, Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly — a four step scheme
- 1147 **Yong Liu**, The equilibrium plasma subject to skin effect
- 1148 **Ulrich Hornung**, Models for flow and transport through porous media derived by homogenization
- 1149 **Avner Friedman, Chaocheng Huang, & Jiongmin Yong**, Effective permeability of the boundary of a domain
- 1150 **Gang Bao**, A uniqueness theorem for an inverse problem in periodic diffractive optics
- 1151 **Angelo Favini, Mary Ann Horn, & Irena Lasiecka**, Global existence and uniqueness of regular solutions to the dynamic von Kármán system with nonlinear boundary dissipation
- 1152 **E.G. Kalnins & Willard Miller, Jr.**, Models of q -algebra representations: q -integral transforms and “addition theorems”
- 1153 **E.G. Kalnins, V.B. Kuznetsov & Willard Miller, Jr.**, Quadrics on complex Riemannian spaces of constant curvature, separation of variables and the Gaudin magnet
- 1154 **A. Kersch, W. Morokoff & Chr. Werner**, Selfconsistent simulation of sputtering with the DSMC method
- 1155 **Bing-Yu Zhang**, A remark on the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation on a periodic domain
- 1156 **Gang Bao**, Finite element approximation of time harmonic waves in periodic structures
- 1157 **Tao Lin & Hong Wang**, Recovering the gradients of the solutions of second-order hyperbolic equations by interpolating the finite element solutions
- 1158 **Zhangxin Chen**, L^p -posteriori error analysis of mixed methods for linear and quasilinear elliptic problems
- 1159 **Todd Arbogast & Zhangxin Chen**, Homogenization of compositional flow in fractured porous media
- 1160 **L. Qiu, B. Bernhardsson, A. Rantzer, E.J. Davison, P.M. Young & J.C. Doyle**, A formula for computation of the real stability radius
- 1161 **Maria Inés Tropicovsky**, Adaptive control of linear discrete time systems with external disturbances under inaccurate modelling: A case study
- 1162 **Petr Klouček & Franz S. Rys**, Stability of the fractional step Θ -scheme for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations
- 1163 **Eduardo Casas, Luis A. Fernández & Jiongmin Yong**, Optimal control of quasilinear parabolic equations
- 1164 **Darrell Duffie, Jin Ma & Jiongmin Yong**, Black’s consol rate conjecture
- 1165 **D.G. Aronson & J.L. Vazquez**, Anomalous exponents in nonlinear diffusion

Adaptive Control of Linear Discrete Time Systems with External Disturbances under Inaccurate Modelling: a case study

María Inés Troparevsky

Departamento de Matemática
Facultad de Cs. Exactas y Naturales
Universidad de Buenos Aires
Ciudad Universitaria- Pabellón I
(1428) Nuñez
Buenos Aires
Argentina

Introduction

This paper deals with adaptive control techniques implemented to control linear systems with unknown parameters.

In many practical cases the hypothesis that the system parameters values are known it is not realistic, instead, it may be possible to estimate them by observing the system response under appropriated experimental conditions. Based on this idea, adaptive control techniques consist of an on-line parameter estimation combined with an on-line control law (see *Goodwin-Sin* [1984]).

An essential requirement of a practical adaptive system is to preserve its performance when faced with disturbances. For a linear, discrete time system, the disturbances can be mainly of two types: inaccurate modelling and external noise.

When dealing with a linear, discrete time system which is suppose to track a reference y_k^* with bounded external disturbance, the bound being known, the projection algorithm with dead zone, introduced in *Edgart* [1978], can be implemented to cope with the disturbance. This algorithm briefly switches off the adaptation when the estimated error is within a selected threshold. For input-output stable systems of the form:

$$y_{k+1} = \theta_0^T \phi(k)$$

where θ_0 is the vector of parameters and $\phi(k)$ is a vector containing past inputs and outputs, it can be proved that the tracking error, $e_k = |y_{k+1} - y_k^*|$ verifies (see *Goodwin-Sin* [1984]):

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} e_k^2 \leq 4\Delta^2$$

where Δ is the bound of the external disturbance.

Recently, different algorithms based on the idea of dead zone were developed,

(Ortega and Lozano-Leal [87], Ortega and Lozano-Leal [87], Canudas de Witt-Carrillo [90]). Their results are concerned with the control or parameter identification of linear discrete time systems with external bounded disturbances, where the upper bound is known.

In the case of inaccurate modelling different situations, from stable to chaotic behaviour, can arise. In *Mareels and Bitmead [86-88]* the authors studied a particular case of a second order linear discrete time system with no external perturbation, undermodelled by a first order one. The objective was to regulate the system output to zero. They observed chaotic behaviour on the succession of estimated parameters for some values of the real parameters of the system although the convergence of the output was obtained. The same example was picked up by *González- Troparevsky- D'Attellis [93]*, and with a little modification on the adaptation algorithm, no chaos appeared and the objective was fulfilled for a range of parameter values taking part in the system.

In this work we obtained results about stabilization of a linear discrete time stable system, with bounded external disturbances, under inaccurate modelling. Conditions between the value of the "unmodelled parameters" and an upper bound of the disturbance (Δ) that ensure that the tracking error satisfies:

$$\limsup |e_k| \leq \beta \Delta$$

for some $\beta > 1$, are established.

In this way the result for the stabilization of linear, discrete time systems with external perturbation is generalized to the case of inaccurate modelling.

Description of the system

We will consider the linear, second order, discrete time system presented in *Mareels-Bitmead [86-88]* and in *González- Troparevsky-D'Attellis [93]* added with an external perturbation:

$$(1) \quad y_{k+1} = ay_k + by_{k-1} + u_k + d_k ,$$

where a, b are real unknown constants, y_k, u_k are respectively the output and the control at time k , and d_k denotes an external disturbance that verifies:

$$|d_k| \leq \Delta .$$

The objective is to regulate the output to zero.

The proposed model to represent the system is a first order one:

$$y_{k+1} = \hat{a}_k y_k + u_k .$$

The control is calculated directly from the proposed model to achieve the control

objective, that is:

$$u_k = -\hat{a}_k y_k .$$

The parameter is updated via the projection algorithm with dead zone:

$$\hat{a}_{k+1} = \hat{a}_k + \alpha_k y_{k+1} \frac{y_k}{\gamma + y_k^2} .$$

where $a_k = a - \hat{a}_k$, $\gamma > 0$ and α_k depends on the output value:

$$(2) \quad \alpha_k = \begin{cases} 0 & y_{k+1}^2 \leq \beta^2 \Delta^2 \\ \alpha & y_{k+1}^2 > \beta^2 \Delta^2 \end{cases}$$

The closed-loop system can be described by:

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} y_{k+1} = a_k y_k + b y_{k-1} + d_k \\ a_{k+1} = a_k - \alpha_k y_{k+1} \frac{y_k}{\gamma + y_k^2} \end{cases}$$

We stated that in presence of a stable system with $|y_k| \leq M, \forall k$, and if $M|b| < \varepsilon\beta/2 \Delta, \beta > 2/(2-\varepsilon), 2 > \varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that the algorithm (3) generates successions $\{y_k\}$ and $\{a_k\}$ that verifies:

$$\begin{aligned} a_{k+1}^2 &\leq a_k^2 \\ \limsup |y_k| &\leq \beta \Delta \end{aligned}$$

Main result

From now on we will work with the system (1) and the closed loop (3). All the constants that will appear correspond to those of the preceding section.

Proposition 1: If $|b|M \leq \varepsilon(\beta/2)\Delta$ with $0 < \varepsilon < 2$, there exists $\alpha > 0$ such that:

$$a_{k+1}^2 \leq a_k^2$$

$$\limsup |y_k| \leq \beta \Delta$$

Proof:

From (2) and (3)

$$a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_k^2 y_{k+1}^2 - 2\alpha_k y_{k+1}^2 + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{k+1}| |y_{k-1}| + 2\alpha_k y_{k+1} d_k}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

and as

$$2y_{k+1} d_k \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2}{\xi} + \xi d_k^2 \quad \forall \xi > 0,$$

we obtained

$$(4) \quad a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi}) + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{k+1}| |y_{k-1}| + \alpha_k \xi \Delta^2}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

Suppose that there exists $R < 0$ such that :

$$(5) \quad a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{\alpha_k R (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2}.$$

We will demonstrate the proposition under the hypothesis (5); finally, we will demonstrate (5) to complete the proof.

In that case, as the right side of the last inequality is always less than or equal to 0 (see(2)) we can conclude that a_k^2 is a positive decreasing sequence. In fact, calling

$$S_N \triangleq \sum_0^N \frac{\alpha_k (-R) (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2},$$

from (5) it results:

$$S_N \leq a_0^2 - a_{N+1}^2 \leq a_0^2 .$$

We can conclude

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2)}{\gamma + y_k^2} = 0 .$$

In view of the hypothesis $|y_k| \leq M \quad \forall k$, and from the fact

$$\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq 0 ,$$

we have the following result:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) = 0 .$$

As

$$\alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \alpha (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \quad \forall k ,$$

we have that

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_k (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) ,$$

and from there we obtained:

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} |y_k| \leq \beta \Delta .$$

To complete the proof of the Proposition we have to demonstrate (5) .

From the fact that

$$\alpha_k > 0 \Rightarrow y_{k+1}^2 > \beta^2 \Delta^2 ,$$

and under the hypothesis $|b| M \leq (\beta/2) \varepsilon \Delta$, it results:

$$\varepsilon |y_{k+1}| > \beta \varepsilon \Delta \geq 2|b|M \geq 2|b||y_{k-1}| ,$$

that is:

$$-\alpha_k \varepsilon y_{k+1}^2 + 2\alpha_k |b| |y_{-1}| |y_{k+1}| \leq 0 .$$

We can now return to (4) to state:

$$a_{k+1}^2 - a_k^2 \leq \frac{y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon) + \Delta^2 \alpha_k \xi}{\gamma + y_k^2} .$$

Choosing α , ξ and R verifying: $-2 < \omega = \alpha + \varepsilon - 2 \leq -2/\beta$, $\zeta \in (0, [-\omega\beta^2 + (\omega^2\beta^4 - 4\beta^2)^{1/2}])^{1/2}$ and $R \in (\omega + 1/\zeta, -\zeta/\beta^2)$ we have:

$$(6) \quad y_{k+1}^2 (\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon) + \Delta^2 \alpha_k \xi \leq \alpha_k R (y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) .$$

In that case equation (5) is verified and the proof ends. ■

The width of the band where the output of the system asymptotically remains is $2\beta\Delta$, $\beta > 1$. Note that if $\varepsilon < 1$ we can choose $\beta < 2$, while in the ideal case when there is no undermodelling (see *Goodwin-Sin [84]*) the band width is 4Δ .

The following Proposition shows that the result of Proposition 1 cannot be improved in the sense of obtaining a narrower band, that is $\beta \leq 1$.

Proposition 2 : Under the hypothesis of the previous sections, we cannot choose $\beta \leq 1$ so that equation (6) holds.

Proof:

Let us suppose that equation (6) holds for $\beta = 1$. In that case we will have:

$$(7) \quad \alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon - \alpha_k R + \frac{\Delta^2}{y_{k+1}^2} (\alpha_k \xi + \alpha_k R \beta^2) \leq 0 .$$

As $A_k \triangleq \Delta^2/y_{k+1}^2$ can be as near to 0 as wanted, we obtained:

$$\alpha_k^2 - 2\alpha_k + \frac{\alpha_k}{\xi} + \alpha_k \varepsilon - \alpha_k R \leq 0 .$$

We have two possibilities:

i) $\xi + R \leq 0$

ii) $\xi + R > 0$.

If i) holds we will have:

$$0 \geq \omega + \frac{1}{\xi} - R \geq \omega + \frac{1}{\xi} + \xi \geq 2 + \omega > 0 ,$$

so the only possibility which last is ii). Remembering that (7) must hold for every A_k , we take A_k converging to 1 and always less than 1. The expression appearing in (7) converges to $\alpha^2 + \varepsilon\alpha - 2\alpha + \alpha/\xi - R\alpha + \xi\alpha + R\alpha = \alpha(\omega + 1/\xi + \xi) > 0$. On the other hand as the expression in (7) is always negative, its limit must also be less than or equal to 0. With this contradiction we prove that β cannot be 1.

If β is less than 1 we will have:

$$\alpha_k(-R)(y_{k+1}^2 - \beta^2 \Delta^2) \geq \alpha_k(-R)(y_{k+1}^2 - \Delta^2) ,$$

and this contradicts what we have just proved for $\beta = 1$. ■

Conclusions

Proposition 1 demonstrates that under appropriated hypothesis a slight modification to the standard dead zone algorithm enables the system to tolerate both: external bounded perturbation and undermodelling. The hypothesis relate the magnitude of $|b|$ (the undermodelled parameter) with the upper bounds of the disturbance and that of the output of the system. The result of the Proposition 1 can be resumed by saying that if $|b|M < \varepsilon(\beta/2)\Delta$ we can choose α_k so that the output y_k of the closed loop system (3) asymptotically remains between $-\beta\Delta$ and $\beta\Delta$ while α_k^2 is a decreasing sequence. In this way, the result appearing in Proposition 1 generalizes that of the dead-zone algorithm for linear, discrete-time systems with external bounded perturbation to the case where we also have inaccurate modelling. It is worth noting that if $\varepsilon < 1$ we can choose $\beta < 2$. With this choice we ensure that the output of the system will asymptotically remain within a band of width $2\beta\Delta$, a narrower one than that of the

general case of complete model(see *Goodwin-Sin [84]*).

Proposition 2 shows that the band width obtained in Proposition 1 is the best one in this scheme, in the sense that $\beta > 1$.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially done during the author's visit to the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota.

The author would like to thank Dr. C. E. D'Attellis for helpful conversations on the contents of this paper.

References

Canudas de Witt, C. and Carrillo, J.(1990). *A Modified EW-RLS Algorithm for Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol 26 n^o3 pp. 599-606.

Egardt, B. (1978). *Stability of Model Reference Adaptive and Self Tunning Regulators*. Tech. Rep., Dept. Aut. Control, Lund Institute of Technology, Lund Sweden.

Goodwin, G. C. and Sin, K. S.(1984). *Adaptive Filtering Prediction and Control*. Prentice- Hall, Englewood Cliffs. NJ.

Gonzalez, G. A., Troparevsky, M. I. and D'Attellis, C. E. (1993). *A Remark on Chaotic Behaviour in Adaptive Control Systems*. Sent to Automatica, under revision.

Mareels, I. M. Y. and Bitmead, R.(1986). *Nonlinear Dynamics in Adaptive Control: Chaotic and Periodic Stabilization*. Automatica, vol 22 pp.641-655.

Mareels, I. M. Y. and Bitmead, R.(1988). *Nonlinear Dynamics in Adaptive Control: Chaotic and Periodic Stabilization II*. Automatica, vol 24 pp. 485-497.

Ortega, R. and Lozano-Leal, R.(1987). *A Note on Direct Adaptive Control of Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol.23 n^o2 pp.253-254.

Ortega, R. and Lozano-Leal, R.(1987). *Reformulation of the Parameter Identification Problem for Systems with Bounded Disturbances*. Automatica, vol 23 n^o2 pp. 247-251.