

**ON THE POSET OF ALL POSETS ON  $n$  ELEMENTS**

By

**Richard A. Brualdi**

**Hyung Chan Jung**

and

**William T. Trotter, Jr.**

**IMA Preprint Series # 976**

May 1992

# On the poset of all posets on $n$ elements

Richard A. Brualdi and Hyung Chan Jung  
Mathematics Department  
University of Wisconsin-Madison  
Van Vleck Hall  
480 Lincoln Drive  
Madison, WI 53706

William T. Trotter, Jr.  
Bell Communications Research  
445 South Street  
Morristown, NJ 07960

May 13, 1992

**Abstract.** We consider the poset of all posets on  $n$  elements where the partial order is that of inclusion of comparabilities. We discuss some properties of this poset concerning its height, width, jump number and dimension. We also give algorithms to construct some maximal chains in this poset which have special properties for these parameters.

**Keywords:** jump number, dimension, width, height, power poset

## 1 Introduction

Let  $P$  be a finite poset and let  $|P|$  be the *number of vertices* in  $P$ . A *subposet* is a subset of  $P$  with the induced order. A *chain*  $C$  in  $P$  is a subposet of  $P$  which is a linear order. The *length* of the chain  $C$  is  $|C| - 1$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  are in  $P$ , the  $b$  *covers*  $a$ , written  $a \prec b$ , provided that  $a < c \leq b$  implies that  $c = b$ . A *saturated* chain is a chain of the form  $x_0 \prec x_1 \prec \cdots \prec x_k$ . If  $x, y \in P$  satisfy  $x < y$  then the pair  $(x, y)$  is called a *comparability* of  $P$ . A poset is *ranked* if every maximal chain has the same length. A *linear extension* of a poset  $P$  is a linear order  $L = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of the elements of  $P$  such that

$x_i < x_j$  in  $P$  implies  $i < j$ . Szpilrajn [17] showed that the set  $\mathcal{L}(P)$  of all linear extensions of  $P$  is not empty.

Let  $P, Q$  be two disjoint posets. The *disjoint sum*  $P + Q$  of  $P$  and  $Q$  is the poset on  $P \cup Q$  such that  $x < y$  if and only if  $x, y \in P$  and  $x < y$  in  $P$  or  $x, y \in Q$  and  $x < y$  in  $Q$ . The *linear sum*  $P \oplus Q$  of  $P$  and  $Q$  is obtained from  $P + Q$  by adding the relation  $x < y$  for all  $x \in P$  and  $y \in Q$ .

Throughout this section,  $L$  denotes an arbitrary linear extension of  $P$ . A  $(P, L)$ -*chain* is a maximal sequence of elements  $z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k$  such that  $z_1 < z_2 < \dots < z_k$  in both  $L$  and  $P$ . Let  $c(L)$  be the number of  $(P, L)$ -chains in  $L$ .

A consecutive pair  $(x_i, x_{i+1})$  of elements in  $L$  is a *jump* of  $P$  in  $L$  if  $x_i$  is not comparable to  $x_{i+1}$  in  $P$ . The jumps induces a decomposition  $L = C_1 \oplus \dots \oplus C_m$  of  $L$  into  $(P, L)$ -chains  $C_1, \dots, C_m$  where  $m = c(L)$  and  $(\max C_i, \min C_{i+1})$  is a jump of  $P$  in  $L$  for  $i = 1, \dots, m - 1$ . Let  $s(L, P)$  be the number of jumps of  $P$  in  $L$ . The *jump number*  $s(P)$  of  $P$  is the minimum of  $s(L, P)$  over all linear extensions  $L$  of  $P$ . If  $s(L, P) = s(P)$  then  $L$  is called an *optimal linear extension* of  $P$ . We denote the set of all optimal linear extensions of  $P$  by  $\mathcal{O}(P)$ .

The *width*  $\omega(P)$  of  $P$  is the maximal number of elements of an *antichain* (mutually incomparable elements) of  $P$ . Dilworth [4] showed that  $\omega(P)$  equals the minimum number of chains in a partition of  $P$  into chains. Since for any linear extension  $L$  of  $P$  the number of  $(P, L)$ -chains is at least as large as the minimum number of chains in a chain partition of  $P$ , it follows from Dilworth's theorem that

$$s(P) \geq \omega(P) - 1. \quad (1)$$

If equality holds in (1), then  $P$  is called a *Dilworth poset* or simply a *D-poset*. More discussion about D-posets is given in [5], [16].

A *cycle* is a partially ordered set with diagram in Figure 1(a) where  $n \geq 2$ . In 1982, Duffus, Rival and Winkler [5] proved the following lemma:

**Lemma 1.1** *A poset which does not have a subposet isomorphic to a cycle is a D-poset.*

It follows that if  $P$  is a D-poset, then for every optimal linear extension  $L$  the  $(P, L)$ -chains in  $L$  form a minimum chain partition of  $P$ .

A linear extension  $L = x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  of  $P$  is *greedy* if  $L$  can be obtained by applying the following algorithm:




Figure 1:  $[n \geq 2]$  (a) Crown (b) C




Figure 2: The poset  $N$  and its linear extensions.

1. Choose a minimal element  $x_1$  of  $P$ .
2. Suppose  $x_1, \dots, x_i$  have been chosen. If there is at least one minimal element of  $P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$  which is greater than  $x_i$  then choose  $x_{i+1}$  to be any such minimal element; otherwise, choose  $x_{i+1}$  to be any minimal element of  $P \setminus \{x_1, \dots, x_i\}$ .

Let  $\mathcal{G}(P)$  be the set of all greedy linear extensions of  $P$ . In Figure 2,  $L_1, L_2, L_3$  are greedy linear extensions of the poset  $N$ , but  $L_4$  is not greedy. Only  $L_3$  is optimal. So  $\mathcal{O}(N) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(N)$ . In fact,  $L_3$  is a greedy optimal linear extension of  $N$ . Since the greedy algorithm above is a particular way of carrying out the algorithm for a linear extension, every poset  $P$  has a greedy linear extension. As remarked in Cogis [3] and Rival and Zaguia [15] every poset has an optimal greedy linear extension.

A poset  $P$  is *greedy* if  $\mathcal{G}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(P)$ , that is, every greedy extension is optimal.

A poset  $P$  is  *$N$ -free* if  $P$  contains no cover-preserving subposet isomor-

phic to the poset  $N$  in Figure 2. The following lemma in Rival[14] partially characterizes greedy posets.

**Lemma 1.2** *Every  $N$ -free poset is greedy.*

The next lemma is from El-Zahar and Rival [6].

**Lemma 1.3** *A poset which does not contain a subposet isomorphic to  $C$  in Figure 1(b) satisfies  $\mathcal{O}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(P)$ .*

The *dual* of the poset  $P$  is the poset  $P^d$  obtained from  $P$  by reversing the order. A poset  $P$  is said to be *reversible* if  $L^d \in \mathcal{G}(P^d)$  for every  $L \in \mathcal{G}(P)$ . Rival and Zaguia [15] showed the following:

**Lemma 1.4** *A poset  $P$  is reversible if and only if  $\mathcal{O}(P) = \mathcal{G}(P)$ .*

Szpilrajn [17] also proved that any order relation is the intersection of its linear extensions. A set of linear extensions of  $P$  whose intersection is  $P$  is called a *realizer* of  $P$ . The *dimension* of an ordered set  $P$  is the minimum cardinality of a realizer of  $P$ . A *minimum realizer* of  $P$  is a realizer which achieves the dimension of  $P$ .

Let  $P$  be a poset and let  $x$  be a point of  $P$ . It follows easily that the width function satisfies

$$\omega(P) \geq \omega(P \setminus \{x\}) \geq \omega(P) - 1.$$

Call a poset  $P$  *width-critical* if  $\omega(P \setminus \{x\}) < \omega(P)$  for all  $x \in P$ . It is clear that  $P$  is width-critical if and only if  $P$  is an antichain.

The *height*  $h(P)$  of  $P$  is the length of the longest chain in  $P$ . It also follows easily that

$$h(P) \geq h(P \setminus \{x\}) \geq h(P) - 1.$$

Call a poset  $P$  *height-critical* if  $h(P \setminus \{x\}) < h(P)$  for each  $x \in P$ . Clearly,  $P$  is height-critical if and only if  $P$  is a linear order.

Another simple fact is that

$$s(P) \geq s(P \setminus \{x\}) \geq s(P) - 1.$$

Call a poset  $P$  *jump-critical* if  $s(P \setminus \{x\}) < s(P)$  for each  $x \in P$ . Jump-critical posets, however, are much more complicated and not well understood. El-Zahar and Schmerl [8] showed that a jump-critical poset  $P$  with

jump number  $m$  has at most  $(m + 1)!$  elements. El-Zahar and Rival [7] showed that there are precisely seventeen jump-critical posets with jump number at most three.

The following theorem is due to Hiraguchi [9].

**Theorem 1.5** *Removing one point from a poset decreases its dimension by at most one.*

Clearly, the removal of a point  $x$  from a poset  $P$  cannot increase its dimension. Hence we have

$$\dim P \geq \dim(P \setminus \{x\}) \geq \dim P - 1.$$

Call a poset  $P$  (of dimension  $d$ ) *dimension-critical* ( $d$ -irreducible) provided  $\dim(P \setminus \{x\}) < \dim P$  for all points  $x$  of  $P$ . The 3-irreducible posets have been characterized by Kelley [10] and Trotter and Moore [19], but no characterization is known for the  $d$ -irreducible posets for  $d \geq 4$ . It is known that for each  $d \geq 3$  there exist infinitely many dimension-critical posets of dimension  $d$ .

In summary, removing one point from a poset does not increase any of the parameters width, height, jump number and dimension and can decrease each of them by at most one. It is natural to consider the effect of removing one comparability on these parameters.

The removal of one comparability does not in general result in a poset. Only a comparability which cannot be recovered by transitivity can be removed. Thus removing the comparability  $x < y$  results in a poset if and only if  $x \prec y$ , that is,  $y$  covers  $x$ . Similarly, the addition of one comparability does not in general result in a poset. Only a comparability which does not ‘force’ other comparabilities can be added. Thus the comparability  $x < y$  can be added to  $P$  with the result being a poset (with exactly one more comparability) if and only if  $u < x$  in  $P$  implies  $u < y$ , and  $y < v$  in  $P$  implies  $x < v$ . Such a pair  $(x, y)$  is usually called a *nonforcing ordered pair* of  $P$  [13].

Let  $[n]$  be  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be posets on  $[n]$ . We define  $P \subset Q$  provided that  $P \neq Q$  and  $Q$  has all the comparabilities that  $P$  has. This defines a partial order on the set of all posets on  $[n]$ . The resulting poset is denoted by  $\mathcal{P}_n$  and is called a *power poset*. The minimum element of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is  $\emptyset$ , i.e., an antichain on  $[n]$ . The maximal elements of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  are the linear orders on  $[n]$ . Throughout this paper, we let  $n^* = \binom{n}{2}$ .

## 2 Power Posets

Let  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  be posets in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  with  $P_1 \subset P_2$ . Then there exists a pair of elements  $a$  and  $b$  such that  $a \prec b$  in  $P_2$  but  $a$  and  $b$  are incomparable in  $P_1$ . Removing the comparability  $a \prec b$  (and only this comparability) from  $P_2$  results in a poset  $P_3$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that  $P_1 \subseteq P_3 \subset P_2$ . The number of comparable pairs in  $P_3$  is one less than the number of comparable pairs of  $P_2$ . Hence  $\mathcal{P}_n$  is a ranked poset (the rank function is the number of comparable pairs) and thus satisfies the Jordan-Dedekind chain condition. In particular, for any poset  $P$  with  $|P| = n$ , there exist a maximal chain  $\mathcal{C}$  of length  $n^*$  which contains  $P$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a maximal chain in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . The *height sequence*  $H(\mathcal{C})$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $(h_0(\mathcal{C}), \dots, h_{n^*}(\mathcal{C}))$  where  $h_i(\mathcal{C})$  is the height of the poset in  $\mathcal{C}$  with  $i$  comparabilities. Similarly, the *width sequence* of  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $W(\mathcal{C}) = (\omega_0(\mathcal{C}), \dots, \omega_{n^*}(\mathcal{C}))$ , the *jump number sequence* is  $J(\mathcal{C}) = (s_0(\mathcal{C}), \dots, s_{n^*}(\mathcal{C}))$ , and the *dimension sequence* is  $D(\mathcal{C}) = (d_0(\mathcal{C}), \dots, d_{n^*}(\mathcal{C}))$ . For instance, for the maximal chain  $\mathcal{H}_6^l$  in  $\mathcal{P}_6$  given in Figure 3 we have

$$\begin{aligned} H(\mathcal{H}_6^l) &= (0, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 5), \\ W(\mathcal{H}_6^l) &= (6, 5, 5, 4, 4, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 3, 2, 2, 2, 1), \\ J(\mathcal{H}_6^l) &= (5, 4, 4, 3, 3, 2, 3, 3, 3, 4, 3, 3, 2, 2, 1, 0), \\ D(\mathcal{H}_6^l) &= (2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 3, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 2, 1). \end{aligned}$$

In this section, we consider the change in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  of the four basic parameters as one comparability is added or deleted. Since adding one comparability is the reverse of removing one comparability, it suffices to consider only the removal of one comparability.

By direct observation, we get the change of height and width in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

**Proposition 2.1** *If  $Q \prec P$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , then*

$$h(P) - 1 \leq h(Q) \leq h(P) \text{ and}$$

$$\omega(P) \leq \omega(Q) \leq \omega(P) + 1.$$

**Proof.** For height, the result is clear. Let  $Q$  be a poset obtained from  $P$  by deleting one comparability  $(a, b)$ . Clearly  $\omega(Q) \geq \omega(P)$ . Since for any antichain  $A$  of  $Q$ , either  $A$  or  $A \setminus \{a\}$  is an antichain of  $P$ , we also have  $\omega(Q) \leq \omega(P) + 1$ .  $\square$




Figure 3: Maximal chain  $\mathcal{H}_6^l$  listed from top to bottom and left to right.



**Corollary 2.2** *For any finite poset  $P$  with  $n$  elements, choose any saturated chain  $P = P_0 \prec P_1 \prec \cdots \prec P_m$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that  $P_m$  is a linear extension of  $P$ . Then*

$$h(P_0) \leq h(P_1) \leq \cdots \leq h(P_m) \text{ and}$$

$$\omega(P_0) \geq \omega(P_1) \geq \cdots \geq \omega(P_m).$$

A *URT-poset* is a poset whose Hasse diagram is an upward rooted tree possibly with some isolated points. Both linear orders and antichains are URT-posets. The following result is due to Wolk [20]; we outline a simple inductive proof.

**Lemma 2.3** *Let  $P$  be a URT-poset. Then  $\dim P = 2$  if and only if  $P$  is not a linear order.*

**Proof.** A linear order has dimension 1. Adding isolated points to a poset of dimension at least 2 does not change the dimension. Hence to complete the proof it suffices to show that the dimension of a poset  $T$  which is not a linear order but whose Hasse diagram is an upward rooted tree equals 2. Let  $x$  be the last point at which  $T$  branches into (disjoint) rooted trees  $T_1, \dots, T_k$ , ( $k \geq 2$ ). By induction  $\dim T_i \leq 2$  for each  $i$ . Moreover, by induction the dimension of the rooted subtree  $T'$  obtained from  $T$  by deleting all but the root of each of  $T_1, \dots, T_k$  equals 2. It is now easy to use minimum realizers of  $T', T_1, \dots, T_k$  to obtain two linear orders whose intersection is  $T$ .  $\square$

We now give algorithms for constructing some special maximal chains of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

**Algorithm 2.4** *Construction of  $\mathcal{H}_n^e$ .*

Algorithm E-Height( $n$ ) :

$l \leftarrow 0$

$R(l) \leftarrow n$  vertices with no comparability

for  $j = 2$  to  $n$  do

    for  $i = 1$  to  $j - 1$  do

$l \leftarrow l + 1$

$R(l) \leftarrow \text{add } (i, j) \text{ to } R(l - 1)$

$\mathcal{H}_n^e \leftarrow \{R(l) : l = 0, 1, \dots, n^*\}.$

A *standard poset*  $S_n$  is defined on  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, \dots, b_n\}$  as follows : all  $a_i$ 's are minimal, and all  $b_j$ 's are maximal, and  $a_i < b_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . It is well known [18] that  $\dim S_n = n$ . A *pseudo standard poset*  $S_n^*$  is defined to be  $S_n \oplus \{x\}$  where  $x \notin S_n$ . We also have  $\dim S_n^* = n$ .

A *complete bipartite poset*  $K_{p,q}$  is defined on  $\{a_1, \dots, a_p, b_1, \dots, b_q\}$  as follows: all  $a_i$ 's are minimal, and all  $b_j$ 's are maximal, and  $a_i < b_j$  for all  $i, j$ .

**Algorithm 2.5** *Construction of  $\mathcal{H}_n^l$ .*

Algorithm L-Height( $n$ ) :

Let  $I_0 = \{(1, n)\}$ . Proceeding recursively, for  $i = 1, \dots, \lceil \log_2 n \rceil$ , let  $I_i$  be the set of integer intervals consisting of  $(p, \lceil (p+q)/2 \rceil - 1)$ ,  $(\lceil (p+q)/2 \rceil, q)$  for each  $(p, q) \in I_{i-1}$ . If  $a > b$  then  $(a, b) = \emptyset$ . For  $(a, b)$  and  $(c, d)$  in  $I_i$ , we define  $(a, b) \leq (c, d)$  if and only if either (i)  $(b - a) > (d - c)$  or (ii)  $(b - a) = (d - c)$  and  $a > c$  holds.

Procedure Complete( $p, q, t$ );

If  $q = p$  then {do nothing}

else

begin{else}

$k \leftarrow \lceil (p+q)/2 \rceil - 1$

for  $i = p$  to  $k$  do {Construct standard poset}

for  $j = k + 1$  to  $2k - p + 1$  do

if  $j - i = k - p + 1$  then {do nothing}

else

begin{else}

$t \leftarrow t + 1$

$R(t) \leftarrow \text{add } (i, j) \text{ to } R(t - 1)$

end{else}

for  $i = p$  to  $k$  do {Construct complete bipartite poset}

$t \leftarrow t + 1$

$R(t) \leftarrow \text{add } (i, i + k - p + 1) \text{ to } R(t - 1)$

if  $q - p + 1$  is odd then

for  $i = p$  to  $k$  do

$t \leftarrow t + 1$

$R(t) \leftarrow \text{add } (i, q) \text{ to } R(t - 1)$

else{do nothing}

end{else}

```

begin{Main}
  t ← 0
  R(t) ← n vertices with no comparability
  for i = 0 to ⌈log2 n⌉ do
    J ← Ii
    repeat
      choose the smallest element (a, b) ∈ J satisfying a < b
      Complete(a, b, t)
      J ← J \ {(a, b)}
    until (J = ∅) or (every element (c, d) ∈ J satisfies c = d)
  Hnl ← {R(l) : l = 0, 1, ..., n*}
end{Main}

```

We have the following:

1.  $\mathcal{H}_n^e$  and  $\mathcal{H}_n^l$  are maximal chains of length  $n^*$ .
2. Each of the posets in  $\mathcal{H}_n^e$  are URT-posets and hence by Lemma 2.3, we have  $D(\mathcal{H}_n^e) = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$ . Moreover in  $\mathcal{H}_n^e$  height increases as early as possible.
3. In  $\mathcal{H}_n^l$  height increases as late as possible as one comparability is added.
4.  $\mathcal{H}_n^l$ , contains a poset of maximum possible dimension  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$ .

A *subdivision* of a poset  $P$  is a poset whose Hasse diagram is obtained from that of  $P$  by subdividing its edges by the insertion of new points. We define the four point poset  $\diamond$  to be the poset  $1 \oplus (1 + 1) \oplus 1$ . We denote by  $P^*$  any poset which is obtained from  $P$  by putting a point above one of its maximal elements. The following lemma follows as a special case of a theorem of Hiraguchi [9] but we include its simple proof.

**Lemma 2.6** *The dimension of a poset which is a disjoint sum of chains, URT-posets, subdivisions of  $N$ 's,  $N^*$ 's,  $\diamond$ 's and  $\diamond^*$ 's is at most 2.*

**Proof.** Each of  $N$ ,  $N^*$ ,  $\diamond$  and  $\diamond^*$  has dimension 2. By Lemma 2.3, a URT-poset has dimension 2. Since subdividing these particular posets does not change their dimensions, the lemma follows.  $\square$

**Algorithm 2.7** *Construction of  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$ .*

Algorithm E-Width( $n$ ) :

Step 0 {Initialize}

$l \leftarrow 0$

$R(l) \leftarrow n$  vertices with no comparability

Step 1 {Construct two-element chains}

*repeat*

$l \leftarrow l + 1$

construct a chain by adding one comparability

$R(l) \leftarrow$  add above comparability to  $R(l - 1)$

*until* at most one vertex remains

Step 2 {Merge two chains}

Choose two chains  $C_1, C_2$  of the smallest size

*repeat*

$l \leftarrow l + 1$

add one comparability between  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  without destroying tree diagram

$R(l) \leftarrow$  add above comparability to  $R(l - 1)$

*until*  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  merge into one chain

Step 3 {Terminal condition}

If  $R(l)$  is a linear extension then go to step 4, otherwise go to step 2.

Step 4 {Define  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$ }

$\mathcal{W}_n^e \leftarrow \{R(l) : l = 0, 1, \dots, n^*\}$ .

Algorithm 2.8 Construction of  $\mathcal{W}_n^l$ .

Algorithm L-Width( $n$ ) :

$l \leftarrow 0$

$R(l) \leftarrow n$  vertices with no comparability

for  $i = 1$  to  $n - 1$  do

for  $j = i + 1$  to  $n$  do

$l \leftarrow l + 1$

$R(l) \leftarrow$  add  $(i, j)$  to  $R(l - 1)$

$\mathcal{W}_n^l \leftarrow \{R(l) : l = 0, 1, \dots, n^*\}$

We have the following:

1.  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$  and  $\mathcal{W}_n^l$  are maximal chains of length  $n^*$ .
2. As one comparability is added, width decreases as early as possible in  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$ , and width decreases as late as possible in  $\mathcal{W}_n^l$ .
3. Each of the posets in  $\mathcal{W}_n^l$  is a URT-poset and so  $D(\mathcal{W}_n^l) = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$ . Each of the posets  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.6 and hence  $D(\mathcal{W}_n^e) = (2, \dots, 2, 1)$ .

**Theorem 2.9** *If  $Q \prec P$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ , then*

$$s(P) - 1 \leq s(Q) \leq s(P) + 1.$$

**Proof.** Let  $Q$  be a poset obtained from  $P$  by deleting one comparability  $(x, y)$ .

Choose any  $L_P$  in  $\mathcal{O}(P)$ . Then  $L_P \in \mathcal{L}(Q)$ . Now  $s(Q) \leq s(L_P, Q) \leq s(L_P, P) + 1 = s(P) + 1$ . Thus  $s(Q) \leq s(P) + 1$ .

Suppose that  $s(Q) < s(P) - 1$ . Choose any  $L_Q \in \mathcal{O}(Q)$ . If  $x < y$  in  $L_Q$ , then  $L_Q \in \mathcal{L}(P)$  since  $y$  covers  $x$  in  $P$ . Thus  $s(Q) = s(L_Q, Q) \geq s(L_Q, P) \geq s(P)$ , contradicting  $s(Q) < s(P) - 1$ . Hence  $y < x$  in  $L_Q$ , and so  $L_Q \notin \mathcal{L}(P)$ . Now we construct  $L$  as follows: *delete  $x$  from  $L_Q$  and put  $x$  just below  $y$  in  $L_Q \setminus \{x\}$ .* If  $a < x$  in  $Q$ , then  $a < x$  in  $P$ ; thus by transitivity  $a < y$  in  $P$  and so in  $Q$ . Then  $L \in \mathcal{L}(Q)$ . Since  $y > x$  in  $L$  and all other comparabilities of  $Q$  are preserved in  $L$ , we have  $L \in \mathcal{L}(P)$ . If  $s(L, P) \leq s(L_Q, Q) = s(Q)$ , then  $s(P) \leq s(Q)$ , contradicting  $s(Q) < s(P) - 1$ . Thus

$$s(L, P) \geq s(L_Q, Q) + 1. \tag{2}$$

Let  $z^* = \sup\{z \in L_Q : z < y \text{ in } L_Q\}$ ,  $w_* = \inf\{w \in L_Q : w > x \text{ in } L_Q\}$ , and  $t^* = \sup\{t \in L_Q : t < x \text{ in } L_Q\}$ . Let  $C_x$  be a  $(Q, L_Q)$ -chain which contains  $x$ .

*Case 1:*  $C_x = \{x\}$ .

If  $w_* > t^*$  in  $Q$ , then choose a  $(Q, L_Q)$ -chain  $C$  which contains  $t^*$ . Put  $x$  just below  $\inf C$  and get a new linear extension  $L'_Q$  of  $Q$ . Since  $s(L'_Q, Q) = s(L_Q, Q) - 1 = s(Q) - 1$ , we have  $s(L'_Q, Q) < s(Q)$ , contradicting  $L_Q$  is an optimal linear extension of  $Q$ . Thus  $w_*, t^*$  are incomparable in  $Q$ . Now we get  $s(L_Q, Q) \geq s(L, P)$ , and thus  $s(P) \leq s(Q)$  contradicting  $s(Q) < s(P) - 1$ .

*Case 2:*  $C_x$  has at least two elements.

Choose any  $u \in C_x \setminus \{x\}$ . If  $u < x$  in  $L_Q$ , then  $u < x$  in  $C_x$ . So  $u < x$  in  $Q$ , and  $u < y$  in  $L_Q$ . But  $\inf C_x > y$  in  $L_Q$ , and by transitivity  $\inf C_x > u$  in  $L_Q$ ,

which contradicts  $u \in C_x$ . Thus  $x = \inf C_x$ . If neither  $(z^*, x)$  nor  $(t^*, w_*)$  is a jump of  $P$  in  $L$ , then  $s(L, P) \leq s(L_Q, Q)$ , contradicting (2). Hence either  $(z^*, x)$  or  $(t^*, w_*)$  is a jump of  $P$  in  $L$ . Thus  $s(L, P) \leq s(L_Q, Q) + 1 = s(Q) + 1$ , and so  $s(P) \leq s(Q) + 1$  which contradicts  $s(Q) < s(P) - 1$ .

Therefore we get  $s(P) - 1 \leq s(Q)$ .  $\square$

Pouzet and Rival [12] showed that the dimension behaves in a similar way, that is, if  $P$  and  $Q$  are in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  with  $Q \prec P$ , then

$$\dim P - 1 \leq \dim Q \leq \dim P + 1.$$

### 3 Concluding Remarks

A sequence  $(a_0, \dots, a_m)$  has the *monotone decreasing property* if  $a_0 \geq \dots \geq a_m$ .

**Lemma 3.1**  $J(\mathcal{W}_n^e)$  and  $J(\mathcal{W}_n^l)$  have the monotone decreasing property.

**Proof.** By construction no poset in  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$  contains a cycle as a subposet. So by Lemma 1.1  $s(P) = \omega(P) - 1$  for every  $P$  in  $\mathcal{W}_n^e$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $\omega_i(\mathcal{W}_n^e) \geq \omega_{i+1}(\mathcal{W}_n^e)$  for all  $i$ . Hence  $s_i(\mathcal{W}_n^e) \geq s_{i+1}(\mathcal{W}_n^e)$  for all  $i$ . Thus  $J(\mathcal{W}_n^e)$  has the desired property. In a similar way we see that  $J(\mathcal{W}_n^l)$  has the desired property.  $\square$

Lemma 3.1 suggests the following result.

**Theorem 3.2** For any finite poset  $P$  with  $n$  elements there is a saturated chain  $P = P_0 \prec P_1 \prec P_2 \prec \dots \prec P_m$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that  $P_m$  is a linear extension of  $P$  and  $s(P_0) \geq s(P_1) \geq s(P_2) \geq \dots \geq s(P_m)$ .

**Proof.** Let  $s(P) = t$ . If  $t = 0$  then  $P$  is a linear order and the theorem holds (with  $m = 0$ ). Thus we suppose that  $t \geq 1$ . It suffices to show that there is a poset  $Q$  such that  $P \prec Q$  and  $s(P) \geq s(Q)$ . Let  $L$  be a linear extension of  $P$  with  $s(L, P) = t$ . There exists a nonforcing pair  $(a, b)$  of  $P$  such that  $a$  comes before  $b$  in  $L$ . Let  $Q$  be the poset obtained from  $P$  by adding the comparability  $a < b$ . Then  $P \prec Q$  and  $L$  is also a linear extension of  $Q$ . We have

$$s(P) = s(L, P) \geq s(L, Q) \geq s(Q).$$

$\square$

Pouzet and Rival [12] conjectured that a result similar to Theorem 3.2 holds for the dimension. We now verify this conjecture. (Algorithms 2.4, 2.7 and 2.8 show that this conjecture holds for  $P = \emptyset$ .)

**Theorem 3.3** *For any finite poset  $P$  with  $n$  elements there is a saturated chain  $P = P_0 \prec P_1 \prec \cdots \prec P_m$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  such that  $P_m$  is a linear extension of  $P$  and  $\dim P_0 \geq \dim P_1 \geq \cdots \geq \dim P_m$ .*

**Proof.** We may assume that  $P$  is not a linear order. It suffices to show that there is a poset  $Q$  with  $P \prec Q$  such that  $\dim P \geq \dim Q$ . Let  $\{L_1, \dots, L_t\}$  be a minimum realizer of  $P$ . Then there exists a nonforcing pair  $(a, b)$  in  $P$  such that  $a$  precedes  $b$  in  $L_i (i = 1, \dots, t-1)$  and  $b$  precedes  $a$  in  $L_t$ . We may choose such a pair  $(a, b)$  so that  $a$  and  $b$  are as close as possible in  $L_t$ . Let  $Q$  be the poset obtained from  $P$  by adding the comparability  $a < b$ , and let  $L'_t$  be the linear order obtained from  $L_t$  by moving  $a$  so that  $a$  is immediately below  $b$ . Then  $P \prec Q$  and  $\{L_1, \dots, L_{t-1}, L'_t\}$  is a realizer for  $Q$ . Hence  $\dim P = t \geq \dim Q$ .  $\square$

One may ask whether or not for any maximal chain  $\mathcal{C}$  in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $\omega_i(\mathcal{W}_n^e) \leq \omega_i(\mathcal{C})$  is true for all  $i$ . This is false. For example, let  $\mathcal{C}'$  be any maximal chain in  $\mathcal{P}_6$  which contains  $\underline{3} + \underline{3}$ ; then  $\omega_6(\mathcal{W}_6^e) > \omega_6(\mathcal{C}')$ . The corresponding question about  $\mathcal{W}_n^l$  is false. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a maximal chain which contains  $K_{4,4}$ . Then  $s_{16}(\mathcal{D}) = 6$ ,  $s_{16}(\mathcal{W}_4^l) = 4$ . This shows that there exists a maximal chain  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $s_i(\mathcal{C}) \not\leq s_i(\mathcal{W}_4^l)$ .

**Theorem 3.4** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a maximal chain in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . If every poset in  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $N$ -free, then*

- (a) *no poset in  $\mathcal{C}$  has a subposet isomorphic to a cycle or the poset  $C$  (in Figure 1(b)).*
- (b)  *$J(\mathcal{C})$  has the monotone decreasing property.*
- (c) *for each  $P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there is an  $L \in \mathcal{O}(P)$  in which the  $(P, L)$ -chains in  $L$  form a minimum chain partition of  $P$ .*
- (d) *every poset  $P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  is reversible.*

**Proof.** (a) Suppose some poset  $P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  has a subposet  $P'$  isomorphic to a cycle or to  $C$ . If  $P'$  is isomorphic to a cycle with at least 6 points or a  $C$ , then  $P$  has a subposet isomorphic to  $N$ . If the cycle has 4 points, then since removing any comparability of such a cycle leaves an  $N$ , some poset

$Q \subset P$  in  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a subposet isomorphic to  $N$ . In either case we obtain a contradiction.

(b) Since no poset in  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a subposet isomorphic to a cycle, then by Lemma 1.1  $s_i(\mathcal{C}) = \omega_i(\mathcal{C}) - 1$ . Applying Proposition 2.1, we get  $s_{i+1}(\mathcal{C}) \leq s_i(\mathcal{C})$  for all  $i$ .

(c) follows from (a) and Lemma 1.1.

(d) Since  $P$  is  $N$ -free,  $\mathcal{G}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{O}(P)$  by Lemma 1.2. By (a),  $P$  does not contain a subposet isomorphic to  $C$ , by Lemma 1.3  $\mathcal{O}(P) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(P)$ . Applying Lemma 1.4 we obtain (d).  $\square$

Let  $I$  denote a chain of length 1. Let  $N_o$  be a poset obtained from the union of  $N$  and a vertex with no other comparability. Let  $V$  be a poset with three elements  $\{x, y, z\}$  such that  $x > y$  and  $z > y$  holds, and  $x$  and  $z$  are incomparable. Let  $V^\#$  be a poset obtained from the union of  $V$  and two isolated vertices with no other comparabilities.

We have examined four parameters - height, width, jump number, and dimension. But these four parameters do not determine either a poset or a maximal chain uniquely. For example,  $h(N_o) = h(V + I)$ ,  $\omega(N_o) = \omega(V + I)$ ,  $s(N_o) = s(V + I)$ ,  $\dim N_o = \dim V + I$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_1$  be a maximal chain in  $\mathcal{P}_5$  which contains  $W$  (a poset obtained from  $V + I$  by letting one of the maximal points of  $V$  be greater than the minimal point of  $I$ ),  $N_o, V^\#$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}_2$  be a maximal chain obtained from  $\mathcal{C}_1$  by replacing  $N_o$  by  $V + I$ . Then  $H(\mathcal{C}_1) = H(\mathcal{C}_2)$ ,  $W(\mathcal{C}_1) = W(\mathcal{C}_2)$ ,  $S(\mathcal{C}_1) = S(\mathcal{C}_2)$ ,  $D(\mathcal{C}_1) = D(\mathcal{C}_2)$ .

We close with some problems concerning the determination of global properties of  $\mathcal{P}_n$ . First we note that  $h(\mathcal{P}_n) = n^*$ .

**Problem 3.5** Determine  $\omega(\mathcal{P}_n)$ ,  $\dim \mathcal{P}_n$  and  $s(\mathcal{P}_n)$ .

It is not difficult to obtain an upper and an lower bound for  $\dim \mathcal{P}_n$ . First partition  $[n]$  into two sets  $X$  and  $Y$  of sizes  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor$  and  $\lceil n/2 \rceil$ , respectively, and consider the *bipartite poset*  $P$  with  $x < y$  for all  $x$  in  $X$  and  $y$  in  $Y$  (and no other comparabilities). Let  $\mathcal{P}_n^1$  consists of the  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil$  posets in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  each with exactly one of the comparabilities in  $P$  and let  $\mathcal{P}_n^2$  consists of the  $\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil$  posets in  $\mathcal{P}_n$  each without exactly one of of the comparabilities in  $P$ . Then the subposet of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  determined by  $\mathcal{P}_n^1 \cup \mathcal{P}_n^2$  is a standard poset and hence we have  $\dim \mathcal{P}_n \geq \lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil$ . On the other hand the nonforcing pairs of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  are the pairs  $(P', P'')$  where  $P'$  is a poset with exactly one comparability and  $P''$  is a linear order. (This latter fact follows easily from



the observation that if  $(P', P'')$  is a nonforcing pair then in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ ,  $P'$  cannot cover a poset with a nonempty set of comparabilities and dually  $P''$  cannot be covered by a poset.) Since  $n(n-1)$  partial linear extensions of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  suffice to contain all the nonforcing pairs of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  we have (see [11]) that  $\dim \mathcal{P}_n \leq n(n-1)$ . Thus we have

$$\lfloor n/2 \rfloor \lceil n/2 \rceil \leq \dim \mathcal{P}_n \leq n(n-1).$$

The asymptotic lower bound  $\log_2 \omega(\mathcal{P}_n) \geq n^2/4$  follows by considering antichains of bipartite posets in  $\mathcal{P}_n$ .

**Problem 3.6** *Find properties of  $\mathcal{P}_n$  that are invariant under the action of the symmetric group on  $[n]$ .*

## References

- [1] M.D. Atkinson, The Complexity of Orders, I.Rival(ed.), *Algorithms and Order*, 195-230 (1989).
- [2] M. Chein and M. Habib, The jump number of dags and posets: an introduction, *Ann. Disc. Math.* 9, 189-194 (1980).
- [3] O. Cogis, Problem 4.6, *Ordered Sets*, I. Rival ed., Reidel (Dordrecht), 814 (1982).
- [4] R.P. Dilworth, A decomposition theorem for partially ordered sets, *Ann. of Math.* 51, 161-166 (1950).
- [5] D. Duffus, I. Rival, and P. Winkler, Minimizing setups for cycle-free ordered sets, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 85, 509-513 (1982).
- [6] M.H. ElZahar and I. Rival, Greedy linear-extensions to minimize jumps, *Disc. Appld. Math.* 11, 143-156 (1985).
- [7] M.H. ElZahar and I. Rival, Examples of jump-critical ordered sets, *SIAM J. Alg. Disc. Mech.* 6, 713-720 (1985).
- [8] M.H. ElZahar and J.H. Schmerl, On the Size of Jump-Critical Ordered Sets, *Order* 1, 3-5 (1984).

- [9] T. Hiraguchi, On the dimension of partially ordered sets, *Sci. Rep. Kanazawa Univ.* 1, 77-94, MR17, p.19 (1951).
- [10] D. Kelley, The 3-irreducible partially ordered sets, *Canad. J. Math.* 29, 367-383 (1977).
- [11] D. Kelley and W.T. Trotter, Jr., Dimension theory for ordered sets, in *Ordered Sets*, I. Rival ed., Reidel (Dordrecht), 171-211 (1982).
- [12] M. Pouzet and I. Rival, Structural arithmetic of the extension lattice of an order, Preprint (1991).
- [13] I. Rabinovitch and I. Rival, The rank of a distributive lattice, *Disc. Math.* 25, 275-279 (1979).
- [14] I. Rival, Optimal linear extensions by interchanging chains, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 89, 387-394 (1983).
- [15] I. Rival, N. Zaguia, Constructing greedy linear extensions by interchanging chains, *Order* 3, 107-121 (1986).
- [16] M.M. Syslo, Remarks on Dilworth partially ordered sets, in *H. Noltemeir (ed.), Proceedings of the WG'85*, Trauner Verlag, Linz, 355-362 (1985).
- [17] E. Szpilrajn, Sur l'extension de l'ordre partiel. *Fund. Math.* 16, 386-389 (1930).
- [18] W.T. Trotter, Jr. Dimension of the crown  $S_n^k$ , *Disc. Math.* 8, 85-103 (1974).
- [19] W.T. Trotter and J.I. Moore, Characterization problems for graphs, partially ordered sets, lattices, and families of sets, *Disc. Math.* 15, 71-82 (1976).
- [20] E.S. Wolk, A note on the comparability graph of a tree, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 16, 17-20 (1965).

## Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
892	<b>E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and Sanchita Mukherjee,</b>	Models of $q$ -algebra representations: the group of plane motions
893	<b>T.R. Hoffend Jr. and R.K. Kaul,</b>	Relativistic theory of superpotentials for a nonhomogeneous, spatially isotropic medium
894	<b>Reinhold von Schwerin,</b>	Two metal deposition on a microdisk electrode
895	<b>Vladimir I. Olikier and Nina N. Uraltseva,</b>	Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows
896	<b>Wayne Barrett, Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy and Tamir Shalom,</b>	Rank incrementation via diagonal perturbations
898	<b>Mingxiang Chen, Xu-Yan Chen and Jack K. Hale,</b>	Structural stability for time-periodic one-dimensional parabolic equations
899	<b>Hong-Ming Yin,</b>	Global solutions of Maxwell's equations in an electromagnetic field with the temperature- dependent electrical conductivity
900	<b>Robert Grone, Russell Merris and William Watkins,</b>	Laplacian unimodular equivalence of graphs
901	<b>Miroslav Fiedler,</b>	Structure-ranks of matrices
902	<b>Miroslav Fiedler,</b>	An estimate for the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices
903	<b>Miroslav Fiedler,</b>	Remarks on eigenvalues of Hankel matrices
904	<b>Charles R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, Michael Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche,</b>	Spectra with positive elementary symmetric functions
905	<b>Pierre-Alain Gremaud,</b>	Thermal contraction as a free boundary problem
906	<b>K.L. Cooke, Janos Turi and Gregg Turner,</b>	Stabilization of hybrid systems in the presence of feedback delays
907	<b>Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu,</b>	A numerical transmutation approach for underwater sound propagation
908	<b>LeRoy B. Beasley, Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,</b>	Combinatorial orthogonality
909	<b>Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,</b>	Strong hall matrices
910	<b>Håkan Wennerström and David M. Anderson,</b>	Difference versus Gaussian curvature energies; monolayer versus bilayer curvature energies applications to vesicle stability
911	<b>Shmuel Friedland,</b>	Eigenvalues of almost skew symmetric matrices and tournament matrices
912	<b>Avner Friedman, Bei Hu and J.L. Velazquez,</b>	A Free Boundary Problem Modeling Loop Dislocations in Crystals
913	<b>Ezio Venturino,</b>	The Influence of Diseases on Lotka-Volterra Systems
914	<b>Steve Kirkland and Bryan L. Shader,</b>	On Multipartite Tournament Matrices with Constant Team Size
915	<b>Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey,</b>	More on Structure-Ranks of Matrices
916	<b>Douglas B. Meade,</b>	Qualitative Analysis of an Epidemic Model with Directed Dispersion
917	<b>Kazuo Murota,</b>	Mixed Matrices Irreducibility and Decomposition
918	<b>Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey,</b>	Some Applications of Elementary Linear Algebra in Combinations
919	<b>Carl D. Meyer,</b>	Sensitivity of Markov Chains
920	<b>Hong-Ming Yin,</b>	Weak and Classical Solutions of Some Nonlinear Volterra Integrodifferential Equations
921	<b>B. Leinkuhler and A. Ruehli,</b>	Exploiting Symmetry and Regularity in Waveform Relaxation Convergence Estimation
922	<b>Xinfu Chen and Charles M. Elliott,</b>	Asymptotics for a Parabolic Double Obstacle Problem
923	<b>Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan,</b>	An Approximate Boundary Integral Method for Acoustic Scattering in Shallow Oceans
924	<b>Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan,</b>	Source Localization Processing in Perturbed Waveguides
925	<b>Kenneth L. Cooke and Janos Turi,</b>	Stability, Instability in Delay Equations Modeling Human Respiration
926	<b>F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, B.D. Coleman and F. Hélein,</b>	Bifurcation Analysis of Minimizing Harmonic Maps Describing the Equilibrium of Nematic Phases Between Cylinders
927	<b>Frank W. Elliott, Jr.,</b>	Signed Random Measures: Stochastic Order and Kolmogorov Consistency Conditions
928	<b>D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and B.L. Shader,</b>	Pick's Inequality and Tournaments
929	<b>J.W. Demmel, N.J. Higham and R.S. Schreiber,</b>	Block $LU$ Factorization
930	<b>Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez,</b>	Regional Blow-Up in a Semilinear Heat Equation with Convergence to a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
931	<b>Bryan L. Shader,</b>	Convertible, Nearly Decomposable and Nearly Reducible Matrices
932	<b>Dianne P. O'Leary,</b>	Iterative Methods for Finding the Stationary Vector for Markov Chains
933	<b>Nicholas J. Higham,</b>	Perturbation theory and backward error for $AX - XB = C$
934	<b>Z. Strakos and A. Greenbaum,</b>	Open questions in the convergence analysis of the lanczos process for the real symmetric eigenvalue problem
935	<b>Zhaojun Bai,</b>	Error analysis of the lanczos algorithm for the nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem
936	<b>Pierre-Alain Gremaud,</b>	On an elliptic-parabolic problem related to phase transitions in shape memory alloys
937	<b>Bojan Mohar and Neil Robertson,</b>	Disjoint essential circuits in toroidal maps

- 939 **Bojan Mohar and Svatopluk Poljak** Eigenvalues in combinatorial optimization
- 940 **Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey and Bryan L. Shader**, Conditional sign-solvability
- 941 **Roger Fosdick and Ying Zhang**, The torsion problem for a nonconvex stored energy function
- 942 **René Ferland and Gaston Giroux**, An unbounded mean-field intensity model:  
Propagation of the convergence of the empirical laws and compactness of the fluctuations
- 943 **Wei-Ming Ni and Izumi Takagi**, Spike-layers in semilinear elliptic singular Perturbation Problems
- 944 **Henk A. Van der Vorst and Gerard G.L. Sleijpen**, The effect of incomplete decomposition preconditioning  
on the convergence of conjugate gradients
- 945 **S.P. Hastings and L.A. Peletier**, On the decay of turbulent bursts
- 946 **Apostolos Hadjidimos and Robert J. Plemmons**, Analysis of  $p$ -cyclic iterations for Markov chains
- 947 **ÅBjörck, H. Park and L. Eldén**, Accurate downdating of least squares solutions
- 948 **E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and G.C. Williams**, Recent advances in the use of separation of  
variables methods in general relativity
- 949 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of LU, Cholesky and QR factorizations
- 950 **G.W. Stewart**, Gaussian elimination, perturbation theory and Markov chains
- 951 **G.W. Stewart**, On a new way of solving the linear equations that arise in the method of least squares
- 952 **G.W. Stewart**, On the early history of the singular value decomposition
- 953 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of Markov chains with nearly transient states
- 954 **Umberto Mosco**, Composite media and asymptotic dirichlet forms
- 955 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, The structure of the eigenvectors of sparse matrices
- 956 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, A note on Jacobi being more accurate than QR
- 957 **Raymond H. Chan, James G. Nagy and Robert J. Plemmons**, FFT-based preconditioners for  
Toeplitz-Block least squares problems
- 958 **Zhaojun Bai**, The CSD, GSVD, their applications and computations
- 959 **D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and N.J. Pullman**, A bound on the exponent of a primitive matrix using  
Boolean rank
- 960 **Richard A. Brualdi, Shmuel Friedland and Alex Pothen**, Sparse bases, elementary vectors and nonzero  
minors of compound matrices
- 961 **J.W. Demmel**, Open problems in numerical linear algebra
- 962 **James W. Demmel and William Gragg**, On computing accurate singular values and eigenvalues of acyclic  
matrices
- 963 **James W. Demmel**, The inherent inaccuracy of implicit tridiagonal QR
- 964 **J.J.L. Velázquez**, Estimates on the  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the blow-up set  
for a semilinear heat equation
- 965 **David C. Dobson**, Optimal design of periodic antireflective structures for the Helmholtz equation
- 966 **C.J. van Duijn and Joseph D. Fehribach**, Analysis of planar model for the molten carbonate fuel cell
- 967 **Yongzhi Xu, T. Craig Poling and Trent Brundage**, Source localization in a waveguide with unknown  
large inclusions
- 968 **J.J.L. Velázquez**, Higher dimensional blow up for semilinear parabolic equations
- 969 **E.G. Kalnins and Willard Miller, Jr.**, Separable coordinates, integrability and the Niven equations
- 970 **John M. Chadam and Hong-Ming Yin**, A diffusion equation with localized chemical reactions
- 971 **A. Greenbaum and L. Gurvits**, Max-min properties of matrix factor norms
- 972 **Bei Hu**, A free boundary problem arising in smoulder combustion
- 973 **C.M. Elliott and A.M. Stuart**, The global dynamics of discrete semilinear parabolic equations
- 974 **Avner Friedman and Jianhua Zhang**, Swelling of a rubber ball in the presence of good solvent
- 975 **Avner Friedman and Juan J.L. Velázquez**, A time-dependence free boundary problem modeling  
the visual image in electrophotography
- 976 **Richard A. Brualdi, Hyung Chan Jung and William T. Trotter, Jr.**, On the poset of all posets on  
 $n$  elements
- 977 **Ricardo D. Fierro and James R. Bunch**, Multicollinearity and total least squares
- 978 **Adam W. Bojanczyk, James G. Nagy and Robert J. Plemmons**, Row householder transformations for  
rank- $k$  Cholesky inverse modifications
- 979 **Chaocheng Huang**, An age-dependent population model with nonlinear diffusion in  $R^n$
- 980 **Emad Fatemi and Faroukh Odeh**, Upwind finite difference solution of Boltzmann equation applied to  
electron transport in semiconductor devices
- 981 **Esmond G. Ng and Barry W. Peyton**, A tight and explicit representation of  $Q$  in sparse  $QR$   
factorization
- 982 **Robert J. Plemmons**, A proposal for  $FFT$ -based fast recursive least-squares
- 983 **Anne Greenbaum and Zdenek Strakos**, Matrices that generate the same Krylov residual spaces
- 984 **Alan Edelman and G.W. Stewart**, Scaling for orthogonality
- 985 **G.W. Stewart**, Note on a generalized sylvester equation
- 986 **G.W. Stewart**, Updating URV decompositions in parallel