

**KELVIN-HELMHOLTZ MECHANISM FOR SIDE BRANCHING
IN THE DISPLACEMENT OF LIGHT WITH HEAVY FLUID
UNDER GRAVITY**

By

D.D. Joseph

T.Y.J. Liao

and

J.-C. Saut

IMA Preprint Series # 769

February 1991

Kelvin-Helmholtz mechanism for side branching in the displacement of light with heavy fluid under gravity

D.D. Joseph, T.Y.J. Liao and J.-C. Saut

Abstract. The problem of stability of smooth fingering motions which may develop from the Rayleigh-Taylor instability when the initial data is analytic is considered. A second-order ordinary linear differential equation with time-dependent coefficients is derived for the evolution of a small wavy perturbation of the interface in a local approximation when the waves are short. It is possible to have a stable, but Hadamard unstable, perturbation if the time-dependent coefficients satisfy certain growth conditions. The strongest Hadamard instabilities occur as Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities associated with a velocity difference at the sides of falling fingers.

1. Introduction

The problem of linearized instability of the interface between inviscid fluids, with surface tension neglected as it evolves, is studied by local analysis. The basic flow is time-dependent and nonlinear, and it may be regarded as arising initially from a Rayleigh-Taylor instability. Such flows are ill-posed, but they may be solved in a finite time interval before blow-up, provided that the initial data is analytic. We may also regard the basic flow as smoothed, say, by small viscous or surface tension effects which are neglected in the stability analysis. In fact, the details of the basic flow are left vague in the analysis and our conclusions which apply to arbitrary basic flows are independent of the precise details.

The main idea of the paper is that once the heavy liquid starts to fall, a velocity difference will develop across the interface. In this case, the possibility of Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities arises from discontinuities in the tangential components of velocity which develop in the basic flow as it evolves. It appears natural to think that the largest discontinuities of velocity are at the sides rather than at the tips of unstable

falling fingers. In fact, Hadamard instability of the Kelvin-Helmholtz problem is stronger than the Rayleigh-Taylor instability with a growth rate proportional to the wave number k instead of \sqrt{k} . Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities at the sides of fingers which develop from Rayleigh-Taylor instability, should emerge from every numerical study of the nonlinear problem. For example, the side branches are clearly evident in Figs. 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3 of the numerical study reported in the Ph.D. thesis of Tryggvason [1985] and in Figs. 18–24 of Gardner, Glimm, McBryan, Menikoff, Sharp and Zhang [1988]. Since the basic flow is unsteady, we need to account for the time-dependence of the velocity and the interface as it evolves. In fact, Moore and Griffith-Jones [1974] have shown that these time-dependent terms can stabilize the flow for any fixed k no matter how large, but these stable flows are still Hadamard unstable with unbounded growth as $k \rightarrow \infty$ at any fixed value of t , no matter how large or small (see Joseph and Saut [1990]).

2. Governing equations and the basic flow

We imagine that at some instant ($t=0$) heavy fluid in the semi-infinite half space $y>0$ lies above light liquid in $y<0$. The fluids are incompressible and nearly inviscid with a small value of interfacial tension. If the viscosity and interfacial tension are put to zero, this system will be Hadamard unstable, with a growth rate proportional to the square root of the wave number. In practice, this problem is regularized by surface tension and viscosity but is still unstable. This type of instability is known as Rayleigh-Taylor instability and it leads to fingers of heavy fluid into light fluid. The fingering flows which arise from Rayleigh-Taylor instability are unstable. The tips of the fingers of heavy into light fluid undergo repeated instabilities, called tip splitting (see Homsy [1987]), which can perhaps be understood as a replication of the original Rayleigh-Taylor instability. The fingering flows are plagued by other instabilities which lead to branching off the sides. The side branches are particularly striking in the ill-posed problem where they give rise to scraggly dendritic structures (Nittman and Daccord

[1985]). The analysis given below suggests that the side branches arise from a Kelvin-Helmholtz instability associated with the velocity discontinuities which develop across the sides of fingers accelerating into light fluid.

We suppose that a Rayleigh-Taylor instability has occurred and that fingers of heavy fluid are accelerating into light fluid. The motion of the two fluids may be smooth for a time, even with vanishing viscosity and interfacial tension, if the initial conditions are analytic. We study the stability of this smooth fingering flow using the linearized stability theory in a local approximation whose structure is tailored to the study of short waves.

We choose a special point P on the interface Σ such that at $t=t_0$ (put $t_0=0$) the normal \mathbf{N} to Σ at P is colinear with a fixed direction Y . At a later time the interface will have moved so that Y will not be a perpendicular bisector of Σ as it is at $t=0$. We lay down two plane coordinate systems (X^*, Y^*) and (X, Y) centered on P , as in Figure 1. The two systems are related by an orthogonal transformation with angle Θ .

$$\begin{aligned} X^* &= X \cos\Theta - Y \sin\Theta , \\ Y^* &= X \sin\Theta + Y \cos\Theta . \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

The gravity vector $\mathbf{g} = -g\mathbf{e}_{Y^*}$ points against Y^* increasing and the equations of motion are written in the (X, Y) system. Fluid 1 is over Fluid 2 and both fluids are assumed to be in potential flow

$$U = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial X}, \quad W = \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial Y}, \quad \nabla^2\Phi = 0 . \tag{2.2}$$

Both fluids satisfy Bernouilli's equation with pressure P and density ρ

$$P + \frac{\rho}{2} (U^2 + W^2) + \rho \frac{\partial\Phi}{\partial t} + \rho g Y^* = 0 . \tag{2.3}$$




Figure 1. Coordinate systems for the falling fingers: $\rho_1 \geq \rho_2$ is the heavy fluid. The fixed direction Y is colinear to the normal \mathbf{N} on Σ at $t=0$, but not in general for later t . Another useful representation of Σ is $r=R(\theta,t)$ where (r,θ) are polar coordinated which are located at the center of curvature of Σ at $t=0$.

We could add conservative time-dependent body forces to our problem to get different basic flows, as was done by Joseph and Saut [1990, p. 198]. These body forces are prescribed and they change the basic flow, but otherwise will not enter into the stability problem. There are two descriptions of the interface Σ :

$$Y = H(X, t) \quad \text{and} \quad Y^* = F(X^*, t) \quad (2.4)$$

where H and F are related by (2.1). Using (2.4)₁, we get

$$\frac{dY}{dt} = W = \frac{\partial H}{\partial t} + U \frac{\partial H}{\partial X} \quad (2.5)$$

as the kinematic equation of motion of the interface Σ . The jump of restrictions of field variables defined in Fluids One and Two across Σ is defined by

$$[[\cdot]] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\cdot)_1 - (\cdot)_2 \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$[[\rho]] = \rho_1 - \rho_2 \geq 0 . \quad (2.7)$$

The continuity of the normal component of velocity

$$[[W]] = [[U]] \frac{\partial H}{\partial X} \quad (2.8)$$

follows from (2.5). The pressure p in (2.3) is continuous across Σ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{2} [[\rho (U^2+W^2)]] + [[\rho \frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial t}]] + [[\rho]]g (X \sin\Theta + H(X,t) \cos\Theta) = 0 . \quad (2.9)$$

The governing equations are (2.2), (2.5) and (2.9).

The basic flow is a smooth solution of the governing equations, designated with a subscript zero, and such that

$$\frac{\partial H}{\partial X}(X,0) = 0 . \quad (2.10)$$

The condition (2.10) is fulfilled by virtue of our choice of coordinates, so that our analysis applies to all smooth solutions of the governing solutions.

3. Perturbation equations

Now we perturb the basic flow

$$Y = H_0(X,t) + \varepsilon h(X,t) , \quad (3.1)$$

$$\Phi(X,Y,t) = \Phi_0(X,Y,t) + \varepsilon \phi(X,Y,t) \quad (3.2)$$

$$(W,U) = (W_0,U_0)(X,Y,t) + \varepsilon(\omega,u)(X,Y,t) \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$(\omega,u) = \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y} , \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} \right) . \quad (3.4)$$

The only field equation needed in our analysis is

$$\frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial X^2} + \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial Y^2} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Care must be taken in forming the interface conditions. For example

$$\begin{aligned} W_0(X, H_0 + \varepsilon h) + \varepsilon \omega(X, H_0 + \varepsilon h) &= \frac{\partial}{\partial t} (H_0 + \varepsilon h) \\ &+ U_0(X, H_0 + \varepsilon h) \frac{\partial}{\partial X} (H_0 + \varepsilon h) + \varepsilon u(X, H_0 + \varepsilon h) \frac{\partial (H_0 + \varepsilon h)}{\partial X} \end{aligned} \quad (3.6)$$

reduces, after using the equation of the basic flow and linearizing, to

$$\omega + h \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial X} + U_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial X} + h \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial Y} \frac{\partial H_0}{\partial X} \quad (3.7)$$

where ω , u , W_0 and U_0 are evaluated on $Y=H_0$. The linearization of (2.9) gives rise to

$$\llbracket \rho \left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} U_0 + \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y} W_0 \right) \rrbracket + \llbracket \rho \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t} \rrbracket + Mh = 0 \quad (3.8)$$

where

$$M = \llbracket \rho \left(U_0 \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial Y} + W_0 \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial Y} \right) \rrbracket + \llbracket \rho \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial t} \rrbracket + \llbracket \rho \rrbracket g \cos \Theta \quad (3.9)$$

The governing perturbation equations are (3.5), (3.7), and (3.8). It is convenient to write (3.7) as follows

$$\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial Y} + A \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial X} + hB = \frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + U_0 \frac{\partial h}{\partial X} \quad (3.10)$$

where

$$A = -\frac{\partial H_0}{\partial X}$$

and

$$B = \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial Y} + \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial Y} A .$$

We may always choose our coordinates at the instant of observation for which (2.10) holds ($A=0$). But $A_t = \partial A / \partial t$, $A_X = \partial A / \partial X$ need not be zero at this instant. The interface equations (3.8) and (3.10) may be written as

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_1 (\phi_X^{(1)} U_0^{(1)} + \phi_Y^{(1)} W_0^{(1)}) - \rho_2 (\phi_X^{(2)} U_0^{(2)} + \phi_Y^{(2)} W_0^{(2)}) + \rho_1 \phi_t^{(1)} - \rho_2 \phi_t^{(2)} + Mh \\ = 0 , \end{aligned} \quad (3.11)$$

$$\phi_Y^{(1)} + \phi_X^{(1)} A = h_t + U_0^{(1)} h_X - hB^{(1)} , \quad (3.12)$$

$$\phi_Y^{(2)} + \phi_X^{(2)} A = h_t + U_0^{(2)} h_X - hB^{(2)} . \quad (3.13)$$

We may write (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) in polar coordinates (r, θ) by putting $dY=dr$, $dX=-rd\theta$. Moore and Griffith-Jones [1974] considered the problem of an expanding vortex at $r=R(t)$ in a fluid of constant density $\rho_1=\rho_2$ expanding radially $\mathbf{e}_r \cdot \mathbf{U} = \dot{R}R/r$ with a vortical azimuthal velocity $\mathbf{e}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{U}_1 = \gamma/r$ when $r > R(t)$ and $\mathbf{e}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{U}_2 = 0$ when $r < R(t)$ where $\Gamma = 2\pi\gamma$ is the circulation. In this case $W_0 = \mathbf{e}_r \cdot \mathbf{U}$ and $U_0 = \mathbf{e}_\theta \cdot \mathbf{U}$ and $M = -\rho\gamma^2/R^3$, $A=0$, $B = -\dot{R}/R$ and (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) become

$$-\frac{\gamma}{R^2} \phi_\theta^{(1)} + \dot{R} (\phi_r^{(1)} - \phi_r^{(2)}) + (\phi_t^{(1)} - \phi_t^{(2)}) - \gamma^2 h / R^3 = 0 , \quad (3.14)$$

$$\phi_r^{(1)} = h_t - \frac{\gamma}{R^2} h_\theta + \frac{\dot{R}}{R} h , \quad (3.15)$$

$$\phi_r^{(2)} = h_t + \frac{\dot{R}}{R} h . \quad (3.16)$$

4. Local approximation for short waves

In the coordinate system being used, the tangent to $H_0(X,t)$ is flat at the X and $t=0$ for which $A=0$. We are going to look at waves so short that the coefficients of ϕ_X , ϕ_Y and h are essentially constant over the length $2\pi/k$ of one wave. Then we solve $\nabla^2\phi=0$ so that $\phi^{(1)}(X,Y,t)\rightarrow 0$ as $Y\rightarrow\infty$ and $\phi^{(2)}(X,Y,t)\rightarrow 0$ as $Y\rightarrow 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned}\phi^{(1)} &= \psi_1(t) e^{ikX}e^{-kY}, \\ \phi^{(2)} &= \psi_2(t) e^{ikX}e^{kY}, \\ h &= \tilde{h}(t)e^{ikX}.\end{aligned}\tag{4.1}$$

These equations (4.1) are inserted into (3.11), (3.12) and (3.13) and are evaluated on $Y=H_0(X,t)$, defining

$$\begin{aligned}y_1(t) &= \psi_1 e^{-kH_0} \\ y_2(t) &= \psi_2 e^{kH_0}\end{aligned}\tag{4.2}$$

we find that

$$k(-1 + iA) y_1 + B^{(1)}\dot{\tilde{h}} = \dot{\tilde{h}} + ikU_0^{(1)} \tilde{h},\tag{4.3}$$

$$k(+1 + iA) y_2 + B^{(2)}\dot{\tilde{h}} = \dot{\tilde{h}} + ikU_0^{(2)} \tilde{h},\tag{4.4}$$

$$ip_1U_0^{(1)}ky_1 + p_1kAU_0^{(1)}y_1 + p_1y_{1t} - ip_2U_0^{(2)}ky_2 - p_2kAU_0^{(2)}y_2 - p_2y_{2t} + M\dot{\tilde{h}} = 0.\tag{4.5}$$

We have chosen our local coordinates so that $A=0$ initially. It follows that for the smooth solutions we have in mind, A is small locally in time and we put it to zero. Of course A_t need not be small when A is small.

After eliminating y_1 and y_2

$$\ddot{\tilde{h}} + \Theta_1\dot{\tilde{h}} + \Theta_2\tilde{h} = 0\tag{4.6}$$

where

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle} \left\{ 2ik\langle \rho U_0 \rangle - \langle \rho B \rangle + i[[\rho]] A_t \right\} \quad (4.7)$$

$$\Theta_2 = \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle} \left\{ -kM - iA_t[[\rho B]] - (kA_t)[[\rho U_0]] - ik\langle \rho B U_0 \rangle - k^2\langle \rho U_0^2 \rangle - \langle \rho B_t \rangle + ik\langle \rho U_{0t} \rangle \right\} \quad (4.8)$$

and

$$\langle \bullet \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (\bullet)_1 + (\bullet)_2 .$$

and, upon putting $A=0$ after differentiation

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \partial W_0 / \partial Y , \\ B_t &= \partial W_{0t} / \partial Y + A_t \partial U_0 / \partial Y , \\ W_0 &= H_{0t} , \\ W_{0t} &= H_{0tt} - A_t U_0 , \\ M &= \left[\left[\rho \left(U_0 \frac{\partial U_0}{\partial Y} \right) + W_0 \frac{\partial W_0}{\partial Y} \right] + [[\rho W_{0t}]] + [[\rho]] g \cos \Theta . \right. \end{aligned} \quad (4.9)$$

We do not expect our local formulation to hold globally. The case $A \neq 0$ is not too difficult to work out, but it adds more to the length of the equations than to our understanding of them.

5. Special cases

Consider the case of instability of a flat interface, Θ , H_0 , H_{0t} , A_t vanish, for uniform flow in the X direction where W_0 is identically zero and

$$\frac{\partial U_0^{(1)}}{\partial Y} = \frac{\partial U_0^{(2)}}{\partial Y} = 0 ,$$

Then $B=B_t=W_{0t}=0$ and $M=[[\rho]]g$

$$\Theta_1 = \frac{2ik}{\langle \rho \rangle} \langle \rho U_0 \rangle, \quad (5.1)$$

$$\Theta_2 = \frac{-k^2}{\langle \rho \rangle} \langle \rho U_0^2 \rangle + \frac{ik \langle \rho U_0 t \rangle}{\langle \rho \rangle} - \frac{k[\rho]g}{\langle \rho \rangle} \quad (5.2)$$

Equation (4.6) with coefficients given by (5.1) and (5.2) was presented as equation (4.22) and was discussed by Joseph and Saut [1990]. When U_0 is independent of t we can seek solutions proportional to $e^{\sigma t}$, leading to a dispersion relation of the form

$$\sigma^2 + \Theta_1 \sigma + \Theta_2 = 0 \quad (5.3)$$

Hence

$$\sigma = -\frac{1}{2} \Theta_1 \pm \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Theta_1^2 - 4\Theta_2} \quad (5.4)$$

This reduces to

$$\sigma = \pm \sqrt{\frac{k(\rho_1 - \rho_2)g}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}}$$

when $U_0=0$, as in Rayleigh-Taylor instability, and to

$$\sigma = -\frac{ik}{2} [U_0^{(1)} + U_0^{(2)}] \pm \frac{k}{2} |U_0^{(2)} - U_0^{(1)}|,$$

$$\text{Re}\sigma = \frac{k}{2} |U_0^{(2)} - U_0^{(1)}|.$$

when $\rho_1=\rho_2$ and U_0 is independent of t , as in Kelvin-Helmholtz instability. The Kelvin-Helmholtz instability is “more” ill-posed than the Rayleigh-Taylor instability because it has a growth rate proportional to k , rather than the square root of k .

The problem of Moore and Griffith-Jones [1974] is not a special case of (4.6). The wave number k has been assumed to be independent of t but the equivalent wave number $s/R(t)$ for their case does depend on t . We may obtain a second-order

equation of the form (4.6), their equation (2.6), directly from the last three equations of section 3.

6. WKB solutions

When the coefficients Θ_1 and Θ_2 in (4.6) depend on time, we cannot have solutions of the form $ce^{\sigma t}$ with constant c and σ . In this case, however, we can find asymptotic solutions, valid for large k , by the WKB method. Applying this method, following ideas introduced by Moore and Griffith-Jones [1974], we introduce the transformation

$$f(t) = \eta(t) \exp \left\{ -\frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta_1(s) ds \right\}. \quad (6.1)$$

Then $\eta(t)$ satisfies the equation

$$\ddot{\eta}(t) - Q(t)\eta(t) = 0 \quad (6.2)$$

where

$$Q(t) = \frac{\Theta_1 t}{2} + \frac{\Theta_1^2}{4} - \Theta_2. \quad (6.3)$$

Substituting (4.7) and (4.8) into (6.3), we obtain

$$Q(t) = ak^2 + bk + c \quad (6.4)$$

with

$$a = \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} [[U_0]]^2, \\ b = \frac{\langle \rho \rangle M + 2\rho_1 \rho_2 A_t [[U_0]]}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} + i \frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} [[B]] [[U_0]],$$

$$c = \frac{1}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \langle \rho \rangle \langle \rho B_t \rangle + \frac{1}{4} \langle \rho B \rangle^2 - \frac{1}{4} A_t^2 [\rho]^2 \right\} \\ + \frac{i}{\langle \rho \rangle^2} \left\{ A_t [\rho B] \langle \rho \rangle - \frac{1}{2} A_t [\rho] \langle \rho B \rangle - \frac{1}{2} A_{tt} [\rho^2] \right\}.$$

We now assume that $a > 0$. Then equation (6.2) can be written as

$$\varepsilon^2 \ddot{\eta}(t) - \tilde{Q} \eta(t) = 0 \quad (6.5)$$

where $\varepsilon = 1/k$, $\tilde{Q} = Q/k^2$ and Q is bounded up to a certain t . The analysis then holds up to that t and we may also consider the case when t is infinite. Equation (6.5) is obviously the canonical singular perturbation form for which WKB solutions were designed. We shall work with the original equation (6.2) with $Q(t)$ given by (6.4). The asymptotic solutions obtained by the standard WKB procedure are of the form

$$\eta(t) \sim \exp \left\{ k S_0 + S_1 + o \left(\frac{1}{k} \right) \right\} \quad (6.6)$$

where

$$S_0 = \pm \int_0^t \sqrt{a(s)} \, ds \quad (6.7)$$

$$S_1 = \pm \int_0^t \frac{b(s)}{2\sqrt{a(s)}} \, ds - \frac{1}{4} \ln a(t) . \quad (6.8)$$

The approximation (6.6) will be valid, if S_0 and S_1 and the $o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$ term are bounded. The condition on the boundedness of the $o\left(\frac{1}{k}\right)$ term gives the following relation

$$\begin{aligned}
k \gg \int_0^t & \left\{ \pm \left[\frac{1}{8} a_{tt} a^{-3/2} - \frac{5}{32} a_t^2 a^{-5/2} - \frac{1}{8} b^2 a^{-3/2} + \frac{1}{2} a^{-1/2} c \right] \right. \\
& \left. + \frac{1}{4} b a_t a^{-2} - \frac{1}{4} b_t a^{-1} \right\} dS . \tag{6.9}
\end{aligned}$$

The boundedness assumptions we have laid down for a , b , and c imply that (6.7), (6.8) and (6.9) are bounded for any finite t . For the $t \rightarrow \infty$ case, the integrands in these three integrals must go to zero fast enough to insure integrability. In an n term WKB approximation, the extra boundedness condition is imposed at $O\left(\frac{1}{k^{n-1}}\right)$. Condition (6.9) is the analog to the condition (4.2) of Moore and Griffith-Jones [1974].

Substituting a , b , and c defined in (6.4) into equations (6.7) and (6.8), using (6.1) and (6.6), we obtain an approximate solution for $f_i(t)$ in the form

$$\begin{aligned}
f_i(t) = & \frac{d_1}{a^{1/4}} \exp \left\{ \int_0^t E(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta_1(s) ds + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \right\} \\
& + \frac{d_2}{a^{1/4}} \exp \left\{ - \int_0^t E(s) ds - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t \Theta_1(s) ds + O\left(\frac{1}{k}\right) \right\} \tag{6.10}
\end{aligned}$$

where d_1, d_2 are constant, and

$$E(t) = k\alpha_1 \llbracket U_0 \rrbracket + \alpha_2 \frac{M(t)}{\llbracket U_0 \rrbracket} + \alpha_3 A_t + i \frac{\alpha_3}{2} \llbracket B \rrbracket .$$

with

$$\begin{aligned}
\alpha_1 &= \sqrt{\frac{\rho_1 \rho_2}{\rho_1 + \rho_2}} \\
\alpha_2 &= \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2} \sqrt{\rho_1 + \rho_2}}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_3 = \frac{\sqrt{\rho_1 \rho_2}}{(\rho_1 + \rho_2)^{3/2}}$$

For large k , the dominant part of $f(t)$ in (6.10) is given asymptotically by

$$f(t, k) \sim \frac{1}{a^{1/4}} \exp \left\{ k \int_0^t \left(\alpha_1 \llbracket U_0 \rrbracket - i \frac{\langle \rho U_0 \rangle}{\langle \rho \rangle} \right) ds \right\} \quad (6.11)$$

For any finite t , $f(t, k) \rightarrow \infty$ with k . This corresponds to a Hadamard instability of a Kelvin-Helmholtz problem. Moreover, if the integral in (6.11) remains bounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, then for each fixed finite k , no matter how large, $f(\infty, k)$ is bounded. We may say then that $f(t, k)$ is exponentially stable in the sense of integrability. The flow will then be stable in this sense but Hadamard unstable.

7. Conclusions

1. Smooth solutions of the Rayleigh-Taylor problem are Hadamard unstable. The most dangerous disturbances are Kelvin-Helmholtz instabilities for which disturbances grow like $|U_1 - U_2| \exp(\sigma t)$ with σ proportional to k for large k . This should be compared with all the other destabilizing factors with growth rates which are proportional to \sqrt{k} .
2. The prefactor $|U_1 - U_2|$ where U is the tangential component is likely to be greatest at the sides of the fingers, leading to side branching.
3. It is thought that smooth solutions will last only up to a point where a singularity of curvature develops. However, these solutions are Hadamard unstable before they blow up.
4. Instability and Hadamard instability involve different limits. Instability means that certain disturbances become unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$. Hadamard instability means that a disturbance becomes unbounded as $k \rightarrow \infty$ for any t , however small or large. It is even possible to find stable unsteady flow for which disturbances are not unbounded as $t \rightarrow \infty$, which are Hadamard unstable.

Acknowledgements

The work of D.D. Joseph and T.Y.J. Liao was supported by the U.S. Army (Mathematics), the Department of Energy, and the National Science Foundation.

References

- Gardner, C.L., Glimm, J., McBryan, O., Menikoff, R., Sharp, D.H. and Zhang, Q. 1988 The dynamics of bubble growth for Rayleigh-Taylor unstable interfaces. *Phys. Fluids* **31**(3), 447–?.
- Homsy, G.M. 1987 Viscous fingering in porous media. *Ann. Rev. Fluid Mech.* **19**, 271–311.
- Joseph, D.D. and Saut, J.-C. 1990 Short wave instabilities and ill-posed initial-value problems. *Theor. and Computational Fluid Dyn.* **1**, 191–227.
- Moore, D.W. and Griffith-Jones, R. 1974 The stability of an expanding circular vortex sheet. *Mathematika* **21**, 128–133.
- Murry, J.D. 1984 *Asymptotic Analysis*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
- Nittman, J., Daccord, G. and Stanley, M.C. 1985 Fractal growth of viscous fingers: quantitative characterizations of a fluid instability phenomenon. *Nature* **314**, 391.
- Tryggvason, G. 1985 Numerical studies of flows with sharp interfaces. Ph.D. Thesis, Brown University.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
693	A. Eden, A.J. Milani and B. Nicolaenko	Finite dimensional exponential attractors for semilinear wave equations with damping
694	A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko & R. Temam	Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations
695	A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko & R. Temam	Hölder continuity for the inverse of Mañé's projection
696	Michel Chipot and Charles Collins	Numerical approximations in variational problems with potential wells
697	Huanan Yang	Nonlinear wave analysis and convergence of MUSCL schemes
698	László Gerencsér and Zsuzsanna Vágó	A strong approximation theorem for estimator processes in continuous time
699	László Gerencsér	Multiple integrals with respect to L -mixing processes
700	David Kinderlehrer and Pablo Pedregal	Weak convergence of integrands and the Young measure representation
701	Bo Deng	Symbolic dynamics for chaotic systems
702	P. Galdi, D.D. Joseph, L. Preziosi, S. Rionero	Mathematical problems for miscible, incompressible fluids with Korteweg stresses
703	Charles Collins and Mitchell Luskin	Optimal order error estimates for the finite element approximation of the solution of a nonconvex variational problem
704	Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee	Computational complexity of inner and outer j -radii of polytopes in finite-dimensional normed spaces
705	A. Ronald Gallant and George Tauchen	A nonparametric approach to nonlinear time series analysis: estimation and simulation
706	H.S. Dumas, J.A. Ellison and A.W. Sáenz	Axial channeling in perfect crystals, the continuum model and the method of averaging
707	M.A. Kaashoek and S.M. Verduyn Lunel	Characteristic matrices and spectral properties of evolutionary systems
708	Xinfu Chen	Generation and Propagation of interfaces in reaction diffusion systems
709	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu	Homogenization approach to light scattering from polymer-dispersed liquid crystal films
710	Yoshihisa Morita and Shuichi Jimbo	ODEs on inertial manifolds for reaction-diffusion systems in a singularly perturbed domain with several thin channels
711	Wenxiong Liu	Blow-up behavior for semilinear heat equations: multi-dimensional case
712	Hi Jun Choe	Hölder continuity for solutions of certain degenerate parabolic systems
713	Hi Jun Choe	Regularity for certain degenerate elliptic double obstacle problems
714	Fernando Reitich	On the slow motion of the interface of layered solutions to the scalar Ginzburg-Landau equation
715	Xinfu Chen and Fernando Reitich	Local existence and uniqueness of solutions of the Stefan problem with surface tension and kinetic undercooling
716	C.C. Lim, J.M. Pimbley, C. Schmeiser and D.W. Schwendeman	Rotating waves for semiconductor inverter rings
717	W. Balsler, B.L.J. Braaksma, J.-P. Ramis and Y. Sibuya	Multisummability of formal power series solutions of linear ordinary differential equations
718	Peter J. Olver and Chehrzad Shakiban	Dissipative decomposition of partial differential equations
719	Clark Robinson	Homoclinic bifurcation to a transitive attractor of Lorenz type, II
720	Michelle Schatzman	A simple proof of convergence of the QR algorithm for normal matrices without shifts
721	Ian M. Anderson, Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver	Internal, external and generalized symmetries
722	C. Foias and J.C. Saut	Asymptotic integration of Navier-Stokes equations with potential forces. I
723	Ling Ma	The convergence of semidiscrete methods for a system of reaction-diffusion equations
724	Adelina Georgescu	Models of asymptotic approximation
725	A. Makagon and H. Salehi	On bounded and harmonizable solutions on infinite order arma systems
726	San-Yih Lin and Yan-Shin Chin	An upwind finite-volume scheme with a triangular mesh for conservation laws
727	J.M. Ball, P.J. Holmes, R.D. James, R.L. Pego & P.J. Swart	On the dynamics of fine structure
728	KangPing Chen and Daniel D. Joseph	Lubrication theory and long waves
729	J.L. Ericksen	Local bifurcation theory for thermoelastic Bravais lattices
730	Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You	Some stability results for perturbed semilinear parabolic equations
731	A.J. Lawrance	Local and deletion influence
732	Bogdan Vernescu	Convergence results for the homogenization of flow in fractured porous media
733	Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman	Mathematical modeling of semiconductor lasers
734	Yongzhi Xu	Scattering of acoustic wave by obstacle in stratified medium
735	Songmu Zheng	Global existence for a thermodynamically consistent model of phase field type
736	Heinrich Freistühler and E. Bruce Pitman	A numerical study of a rotationally degenerate hyperbolic

system part I: the Riemann problem

- 737 **Epifanio G. Virga**, New variational problems in the statics of liquid crystals
- 738 **Yoshikazu Giga and Shun'ichi Goto**, Geometric evolution of phase-boundaries
- 739 **Ling Ma**, Large time study of finite element methods for 2D Navier–Stokes equations
- 740 **Mitchell Luskin and Ling Ma**, Analysis of the finite element approximation of microstructure in micromagnetics
- 741 **M. Chipot**, Numerical analysis of oscillations in nonconvex problems
- 742 **J. Carrillo and M. Chipot**, The dam problem with leaky boundary conditions
- 743 **Eduard Harabetian and Robert Pego**, Efficient hybrid shock capturing schemes
- 744 **B.L.J. Braaksma**, Multisummability and Stokes multipliers of linear meromorphic differential equations
- 745 **Tae Il Jeon and Tze-Chien Sun**, A central limit theorem for non-linear vector functionals of vector Gaussian processes
- 746 **Chris Grant**, Solutions to evolution equations with near-equilibrium initial values
- 747 **Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You**, Invariant manifolds for retarded semilinear wave equations
- 748 **Peter Rejto and Mario Taboada**, Unique solvability of nonlinear Volterra equations in weighted spaces
- 749 **Hi Jun Choe**, Holder regularity for the gradient of solutions of certain singular parabolic equations
- 750 **Jack D. Dockery**, Existence of standing pulse solutions for an excitable activator-inhibitory system
- 751 **Jack D. Dockery and Roger Lui**, Existence of travelling wave solutions for a bistable evolutionary ecology model
- 752 **Giovanni Alberti, Luigi Ambrosio and Giuseppe Buttazzo**, Singular perturbation problems with a compact support semilinear term
- 753 **Emad A. Fatemi**, Numerical schemes for constrained minimization problems
- 754 **Y. Kuang and H.L. Smith**, Slowly oscillating periodic solutions of autonomous state-dependent delay equations
- 755 **Emad A. Fatemi**, A new splitting method for scalar conservation laws with stiff source terms
- 756 **Hi Jun Choe**, A regularity theory for a more general class of quasilinear parabolic partial differential equations and variational inequalities
- 757 **Haitao Fan**, A vanishing viscosity approach on the dynamics of phase transitions in Van Der Waals fluids
- 758 **T.A. Osborn and F.H. Molzahn**, The Wigner–Weyl transform on tori and connected graph propagator representations
- 759 **Avner Friedman and Bei Hu**, A free boundary problem arising in superconductor modeling
- 760 **Avner Friedman and Wenxiong Liu**, An augmented drift-diffusion model in semiconductor device
- 761 **Avner Friedman and Miguel A. Herrero**, Extinction and positivity for a system of semilinear parabolic variational inequalities
- 762 **David Dobson and Avner Friedman**, The time-harmonic Maxwell equations in a doubly periodic structure
- 763 **Hi Jun Choe**, Interior behaviour of minimizers for certain functionals with nonstandard growth
- 764 **Vincenzo M. Tortorelli and Epifanio G. Virga**, Axis-symmetric boundary-value problems for nematic liquid crystals with variable degree of orientation
- 765 **Nikan B. Firoozye and Robert V. Kohn**, Geometric parameters and the relaxation of multiwell energies
- 766 **Haitao Fan and Marshall Slemrod**, The Riemann problem for systems of conservation laws of mixed type
- 767 **Joseph D. Fehribach**, Analysis and application of a continuation method for a self-similar coupled Stefan system
- 768 **C. Foias, M.S. Jolly, I.G. Kevrekidis and E.S. Titi**, Dissipativity of numerical schemes
- 769 **D.D. Joseph, T.Y.J. Liao and J.-C. Saut**, Kelvin–Helmholtz mechanism for side branching in the displacement of light with heavy fluid under gravity
- 770 **Chris Grant**, Solutions to evolution equations with near-equilibrium initial values
- 771 **B. Cockburn, F. Coquel, Ph. LeFloch and C.W. Shu**, Convergence of finite volume methods
- 772 **N.G. Lloyd and J.M. Pearson**, Computing centre conditions for certain cubic systems
- 773 **João Palhoto Matos**, Young measures and the absence of fine microstructures in the $\alpha - \beta$ quartz phase transition
- 774 **L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy**, Self-similar solutions for infiltration of dopant into semiconductors
- 775 **H. Scott Dumas and James A. Ellison**, Nekhoroshev's theorem, ergodicity, and the motion of energetic charged particles in crystals
- 776 **Stathis Filippas and Robert V. Kohn**, Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$.
- 777 **Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips**, Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity
- 778 **Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips**, Maximal smoothness of solutions to certain Euler–Lagrange equations from nonlinear elasticity
- 779 **Jack Carr and Robert Pego**, Self-similarity in a coarsening model in one dimension
- 780 **J.M. Greenberg**, The shock generation problem for a discrete gas with short range repulsive forces
- 781 **George R. Sell and Mario Taboada**, Local dissipativity and attractors for the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation in thin 2D domains
- 782 **T. Subba Rao**, Analysis of nonlinear time series (and chaos) by bispectral methods