

**SMALL SETS SUPPORTING
FÁRY EMBEDDINGS OF PLANAR GRAPHS**

By

Hubert de Fraysseix

János Pach

and

Richard Pollack

IMA Preprint Series # 387

January 1988

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

514 Vincent Hall

206 Church Street S.E.

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

**SMALL SETS SUPPORTING
FÁRY EMBEDDINGS OF PLANAR GRAPHS**

By

Hubert de Fraysseix

János Pach

and

Richard Pollack

IMA Preprint Series # 387

January 1988

SMALL SETS SUPPORTING FÁRY EMBEDDINGS OF PLANAR GRAPHS

HUBERT DE FRAYSSEIX*, JÁNOS PACH† AND RICHARD POLLACK‡

Abstract. We show that every plane graph with n vertices has a Fáry embedding (i.e., straight-line embedding) on the $2n - 4$ by $n - 2$ grid and provide an $O(n)$ space, $O(n \log n)$ time algorithm to effect this embedding. The grid size is asymptotically optimal and it had been previously unknown whether one can always find a polynomial sized grid to support such an embedding. On the other hand we show that any set F , which can support a Fáry embedding of every planar graph of size n , has cardinality at least $n + (1 - o(1))\sqrt{n}$ which settles a problem of Mohar.

1. Introduction. The theorem of I. Fáry [F] shows that every plane graph has an embedding (drawing) in which the edges are straight line segments and the vertices are points in the plane. An embedding of this sort will be called a *Fáry embedding*. Starting with the paper of Tutte in 1963 there have been many algorithms offered for constructing a Fáry embedding ([T],[CYN],[Rd]). All present algorithms for Fáry embedding a plane graph exhibit several drawbacks. These drawbacks are: (1) that they require high precision real arithmetic relative to the size of the graph and (2) vertices tend to bunch together in the sense that the ratio of the smallest distance to the largest distance is unreasonably small. This means that: (1) for a graph of moderate size it is not possible to execute the algorithm and (2) even if it were, it would not be possible to view the resulting drawing on a terminal screen.

In fact, it has been an open question whether or not every planar graph of size n has a Fáry embedding on a grid of side length bounded by n^k for some fixed k [RT]. Questions, such as this, about how compactly graphs can be embedded on grids are related to the problems of VLSI layout design ([L],[U],[V]). Theorem 1 gives a positive answer (which is asymptotically sharp) to this question together with an algorithm providing such an embedding.

THEOREM 1. *Any plane graph with n vertices has a Fáry embedding on the $2n - 4$ by $n - 2$ grid.*

It can be shown that any Fáry embedding of a nested sequence of $\frac{n}{3}$ triangles on a grid requires a grid of size at least $(\frac{2}{3}n - 1) \times (\frac{2}{3}n - 1)$.

*CNRS, Paris; supported in part by P.R.C. Mathématiques et Informatique.

†Mathematical Institute of the Hungarian Academy of Sciences; supported in part by HSF 1812.

‡Courant Institute, NYU, Part of the work on this paper was done while this author was on sabbatical leave at Ecole Normal Supérieure and École des Hautes Études en Sciences Sociales; supported in part by NSF grant DMS-8501947.

Since the Hopcroft-Tarjan planarity testing algorithm [HT] outputs a topological embedding of a planar graph, we shall assume that any graph we look at has already passed that filter and comes equipped with such an embedding. A *maximal* plane graph is one which cannot have any additional edges without destroying its planarity. Such a graph is also called *triangulated* since all the faces are triangles. Since every planar graph can be triangulated by adding additional (dummy) edges, it suffices to prove Theorem 1 for maximal planar graphs.

We prove Theorem 1 in section 3 by presenting an algorithm which takes an arbitrary maximal plane graph and an arbitrary triangular face and then outputs the embedding with the given triangle as the exterior face. Our proof is based on a general construction called the *canonical representation of plane graphs* (section 2), which provides a suitable ordering of the vertices so that we can inductively Fáry embed the graph induced by the first k vertices on a grid and then by moving some of the vertices in this embedding in a controlled way we are able to add the next vertex and still have a Fáry embedding. On the face of it this algorithm has at least quadratic time complexity. The speedup to $O(n \log n)$ is obtained by not actually performing each embedding but instead storing all the information needed in a single permutation which can be constructed in time $O(n \log n)$. Then we will make $O(n)$ queries of this permutation of the form; for indices i and j , how many k , $i \leq k \leq j$ precede i in the permutation. The answers to the queries are then used to find the coordinates of the embedded vertices. These queries can be interpreted as rectangle range queries on a set of $2n$ points derived from the permutation and using a data structure of Chazelle [C] which uses linear space, $O(n \log n)$ preprocessing time, the queries can each be executed in time $O(\log n)$.

The canonical representation of plane graphs is a useful tool to establish the existence of Fáry embeddings with special geometric properties by easy inductual arguments. Some examples are propositions 1,2 and 3.

PROPOSITION 1. *Given a maximal planar graph G and a face uvw , there is a labelling of the vertices, $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ and a Fáry embedding f such that the convex hull of $\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), \dots, f(v_k)\}$ is the same as the convex hull of $\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_k)\}$ for $k = 4, \dots, n$.*

PROPOSITION 2. *Given a maximal planar graph G and a face uvw , there is a labelling of the vertices, $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ and a Fáry embedding f such that the convex hull of $\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), \dots, f(v_k)\}$ is the same as the convex hull of $\{f(v_{k-2}), f(v_{k-1}), f(v_k)\}$ for $k = 4, \dots, n$.*

PROPOSITION 3. *Given a maximal planar graph G and a face uvw , there is a labelling of the vertices, $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ and a Fáry embedding f such that the boundary of the convex hull of $\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), \dots, f(v_k)\}$ is a cycle in G and $f(v_{k+1})$ is not contained in the convex hull of $\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_3), \dots, f(v_k)\}$.*

Theorem 1 gives an asymptotically sharp bound on the size of the smallest grid that will support all planar graphs of size n . Even though a grid is a natural set on which to embed graphs it also makes sense to drop the restriction to a grid and ask for bounds on the size of a set which supports all planar graphs of size n . Last year Bojan Mohar [M] asked whether or not there exists a set F of n points in the plane which supports every planar graph with n vertices (a set F *supports* a simple planar graph if there exists an injective map $f : V(G) \rightarrow F$ such that the segments $[f(a), f(b)]$ and $[f(c), f(d)]$ are openly disjoint if $[a, b]$ and $[c, d]$ are edges of G). F is called *universal* for a set of planar graphs if it supports all graphs in the set. Thus \mathbb{R}^2 is universal for all planar graphs by the theorem of Fáry and by Theorem 1 the $n - 2$ by $2n - 4$ grid is universal for all planar graphs with n vertices and Mohar is asking whether there is a universal set of size n for all planar graphs with n vertices. We give a negative answer to this in section 5 by proving;

THEOREM 2. *If F is universal for planar graphs with n vertices then $|F| > n + (1 - o(1))\sqrt{n}$.*

2. The canonical representation of plane graphs. The aim of this section is to describe a canonical way of constructing a plane graph, which will be a basic tool of our investigations in the rest of this paper, and also provides easy proofs of generalizations of Fáry's theorem (Propositions 1, 2 and 3).

The following simple observation will be essential for our purposes.

LEMMA. *Let G be a simple planar graph embedded in the plane and $u = u_1, u_2, \dots, u_k = v$ be a cycle of G . Then there exists a vertex w' (resp. w'') on the cycle different from u and v and not adjacent to any inside chord (resp. outside chord).*

Proof. If the cycle has no inside (resp. outside) chords, then there is nothing to prove. Otherwise, let (u_i, u_j) , $j > i + 1$ be an inside (outside) chord such that $j - i$ is minimal. Then u_{i+1} cannot be adjacent to any inside chord of the cycle u_i, u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j , by minimality. Nor can it be adjacent to any other inside (outside) chord of the original cycle, by planarity. \square

Now we are in the position to establish the following

CANONICAL REPRESENTATION LEMMA FOR PLANE GRAPHS. *Let G be a maximal planar graph embedded in the plane with exterior face u, v, w . Then there exists a labelling of the vertices $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ meeting the following requirements for every $4 \leq k \leq n$.*

- (i) *The subgraph $G_{k-1} \subseteq G$ induced by v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{k-1} is 2-connected, and the boundary of its exterior face is a cycle C_{k-1} containing the edge uv ;*
- (ii) *v_k is in the exterior face of G_{k-1} , and its neighbors in G_{k-1} form an (at least 2-element) subinterval of the path $C_{k-1} - uv$. (See Figure 1.)*




Figure 1.

Proof. The vertices v_n, v_{n-1}, \dots, v_3 will be defined by reverse induction.

Set $v_n = w$, and let G_{n-1} denote the subgraph of G after deleting v_n . By the maximality of G , the neighbors of w form a cycle C_{n-1} containing uv , and this cycle is the boundary of the exterior face of G_{n-1} .

Let $i < n$ be fixed, and assume that v_k has already been determined for every $k > i$ such that the subgraph G_{k-1} induced by $V(G) \setminus \{v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ satisfies conditions (i) and (ii). Let C_{k-1} denote the boundary of the exterior face of G_{k-1} . Applying the Lemma to the cycle C_i in G_i , we obtain that there is a vertex $w' \in C_i$ different from u and v and not adjacent to any chord of C_i . (Observe that C_i has no exterior chords.) Letting $v_i = w'$, the subgraph G_{i-1} induced by $V(G) \setminus \{v_i, v_{i+1}, \dots, v_n\}$ obviously meets the requirements. \square

Proposition 1 now follows almost immediately.

Proof of Proposition 1. Let $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ be the canonical labelling of the vertices of G , as described above. We will define $f(v_k)$, $1 \leq k \leq n$ by induction on k .

Set $f(v_1) = (0, 0), f(v_2) = (2, 0), f(v_3) = (1, 1)$. Assume that $f(v_i)$ has already been determined for $i = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ such that f is a Fáry embedding of G_{k-1} with

$$\text{conv}\{f(v_1), f(v_2), \dots, f(v_i)\} = \text{conv}\{f(v_1), f(v_2), f(v_i)\}, 3 \leq i \leq k-1.$$

We want to extend it to an embedding of G_k .

Let $u = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m = v$ denote the vertices of C_{k-1} in the order as they appear along the boundary of the exterior face of G_{k-1} . Let $x(w_j)$ and $y(w_j)$ denote the x -coordinate and y -coordinate of $f(w_j)$, respectively. Suppose, by induction,

$$(iii) \quad \begin{aligned} x(w_1) &< x(w_2) < \dots < x(w_m), \\ y(w_j) &> 0 \text{ for } 3 \leq j \leq m. \end{aligned}$$

By property (ii) of the canonical labelling, v_k is connected to w_p, w_{p+1}, \dots, w_q for some $1 \leq p < q \leq m$.

Let us fix any number x^* between $x(w_p)$ and $x(w_q)$, and set $f(v_k) = (x^*, y^*)$ for some $y^* > 0$. It is now clear that, if y^* is sufficiently large, then we obtain a Fáry embedding of G_k with the desired properties. Furthermore, our auxiliary hypothesis (iii) will remain true for the points of C_k .

Propositions 2 and 3 can be proved in a similar way.

3. Drawing a plane graph on a grid. It suffices to prove Theorem 1 for maximal plane graphs. Let G be such a graph with exterior face u, v, w , and let $v_1 = u, v_2 = v, v_3, \dots, v_n = w$ be the canonical labelling of its vertices.

The idea of the proof is the following. Suppose that at step k of our algorithm G_k has already been Fáry embedded on the grid such that

- (1) v_1 is at $(0, 0)$, v_2 is at $(2k - 4, 0)$;
- (2) If $v_1 = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_m = v_2$ denote the vertices on the exterior face of G_k (in the order of their appearance), and $x(w_i)$ denotes the x -coordinate of w_i , then

$$x(w_1) < x(w_2) < \dots < x(w_m);$$

- (3) The edges $[w_i, w_{i+1}]$, $1 \leq i < m$, all have slopes $+1$ or -1 .

Note that (3) implies that the Manhattan distance between any two vertices w_i and w_j of the exterior face is *even*. (The Manhattan distance of (x, y) and (x', y') is $|x - x'| + |y - y'|$.) Hence, if $i < j$, then the intersection of the line with slope $+1$ through w_i and the line with slope -1 through w_j is a *lattice point* $P(w_i, w_j)$.

Let w_p, w_{p+1}, \dots, w_q be the neighbors of v_{k+1} in G_{k+1} ($1 \leq p < q \leq m$), (cf. part (ii) of the Canonical Representation Theorem). Then $P(w_p, w_q)$ is a good candidate for placing v_{k+1} , except that it may fail to see e.g., w_p (see fig. 2). To make sure that $P(w_p, w_q)$ sees all the points w_p, w_{p+1}, \dots, w_q , we shall deform the embedding (drawing) to guarantee that the slope of the edge $[w_p, w_{p+1}]$ is < 1 and the slope of $[w_{q-1}, w_q]$ is > -1 while the slopes of all other edges of the exterior face of G_k remain the same. One way to ensure this is to move $w_{p+1}, w_{p+2}, \dots, w_m$ one unit to the right and then to move w_q, w_{q+1}, \dots, w_m an additional unit to the right. However, to keep a Fáry embedding, it may be necessary to move some other vertices (not on the exterior face) as well. Though it is difficult to know globally which set of points has to move together with a given exterior vertex, there is an elegant way to define such sets recursively at each step of our algorithm.

To realize this goal, assume that for each vertex w_i on the exterior face of G_k we have already defined a subset $M(k, w_i) \subseteq V(G_k)$ such that

- (a) $w_j \in M(k, w_i)$ iff $j \geq i$;
- (b) $M(k, w_1) \supset M(k, w_2) \supset \dots \supset M(k, w_m)$;




Figure 2.

- (c) For any nonnegative numbers $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_m$, if we sequentially translate all vertices in $M(k, w_i)$ with distance α_i to the right ($i = 1, 2, \dots, m$), then the embedding of G_k remains a Fáry embedding. (Note that many vertices will move several times; e.g., all points in $M(k, w_i) \setminus M(k, w_{i+1})$ will be translated by $\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \dots + \alpha_i$.)

For $k = 3$ these conditions are met by the Fáry embedding $v_1 \rightarrow (0, 0)$, $v_2 \rightarrow (2, 0)$, $v_3 \rightarrow (1, 1)$ and by the sets $M(3, v_1) = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$, $M(3, v_2) = \{v_2, v_3\}$, $M(3, v_3) = \{v_3\}$.

Apply condition (c) with $\alpha_{p+1} = \alpha_q = 1$ and all other $\alpha_i = 0$ to find a new Fáry embedding of G_k . The Manhattan distance between w_p and the new location of w_q is still even, thus we can place v_{k+1} at the intersection of the lines with slope $+1$ and -1 through w_p and the new location of w_q , respectively. Conditions (1), (2) and (3) will trivially remain true for this new embedding of G_{k+1} . (See fig. 3)

The vertices of the exterior face of G_{k+1} are $u = w_1, w_2, \dots, w_p, v_{k+1}, w_q, \dots, w_m = v$. For each member z of this sequence we have to define a set $M(k+1, z) \subseteq V(G_{k+1})$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} M(k+1, w_i) &= M(k, w_i) \cup \{v_{k+1}\} \text{ for } i \leq p, \\ M(k+1, v_{k+1}) &= M(k, w_{p+1}) \cup \{v_{k+1}\}, \\ M(k+1, w_j) &= M(k, w_j) \text{ for } j \geq q. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious, by induction, that these sets have properties (a) and (b).

To check that property (c) remains true as well, fix a sequence of nonnegative numbers $\alpha(w_1), \dots, \alpha(w_p), \alpha(v_{k+1}), \alpha(w_q), \dots, \alpha(w_m)$. For all z on the exterior face of G_{k+1} translate the sets $M(k+1, z)$ with distance $\alpha(z)$ to the right. Observe that after this motion the part of G_{k+1} below the polygon $w_1 w_2 \dots w_m$ (i.e., G_k) remains Fáry embedded (by condition (c) applied to G_k with $\alpha_1 = \alpha(w_1), \dots, \alpha_p = \alpha(w_p)$, $\alpha_{p+1} = 1 + \alpha(v_{k+1})$,




Figure 3.

$\alpha_q = 1 + \alpha(w_q)$, $\alpha_{q+1} = \alpha(w_{q+1}), \dots, \alpha_m = \alpha(w_m)$ and every other $\alpha_i = 0$). On the other hand, it is easy to see that the part of G_{k+1} above $w_1 w_2 \dots w_m$ (i.e., v_{k+1} and the upper contour of G_k) remains Fáry embedded, too, since during the motion the (shaded) subgraph induced by $w_{p+1}, w_{p+2}, \dots, w_{q-1}$ and v_{k+1} moves rigidly (to a distance $\alpha(w_1) + \dots + \alpha(w_p) + \alpha(v_{k+1})$).

The final output of our algorithm is a Fáry embedding f of $G_n = G$ satisfying conditions (1), (2) and (3) with $k = n$. This immediately implies that every point of G is embedded in some lattice point of the triangle determined by $f(v_1) = f(u) = (0, 0)$, $f(v_2) = f(v) = (2n - 4, 0)$ and $f(v_n) = f(w) = (n - 2, n - 2)$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1. \square

4. Outline of an $O(n \log n)$ algorithm for drawing a maximal planar graph on a grid. Given a planar graph G we might as well assume that G is maximal, since, in linear time dummy edges can be added to make it so [Rd]. We further label all vertices as (a) not yet visited, (b) visited once or (i) visited more than once with i components. These labels are updated after we choose vertex v_{k+1} . We visit each neighbor of v_{k+1} . Suppose that v is such a vertex. If v has label (a), label (b) replaces label (a). If v has label (b) and the edge from v_{k+1} is adjacent to a previous edge, in the circular order of edges at v , along which v was visited, label (1) replaces label (b) and if not, label (2) replaces label (b). Finally if v has label (j) and the left and right neighbors of the edge from v_{k+1} to v have already been traversed then label $(j - 1)$ replaces label j . If only one of these edges has been traversed then the label is unchanged and if neither has been traversed then label $(j + 1)$ replaces label (j) . It is clear that the label (j) on v means that the edges already traversed and incident to v are composed of j intervals in the circular order of edges at v . It is easy to see that any vertex with label (1) can be chosen as v_{k+2} . Since there are only

a linear number of edges we find the order to insert vertices in linear time.

We define a sequence of permutations inductively as follows. $\pi_2 = (1, 2)$ Suppose π_k is defined and the vertex v_{k+1} is adjacent (in counter-clockwise order) to the vertices $v_{i_1}, v_{i_2}, \dots, v_{i_j}$ in G_k . Then we generate π_{k+1} by inserting $k+1$ just to the left of i_2 and $n+k+1$ just to the left of i_j in the permutation π_k . Clearly π_n can be constructed in time $O(n \log n)$. It is clear, identifying the vertex v_j with the index j , that

$$M(k, v_i) = \{j | j \leq n, j \text{ does not precede } i \text{ in } \pi_k\} = \{j | i \leq j \leq n, j \text{ does not precede } i \text{ in } \pi_n\}.$$

Suppose that v_k is placed at $(x_k(j), y_k(j))$ when v_j is placed on the grid. Then $y_k(j) = y_k(k)$ and $x_k(j) = x_k(k) + \sigma(k, j)$ where

$$\sigma(k, j) = |\{i | k < i, i \text{ precedes } k \text{ in } \pi_j\}| = |\{i | k < i \leq j, i \text{ precedes } k \text{ in } \pi_n\}|.$$

It follows that we can find $(x_k(k), y_k(k))$ in constant time given $(x_j(j), y_j(j))$ and $\sigma(j, k)$ for v_j the left-most neighbor of v_k and for v_j the right-most neighbor of v_k in G_{k-1} . Then in constant time we find the embedded coordinates of v_k , $(x_k(n), y_k(n))$. Thus, the entire algorithm runs in time $O(nT)$, where T is the time to calculate any $\sigma(j, k)$. Finally, let S be the set of points

$$\{(1, 1), (2, 2n-3)\} \cup \{(k, \pi_n^{-1}(k)), (k, \pi_n^{-1}(n+k)) | 3 \leq k \leq n\}.$$

It is evident that $\sigma(k, j) = |S \cap R(k, j)|$ where $R(k, j)$ is the rectangle,

$$R(k, j) = \{(x, y) | k+1 \leq x \leq j, 1 \leq y \leq \pi_n^{-1}(k)\}.$$

Hence it follows from [C] that $T = \log n$. \square

5. Lower bounds on the size of a set which supports all planar graphs. Let G_k be a fixed maximal planar graph with k vertices and $2k-4$ triangular faces. Given any natural numbers n_i , $1 \leq i \leq 2k-4$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{2k-4} n_i = n-k$, let $G_k(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k-4})$ be a fixed maximal planar graph on the vertices $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_n\}$ whose restriction to $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$ is G_k and has n_i vertices in the face f_i . Since G_k and $G_k(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_{2k-4})$ are maximal, Steinitz's theorem shows that they have unique planar maps. There are $\binom{n+k-5}{2k-5}$ of these graphs. Now suppose that F , a subset of \mathbb{R}^2 supports all these graphs, and fix an embedding $f_G : V(G) \rightarrow F$ for each of them. There are at most $|F|^k$ ways to embed (P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k) , hence the embedding of at least $\binom{n+k-5}{2k-5} / |F|^k$ of these graphs is the same on the vertices $\{P_1, P_2, \dots, P_k\}$. On the other hand a given embedding of G_k on F can be extended to at most $\binom{|F|-n+2k-5}{2k-5}$ of our graphs. Hence,


$$\binom{|F|-n+2k-5}{2k-5} > \binom{n+k-5}{2k-5} / |F|^k.$$

From this, by elementary calculations we obtain

$$\left(\frac{(|F|-n+2k)^2}{n} \right)^k \left(\frac{|F|}{n} \right)^k > n^{-5} \left(1 - \frac{k}{n} \right)^{2k}$$

Now choosing $k = \frac{\varepsilon}{4} \sqrt{n}$ we contradict $|F| < n + (1 - \varepsilon) \sqrt{n}$ for n sufficiently large, and Theorem 2 follows. \square

6. Remarks. The algorithm implicit in the proof of theorem 1, as well as another which introduces new edges on the exterior face which may be horizontal at the time of insertion rather than insisting that they have slopes 1 or -1 has been implemented by Nejia Assila. This second version, has the advantage that the graph may have a Fáry embedding on a considerably smaller grid. Figures 4a and 4b show the output of each algorithm on the same graph.



Figures 4a.




Figure 4b.

For $f(n)$, the smallest cardinality of a set which supports all planar graphs, theorems 1 and 2 show that

$$n + (1 - o(1))\sqrt{n} \leq f(n) < n^2.$$

An interesting open problem is to tighten these bounds.

Finally, we suspect that a linear time algorithm exists to Fáry embed any planar graph on a linear sized grid. A weaker, but equally important, question is whether the algorithm can be improved dynamically. Is it possible, given an embedding, to insert a new vertex in linear time?

7. Acknowledgement. We would like to thank Bernard Chazelle, Herbert Edelsbrunner and Micha Sharir for helpful discussions.

REFERENCES

- [C] B. CHAZELLE, *Slimming down search structures: a functional approach to algorithm design*, in *Proceedings of the Twenty Sixth Annual Symposium on the Foundations of Computer Science*, 1985, pp. 165-174.
- [CYN] N. CHIBA, T. YAMANOUCI, AND T. NISHIZEKI, *Linear algorithms for convex drawings of planar graphs*, in *Progress in graph theory*, 1982, pp. 153-173.
- [Dea] P. DUCHET, Y. HAMIDOUNE, M. LAS VERGNAS, AND H. MEYNIEL, *Representing a planar graph by vertical lines joining different intervals*, *Discrete Math.*, 46 (1983), pp. 319-321.

- [F] I. FÁRY, *On straight line representing of planar graphs*, Acta. Sci. Math. (Szeged), 11 (1948), pp. 229–233.
- [dF] H. DE FRAYSSEIX, *Drawing planar and non-planar graphs from the half-edge code*, (to appear).
- [dFR1] H. DE FRAYSSEIX AND P. ROSENSTIEHL, *Structures combinatoires pour traces automatiques de réseaux*, in *Proceedings of the Third European Conference on CAD/CAM and Computer Graphics*, 1984, pp. 332–337.
- [dFR2] H. DE FRAYSSEIX AND P. ROSENSTIEHL, *L'algorithme Gauche-droite pour le plongement des graphes dans le plan*, (to appear).
- [G] D. H. GREENE, *Efficient coding and drawing of planar graphs*, Xerox Palo Alto Research Center, Palo Alto, CA.
- [Gr] G. GRÜNBAUM, *Convex Polytopes*, John Wiley.
- [HT] J. HOPCROFT AND R. TARJAN, *Efficient planarity testing*, J. Comput. Mach., 21 (1974), pp. 549–568.
- [L] F. T. LEIGHTON, *Complexity Issues in VLSI*, The MIT Press.
- [M] B. MOHAR, private communication.
- [OvW] R. H. J. M. OTTEN AND J. G. VAN WIJK, *Graph representation in interactive layout design*, in *Proceedings of the IEEE International Symposium on Circuits and Systems*, 1978, pp. 914–918.
- [R] P. ROSENSTIEHL, *Embedding in the plane with orientation constraints*, Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci. (to appear).
- [Rd] R. C. READ, *A new method for drawing a planar graph given the cyclic order of the edges at each vertex*, Congressus Numerantium, 56, pp. 31–44.
- [RT] P. ROSENSTIEHL AND R. E. TARJAN, *Rectilinear planar layouts and bipolar orientations of planar graphs*, Disc. Comp. Geom., 1 (1986), pp. 343–353.
- [TT] R. TOMMASIA AND I. G. TOLLIS, *A unified approach to visibility representations of planar graphs*, Disc. Comp. Geom., 1 (1986), pp. 321–341.
- [T] W. T. TUTTE, *How to draw a graph*, Proc. London Math. Soc., 13 (1963), pp. 743–768.
- [U] J. D. ULLMAN, *Computational Aspects of VLSI*, Computer Science Press.
- [V] L. G. VALIANT, *Universality considerations in VLSI circuits*, IEEE Trans. on Computers, C-30, pp. 135–140.
- [W] D. R. WOODS, *Drawing planar graphs*, in Report N. STAN-CS-82-943, Computer Science Department, Stanford University, CA, 1981.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
298	M. Chipot, F. B. Weissler,	Some Blow-Up Results for a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation with a Gradient Term
299	L. Kaitai,	Perturbation Solutions of Simple and Double Bifurcation Problems for Navier-Stokes Equations
300	C. Zhangxin, L. Kaitai,	The Convergence on the Multigrid Algorithm for Navier-Stokes Equations
301	A. Gerardi, G. Nappo,	Martingale Approach for Modeling DNA Synthesis
302	D. N. Arnold, L. Ridgway, M. Vogelius,	Regular Inversion of the Divergence Operator with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions on a Polygon
303	R. G. Duran,	Error Analysis in L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for Mixed Definite Element Methods for Linear and Quasi-Linear Elliptic Problems
304	R. Nochetto, C. Verdi,	An Efficient Linear Scheme to Approximate Parabolic Free Boundary Problems: Error Estimates and Implementation
305	K. A. Pericak-Spector, S. J. Spector,	Nonuniqueness for a Hyperbolic System: Cavitation in Nonlinear Elastodynamics
306	E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller, Jr.,	q-Series and Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Barnes' First Lemma
307	D. N. Arnold, R. S. Falk,	A Uniformly Accurate Finite Element Method for Mindlin-Reissner Plate
308	Chi-Wang Shu,	TVD Properties of a Class of Modified Eno Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws
309	E. Dikow, U. Hornung,	A Random Boundary Value Problem Modeling Spatial Variability in Porous Media Flow
310	J. K. Hale,	Compact Attractors and Singular Perturbations
311	A. Bourgeat, B. Cockburn,	The TVD-Projection Method for Solving Implicit Numeric Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws: A Numerical Study of a Simple Case
312	B. Muller, A. Rizzi,	Navier-Stokes Computation of Transonic Vortices over a Round Leading Edge Delta Wing
313	J. Thomas Beale,	On the Accuracy of Vortex Methods at Large Times
314	P. Le Talle, A. Lotfi,	Decomposition Methods for Adherence Problems in Finite Elasticity
315	J. Douglas, Jr., J. E. Santos,	Approximation of Waves in Composite Media
316	T. Arbogast,	The Double Porosity Model for Single Phase Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
317	T. Arbogast, J. Douglas, Jr., J. E. Santos,	Two-Phase Immiscible Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
318	J. Douglas, Jr., Y. Yirang,	Numerical Simulation of Immiscible Flow in Porous Media Based on Combining the Method of Characteristics with Finite Element Procedures
319	R. Duran, R. H. Nochetto, J. Wang,	Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for Finite Element Approximations of the Stokes Problem in 2-D
320	A. Greven,	A Phase Transition for a System of Branching Random Walks in a Random Environment
321	J. M. Harrison, R. J. Williams,	Brownian Models of Open Queueing Networks with Homogeneous Customer Populations
322	Ana Bela Cruzeiro,	Solutions ET mesures invariantes pour des equations d'evolution Stochastiques du type Navier-Stokes
323	Salah-Eldin A. Mohammed,	The Lyapunov Spectrum and Stable Manifolds for Stochastic Linear Delay Equations
324	Bao Gia Nguyen,	Typical Cluster Size for 2-DIM Percolation Processes (Revised)
325	R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, F.-H. Lin,	Stable Defects of Minimizers of Constrained Variational Principles
326	M. Chipot, D. Kinderlehrer,	Equilibrium Configurations of Crystals
327	Kiyosi Itô,	Malliavin's C^∞ functionals of a centered Gaussian system
328	T. Funaki,	Derivation of the hydrodynamical equation for one-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau model
329	Y. Masaya,	Schauder Expansion by some Quadratic Base Function
330	F. Brezzi, J. Douglas, Jr.,	Stabilized Mixed Methods for the Stokes Problem
331	J. Mallet-Paret, G. R. Sell,	Inertial Manifolds for Reaction Diffusion Equations in Higher Space Dimensions
332	San-Yih Lin, M. Luskin,	Relaxation Methods for Liquid Crystal Problems
333	H. F. Weinberger,	Some Remarks on Invariant Sets for Systems
334	E. Miersemann, H. D. Mittelmann,	On the Continuation for Variational Inequalities Depending on an Eigenvalue Parameter
335	J. Hulshof, N. Wolanski,	Monotone Flows in N-Dimensional Partially Saturated Porous Media: Lipschitz Continuity of the Interface
336	B. J. Lucier,	Regularity Through Approximation for Scalar Conservation Laws
337	B. Sturmfels,	Totally Positive Matrices and Cyclic Polytopes
338	R. G. Duran, R. H. Nochetto,	Pointwise Accuracy of a Stable Petrov-Galerkin Approximation to Stokes Problem
339	L. Gastaldi,	Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for General Mixed Finite Element Approximations to Second Order Elliptic Equations
340	L. Hurwicz, H. F. Weinberger,	A Necessary Condition for Decentralizability and an Application to Intemporal Allocation
341	G. Chavent, B. Cockburn,	The Local Projection P^0P^1 -Discontinuous-Galerkin-Finite Element Method for Scalar Conservation Laws
342	I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, P.-L. Lions,	Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and State-Constraints Problems

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
343	B. Sturmfels, N. White,	Gröbner Bases and Invariant Theory
344	J. L. Vazquez,	C^∞ -Regularity of Solutions and Interfaces of the Porous Medium Equation
345	C. Beattie, W. M. Greenlee,	Improved Convergence Rates for Intermediate Problems
346	H. D. Mittelman,	Continuation Methods for Parameter-Dependent Boundary Value Problems
347	M. Chipot, G. Michaille,	Uniqueness Results and Monotonicity Properties for Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Variational Inequalities
348	Avner Friedman, Bei Hu	The Stefan Problem for a Hyperbolic Heat Equation
349	Michel Chipot, Mitchell Luskin	Existence of Solutions to the Elastohydrodynamical Equations for Magnetic Recording Systems
350	R.H. Nochetto, C. Verdi,	The Combined Use of a Nonlinear Chernoff Formula with a Regularization Procedure for Two-Phase Stefan Problems
351	Gonzalo R. Mendieta	Two Hyperfinite Constructions of the Brownian Bridge
352	Victor Klee, Peter Kleinschmidt	Geometry of the Gass-Saaty Parametric Cost LP Algorithm
353	Joseph O'Rourke	Finding A Shortest Ladder Path: A Special Case
354	J. Gretenkort, P. Kleinschmidt, Bernd Sturmfels,	On the Existence of Certain Smooth Toric Varieties
355	You-lan Zhu	On Stability & Convergence of Difference Schemes for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Initial-Boundary-Value Problems
356	Hamid Bellout, Avner Friedman	Blow-Up Estimates for Nonlinear Hyperbolic Heat Equation
357	P. Gritzman, M. Lassak	Helly-Test for the Minimal Width of Convex Bodies
358	K.R. Meyer, G.R. Sell	Melnikov Transforms, Bernoulli Bundles, and Almost Periodic Perturbations
359	J.-P. Puel, A. Raoult	Buckling for an Elastoplastic Plate with An Increment Constitutive Relation
360	F.G. Garvan	A Beta Integral Associated with the Root System G_2
361	L. Chihara, D. Stanton	Zeros of Generalized Krawtchouk Polynomials
362	Hisashi Okamoto	$O(2)$ -Equivariant Bifurcation Equations with Two Modes Interaction
363	Joseph O'Rourke, Catherine Schevon	On the Development of Closed Convex Curves on 3-Polytopes
364	Weinan E	Analysis of Spectral Methods for Burgers' Equation
365	Weinan E	Analysis of Fourier Methods for Navier-Stokes Equation
366	Paul Lemke	A Counterexample to a Conjecture of Abbott
367	Peter Gritzmann	A Characterization of all Loglinear Inequalities for Three Quermassintegrals of Convex Bodies
368	David Kinderlehrer	Phase transitions in crystals: towards the analysis of microstructure
369	David Kraines, Vivian Kraines	Pavlov and the Prisoner's Dilemma
370	F.G. Garvan	A Proof of the MacDonald-Morris Root System Conjecture for F_4
371	Neil L. White, Tim McMillan	Cayley Factorization
372	Bernd Sturmfels	Applications of Final Polynomials and Final Syzygies
373	Avner Friedman, Michael Vogelius	Identification of Small Inhomogeneities of Extreme Conductivity by Boundary Measurements: A Continuous Dependence Result
374	Jan Kratochvíl, Mirko Křivánek	On the Computational Complexity of Codes in Graphs
375	Thomas I. Seidman	The Transient Semiconductor Problem with Generation Terms, II
376	Michael A. Trick	Recognizing Single-Peaked Preferences On A Tree
377	Michael A. Trick	Induced Subtrees of a Tree and the Set Packing Problem
378	Charles J. Colbourn, Ebadollah S. Mahmoodian	The Spectrum of Support Sizes for Threefold Triple Systems
379	Bradley J. Lucier	Performance Evaluation for Multiprocessors Programmed Using Monitors
380	Tomasz Luczak	On the Size and Connectivity of the k -Core of a Random Graph
381	B. Nicolaenko, B. Scheurer and R. Temam	Some Global Dynamical Properties of a Class of Pattern Formation Equations
382	Mirko Křivánek	A Note on the Computational Complexity of Bracketing and Related Problems
383	Eduard Harabetian	Rarefactions and Large Time Behavior for Parabolic Equations and Monotone Schemes
384	Victor Klee and Peter Kleinschmidt	Polytopal Complexes and Their Relatives
385	Joel D. Avrin	Viscosity Solutions with Singular Initial Data for a Model of Electrophoretic Separation
386	David W. Matula and Rakesh V. Vohra	Calculating the Connectivity of a Directed Graph
387	Hubert de Fraysseix, János Pach and Richard Pollack	Small Sets Supporting Fáry Embeddings of Planar Graphs
388	Bernardo Cockburn and Chi-Wang Shu	The Runge-Kutta Local Projection P^1 -Discontinuous-Galerkin Finite Element Method for Scalar Conservation Laws
389	Henry Crapo, Timothy F. Havel, Bernd Sturmfels, Walter Whiteley and Neil L. White	Symbolic Computations in Geometry