

**MODELS OF q -ALGEBRA REPRESENTATIONS
I. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF SPECIAL UNITARY
AND OSCILLATOR ALGEBRAS**

By

E.G. Kalnins

H.L. Manocha

and

Willard Miller, Jr.

IMA Preprint Series # 837

August 1991

MODELS OF q -ALGEBRA REPRESENTATIONS I. TENSOR PRODUCTS OF SPECIAL UNITARY AND OSCILLATOR ALGEBRAS

E.G. KALNINS[†], H. L. MANOCHA* AND WILLARD MILLER, JR.[‡]

Abstract. This paper begins a study of one and two variable function space models of irreducible representations of q -analogs of Lie enveloping algebras, motivated by recurrence relations satisfied by q -hypergeometric functions. The algebras considered are the quantum algebra $U_q(su_2)$ and a q -analog of the oscillator algebra (not a quantum algebra). In each case we use a simple one variable model of the positive discrete series of finite and infinite dimensional irreducible representations to compute the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. We show that various q -analogs of the exponential function can be used to mimic the exponential mapping from a Lie algebra to its Lie group and we compute the corresponding matrix elements of the “group operators” on these representation spaces. We show that the matrix elements are polynomials satisfying orthogonality relations analogous to those holding for true irreducible group representations. We also demonstrate that general q -hypergeometric functions can occur as basis functions in two variable models, in contrast with the very restricted parameter values for the q -hypergeometric functions arising as matrix elements in the theory of quantum groups.

Key words. basic hypergeometric functions, q -algebras, quantum groups, orthogonal polynomials, Clebsch-Gordan series

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 33A75, 33A65, 20N99

PACS: 02.20.+b, 03.65.Fd

1. Introduction. In this paper we begin a study of function space models of irreducible representations of q -algebras. The algebras and models that we consider are motivated by recurrence relations satisfied by q -Jacobi, q -Laguerre and q -Hermite polynomials. The point of view is that espoused in [22]. Simple one variable models of irreducible representations of the q -algebras are used to compute model-independent properties of the representations and these results are then applied to the more complicated two variable models. In this approach q -hypergeometric functions depending on arbitrary complex parameters arise as basis functions in two variable models. This contrasts with the results of the elegant theory of quantum groups where special functions usually arise as matrix elements of quantum group operators. In the quantum group theory these spherical functions are very restricted classes of q -hypergeometric functions, [7,16,17,20,21].

In §1 we review the basic facts about the finite dimensional irreducible representations of the quantum algebra $U_q(su_2)$, [11,12,13,17,29], and examine a model of these representations in which the representation space consists of polynomials in the complex variable

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Waikato Hamilton, New Zealand

*Indian Statistical Institute, New Delhi

[‡]School of Mathematics and Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455. Work supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS 91-100324

z . We use this model and a q -analog of the exponential function to give an alternate derivation of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product of two irreducible representations, [12,13,15].

In §2 we review the basic facts about the positive discrete series of unitary irreducible representations of the quantum algebra analog of $su(1,1)$, [18,20,21]. We study a one variable model of these representations in which the Hilbert space consists of analytic functions on the unit disk. Again we use the model to give an alternate derivation of the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition.

In §4 we introduce a q -analog of the four dimensional oscillator Lie algebra. This q -analog is motivated by the recurrence relations for ${}_1\phi_1$ basis hypergeometric functions and is not a quantum algebra. Nevertheless the model techniques still prove effective. We study a family of irreducible infinite dimensional representations of this q -analog and find two distinct one variable models, the first defined on a Hilbert space of functions analytic in the unit disk and the second on a Hilbert space of entire functions. In §5 we use the disk model to work out the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product of two of these representations.

In §6 we examine briefly the quantum algebra $W_p(1)$. We show that a particular representation of $W_p(1)$ can be identified with a particular representation of the algebra in §4, corresponding to a model of bosons, but in general these algebras are distinct. (On the other hand, if $U_q(su_2)$ and $W_p(1)$ are considered as complex algebras with identities then $U_{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}(su_2) \equiv W_p(1)$.)

In §7 we give some examples showing how the various models of q -algebra representations can be used to derive identities obeyed by q -series associated with the models. We draw our examples from the oscillator algebra of §4, though the ideas apply generally. Using two more q -analogs of the exponential function we mimic the exponential mapping from a Lie algebra to its Lie group and compute the matrix elements of “group operators” with respect to a standard basis in the representation space. Depending on which q -analog of the exponential we employ we obtain various q -analogs of the associated Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(m-n)}(x)$ for m, n nonnegative integers. We demonstrate that these matrix elements themselves form bases for two variable models of irreducible representations of the oscillator algebra and that indeed they are special cases of models involving q -analogs of the Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{(\gamma-n)}(x)$ for general real γ . We show that the polynomials in each example satisfy orthogonality relations which are q -analogs of the orthogonality relations for matrix elements of irreducible representations of the oscillator group.

In a second paper we will extend the ideas in §7 and explore the discrete orthogonality and biorthogonality relations for q -analogs of Laguerre and other polynomials obtained by multiplying the matrix of a “group operator” by its inverse matrix.

For the most part, the notation used for q -series in this paper follows that of Gasper

and Rahman [8].

2. Models of finite dimensional $U_q(su_2)$ representations. The quantum algebra $U_q(su_2)$ is the associative algebra generated by the elements H, E_+, E_- which obey the commutation relations

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [H, E_+] &= E_+, & [H, E_-] &= -E_-, \\ [E_+, E_-] &= \frac{q^H - q^{-H}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we take q to be a real parameter such that $0 < q < 1$. In the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ relations (2.1) go to the usual commutation relations for the complexification of the Lie algebra su_2 . Finite dimensional irreducible representations of $U_q(su_2)$ are determined by the integral or half-integral number u : $2u = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. The corresponding representation $D(2u)$ is defined on the $(2u + 1)$ -dimensional Hilbert space H_{2u} with orthonormal basis $\{e_m : m = -u, -u + 1, \dots, u\}$ such that

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E_+ e_m &= ([u - m]_q [u + m + 1]_q)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m+1} \\ E_- e_m &= ([u + m]_q [u - m + 1]_q)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m-1} \\ H e_m &= m e_m \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(2.3) \quad [m]_q = \frac{q^{\frac{m}{2}} - q^{-\frac{m}{2}}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}} = q^{-\frac{m-1}{2}} \left(\frac{1 - q^m}{1 - q} \right).$$

A second convenient basis for H_{2u} is the set $\{f_n : n = 0, 1, \dots, 2u\}$ such that

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} E_+ f_n &= -q^{-1} [2u - n]_q f_{n+1} \\ E_- f_n &= -q [n]_q f_{n-1} \\ H f_n &= (-u + n) f_n. \end{aligned}$$

Here

$$(2.5) \quad f_n = \left[\frac{(-1)^n q^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-u\right)n} (q; q)_n}{(q^{-2u}; q)_n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{-u+n}.$$

Since the element

$$(2.6) \quad C = E_+ E_- + \frac{q^{H-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-H+\frac{1}{2}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2}$$

commutes with each generator of $U_q(su_2)$ it corresponds to a multiple of the identity operator I on H_{2u} . Indeed,

$$(2.7) \quad C = \frac{q^{u+\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-u-\frac{1}{2}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2} I$$

on H_{2u} .

Given the irreducible representations $D(2u_1)$ and $D(2u_2)$ on the spaces H_{2u_1} and H_{2u_2} , respectively, we define the tensor product representation $D(2u_1) \otimes_a D(2u_2)$ of $U_q(su_2)$ on the space $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$ by the operators

$$(2.8) \quad \begin{aligned} F_+ &= \Delta_a(E_+) = E_+ \otimes q^{aH} + q^{(a-1)H} \otimes E_+ \\ F_- &= \Delta_a(E_-) = E_- \otimes q^{(1-a)H} + q^{-aH} \otimes E_- \\ L &= \Delta_a(H) = H \otimes I + I \otimes H \end{aligned}$$

where a is a real number. The operators F_{\pm}, L satisfy the same commutation relations as the operators E_{\pm}, H :

$$(2.9) \quad [L, F_{\pm}] = \pm F_{\pm}, \quad [F_+, F_-] = \frac{q^L - q^{-L}}{q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}}.$$

(If we require that F_+ is the adjoint of F_- and L is self-adjoint then we must have $a = \frac{1}{2}$, which is the usual definition of the tensor product, see [11,12]. Thus the interest of representations with general a relates primarily to non-unitary representations, particularly the non-unitary infinite dimensional representations to be considered in the next section. However, we will work out the details of the Clebsch-Gordan decomposition of a also in the finite dimensional case.) It is straightforward to show that

$$(2.10) \quad q^{-\alpha H \otimes H} \Delta_a q^{\alpha H \otimes H} = \Delta_{a-\alpha},$$

so

$$q^{(b-\frac{1}{2})H \otimes H} \Delta_{\frac{1}{2}} q^{(\frac{1}{2}-b)H \otimes H} = \Delta_b,$$

and the operators Δ_b are equivalent to the operators $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}$.

In order to decompose $D(2u_1) \otimes_a D(2u_2)$ into irreducible subspaces we introduce a convenient one variable model of $D(2u)$. Here the vector space H_{2u} consists of polynomials $f(z)$ of maximum order $2u$ in the complex variable z . The action of $U_q(su_2)$ is defined by the operators

$$(2.11) \quad \begin{aligned} E_+ &= \frac{q^{-\frac{1}{2}}z}{1-q} (q^u T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-u} T_z^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ E_- &= \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{z(1-q)} (T_z^{\frac{1}{2}} - T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}}) \\ H &= -u + z \frac{d}{dz} \end{aligned}$$

where $T_z f(z) = f(qz)$. The basis functions $\{f_n = z^n : n = 0, 1, \dots, 2u\}$ satisfy relations (2.4). We define a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on H_{2u} such that

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z)g(\bar{z})\rho(z, \bar{z}) dx dy$$

where $z = x + iy$ and $dx dy = -\frac{i}{2} dz d\bar{z}$. Further, we require that

$$\langle E_+ f, g \rangle = \langle f, E_- g \rangle, \quad \langle H f, g \rangle = \langle f, H g \rangle$$

for all $f, g \in H_{2u}$. A straightforward computation gives $\rho(z, \bar{z}) \equiv \rho(z\bar{z}) = \rho(w)$ where

$$\rho(qw) = \frac{(1 + q^{-u-\frac{5}{2}}w)}{(1 + q^{u-\frac{1}{2}}w)} \rho(w)$$

or

$$(2.12) \quad \rho(w) = K \frac{(-wq^{u-\frac{1}{2}}; q)_{\infty}}{(-wq^{-u-\frac{5}{2}}; q)_{\infty}} = \frac{K}{(-wq^{-u-\frac{5}{2}}; q)_{2u+2}}.$$

Since

$$\int_0^{\infty} \frac{dw}{(-wq^{-u-\frac{5}{2}}; q)_{2u+2}} = \frac{q^{u+\frac{5}{2}} \ln q^{-1}}{(1 - q^{2u+1})},$$

we choose

$$(2.12') \quad K = \frac{1 - q^{2u+1}}{q^{u+\frac{5}{2}} \ln q^{-1}}$$

so that $\langle 1, 1 \rangle = \langle f_0, f_0 \rangle = 1$. The functions

$$(2.13) \quad \begin{aligned} e_m(z) &= \frac{(q; q)_{2u}^{\frac{1}{2}} z^{u+m}}{\left(q^{(u+\frac{3}{2})(u+m)} q^{-(u+m)(u+m-4)/2} (q; q)_{u+m} (q; q)_{u-m} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}} \\ &= z^{u+m} \sqrt{\frac{(q^{-2u}; q)_{u+m}}{(-q^{\frac{3}{2}-u})^{u+m} (q; q)_{u+m}}}, \quad m = -u, -u+1, \dots, u \end{aligned}$$

form an orthonormal basis for H_{2u} in this model. This Hilbert space has the kernel function

$$(2.14) \quad S(\bar{z}', z) = \sum_{m=-u}^u e_m(\bar{z}') e_m(z) = (-q^{-u-\frac{3}{2}} \bar{z}' z; q)_{2u},$$

so that

$$\langle g, S(\bar{z}', \cdot) \rangle = g(z')$$

for $z' \in \mathcal{C}$ and $g \in H_{2u}$.

It follows from (2.8), (2.10) that the operators corresponding to the tensor product $D(2u_1) \otimes_a D(2u_2)$ take the form

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.15) \quad F_+ &= \frac{1}{1-q} \left\{ q^{-au_2 - \frac{1}{2}} z (q^{u_1} T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-u_1} T_z^{\frac{1}{2}}) T_w^a + q^{(-a+1)u_1 - \frac{1}{2}} w (q^{u_2} T_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-u_2} T_w^{\frac{1}{2}}) T_z^{-1+a} \right\} \\
F_- &= \frac{q^{\frac{3}{2}}}{1-q} \left\{ \frac{q^{(-1+a)u_2}}{z} (T_z^{\frac{1}{2}} - T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}}) T_w^{-a+1} + \frac{q^{au_1}}{w} (T_w^{\frac{1}{2}} - T_w^{-\frac{1}{2}}) T_z^{-a} \right\} \\
L &= -u_1 - u_2 + z \frac{d}{dz} + w \frac{d}{dw}.
\end{aligned}$$

The functions

$$p_{k,\ell}(z, w) = z^k w^\ell, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, 2u_1; \quad \ell = 0, 1, \dots, 2u_2$$

form a basis for $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$. We use the method of highest weights to decompose $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$ into irreducible subspaces. The eigenvectors of L such that $F_- f = 0$ are given by

$$(2.16) \quad f_{s,0}^a = \sum_{r=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_r}{(q; q)_r} q^{-(2a-1)r^2/2} z^s \left[\frac{w}{z} q^{-(1-a)u_2 - au_1 + (a+\frac{1}{2})s} \right]^r.$$

Note that in the case $a = \frac{1}{2}$, expression (2.16) can be summed explicitly:

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.17) \quad f_{s,0} &= z^s \frac{(\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2}; q)_\infty}{(\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2 + s}; q)_\infty} = z^s \left(\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2}; q \right)_s \\
L f_{s,0} &= (s - u_1 - u_2) f_{s,0}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots, \min(2u_1, 2u_2).
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ on $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}
(2.18) \quad \langle p_{k_1, \ell_1}, p_{k_2, \ell_2} \rangle_a &= \delta_{k_1 k_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} (-1)^{k_1 + \ell_1} q^{\frac{3}{2}(k_1 + \ell_1) - u_1 k_1 - u_2 \ell_1} q^{(2a-1)(u_1 \ell_1 + u_2 k_1 - k_1 \ell_1)} \\
&\quad \times \frac{(q; q)_{k_1} (q; q)_{\ell_1}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{k_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{\ell_1}}.
\end{aligned}$$

By construction

$$(2.19) \quad \langle F_+ p_1, p_2 \rangle_a = \langle p_1, F_- p_2 \rangle_a, \quad \langle L p_1, p_2 \rangle_a = \langle p_1, L p_2 \rangle_a$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$. (For $a = \frac{1}{2}$, this agrees with the inner product on $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$ induced by (2.12), (2.13).) A straightforward computation yields

$$(2.20) \quad \langle f_{s,0}^a, f_{s,0}^a \rangle_a = (-1)^s q^{-u_1 s + (2a-1)u_2 s + \frac{3}{2}s} \frac{(q; q)_s (q^{-2u_2 - 2u_1 + s - 1}; q)_s}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_s (q^{-2u_2}; q)_s}.$$

Now we define vectors $f_{s,k}$, recursively, by

$$(2.21) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{s,k+1} &= \frac{-q}{[2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s - k]_q} F_+ f_{s,k} \\ k &= 0, 1, \dots, 2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s - 1 \\ s &= 0, 1, \dots, \min(2u_1, 2u_2). \end{aligned}$$

Using the recurrence relations (2.9) and the Casimir operator

$$C' = F_+ F_- + \frac{q^{L-\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-L+\frac{1}{2}}}{(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}})^2}$$

we can verify the following.

LEMMA 1.

- (1) $F_+ f_{s,k} = -q^{-1}[2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s - k]_q f_{s,k+1}$
- (2) $F_- f_{s,k} = -q[k]_q f_{s,k-1}$
- (3) $L f_{s,k} = (-u_1 - u_2 + s + k) f_{s,k}$.

In particular, $F_+ f_{s,2u_1+2u_2-2s} = 0$. For fixed s the $\{f_{s,k}\}$ form an orthogonal basis for a subspace of $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$ transforming according to the irreducible representation $D(2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s)$.

LEMMA 2.

$$D(2u_1) \otimes D(2u_2) \cong \sum_{s=0}^{\min(2u_1, 2u_2)} \oplus D(2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s).$$

LEMMA 3.

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_{s,k}^a, f_{s',k'}^a \rangle &= \delta_{ss'} \delta_{kk'} (-1)^{k+s} q^{-u_1 s + (2a-1)u_2 s + \frac{3}{2}s + k(-u_1 - u_2 + s + \frac{3}{2})} \\ &\times \frac{(q; q)_k (q; q)_s (q^{-2u_1 - 2u_2 + s - 1}; q)_s}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_s (q^{-2u_2}; q)_s (q^{-2u_1 - 2u_2 + 2s}; q)_k}. \end{aligned}$$

Instead of the orthogonal basis $\{f_{s,k}\}$ we can pass to the orthonormal basis $\{e_m^v\}$ where

$$(2.22) \quad e_m^v = \|f_{s,k}\|^{-1} f_{s,k}$$

and $v = u_1 + u_2 - s$, $m = -u_1 - u_2 + s + k$, so that

$$\begin{aligned} v &= u_1 + u_2, u_1 + u_2 - 1, \dots, |u_1 - u_2| \\ m &= -v, -v + 1, \dots, v. \end{aligned}$$

To derive a generating function for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients we apply a q -analog of the exponential of F_+ to $f_{s,0}^a$ and expand the resulting expression in terms of the monomial $p_{n,m}$ basis:

$$(2.23) \quad (\exp_q tF_+)f_{s,0}^a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)/4}}{(q; q)_k} t^k F_+^k f_{s,0}^a.$$

To compute the righthand side of (2.23) we need to evaluate the terms $F_+^k p_{n,m}$ where $p_{n,m} = z^n w^m$ and

$$(2.24) \quad F_+ = Y + X, \quad Y = E_+ \otimes q^{aH}, \quad X = q^{(a-1)H} \otimes E_+.$$

Note that $YX = qXY$. Moreover, a straightforward induction argument using this property, [8, page 28],[17], yields

LEMMA 4.

$$(Y + X)^k = \sum_{\ell=0}^k \frac{(q; q)_k}{(q; q)_\ell (q; q)_{k-\ell}} X^\ell Y^{k-\ell}.$$

The righthand side of this expression is easily evaluated on the $p_{n,m}$ basis. Then, making use of (2.16) we obtain the expression

$$(2.25) \quad (\exp_q tF_+)f_{s,0}^a = \sum_{h=0}^s \sum_{j,\ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_h (q^{-2u_1+s-h}; q)_j (q^{-2u_2+h}; q)_\ell}{(q; q)_h (q; q)_j (q; q)_\ell (1-q)^{j+\ell}} q^{(a-\frac{1}{2})(\ell j - \ell h - h^2) + (a+\frac{1}{2})hj} \\ \times q^{\ell([1-a][u_1-s]+u_2)+j(u_1-au_2-\frac{s}{2})+h([a-1]u_2-au_1+[a+\frac{1}{2}]s)} z^{s-h+j} w^{h+\ell} t^{j+\ell}$$

where $k = j + \ell$. On the other hand, from (2.21),

$$(2.26) \quad (\exp_q tF_+)f_{s,0}^a = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k+1)/4}}{(q; q)_k} t^k F_+^k f_{s,0}^a \\ = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-2u_1-2u_2+2s}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} \left(\frac{tq^{u_1+u_2-s}}{1-q} \right)^k f_{s,k}^a.$$

Thus,

$$(2.27) \quad f_{s,k}^a(z, w) = \sum_{r=0}^{s+k} \frac{q^{k[-(a+1)u_2+s/2]} (q; q)_k (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{s+k-r} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_r}{(q; q)_\infty^2 (q^{2s-2u_1-2u_2}; q)_k (q^{-2u_1}; q)_s} \\ \times (q^{1+k-r}; q)_\infty (q^{1+r}; q)_\infty q^{r[(a-\frac{1}{2})(k-r)+(a-\frac{1}{2})s-a(u_1-u_2)+u_2]} \\ \times {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-s}, q^{2u_1-s+1}, q^{-r} \\ q^{k-r+1}, q^{-2u_2} \end{matrix}; q; q^{2s-2u_1-2u_2+k} \right) z^{s+k-r} w^r.$$

From this result we can easily expand the orthonormal basis $\{e_m^v\}$ for $H_{2u_1} \otimes H_{2u_2}$,

(2.28)

$$f_{s,k}^a = \left[\frac{(-1)^{u_1+u_2-v} (q; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-u_1-u_2-v-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q; q)_{v+m} (q; q)_{v-m}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q; q)_{2v}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ \times q^{\frac{1}{4}(v^2-m^2)+(m+v)-\frac{1}{2}u_1(u_1+u_2-v)+\frac{3}{4}(u_1+u_2-v)+(a-\frac{1}{2})u_2(u_1+u_2-v)} e_m^v,$$

where

$$m = v, v-1, \dots, -v; \quad v = u_1 + u_2, u_1 + u_2 - 1, \dots, |u_1 - u_2|,$$

in terms of the orthonormal basis, (2.18),

(2.29)

$$e_{n_1}^{u_1} \otimes_a e_{n_2}^{u_2} = \left[\frac{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2}}{(q; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2} (-1)^{u_1+u_2+n_1+n_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{(\frac{1}{2}-a)[u_1(u_1+n_1)+u_2(u_2+n_2)-(u_1+n_1)(u_2+n_2)]} \\ \times q^{-\frac{3}{4}(u_1+u_2+n_1+n_2)+\frac{u_1}{2}(u_1+n_1)+\frac{u_2}{2}(u_2+n_2)} p_{u_1+n_1, u_2+n_2}, \\ n_i = u_i, u_i - 1, \dots, -u_i :$$

$$(2.30) \quad e_m^v = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q e_{n_1}^{u_1} \otimes_a e_{n_2}^{u_2}.$$

This defines the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product $D(2u_1) \otimes D(2u_2)$. It follows from (2.27) that these coefficients vanish unless $m = n_1 + n_2$. Furthermore, the orthogonality of the two bases implies the identities

$$(2.31) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2} \begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v' \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q^* = \delta_{vv'}, \\ \sum_v \begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v' \\ n'_1 & n'_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q^* = \delta_{n_1 n'_1}.$$

(In the second sum we require $n_1 + n_2 = n'_1 + n'_2 = m$, and $*$ is complex conjugation.) From (2.27)-(2.30) we find

$$\begin{matrix} a \\ a \end{matrix} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q = \\ \left[\frac{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2} (q; q)_{v+m} (q; q)_{u_1+n_1}}{(-1)^{u_1+u_2-v} q^{\frac{3}{2}(u_1+u_2-v)} (q; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-u_1-u_2-v-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2v}; q)_{v+m} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ (2.32) \quad \times \frac{(q^{m+v-u_2-n_2+1}; q)_\infty}{(q; q)_\infty (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v}} q^{a[u_1(u_1+n_1)+u_2(u_2+n_2)-(u_1+n_1)(u_2+n_2)-u_1 u_2 - n_2^2 + m n_2]}$$

$$\times q^{\frac{1}{2}[(u_1+n_1)(u_2+n_2)-(m+v)(\frac{3}{2}+u_1-u_2)+(u_2+n_2)(2u_2-m+n_2-u_1)-\frac{3}{2}(u_1+u_2+n_1+n_2)+u_2(u_1+u_2-v)]}$$

$$\times_3 \phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{v-u_1-u_2}, & q^{u_1-u_2+v+1}, & q^{-u_2-n_2} \\ q^{v+m-u_2-n_2+1}, & q^{-2u_2} & \end{matrix}; q; q^{-v+m} \right).$$

In the case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ the sum (2.25) can be evaluated explicitly (through use of the q -Vandermonde identity [8, page]):

$$(2.33) \quad (\exp_q tF_+) f_{s,0}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(\frac{zt}{1-q} q^{-\frac{u_2}{2} + \frac{s}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{wt}{1-q} q^{\frac{u_1}{2} - u_2 + \frac{s}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{u_1}{2} - \frac{u_2}{2}}; q)_s}{(\frac{wt}{1-q} q^{\frac{u_1}{2} + u_2 - \frac{s}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{zt}{1-q} q^{u_1 - \frac{u_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}}; q)_\infty}.$$

Thus, from (2.26), (2.28) and (2.29) we obtain the generating function (after some rescaling)

$$(2.34) \quad x_2^{u_3+u_2-u_1} \left(\frac{x_3}{x_2} q^{u_1-u_2-u_3}; q \right)_{u_2+u_3-u_1} x_3^{u_3+u_1-u_2} \left(\frac{x_1}{x_3} q^{u_2-u_3-u_1}; q \right)_{u_3+u_1-u_2} \times$$

$$x_1^{u_1+u_2-u_3} \left(\frac{x_2}{x_1} q^{1+u_3-u_1-u_2}; q \right)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} = (-1)^{u_3+u_2-u_1} q^{\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2-u_3)+u_3(u_1+u_2)-\frac{1}{2}(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)} \times$$

$$\left[\frac{(-1)^{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q^{-u_1-u_2-u_3-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times$$

$$\sum_{n_1, n_2} (-1)^{u_3+m} x_3^{u_3-m} x_1^{u_1+n_1} x_2^{u_2+n_2} q^{\frac{1}{2}[m(u_2-u_1+u_3)+n_1(u_2-u_3+u_1)+n_2(u_3-u_1+u_2+2)]} \times$$

$$\left[\frac{(q^{-2u_3}; q)_{u_3+m} (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2} (-1)^{u_3-u_1-u_2}}{(q; q)_{u_3+m} (q; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q,$$

where $m = n_1 + n_2$. The lefthand side of (2.34) admits symmetries which account for the 72 symmetries of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients [12]. Indeed, any even permutation of the indices 1, 2, 3 on the lefthand side induces a symmetry. For example, the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\rightarrow qx_2 & u_1 &\rightarrow u_2 \\ x_2 &\rightarrow x_3 & u_2 &\rightarrow u_3 \\ x_3 &\rightarrow x_1 & u_3 &\rightarrow u_1 \end{aligned}$$

induced by the cyclic permutation (123) is a symmetry (it maps the generating function to $q^{u_2+u_3-u_1}$ times itself), as is the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\rightarrow qx_3 & u_1 &\rightarrow u_3 \\ x_3 &\rightarrow x_2 & u_3 &\rightarrow u_2 \\ x_2 &\rightarrow x_1 & u_2 &\rightarrow u_1 \end{aligned}$$

induced by (132), which maps the generating function to $q^{u_3+u_1-u_2}$ times itself. The odd permutation (12)(3) induces the transformation

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 &\rightarrow x_2 & u_1 &\rightarrow u_2 & q &\rightarrow q^{-1} \\ x_2 &\rightarrow x_1 & u_2 &\rightarrow u_1 & & \\ x_3 &\rightarrow x_3 & u_3 &\rightarrow u_3 & & \end{aligned}$$

which maps the generating function to $(-1)^{u_1+u_2+u_3} q^{2(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)-\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2+u_3)^2+\frac{3}{2}u_3-\frac{1}{2}u_1-\frac{1}{2}u_2}$ times itself. The transformation

$$x_j \rightarrow x_j^{-1}, \quad u_j \rightarrow u_j, \quad q \rightarrow q^{-1}, \quad j = 1, 2, 3$$

followed by a multiplication by $x_1^{2u_1} x_2^{2u_2} x_3^{2u_3}$ maps the generating function to

$$(-1)^{u_1+u_2+u_3} q^{2(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)-\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2+u_3)^2+\frac{3}{2}u_3-\frac{1}{2}u_1-\frac{1}{2}u_2}$$

times itself. The remaining symmetries are probably best understood from the examination of a new generating function. In (2.34) we set $x_1 = \frac{z_2}{y_2}$, $x_2 = \frac{z_1}{y_1}$, $x_3 = \frac{z_3}{y_3}$, multiply by

$$\frac{(-1)^{u_1+u_2+u_3} (y_1 y_3 s_2)^{u_3+u_2-u_1} (y_2 y_3 s_1)^{u_3+u_1-u_2} (y_2 y_1 s_3)^{u_1+u_2-u_3} q^{4(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)-(u_1+u_2+u_3)^2}}{(q; q)_{u_3+u_2-u_1} (q; q)_{u_3+u_1-u_2} (q; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3}}$$

and sum over all possible values of u_1, u_2, u_3 to get

$$\begin{aligned} (2.35) \quad & \frac{(y_3 s_2 z_1 q; q)_\infty (y_2 s_1 z_3 q; q)_\infty (y_1 s_3 z_2 q; q)_\infty}{(y_1 s_2 z_3; q)_\infty (y_3 s_1 z_2; q)_\infty (y_2 s_3 z_1 q; q)_\infty} = \\ & \sum_{u_1+u_2+u_3=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n_j=-u_j}^{u_j} \frac{s_1^{u_3+u_1-u_2} s_2^{u_2+u_3-u_1} s_3^{u_1+u_2-u_3}}{(q; q)_{u_3+u_1-u_2} (q; q)_{u_2+u_3-u_1} (q; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3}} \times \\ & z_1^{u_2+n_2} z_2^{u_1+n_1} z_3^{u_3-m} y_1^{u_2-n_2} y_2^{u_1-n_1} (-y_3)^{u_3+m} (-1)^{2(u_2+u_3)} q^{\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2-u_3)} \times \\ & q^{\frac{5}{2}(u_1^2+u_2^2+u_3^2)-2u_1u_2-u_3(u_1+u_2)} q^{\frac{1}{2}[m(u_2-u_1+u_3)+n_1(u_2-u_3+u_1)+n_2(u_3-u_1+u_2+2)]} \times \\ & \left[\frac{(q; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q^{-u_1-u_2-u_3-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q^{-2u_3}; q)_{u_3+m} (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-u_3} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+u_1-u_3} (q; q)_{u_3+m} (q; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & u_3 \\ n_1 & n_2 & n_3 \end{bmatrix}_q. \end{aligned}$$

All even permutations of the rows and columns of the matrix

$$(2.36) \quad \begin{pmatrix} y_1 & s_1 & z_1 \\ y_2 & s_2 & z_2 \\ y_3 & s_3 & z_3 \end{pmatrix}$$

induce symmetries of the lefthand side of (2.35). For example, the mapping of (2.36) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_3 & z_3 & s_3 \\ y_2 & z_2 & s_2 \\ y_1 & z_1 & s_1 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a symmetry, as is the mapping of (2.36) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_3 & s_3 & z_3 \\ y_1 & s_1 & z_1 q \\ y_2 & s_2 q^{-1} & z_2 \end{pmatrix},$$

and to

$$\begin{pmatrix} y_2 & s_2 & z_2 q^{-1} \\ y_3 & s_3 q & z_3 \\ y_1 & s_1 & z_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

(The last two examples correspond to cyclic permutations of (2.34).) Another example is the mapping of (2.36) to

$$\begin{pmatrix} z_1 q & y_1 & s_1 \\ z_2 & y_2 & s_2 \\ z_3 & y_3 q^{-1} & s_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

All of these symmetries together generate the full group of 72 transformations of the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients, [12]. Through the relation (2.32) the symmetries lead to transformation formulas for the ${}_3\phi_2$ polynomials, [8].

3. A class of infinite dimensional representations of $U_q(su_2)$. Now we consider the discrete series \uparrow_u of infinite dimensional representations of $U_q(su_2)$. This is defined on the Hilbert space H_0 with orthonormal basis $\{e_m : m = -u + n, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_+ e_m &= ([m - u]_q [u + m + 1]_q)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m+1} \\ E_- e_m &= -([u + m]_q [m - u - 1]_q)^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{m-1} \\ H e_m &= m e_m. \end{aligned} \tag{3.1}$$

Here u is a negative real number. A second convenient basis is the set $\{f_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_+ f_n &= q^{-1} [n - 2u]_q f_{n+1} \\ E_- f_n &= -q [n]_q f_{n-1} \\ H f_n &= (-u + n) f_n. \end{aligned} \tag{3.2}$$

On this Hilbert space $E_+ = -(E_-)^*$ and $H^* = H$, i.e.,

$$(3.3) \quad \langle E_+ f, g \rangle = -\langle f, E_- g \rangle, \quad \langle H f, g \rangle = \langle f, H g \rangle$$

for all $f, g \in H_0$ in the domains of the appropriate operators E_{\pm}, H . (In the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ these representations correspond to the positive discrete series of unitary irreducible representations of the Lie algebra $su(1, 1)$, [20, 21].) Here

$$(3.4) \quad f_n = \sqrt{\frac{q^{(\frac{3}{2}-u)n}(q; q)_n}{(q^{-2u}; q)_n}} e_{-u+n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note, however, that for each complex number u such that $2u \neq 0, 1, 2, \dots$ expressions (3.1) (with a suitable definition of $\sqrt{m-u}$) or (3.2) define an algebraically irreducible representation \uparrow_u of $U_q(su_2)$ on an infinite dimensional vector space K consisting of all finite linear combinations of the basis vectors $\{e_m\}$ or $\{f_n\}$. In this more general case we can define a symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) such that $(e_m, e_{m'}) = \delta_{mm'}$. Then with respect to this form we have

$$(3.5) \quad (E_+ f, g) = -(f, E_- g), \quad (H f, g) = (f, H g)$$

for all $f, g \in K$. Also,

$$(3.6) \quad (f_n, f_{n'}) = \delta_{nn'} \frac{q^{(\frac{3}{2}-u)n}(q; q)_n}{(q^{-2u}; q)_n}.$$

Given the irreducible representations \uparrow_{u_1} and \uparrow_{u_2} we define the tensor product representation $\uparrow_{u_1} \otimes_a \uparrow_{u_2}$ of $U_q(su_2)$ on the space $K \otimes K$ by the usual operators

$$(3.7) \quad \begin{aligned} F_+ &= \Delta_a(E_+) = E_+ \otimes q^{aH} + q^{(a-1)H} \otimes E_+ \\ F_- &= \Delta_a(E_-) = E_- \otimes q^{(1-a)H} + q^{-aH} \otimes E_- \\ L &= \Delta_a(H) = H \otimes I + I \otimes H \end{aligned}$$

where a is a complex number. Again the operators F_{\pm}, L satisfy the same commutation relations as the operators E_{\pm}, H . (If u_1 and u_2 are negative real numbers and we require that $F_- = -(F_+)^*$, $L = L^*$ with respect to the inner product induced from the unitary representations $\uparrow_{u_1}, \uparrow_{u_2}$ then we must have $a = \frac{1}{2}$. Since the equivalence relations (2.10) hold, we can relate the tensor product Δ_a for general a to $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}$.)

To decompose $\uparrow_{u_1} \otimes_a \uparrow_{u_2}$ into a direct sum of irreducible representations we follow the procedure of §2 and introduce a convenient one variable model of \uparrow_u . A basis for the vector space consists of the functions $\{f_n(z) = z^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ in the complex variable

z . The action of $U_q(su_2)$ on functions $f(z)$ is defined by expressions (2.11). We define a bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) such that

$$(3.8) \quad (f, g) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \int_0^k f(re^{i\theta})g(re^{-i\theta})\rho(r^2) d_q r^2 d\theta$$

where $z = re^{i\theta}$, $\bar{z} = re^{-i\theta}$ and

$$(3.9) \quad \int_0^k F(r^2) d_q r^2 = k(1-q) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} F(kq^n)q^n.$$

Requiring that

$$(E_+ f, g) = -(f, E_- g), \quad (H f, g) = (f, H g)$$

for all polynomials f, g we find that the (essentially) unique solution is

$$(3.10) \quad k = q^{-u+\frac{1}{2}}, \quad \rho(r^2) = \frac{[-u - \frac{1}{2}]_q (r^2 q^{u-\frac{1}{2}}; q)_\infty}{q^{\frac{5}{2}} (r^2 q^{-u-\frac{5}{2}}; q)_\infty}$$

for $u \neq -\frac{1}{2}$, normalized so that $\|1\|^2 = \langle 1, 1 \rangle = 1$. In the special case $u = -\frac{1}{2}$ we define the bilinear form through the limit

$$(3.11) \quad (f, g)_{-\frac{1}{2}} = \lim_{u \rightarrow -\frac{1}{2}} (f, g)_u.$$

If u is real and negative this bilinear form determines an inner product $\langle f, g \rangle = (f, \bar{g})$. With respect to this inner product we have relations

$$(3.12) \quad (f_n, f_{n'}) = \delta_{nn'} \frac{q^{\left(\frac{3}{2}-u\right)n} (q; q)_n}{(q^{-2u}; q)_n},$$

in agreement with (3.6). Completing the vector space K to the Hilbert space K_u , the *closure* of K with respect to this inner product, we see that K_u consists of all functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

such that

$$(3.13) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |c_n|^2 \frac{(q^{\frac{3}{2}-u})^n (q; q)_n}{(q^{-2u}; q)_n} < \infty.$$

These are just the functions $f(z)$ analytic in the disk $|z| < q^{\frac{u}{2} - \frac{3}{4}}$. The Hilbert spaces K_u have corresponding kernel functions

$$(3.14) \quad S(\bar{z}', z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_{-u+n}(\bar{z}') e_{-u+n}(z) = \frac{(q^{-u-\frac{3}{2}} \bar{z}' z; q)_{\infty}}{(q^{u-\frac{3}{2}} \bar{z}' z; q)_{\infty}},$$

so that

$$(g, S(\bar{z}', \cdot)) = g(z')$$

for $|z'| < q^{\frac{u}{2} - \frac{3}{4}}$ and $g \in K_u$.

Just as in §2, the operators corresponding to the tensor product $\uparrow_{u_1} \otimes_a \uparrow_{u_2}$ take the form (2.15). The functions

$$p_{k,\ell}(z, w) = z^k w^{\ell}, \quad k, \ell = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

form an orthogonal basis for $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$. Again we will use the weight vector calculations to decompose $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$ into irreducible subspaces. For convenience we will consider only the case where u_1 and u_2 are negative real numbers. (However, it is easy, via the bilinear form (3.8) to carry out the corresponding computation for all complex u_1, u_2 such that $2u_1, 2u_2$ and $2(u_1 + u_2)$ are not positive integers or zero.) The eigenvectors f of L such that $F_- f = 0$ are given by the expression (2.16), where now $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$. In the case where $a = \frac{1}{2}$ we can sum this series explicitly:

$$(3.15) \quad f_{s,0} = z^s \frac{(\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2}; q)_{\infty}}{(\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2 + s}; q)_{\infty}} = z^s (\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{1}{2}u_1 - \frac{1}{2}u_2}; q)_s$$

$$L f_{s,0} = (s - u_1 - u_2) f_{s,0}, \quad s = 0, 1, \dots$$

Now we introduce a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_a$ on $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$ such that

$$(3.16) \quad \langle p_{k_1, \ell_1}, p_{k_2, \ell_2} \rangle_a = \delta_{k_1 k_2} \delta_{\ell_1 \ell_2} q^{\frac{3}{2}(k_1 + \ell_1) - u_1 k_1 - u_2 \ell_1} q^{(2a-1)(u_1 \ell_1 + u_2 k_1 - k_1 \ell_1)}$$

$$\times \frac{(q; q)_{k_1} (q; q)_{\ell_1}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{k_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{\ell_1}}.$$

It is easy to verify that

$$(3.17) \quad \langle F_+ p_1, p_2 \rangle_a = - \langle p_1, F_- p_2 \rangle_a, \quad \langle L p_1, p_2 \rangle_a = \langle p_1, L p_2 \rangle_a$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$. (For $a = \frac{1}{2}$, this agrees with the inner product induced on $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$ by (3.10), (3.12).) It follows that

$$(3.18) \quad \langle f_{s,0}^a, f_{s,0}^a \rangle_a = q^{-u_1 s + (2a-1)u_2 s + \frac{3}{2}s} \frac{(q; q)_s (q^{-2u_2 - 2u_1 + s - 1}; q)_s}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_s (q^{-2u_2}; q)_s}.$$

Vectors $f_{s,k}$, can now be defined recursively, by

$$(3.19) \quad f_{s,k+1} = \frac{-q}{[2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s - k]_q} F_+ f_{s,k}$$

$$s, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

LEMMA 5.

- (1) $F_+ f_{s,k} = -q^{-1}[2u_1 + 2u_2 - 2s - k]_q f_{s,k+1}$
 - (2) $F_- f_{s,k} = -q[k]_q f_{s,k-1}$
 - (3) $L f_{s,k} = (-u_1 - u_2 + s + k) f_{s,k}$.
- $$s, k = 0, 1, \dots$$

For fixed s the $\{f_{s,k}\}$ form an orthogonal basis for a subspace of $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$ transforming according to the irreducible representation $\uparrow_{u_1+u_2-s}$.

LEMMA 6.

$$\uparrow_{u_1} \otimes_a \uparrow_{u_2} \cong \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \oplus \uparrow_{u_1+u_2-s}.$$

LEMMA 7.

$$\langle f_{s,k}^a, f_{s',k'}^a \rangle = \delta_{s,s'} \delta_{k,k'} q^{-u_1 s + (2a-1)u_2 s + \frac{3}{2}s + k(-u_1 - u_2 + s + \frac{3}{2})}$$

$$\times \frac{(q; q)_k (q; q)_s (q^{-2u_1 - 2u_2 + s - 1}; q)_s}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_s (q^{-2u_2}; q)_s (q^{-2u_1 - 2u_2 + 2s}; q)_k}.$$

Passing to the orthonormal basis $\{e_m^v\}$ where

$$e_m^v = \|f_{s,k}\|^{-1} f_{s,k}$$

$$v = u_1 + u_2 - s, \quad m = -u_1 - u_2 + s + k,$$

we see that these basis vectors satisfy relations (3.1).

The derivation of a generating function for the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients is very similar to the corresponding computation in §2. We apply a q -analog of the exponential F_+ to $f_{s,0}^a$ exactly as in (2.23). Applying Lemma 4 and using (2.16) we again obtain (2.25). Similarly, the generating function (2.26) and the explicit expression (2.27) for $f_{s,k}^a(z, w)$ hold for the discrete series of representations. From this result we can expand the orthonormal basis $\{e_m^v\}$ for $K_{u_1} \otimes K_{u_2}$,

$$(3.20) \quad f_{s,k}^a = \left[\frac{(q; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-u_1-u_2-v-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q; q)_{v+m}}{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2v}; q)_{m+v}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

$$\times q^{\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2-v)(-u_1+[2a-1]u_2+\frac{3}{2})+\frac{1}{2}(m+v)(\frac{3}{2}-v)} e_m^v,$$

where

$$m = s + k - u_1 - u_2, \quad v = u_1 + u_2 - s$$

in terms of the orthonormal basis

(3.21)

$$\begin{aligned} e_{n_1}^{u_1} \otimes_a e_{n_2}^{u_2} &= \left[\frac{(q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2}}{(q; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} q^{\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right)[u_1(u_1+n_1)+u_2(u_2+n_2)-(u_1+n_1)(u_2+n_2)]} \\ &\quad \times q^{-\frac{3}{4}(u_1+u_2+n_1+n_2)+\frac{u_1}{2}(u_1+n_1)+\frac{u_2}{2}(u_2+n_2)} p_{u_1+n_1, u_2+n_2}, \\ n_i &= -u_i, -u_i + 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

(3.22)

$$e_m^v = \sum_{n_1, n_2} a \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q e_{n_1}^{u_1} \otimes_a e_{n_2}^{u_2}.$$

This last expression defines the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the tensor product $\uparrow_{u_1} \otimes \uparrow_{u_2}$. Clearly, they vanish unless $m = n_1 + n_2$. The orthogonality of the two bases implies the orthogonality relations (2.31), except that the sums are now infinite. We find

$$\begin{aligned} & a \begin{bmatrix} u_1 & u_2 & v \\ n_1 & n_2 & m \end{bmatrix}_q = \\ & \left[\frac{(q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q^{-2u_2}; q)_{u_2+n_2} (q; q)_{v+m} (q; q)_{u_1+n_1} (q; q)_{u_2+n_2}}{(q^{-u_1-u_2-v-1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2u_1}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v} (q^{-2v}; q)_{v+m}} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \\ & \times (q^{v-u_2+n_1+1}; q)_\infty (q^{u_2+n_2+1}; q)_\infty q^{-\frac{1}{2}(u_1+u_2-v)(-u_1+[1-2a]n_2+\frac{3}{2})+(m+v)(\frac{1}{2}u_1-u_2+[a-\frac{1}{2}]n_2-\frac{3}{4})} \\ & \quad \times q^{(a-\frac{1}{2})[u_1(u_1+n_1)+u_2^2-n_2^2-(u_1+n_1)(u_2+n_2)]} q^{-\frac{u_1}{2}(u_1+n_1)+u_2(u_2+n_2)-au_1(u_2+n_2)} \\ & \quad \times q^{\frac{3}{4}(u_1+u_2+n_1+n_2)} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{v-u_1-u_2}, & q^{u_1-u_2+v+1}, & q^{-u_2-n_2} \\ q^{v+m-u_2-n_2+1}, & q^{-2u_2} & \end{matrix}; q; q^{-v+m} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, in the case $a = \frac{1}{2}$ the sum (2.25) can be evaluated explicitly to yield the generating function

$$(3.24) \quad (\exp_q t F_+) f_{s,0}^{\frac{1}{2}} = \frac{(\frac{zt}{1-q} q^{\frac{u_1}{2}-\frac{v}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{wt}{1-q} q^{u_1-\frac{u_2}{2}-\frac{v}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{w}{z} q^{-\frac{u_1}{2}-\frac{u_2}{2}}; q)_{u_1+u_2-v}}{(\frac{wt}{1-q} q^{\frac{u_2}{2}+\frac{v}{2}}; q)_\infty (\frac{zt}{1-q} q^{\frac{u_1}{2}-u_2+\frac{v}{2}}; q)_\infty}.$$

4. Models of oscillator algebra representations. We introduce as a q -analog of the oscillator algebra the associative algebra generated by the four elements H , E_+ , E_- , \mathcal{E} which obey the commutation relations

$$(4.1) \quad \begin{aligned} [H, E_+] &= E_+, & [H, E_-] &= -E_-, \\ [E_+, E_-] &= -q^{-H} \mathcal{E}, & [\mathcal{E}, E_\pm] &= [\mathcal{E}, H] = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These relations are motivated by the recurrence relations obeyed by the q -Laguerre polynomials, although, as we shall see, this associative algebra is not a quantum algebra. In the limit as $q \rightarrow 1$ expressions (4.1) reduce to the commutation relations of the four dimensional oscillator Lie algebra [22]. The associative algebra admits a class of algebraically irreducible representations $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$ where ℓ, λ are complex numbers and $\ell \neq 0$. These are defined on a vector space with basis $\{e_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that

$$\begin{aligned}
E_+ e_n &= \ell \sqrt{\frac{q^{-n-1} - 1}{1 - q}} e_{n+1} \\
E_- e_n &= \ell \sqrt{\frac{q^{-n} - 1}{1 - q}} e_{n-1} \\
H e_n &= (\lambda + n) e_n, \quad \mathcal{E} e_n = \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} e_n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.2}$$

If λ and ℓ are real then $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$ is defined on the Hilbert space K_0 with orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ and on this space we have $E_+ = (E_-)^*$, $H^* = H$ and $\mathcal{E}^* = \mathcal{E}$. A second convenient basis for K_0 is $\{f_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ where

$$\begin{aligned}
E_+ f_n &= \ell q^{-(n+1)/2} f_{n+1} \\
E_- f_n &= \ell q^{-n/2} \frac{1 - q^n}{1 - q} f_{n-1} \\
H f_n &= (\lambda + n) f_n \quad \mathcal{E} f_n = \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} f_n.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.3}$$

Here, $f_n = \sqrt{(q; q)_n / (1 - q)^n} e_n$.

Note that even in the case where ℓ and λ are complex we can define a symmetric bilinear form (\cdot, \cdot) on the space K of all finite linear combinations of the basis vectors $\{e_n\}$ such that $(e_n, e_{n'}) = \delta_{nn'}$. Then with respect to this bilinear form we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(E_+ f, g) &= (f, E_- g), \quad (H f, g) = (f, H g) \\
(\mathcal{E} f, g) &= (f, \mathcal{E} g)
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}$$

for all polynomials $f, g \in K$. Also

$$(f_n, f'_n) = \delta_{nn'} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(1 - q)^n}.
\tag{4.5}$$

The elements $\mathcal{C} = qq^{-H}\mathcal{E} + (q - 1)E_+E_-$ and \mathcal{E} lie in the center of this algebra, and corresponding to the irreducible representation $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$ we have $\mathcal{C} = \ell^2 I$, $\mathcal{E} = \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} I$ where I is the identity operator on K_0 .

Given the irreducible representations $\uparrow_{\ell_1, \lambda_1}$ and $\uparrow_{\ell_2, \lambda_2}$ on the Hilbert space K_0 we define the tensor product representation $\uparrow_{\ell_1, \lambda_1} \otimes_a \uparrow_{\ell_2, \lambda_2}$ on the space $K_0 \otimes K_0$ by the operators

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.6) \quad F_+ &= \Delta_a(E_+) = E_+ \otimes q^{aH} + q^{(a-1)H} \otimes E_+ \\
F_- &= \Delta_a(E_-) = E_- \otimes q^{(1-a)H} + q^{-aH} \otimes E_- (\kappa_1 q^H + \kappa_2) \\
L &= \Delta_a(H) = H \otimes I + I \otimes H \\
\mathcal{F} &= \Delta_a(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{E} = (\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1-1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2-1}) I \otimes I
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$(4.7) \quad \kappa_1 = -\frac{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1-1}}{\ell_2^2}, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2}}{\ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2}}.$$

Then we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.8) \quad [L, F_\pm] &= \pm F_\pm, \quad [F_+, F_-] = -\mathcal{F} q^{-L} \\
[\mathcal{F}, F_\pm] &= [\mathcal{F}, L] = 0,
\end{aligned}$$

in agreement with (4.1). Here a is a complex constant.

A second type of tensor product representation $\uparrow_{\ell_1, \lambda_1} \otimes'_a \uparrow_{\ell_2, \lambda_2}$ is defined on $K_0 \otimes K_0$ by the operators

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.9) \quad F'_+ &= \Delta'_a(E_+) = E_+ \otimes q^{aH} + q^{(a-1)H} \otimes E_+ (\xi_1 q^{\frac{1}{2}H} + \xi_2) \\
F'_- &= \Delta'_a(E_-) = E_- \otimes q^{(1-a)H} + q^{-aH} \otimes E_- (\kappa_1 q^{\frac{1}{2}H} + \kappa_2) \\
L' &= \Delta'_a(H) = H \otimes I + I \otimes H \\
\mathcal{F}' &= \Delta'_a(\mathcal{E}) = \mathcal{E} \otimes I + I \otimes \mathcal{E} = (\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1-1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2-1}) I \otimes I
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
(4.10) \quad \xi_1 &= -\frac{\tau \ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1-1/2}}{\ell_2^2}, \quad \xi_2 = -\frac{\tau \ell_1 q^{\lambda_1/2}}{\ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2/2}} \sqrt{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1-1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2-1}} \\
\kappa_1 &= \frac{1}{\tau}, \quad \kappa_2 = -\frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}} \sqrt{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2}}}{\tau \ell_1 q^{(\lambda_1+\lambda_2)/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here, a and τ are complex constants. Neither of these coproducts leads to a quantum algebra because, for example, neither satisfies the associative law, [1].

Since relations (2.10) hold, the operators Δ_a, Δ'_a are equivalent to the corresponding operators $\Delta_{\frac{1}{2}}, \Delta'_{\frac{1}{2}}$. Using this equivalence we shall assume $a = \frac{1}{2}$ in the computations to follow.

We introduce two convenient one-variable models of $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$. In the first case a basis for the vector space consists of the functions $\{f_n(z) = z^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ in the complex variable z . The action of the oscillator algebra is given by the operators

$$(4.11) \quad \begin{aligned} E_+ &= \frac{\ell z}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}}, & E_- &= \frac{\ell}{(1-q)z} (T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} - T_z^{\frac{1}{2}}) \\ H &= \lambda + z \frac{d}{dz}, & \mathcal{E} &= \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} I \end{aligned}$$

where $T_z^\alpha f(z) = f(q^\alpha z)$. Thus, relations (4.3) hold. We define a bilinear form (3.8), (3.9) such that

$$(4.12) \quad \begin{aligned} (E_+ f, g) &= (f, E_- g), & (H f, g) &= (f, H g) \\ (\mathcal{E} f, g) &= (f, \mathcal{E} g) \end{aligned}$$

for all polynomials f, g . The essentially unique solution is

$$(4.13) \quad k = \frac{1}{1-q}, \quad \rho(r^2) = (q(1-q)r^2; q)_\infty,$$

see [7]. For ℓ and λ real the bilinear form induces an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ such that

$$(4.14) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle E_+ f, g \rangle &= \langle f, E_- g \rangle, & \langle H f, g \rangle &= \langle f, H g \rangle \\ \langle \mathcal{E} f, g \rangle &= \langle f, \mathcal{E} g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

for all polynomials f and g . The functions

$$e_n = \sqrt{\frac{(1-q)^n}{(q; q)_n}} z^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

form an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space K_0 of all functions

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|^2}{(1-q)^n} < \infty.$$

It follows that these functions are analytic in the disk $|z| < (1-q)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$. The Hilbert space K_0 has the kernel function

$$(4.15) \quad S(\bar{z}', z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n(\bar{z}') e_n(z) = \frac{1}{((1-q)\bar{z}'z; q)_\infty},$$

so that

$$\langle g, S(\bar{z}', \cdot) \rangle = g(z')$$

for $|z'| < (1 - q)^{-1}$ and $f \in K_0$.

A second model of $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$ is determined by the basis functions $\{f_n(z) = q^{n(n+1)/4} z^n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ and the operators

$$(4.16) \quad \begin{aligned} E_+ &= \ell z I, & E_- &= -\frac{\ell}{(1-q)z} (1 - T_z^{-1}) \\ H &= \lambda + z \frac{d}{dz}, & \mathcal{E} &= \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} I. \end{aligned}$$

Then expressions (4.3) are valid. We define a bilinear form such that

$$(f, g) = \iint_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(z) g(\bar{z}) \rho(z, \bar{z}) dx dy$$

where $z = x + iy$ and f, g are polynomials in z . Requiring that conditions (4.12) hold we obtain the solution

$$\rho(z, \bar{z}) = \frac{1 - q}{(-(1 - q)z\bar{z}; q)_{\infty} \pi \ln q^{-1}}.$$

For ℓ and λ real this bilinear form induces an inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ for K_0 such that relations (4.14) hold for all polynomials f and g . The functions

$$e'_n = q^{n(n+1)/4} \sqrt{\frac{(1-q)^n}{(q; q)_n}} z^n, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots$$

form an orthonormal basis for the Hilbert space K_0 of all functions

$$f'(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n z^n$$

such that

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|c_n|^2 q^{-n(n+1)/2}}{(1-q)^n} < \infty.$$

This is a space of entire functions; it has the kernel function

$$(4.17) \quad S(\bar{z}', z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e'_n(\bar{z}') e'_n(z) = (-(1-q)q\bar{z}'z; q)_{\infty}.$$

5. Tensor products of oscillator representations. We will make use of the model (4.11) of the oscillator algebra to decompose the representation $\uparrow_{\ell_1, \lambda_1} \otimes \uparrow_{\ell_2, \lambda_2}$ into irreducible components. Thus we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.1) \quad F_+ &= \frac{\ell_1 z}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}} T_w^{\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{\ell_2 w}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}} T_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}} T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \\
F_- &= \frac{\ell_1}{(1-q)z} (T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} - T_z^{\frac{1}{2}}) q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2}} T_w^{\frac{1}{2}} + q^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2}} T_z^{-\frac{1}{2}} \frac{\ell_2}{(1-q)w} (T_w^{-\frac{1}{2}} - T_w^{\frac{1}{2}}) (\kappa_1 q^{\lambda_2} T_w + \kappa_2) \\
L &= \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + z \frac{d}{dz} + w \frac{d}{dw} \\
\mathcal{F} &= \ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1 - 1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2 - 1}
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\kappa_1 = -\frac{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1 - 1}}{\ell_2^2}, \quad \kappa_2 = \frac{\ell_1^2 q^{\lambda_1} + \ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2}}{\ell_2^2 q^{\lambda_2}}.$$

The functions

$$p_{k_1, k_2}(z, w) = z^{k_1} w^{k_2}, \quad k_1, k_2 = 0, 1, \dots$$

form a basis for $K_0 \otimes K_0$. The eigenvectors f of L such that $F_- f = 0$ are given by

$$(5.2) \quad f_{s,0}(z, w) = z^s \sum_{k=0}^s \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k (-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1}; q)_k} \left[\frac{w \ell_1 q^{(\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + 3s)/2}}{z \ell_2 \kappa_2} \right]^k.$$

Using (2.23) and Lemma 4 we find

$$\begin{aligned}
(5.3) \quad (\exp_q t F_+) f_{s,0} &= \sum_{h=0}^s \sum_{j, \ell=0}^{\infty} \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_h q^{hj}}{(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1}; q)_h (q; q)_h (q; q)_j (q; q)_\ell} \\
&\quad \times (q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_1)^j (q^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_2)^\ell \left(\frac{q^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} + s} \ell_1}{\ell_2 \kappa_2} \right)^h z^{s-h+j} w^{h+\ell} t^{j+\ell} \\
&= \frac{z^s}{(q^{-\frac{\lambda_1}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_2 t w; q)_\infty (q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_1 t z; q)_\infty} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-s}, & q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_1 t z \\ -\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1} & ; q, \frac{\ell_1 w q^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} + s}}{\ell_2 \kappa_2 z} \end{matrix} \right).
\end{aligned}$$

We introduce a bilinear form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $K_0 \otimes K_0$ such that

$$(5.4) \quad \langle p_{h,j}, p_{h',j'} \rangle = \delta_{hh'} \delta_{jj'} \frac{(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1}; q)_j}{(1-q)^{h+j}} (q; q)_h (q; q)_j \kappa_2^j.$$

Then we have

$$\langle F_+ p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_1, F_- p_2 \rangle, \quad \langle L p_1, p_2 \rangle = \langle p_1, L p_2 \rangle$$

for all $p_1, p_2 \in K_0 \otimes K_0$.

Defining the functions $f_{s,k}(z, w)$, recursively, by

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{s,k+1} &= \tilde{\ell}_s^{-1} q^{(k+1)/2} F_+ f_{s,k} \quad s, k = 0, 1, \dots \\ \tilde{\ell}_s &= \sqrt{q^{-s}(\ell_1^2 q^{-\lambda_2} + \ell_2^2 q^{-\lambda_1})}, \end{aligned}$$

we obtain

LEMMA 8.

- (1) $F_+ f_{s,k} = \tilde{\ell}_s q^{-(k+1)/2} f_{s,k+1}$
- (2) $F_- f_{s,k} = \tilde{\ell}_s q^{-k/2} \frac{1-q^k}{1-q} f_{s,k-1}$
- (3) $L f_{s,k} = (\lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + s + k) f_{s,k}$.

For fixed $s = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ the $\{f_{s,k}\}$ form an orthogonal basis for a subspace of $K_0 \otimes K_0$ transforming according to the irreducible representation $\uparrow_{\tilde{\ell}_s, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + s}$.

LEMMA 9.

$$\uparrow_{\ell_1, \lambda_1} \otimes \uparrow_{\ell_2, \lambda_2} \cong \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \oplus \uparrow_{\tilde{\ell}_s, \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + s}.$$

LEMMA 10.

$$\langle f_{s,k}, f_{s',k'} \rangle = \delta_{ss'} \delta_{kk'} \frac{(q; q)_s (q; q)_k}{(1-q)^{s+k} \left(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2+1}; q\right)_s}.$$

From (5.5) we have

$$(\exp_q t F_+) f_{s,0} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\tilde{\ell}_s t)^k}{(q; q)_k} f_{s,k},$$

and, comparing this result with (5.3),

$$(5.6) \quad \begin{aligned} f_{s,k}(z, w) &= \tilde{\ell}_s^{-k} (q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_1 z)^k z^s \times \\ &\sum_r \frac{(q^{-s}; q)_r}{\left(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2+1}; q\right)_r (q; q)_r} \left(\frac{\ell_1 w q^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} + s + k}}{z} \right)^r \times \\ &{}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-r}, & q^{-k}, & -\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} q^{-r-\lambda_2} \\ q^{1-r-s}, & 0 & \end{matrix} ; q; \frac{q}{\kappa_2 \ell_2} \right). \end{aligned}$$

We can use this result to expand the orthonormal basis $\{e_n^s\}$ for $K_0 \otimes K_0$,

$$(5.7) \quad e_k^s = \|f_{s,k}\|^{-1} f_{s,k}, \quad s, k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

in terms of the orthonormal basis

$$(5.8) \quad e_{n_1} \otimes e_{n_2} = \| |p_{n_1 n_2} \|^{-1} p_{n_1 n_2},$$

$$(5.9) \quad e_k^s = \sum_{n_1, n_2} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s \\ n_1; & n_2; & k \end{bmatrix}_q e_{n_1} \otimes e_{n_2}.$$

These Clebsch-Gordan coefficients vanish unless $n_1 + n_2 = s + k$. Furthermore, they satisfy the identities

$$(5.10) \quad \sum_{n_1, n_2} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s \\ n_1; & n_2; & k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s' \\ n_1; & n_2; & k' \end{bmatrix}_q = \delta_{kk'},$$

$$\sum_{s, k} \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s \\ n_1; & n_2; & k \end{bmatrix}_q \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s \\ n'_1; & n'_2; & k \end{bmatrix}_q = \delta_{n_1 n'_1}.$$

where $n_1 + n_2 = n'_1 + n'_2 = s + k = s' + k'$ and we are assuming that $\ell_1, \ell_2 > 0$ and λ_1, λ_2 are real. Explicitly, we find

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{bmatrix} \ell_1, \lambda_1; & \ell_2, \lambda_2; & s \\ n_1; & n_2; & k \end{bmatrix}_q = \tilde{\ell}_s^{-k} (q^{\frac{\lambda_2}{2} - \frac{s}{2}} \ell_1)^k (q^{\frac{\lambda_1}{2} + \frac{\lambda_2}{2} + s + k} \ell_1)^{n_2} \times$$

$$(q^{-s}; q)_{n_2} \left[\frac{(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1}; q)_s (q; q)_{n_1} \kappa_2^{n_2}}{(-\frac{\kappa_1}{\kappa_2} q^{\lambda_2 + 1}; q)_{n_2} (q; q)_{n_2} (q; q)_s (q; q)_k} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times$$

$${}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n_2}, & q^{-k}, & -\frac{\kappa_2}{\kappa_1} q^{-n_2 - \lambda_2} \\ q^{1 - n_2 - s}, & 0 & \end{matrix}; q; \frac{q}{\kappa_2 \ell_2} \right).$$

6. The quantum algebra $W_p(1)$. Another q -analog of the enveloping algebra of the oscillator algebra is the quantum algebra $W_p(1)$, [5,6,7,10,18,19,28,30], generated by the three elements H' , E'_+ , E'_- with the commutation relations

$$(6.1) \quad [H', E'_+] = E'_+, \quad [H', E'_-] = -E'_-,$$

$$[E'_+, E'_-] = -\frac{p^{\frac{1}{2}} H' + p^{-\frac{1}{2}} H'}{p^{\frac{1}{4}} + p^{-\frac{1}{4}}}$$

where $0 < p < 1$. The center of this algebra is generated by

$$\mathcal{C}' = p^{\frac{1}{4}} p^{\frac{1}{2}} H' - p^{\frac{3}{4}} p^{-\frac{1}{2}} H' + (1 - p) E'_+ E'_-.$$

$W_p(1)$ admits a class of algebraically irreducible representations \uparrow'_λ where λ is a complex number. These representations are defined on a space with basis $\{e_n : n = 0, 1, 2, \dots\}$, such that

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_+ e_n &= p^{-(\lambda+n-\frac{1}{2})/4} \left[\frac{(1-p^{(n+1)/2})(1+p^{\lambda+n/2})}{1-p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n+1} \\ E'_- e_n &= p^{-(\lambda+n-\frac{3}{2})/4} \left[\frac{(1-p^{n/2})(1+p^{\lambda+(n-1)/2})}{1-p} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_{n-1} \\ H' e_n &= (\lambda+n)e_n. \end{aligned}$$

If λ is real then \uparrow'_λ is defined on the Hilbert space K_0 with orthonormal basis $\{e_n\}$ and we have $E'_+ = (E'_-)^*$, and $(H')^* = H'$. A second convenient basis for K_0 is $\{f_n : n = 0, 1, \dots\}$ where

$$(6.3) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_+ f_n &= p^{(\frac{1}{2}-\lambda)/4} (p^{-n/4} + p^{\lambda+n/4}) f_{n+1} \\ E'_- f_n &= p^{(\frac{3}{2}-\lambda)/4} \frac{p^{-n/4} - p^{n/4}}{1-p} f_{n-1} \\ H' f_n &= (\lambda+n)f_n \quad n = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

In this case

$$(6.4) \quad f_n = \left[\frac{(p^{\frac{1}{2}}; p^{\frac{1}{2}})_n}{(-p^\lambda; p^{\frac{1}{2}})_n (1-p)^n} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} e_n.$$

Corresponding to the irreducible representation \uparrow'_λ we have $\mathcal{C}' = p^{(\frac{3}{2}-\lambda)/2} (p^{\lambda-1/2} - 1)I$.

In the special case $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$, expressions (4.2) and (6.2) for the representations $\uparrow_{q^{\frac{1}{4}}, \frac{1}{2}}$ and $\uparrow'_{\frac{1}{2}}$, respectively, of the two q -analogs of the oscillator algebra take on almost the same form when $q = p$. Indeed we have

$$(6.5) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_+ &= E_+ q^{(H+\frac{1}{2})/4}, & E'_- &= E_- q^{(H-\frac{1}{2})/4} \\ H' &= H, & I &= \mathcal{E}. \end{aligned}$$

In general, however, these analogs are distinct, the first motivated by the recurrence relations for the functions ${}_1\phi_1$ and ${}_2\phi_1$, the second by the raising and lowering operators for bosons.

On the other hand, if we consider $U_q(su_2)$ and $W_p(1)$ to be algebras (with identity) over the complex numbers then $U_{p^{\frac{1}{2}}}(su_2) \equiv W_p(1)$. Indeed if we set

$$(6.6) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_+ &= \frac{e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}}}{q+1} E_+, & E'_- &= e^{\frac{i\pi}{4}} (q-1) E_-, \\ H' &= H - \frac{i\pi}{2 \ln q}, & q &= p^{\frac{1}{2}}, \end{aligned}$$

equations (2.1) and (6.1) are identical. Thus, the basic facts about tensor products of representations of the form \uparrow'_λ can be obtained easily from the results of §3.

7. Generating functions, orthogonality relations and “addition formulas”.

Now we will present some examples to show how the various models of q -algebra representations can be used to derive identities obeyed by q -series associated with the models. We will select all our examples from models of “oscillator algebra” representations as studied in §4. Examples associated with $U_q(su_2)$ can be produced by a similar procedure.

We will be concerned with the irreducible representation $\uparrow_{\ell,\lambda}$ defined by expressions (4.3) with respect to the orthogonal basis $\{f_n\}$ of the Hilbert space K_0 . In analogy with a standard relationship between special functions and the representations of Lie groups we shall compute the “matrix elements” of q -analogs of the group operators $e^{\beta E_+} e^{\alpha E_-}$ with respect to the $\{f_n\}$ basis. Of course there are many q -analogs of the exponential mapping, none of which have all the properties needed to ensure that there is a true “group” associated with the q -algebra. Among the q -analogs we shall limit ourselves to the two that are most important, [8]:

$$(7.1) \quad e_q(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{A^k}{(q; q)_k}, \quad E_q(A) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{q^{k(k-1)/2}}{(q; q)_k} A^k.$$

If A is a complex number, the first series converges to $1/(A; q)_{\infty}$ for $|A| < 1$ and the second series converges to $(-A; q)_{\infty}$ for all A . For our first example we consider the matrix elements $T_{nm}(\alpha, \beta)$ of the operator $E_q(\beta E_+) e_q(\alpha E_-)$:

$$(7.2) \quad E_q(\beta E_+) e_q(\alpha E_-) f_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) f_n.$$

It is most convenient to evaluate (7.2) in the model (4.16) in which $f_n(z) = q^{n(n+1)/4} z^n$. In this case (7.2) becomes the generating function

$$(7.3) \quad q^{-m(m+1)/4} \left(\frac{\alpha \ell}{1-q} \right)^m (-\beta \ell z; q)_{\infty} \left(\frac{q(1-q)z}{\alpha \ell}; q \right)_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) q^{n(n+1)/4} z^n,$$

convergent for all α, β . Thus

$$(7.4) \quad \begin{aligned} T_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{q^{(n-m)(n-m-1)/4} (q; q)_m (\beta \ell q^{-m/2-1/2})^{n-m}}{(q; q)_n} L_m^{(n-m)} \left(\frac{-\alpha \beta \ell^2 q^{-1-m}}{1-q}; q \right) \\ &= q^{-m(m+1)/4 + n(n+1)/4} \left(\frac{\alpha \ell}{1-q} \right)^{m-n} L_n^{(m-n)} \left(\frac{-\alpha \beta \ell^2 q^{-1-m}}{1-q}; q \right) \end{aligned}$$

where [3,8,25]

$$(7.5) \quad L_n^{(\gamma)}(x; q) = \frac{(q^{\gamma+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n} {}_1\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n} \\ q^{\gamma+1}; q; -xq^{n+\gamma+1} \end{matrix} \right)$$

is a q -Laguerre polynomial. (Note that $L_m^{(n-m)}(x; q) = (-x)^{m-n} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_m} L_n^{(m-n)}(x; q)$.)

We can obtain the matrix elements of the operator $e_q(\beta E_+)E_q(\alpha E_-)$ for free since $(E_q(\beta E_+)e_q(\alpha E_-))^* = e_q(\bar{\alpha} E_+)E_q(\bar{\beta} E_-)$. Defining matrix elements $S_{nm}(\alpha, \beta)$ by

$$(7.6) \quad e_q(\beta E_+)E_q(\alpha E_-)f_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} S_{nm}(\alpha, \beta)f_n$$

we find

$$(7.7) \quad S_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) = \frac{(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_n} (1-q)^{n-m} \overline{T_{mn}(\bar{\alpha}, \bar{\beta})}.$$

so, if ℓ is real,

$$(7.8) \quad S_{mn}(\alpha, \beta) = q^{n(n+1)/4 - m(m+1)/4} \frac{(q; q)_n}{(q; q)_m} (\beta \ell)^{m-n} L_n^{(m-n)} \left(-\frac{\alpha \beta \ell^2 q^{-1-m}}{1-q}; q \right).$$

From the explicit expression (7.5) we can verify the recurrence relations

$$(7.9) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x}(1 - T_x^{-1})L_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q) &= q^\gamma L_{k-1}^{(\gamma+1)}(x; q), \\ (1 - q^\gamma(1+x)T_x)L_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q) &= (1 - q^{k+1})L_{k+1}^{(\gamma-1)}(x; q), \\ &k = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

where we adopt the convention that $L_{-1}^{(\gamma)}(x; q) \equiv 0$. Thus the operators

$$(7.10) \quad \begin{aligned} E'_+ &= \ell t(1 - (1+x)T_t^{-1}T_x), \quad H' = t\partial_t \\ E'_- &= \frac{\ell q^\lambda}{(1-q)xt}(1 - T_x^{-1}), \quad \mathcal{E}' = \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the basis functions

$$(7.11) \quad \begin{aligned} f_n(x, t) &= (q; q)_n q^{n(n+1)/4} L_n^{(-\lambda-n)}(x; q) t^{\lambda+n} \\ &n = 0, 1, \dots \end{aligned}$$

define a two-variable model of $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$, i.e., they satisfy relations (4.3) For fixed m , we see from (7.8) that the matrix elements $S_{mn}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the special case of this model where $\lambda = -m$ and we have the identifications

$$(7.12) \quad t = \frac{1}{\beta \ell}, \quad x = -\frac{\alpha \beta \ell^2}{(1-q)q^{1+m}}.$$

Using Lemma 4 we find that the action of the operators $E_q(\beta E'_+)e_q(\alpha E'_-)$ on the monomial $x^m t^\delta$ ($xt \neq 0$) is

$$(7.13) \quad E_q(\beta E'_+)e_q(\alpha E'_-)x^m t^\delta = x^m t^\delta \frac{(\frac{\ell\alpha q^{\lambda-m}}{tx}; q)_\infty (-\ell\beta t; q)_\infty (\ell\beta t x q^{-\delta+m}; q)_\infty}{(\frac{\ell\alpha q^\lambda}{tx}; q)_\infty (-\ell\beta t q^{-\delta+m}; q)_\infty}.$$

for $|\alpha|, |\beta|$ sufficiently small. From this result, (7.2) and (7.11) we obtain the generating function

$$(7.14) \quad E_q(\beta E'_+)e_q(\alpha E'_-)f_m(x, t) = \frac{q^{m(m+1)/4}(q^{-\lambda+1}; q)_n (-\ell\beta t; q)_\infty (\ell\beta t x q^{-\lambda-m}; q)_\infty}{(-\ell\beta t q^{-\lambda-m}; q)_\infty} \times$$

$$t^{\lambda+m} {}_3\phi_2 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, & \frac{txq^{1-\lambda}}{\ell\alpha}, & -\ell\beta t q^{-\lambda-m} \\ q^{-\lambda+1}, & \ell\beta t x q^{-\lambda-m} & \end{matrix}; q; -\frac{\ell\alpha q^\lambda}{t} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} T_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) f_n(x, t),$$

$$\left| \frac{\ell\alpha q^\lambda}{tx} \right| < 1, \quad |\ell\beta t q^{-\lambda-m}| < 1.$$

In the special case where the $\{f_n\}$ basis reduces to the $\{S_{mn}\}$ basis we can view (7.14) as a q -analog of an addition theorem for Laguerre polynomials, [22].

Relations (7.9) can be used to derive orthogonality relations for the q -Laguerre polynomials. Let S^γ be the space of all real polynomials in x with inner product

$$\langle \Psi, \Theta \rangle_\gamma = \int_0^\infty \Psi(x)\Theta(x)\rho_\gamma(x) dx, \quad \Psi, \Theta \in S^\gamma$$

where $\rho_\gamma(x)$ is a weight function to be determined. From (7.9) we define operators

$$R_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^{\gamma+1}, \quad L_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^{\gamma-1}$$

by

$$(7.15) \quad R_\gamma = \frac{1}{x}(1 - T_x^{-1}), \quad L_\gamma = 1 - q^\gamma(1+x)T_x.$$

Furthermore, we require that

$$(7.16) \quad \langle R_\gamma \Psi, \Theta \rangle_{\gamma+1} = \langle \Psi, L_{\gamma+1} \Theta \rangle_\gamma$$

for all $\Psi \in S^\gamma$, $\Theta \in S^{\gamma+1}$. This leads to the conditions

$$\rho_{\gamma+1}(x) = x\rho_\gamma(x), \quad \rho_\gamma(qx) = q^\gamma(1+x)\rho_\gamma(x).$$

The solution, unique up to multiplication by a function of γ alone, is

$$(7.17) \quad \rho_\gamma(x) = \frac{x^\gamma}{(-x; q)_\infty}$$

where we require $\gamma > -1$ for convergence of the inner product. It follows that the operators $T_\gamma = R_{\gamma-1}L_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^\gamma$ are self-adjoint and map polynomials of order m to polynomials of the same order. From (7.9) we see that the polynomials $L_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$ are exactly the eigenfunctions of T_γ and that they correspond to the eigenvalues $q^\gamma(1 - q^k)$. Since eigenfunctions of T_γ corresponding to distinct eigenvalues must be orthogonal, we have

$$(7.18) \quad \langle L_n^{(\gamma)}, L_m^{(\gamma)} \rangle_\gamma = \delta_{mn} A_n^\gamma.$$

We can also use the recurrence relations (7.9) to help determine A_n^γ . Setting $\Psi = L_{k+1}^{(\gamma)}$, $\Theta = L_k^{(\gamma+1)}$ in (7.16) we find $q^\gamma \|L_k^{(\gamma+1)}\|_{\gamma+1}^2 = (1 - q^{k+1}) \|L_{k+1}^{(\gamma)}\|_\gamma^2$. Hence,

$$(7.19) \quad \|L_k^{(\gamma)}\|_\gamma^2 = \frac{q^{\gamma k + k(k-1)/2}}{(q; q)_k} \|1\|_{\gamma+k}^2.$$

Furthermore, from the explicit expressions for $L_k^{(\gamma)}$ and ρ_γ we can write the identity $\langle L_1^{(\gamma)}, L_0^{(\gamma)} \rangle_\gamma = 0$ in the form $\|1\|_{\gamma+1}^2 = -(1 - q^{-\gamma-1}) \|1\|_\gamma^2$. It follows that $\|1\|_{\gamma+k}^2 = (q^{\gamma+1}; q)_k q^{-\gamma k} q^{-k(k+1)/2} \|1\|_\gamma^2$, so

$$(7.20) \quad A_n^\gamma = \frac{(q^{\gamma+1}; q)_n}{(q; q)_n q^n} \|1\|_\gamma^2.$$

A straightforward contour integration argument gives

$$\|1\|_\gamma^2 = -\frac{\pi(q^{-\gamma}; q)_\infty}{\sin \pi\gamma(q; q)_\infty}, \quad \|1\|_k^2 = \ln q^{-1} (q; q)_k q^{-k(k+1)/2}$$

$$k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

(For related works on orthogonality extending that of the classical polynomials see [2,4,9, 14,24,26,27].)

There are also q -analogs of the orthogonality relations for matrix elements of the oscillator group. In expressions (7.4) and (7.8) for the matrix elements we can restrict

the parameters α, β so that $\alpha = re^{i\theta}$, $\beta = re^{-i\theta}$ where $r \geq 0$ and θ is real. Setting $S_{mn}(\alpha, \beta) \equiv S_{mn}[r, \theta]$, $T_{mn}(\alpha, \beta) \equiv T_{mn}[r, \theta]$ it is easy to see that

$$(7.21) \quad (S_{mn}, S_{m'n'}) = (T_{mn}, T_{m'n'}) = 0$$

unless $m = m'$, $n = n'$ where (\cdot, \cdot) is the inner product

$$(f, g) = \int_0^{2\pi} d\theta \int_0^\infty \frac{r^{2\alpha} dr^2}{(-r^2; q)_\infty} f[r, \theta] \overline{g[r, \theta]}.$$

We note that there exist many inner products in addition to (7.18) for which the Laguerre polynomials $\{L_k^{(\alpha)} : k = 0, 1, \dots\}$ are orthogonal. Indeed one can use a technique analogous to the derivation of (3.10) to obtain a family of orthogonality relations with discrete weight functions, [3,25],[8, page 194].

As a second example of the computation of matrix elements we consider the operator $e_q(\beta E_+)e_q(\alpha E_-)$:

$$(7.22) \quad e_q(\beta E_+)e_q(\alpha E_-)f_m = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A_{nm}(\alpha, \beta)f_n.$$

The result is

$$(7.23) \quad \begin{aligned} A_{nm}(\alpha, \beta) &= \frac{q^{m(m-1)/4-n(n-1)/4}(\beta\ell)^{n-m}}{(q; q)_{n-m}} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-m}, & 0 \\ q^{n-m+1} & \end{matrix}; q, -\frac{\alpha\beta\ell^2}{1-q} \right) \\ &= \frac{q^{n(n+3)/4-m(m+3)/4}(q; q)_m}{(q; q)_{m-n}(q; q)_n} \left(\frac{\alpha\ell}{1-q}\right)^{m-n} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-n}, & 0 \\ q^{m-n+1} & \end{matrix}; q, -\frac{\alpha\beta\ell^2}{1-q} \right). \end{aligned}$$

From the power series representation of the ${}_2\phi_1$ polynomials we can verify the recurrence relations

$$(7.24) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{1}{x}(T_x - 1)\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q) &= q^{-k}\tilde{L}_{k-1}^{(\gamma+1)}(x; q), \\ (-q^\gamma + T_x^{-1} - q^{-1}xT_x^{-1})\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q) &= (1 - q^{k+1})\tilde{L}_{k+1}^{(\gamma-1)}(x; q) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$(7.25) \quad \tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q) = \frac{(q^{\gamma+1}; q)_k}{(q; q)_k} {}_2\phi_1 \left(\begin{matrix} q^{-k}, & 0 \\ q^{\gamma+1} & \end{matrix}; q; x \right)$$

Thus the operators

$$(7.26) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{E}_+ &= \frac{t\ell}{q^{\frac{1}{2}}}(-q^\gamma T_t^{-1} + T_x^{-1} - q^{-1}xT_x^{-1}), & \tilde{H} &= t\partial_t + \lambda \\ \tilde{E}_- &= \frac{q^{\frac{1}{2}}\ell}{(1-q)tx}(T_x - 1), & \tilde{\mathcal{E}} &= \ell^2 q^{\lambda-1} \end{aligned}$$

and the basis functions

$$(7.27) \quad f_n(x, t) = (q; q)_n q^{n(n-1)/4} \tilde{L}_n^{(\gamma-n)}(x; q) t^n$$

define a two variable model of $\uparrow_{\ell, \lambda}$ for each fixed complex number γ . Note that the matrix elements $A_{mn}(\alpha, \beta)$ are the special case of this model for which $\gamma = m$ and

$$(7.28) \quad t = \frac{1}{\beta\ell}, \quad x = -\frac{\alpha\beta\ell^2}{(1-q)}.$$

We can use relations (7.24) to derive orthogonality relations for the q -analogs $\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q)$ of the Laguerre polynomials. Let S^γ be the space of all real polynomials in x with discrete inner product

$$(7.29) \quad \langle \Psi, \Theta \rangle_\gamma = \int_0^c \Psi(x)\Theta(x)\rho_\gamma(x) d_q x,$$

see (3.9), where c and $\rho_\gamma(x)$ are to be determined. From (7.24) we define operators

$$R_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^{\gamma+1}, \quad L_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^{\gamma-1}$$

by

$$(7.30) \quad R_\gamma = \frac{1}{x}(T_x - 1), \quad L_\gamma = -q^\gamma + T_x^{-1} - q^{-1}xT_x^{-1}.$$

We require that relations (7.16) hold:

$$\langle R_\gamma \Psi, \Theta \rangle_{\gamma+1} = \langle \Psi, L_{\gamma+1} \Theta \rangle_\gamma$$

for all $\Psi \in S^\gamma, \Theta \in S^{\gamma+1}$. This leads to the conditions

$$c = q, \quad \rho_{\gamma+1}(x) = q^{\gamma+1}x\rho_\gamma(x), \quad \rho_\gamma(qx) = \frac{q^\gamma}{1-x}\rho_\gamma(x).$$

We choose the solution

$$(7.31) \quad \rho_\gamma(x) = (x; q)_\infty x^\gamma q^{\gamma(\gamma+1)/2}$$

where we require $\gamma > -1$ for convergence of the inner product. The operators $T_\gamma = R_{\gamma-1}L_\gamma : S^\gamma \rightarrow S^\gamma$ are self-adjoint with eigenfunctions $\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}(x; q)$ and eigenvalues $q^\gamma(1 - q^k)$, $k = 0, 1, \dots$. Hence, we must have

$$(7.32) \quad \langle \tilde{L}_n^{(\gamma)}, \tilde{L}_m^{(\gamma)} \rangle_\gamma = \delta_{mn} B_n^\gamma.$$

Exactly as in the proof of (7.19), the recurrence relations (7.25) yield the formula

$$\|\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}\|_\gamma^2 = \frac{q^{-k(k-1)/2}}{(q; q)_k} \|1\|_{\gamma+k}^2.$$

From the explicit expressions for $\tilde{L}_k^{(\gamma)}$ and ρ_γ we can write the identity $\langle \tilde{L}_1^{(\gamma)}, \tilde{L}_0^{(\gamma)} \rangle_\gamma = 0$ in the form $\|1\|_{\gamma+1}^2 = q^{\gamma+2}(1 - q^{\gamma+1})\|1\|_\gamma^2$. Thus $\|1\|_{\gamma+k}^2 = (q^{\gamma+1}; q)_k q^{\gamma k + k(k+3)/2} \|1\|_\gamma^2$, and

$$(7.33) \quad B_n^\gamma = \frac{(q^{\gamma+1}; q)_n q^{(\gamma+2)n}}{(q; q)_n} \|1\|_\gamma^2.$$

It is easy to evaluate the sum $\|1\|_\gamma^2$ directly:

$$(7.34) \quad \|1\|_\gamma^2 = \int_0^q \rho_\gamma(x) d_q x = \frac{q(1-q)(q; q)_\infty}{(q^{\gamma+1}; q)_\infty} q^{\gamma(\gamma+3)/2}.$$

In a manner similar to the derivation of (7.21) we can also obtain orthogonality relations for the matrix elements A_{mn} . (We note that recurrence relations of the type (7.9), (7.24) are closely related to the factorization method [2,23].)

REFERENCES

- [1] E. ABE (1980), *Hopf Algebras*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [2] A.K. AGARWAL, E.G. KALNINS, AND W. MILLER (1987), *Canonical equations and symmetry techniques for q-series*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18, pp. 1519-1538.
- [3] G.E. ANDREWS AND R. ASKEY (1985), *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials*, Lecture Notes # 1171, Springer-Verlag, New York, Berlin, pp. 36-62.
- [4] R. ASKEY AND J. WILSON (1985), *Some basic hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials that generalize Jacobi polynomials*, Memoirs of the AMS, no. 319.
- [5] L.C. BIEDENHARN (1989), *The quantum group $SU(2)_q$ and a q-analog of the boson operators*, J. Phys., A22, pp. L873-L878.
- [6] L. FLOREANINI AND L. VINET (1990), *q-Analogues of the parabose and parafermi oscillators and representations of quantum algebras*, J. Phys., A23, pp. L1019-L1023.
- [7] L. FLOREANINI AND L. VINET (1990), *q-Orthogonal polynomials and the oscillator quantum group*, INFN preprint, Trieste, AE-90/23.
- [8] G. GASPER AND M. RAHMAN (1990), *Basic Hypergeometric Series*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge.
- [9] W. HAHN (1949A), *Über Orthogonal polynome, die q-Differenzgleichungen genügen*, Math. Nachr., 2, pp. 4-34.

- [10] T. HAYASHI (1990), *q-Analogues of Clifford and Weyl algebras. Spinor and oscillation representations of quantum enveloping algebras*, Comm. Math. Phys., 127, pp. 129–144.
- [11] M. JIMBO (1985), *A q-difference analogue of $U(g)$ and the Yang-Baxter equation*, Letters in Mathematical Physics, 10, pp. 63–69.
- [12] I.I. KACHURIK AND A.U. KLIMYK (1989), *On Clebsch-Gordan coefficients of quantum algebra $U_q(SU_2)$* , Preprint.
- [13] I.I. KACHURIK AND A.U. KLIMYK (1990), *Asymptotic properties of Clebsch-Gordan and Racah coefficients and Racah coefficients of the quantum algebra $U_q(su_2)$* , Preprint.
- [14] E.G. KALNINS AND W. MILLER (1989), *Symmetry techniques for q-series: Askey-Wilson polynomials*, Rocky Mtn. J. Math., 19, pp. 223–230.
- [15] H.T. KOELINK AND T.H. KOORNWINDER (1989), *The Clebsch-Gordan coefficients for the quantum group $S_\mu U(2)$ and q-Hahn polynomials*, CWI Report.
- [16] T.H. KOORNWINDER (1989), *The addition formula for little q-Legendre polynomials and the $SU(2)$ quantum group*, CWI Rep. (to appear in SIAM J. Math. Anal.), AM-R8906.
- [17] T.H. KOORNWINDER (1989), *Representations of the twisted $SU(2)$ quantum group and some q-hypergeometric orthogonal polynomials*, Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc. Ser. A92, pp. 97–117.
- [18] P.P. KULISH AND E.V. DAMASHINSKY (1990), *On the q oscillator and the quantum algebra $su_q(1,1)$* , J. Phys., A23, pp. L415–L419.
- [19] A.J. MACFARLANE (1989), *On q-analogues of the quantum harmonic oscillator and the quantum group $SU(2)_q$* , J. Phys., A22, pp. 4581–4588.
- [20] T. MASUDA, K. MIMACHI, Y. NAKAGAMI, M. NOUMI, Y. SABURI AND K. UENO (1990), *Unitary representations of the quantum groups $SU_q(1,1)$: Structure of the dual space of $\mathcal{U}_q(sl(2))$* , Lett. Math. Phys., 19, pp. 187–194.
- [21] T. MASUDA, K. MIMACHI, Y. NAKAGAMI, M. NOUMI, Y. SABURI AND K. UENO (1990), *Unitary representations of the quantum groups $SU_q(1,1)$: II. Matrix elements of unitary representations and the basic hypergeometric functions*, Lett. Math. Phys., 19, pp. 194–204.
- [22] W. MILLER (1968), *Lie Theory and Special Functions*, Academic Press, New York.
- [23] W. MILLER (1970), *Lie theory and q-difference equations*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 1, pp. 171–188.
- [24] W. MILLER (1987), *A note on Wilson polynomials*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 18, pp. 1221–1226.
- [25] D.S. MOAK (1981), *The q-analogue of the Laguerre polynomials*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 81, pp. 20–47.
- [26] A.F. NIKIFOROV AND S.K. SUSLOV (1986), *Classical orthogonal polynomials of a discrete variable on nonuniform lattices*, Lett. Math. Phys., 11, pp. 27–34.
- [27] A. F. NIKIFOROV, S.K. SUSLOV, AND V.B. UVAROV (1985), *Classical Orthogonal Polynomials of a Discrete Variable*, Nauka, Moscow (in Russian).
- [28] H. UI AND N. AIZAWA (1990), *The q-analogue of boson commutator and the quantum groups $SU_q(2)$ and $SU_q(1,1)$* , Modern Phys. Lett., A5, pp. 237–242.
- [29] S. L. WORONOWICZ (1987), *Twisted $SU(2)$ group. An example of a noncommutative differential calculus*, Publ. RIMS, Kyoto Univ., 23, pp. 117–181.
- [30] H. YAN (1990), *q-deformed oscillator algebra as a quantum group*, Academia Sinica preprint, AS-ITP-90-33.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
774	L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy,	Self-similar solutions for infiltration of dopant into semiconductors
775	H. Scott Dumas and James A. Ellison,	Nekhoroshev's theorem, ergodicity, and the motion of energetic charged particles in crystals
776	Stathis Filippas and Robert V. Kohn,	Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t - \Delta u = u^p$.
777	Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips,	Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity
778	Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips,	Maximal smoothness of solutions to certain Euler-Lagrange equations from nonlinear elasticity
779	Jack Carr and Robert Pego,	Self-similarity in a coarsening model in one dimension
780	J.M. Greenberg,	The shock generation problem for a discrete gas with short range repulsive forces
781	George R. Sell and Mario Taboada,	Local dissipativity and attractors for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in thin 2D domains
782	T. Subba Rao,	Analysis of nonlinear time series (and chaos) by bispectral methods
783	Nicholas Baumann, Daniel D. Joseph, Paul Mohr and Yuriko Renardy,	Vortex rings of one fluid in another free fall
784	Oscar Bruno, Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich,	Asymptotic behavior for a coalescence problem
785	Johannes C.C. Nitsche,	Periodic surfaces which are extremal for energy functionals containing curvature functions
786	F. Abergel and J.L. Bona,	A mathematical theory for viscous, free-surface flows over a perturbed plane
787	Gunduz Caginalp and Xinfu Chen,	Phase field equations in the singular limit of sharp interface problems
788	Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu,	An inverse problem for harmonic acoustics in stratified oceans
789	Roger Fosdick and Eric Volkmann,	Normality and convexity of the yield surface in nonlinear plasticity
790	H.S. Brown, I.G. Kevrekidis and M.S. Jolly,	A minimal model for spatio-temporal patterns in thin film flow
791	Chao-Nien Chen,	On the uniqueness of solutions of some second order differential equations
792	Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman,	The thermistor problem for conductivity which vanishes at large temperature
793	Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman,	The thermistor problem with one-zero conductivity
794	E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr.,	Separation of variables for the Dirac equation in Kerr Newman space time
795	E. Knobloch, M.R.E. Proctor and N.O. Weiss,	Finite-dimensional description of doubly diffusive convection
796	V.V. Pukhnachov,	Mathematical model of natural convection under low gravity
797	M.C. Knaap,	Existence and non-existence for quasi-linear elliptic equations with the p-laplacian involving critical Sobolev exponents
798	Stathis Filippas and Wenxiong Liu,	On the blowup of multidimensional semilinear heat equations
799	A.M. Meirmanov,	The Stefan problem with surface tension in the three dimensional case with spherical symmetry: non-existence of the classical solution
800	Bo Guan and Joel Spruck,	Interior gradient estimates for solutions of prescribed curvature equations of parabolic type
801	Hi Jun Choe,	Regularity for solutions of nonlinear variational inequalities with gradient constraints
802	Peter Shi and Yongzhi Xu,	Quasistatic linear thermoelasticity on the unit disk
803	Satyanad Kichenassamy and Peter J. Olver,	Existence and non-existence of solitary wave solutions to higher order model evolution equations
804	Dening Li,	Regularity of solutions for a two-phase degenerate Stefan Problem
805	Marek Fila, Bernhard Kawohl and Howard A. Levine,	Quenching for quasilinear equations
806	Yoshikazu Giga, Shun'ichi Goto and Hitoshi Ishii,	Global existence of weak solutions for interface equations coupled with diffusion equations
807	Mark J. Friedman and Eusebius J. Doedel,	Computational methods for global analysis of homoclinic and heteroclinic orbits: a case study
808	Mark J. Friedman,	Numerical analysis and accurate computation of heteroclinic orbits in the case of center manifolds
809	Peter W. Bates and Songmu Zheng,	Inertial manifolds and inertial sets for the phase-field equations
810	J. López Gómez, V. Márquez and N. Wolanski,	Global behavior of positive solutions to a semilinear equation with a nonlinear flux condition
811	Xinfu Chen and Fahuai Yi,	Regularity of the free boundary of a continuous casting problem
812	Eden, A., Foias, C., Nicolaenko, B. and Temam, R.,	Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations Part I: Construction and applications
813	Jose-Francisco Rodrigues and Boris Zaltzman,	On classical solutions of the two-phase steady-state Stefan problem in strips
814	Viorel Barbu and Srdjan Stojanovic,	Controlling the free boundary of elliptic variational inequalities on a variable domain
815	Viorel Barbu and Srdjan Stojanovic,	A variational approach to a free boundary problem arising in electro-photography
816	B.H. Gilding and R. Kersner,	Diffusion-convection-reaction, free boundaries, and an integral equation

- 817 Shoshana Kamin, Lambertus A. Peletier and Juan Luis Vazquez, On the Barenblatt equation of elastoplastic filtration
- 818 Avner Friedman and Bei Hu, The Stefan problem with kinetic condition at the free boundary
- 819 M.A. Grinfeld, The stress driven instabilities in crystals: mathematical models and physical manifestations
- 820 Bei Hu and Lihe Wang, A free boundary problem arising in electrophotography: solutions with connected toner region
- 821 Yongzhi Xu, T. Craig Poling, and Trent Brundage, Direct and inverse scattering of time harmonic acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous shallow ocean
- 822 Steven J. Altschuler, Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves
- 823 Steven J. Altschuler and Matthew A. Grayson, Shortening space curves and flow through singularities
- 824 Tong Li, On the Riemann problem of a combustion model
- 825 L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy, Self-similar solutions for diffusion in semiconductors
- 826 C.J. van Duijn, L.A. Peletier & R.J. Schotting, On the analysis of brine transport in porous media
- 827 Minkyu Kwak, Finite dimensional description of convective reaction-diffusion equations
- 828 Minkyu Kwak, Finite dimensional inertial forms for the 2D Navier–Stokes equations
- 829 Victor A. Galaktionov and Sergey A. Posashkov, On some monotonicity in time properties for a quasilinear parabolic equation with source
- 830 Victor A. Galaktionov, Remark on the fast diffusion equation in a ball
- 831 Hi Jun Choe and Lihe Wang, A regularity theory for degenerate vector valued variational inequalities
- 832 Vladimir I. Olikar and Nina N. Uraltseva, Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, II. The mean curvature case.
- 833 S. Kamin and W. Liu, Large time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation with a source
- 834 Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez, Singular solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations
- 835 Bernhard Kawohl and Robert Kersner, On degenerate diffusion with very strong absorption
- 836 Avner Friedman and Fernandor Reitich, Parameter identification in reaction-diffusion models
- 837 E.G. Kalnins, H.L. Manocha and Willard Miller, Jr., Models of q -algebra representations I. Tensor products of special unitary and oscillator algebras
- 838 Robert J. Sacker and George R. Sell, Dichotomies for linear evolutionary equations in Banach spaces
- 839 Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich, Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries
- 840 Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich, Solution of a boundary value problem for Helmholtz equation via variation of the boundary into the complex domain
- 841 Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez, Asymptotic behaviour for an equation of superslow diffusion. The Cauchy problem
- 842 Josephus Hulshof and Juan Luis Vazquez, The Dipole solution for the porous medium equation in several space dimensions
- 843 Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez, The propagation of turbulent bursts
- 844 Miguel Escobedo, Juan Luis Vazquez and Enrike Zuazua, Source-type solutions and asymptotic behaviour for a diffusion-convection equation
- 845 Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco, Discontinuous media and Dirichlet forms of diffusion type
- 846 Stathis Filippas and Jong-Shenq Guo, Quenching profiles for one-dimensional semilinear heat equations
- 847 H. Scott Dumas, A Nekhoroshev-like theory of classical particle channeling in perfect crystals
- 848 R. Natalini and A. Tesei, On a class of perturbed conservation laws
- 849 Paul K. Newton and Shinya Watanabe, The geometry of nonlinear Schrödinger standing waves
- 850 S.S. Sritharan, On the nonsmooth verification technique for the dynamic programming of viscous flow
- 851 Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You, Global attractor, inertial manifolds and stabilization of nonlinear damped beam equations
- 852 Shigeru Sakaguchi, Critical points of solutions to the obstacle problem in the plane
- 853 F. Abergel, D. Hilhorst and F. Issard-Roch, On a dissolution-growth problem with surface tension in the neighborhood of a stationary solution
- 854 Erasmus Langer, Numerical simulation of MOS transistors
- 855 Haïm Brezis and Shoshana Kamin, Sublinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n
- 856 Johannes C.C. Nitsche, Boundary value problems for variational integrals involving surface curvatures
- 857 Chao–Nien Chen, Multiple solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^N with nonlinear dependence on the gradient
- 858 D. Brochet, X. Chen and D. Hilhorst, Finite dimensional exponential attractor for the phase field model
- 859 Joseph D. Fehribach, Mullins-Sekerka stability analysis for melting-freezing waves in helium-4
- 860 Walter Schempp, Quantum holography and neurocomputer architectures
- 861 D.V. Anosov, An introduction to Hilbert’s 21st problem
- 862 Herbert E Huppert and M Grae Worster, Vigorous motions in magma chambers and lava lakes