

A DERIVATION THEOREM FOR CAPACITIES WITH  
RESPECT TO A RADON MEASURE

BY

GIUSEPPE BUTTAZZO,

GIANNI DAL MASO

AND

UMBERTO MOSCO

IMA Preprint Series # 203

December 1985

**INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS**  
**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**  
**514 Vincent Hall**  
**206 Church Street S.E.**  
**Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455**

- | #   | Author(s)   | Title  |
|-----|---|--|
| 78  | Abstracts for the Workshop on Bayesian Analysis in Economics and Game Theory  |  |
| 79  | G. Chichilnitsky, G.M. Heal,  | Existence of a Competitive Equilibrium in $L_p$ and Sobolev Spaces   |
| 80  | Thomas Seidman,   | Time-dependent Solutions of a Nonlinear System in Semiconducting Theory, II: Boundedness and Periodicity   |
| 81  | Yakar Kannell,  | Engaging in R&D and the Emergence of Expected Non-convex Technologies  |
| 82  | Herve Moulin,   | Choice Functions over a Finite Set: A Summary  |
| 83  | Herve Moulin,   | Choosing from a Tournament   |
| 84  | David Schmeidler,   | Subjective Probability and Expected Utility Without Additivity   |
| 85  | I.G. Kevrekids, R. Aris, L.D. Schmidt, and S. Peilkan,  | The Numerical Computation of Invariant Circles of Maps   |
| 86  | F. William Lawvere,   | State Categories, Closed Categories, and the Existence of Semi-continuous Entropy Functions  |
| 87  | F. William Lawvere,   | Functional Remarks on the General Concept of Chaos   |
| 88  | Steven R. Williams,   | Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of a Locally Stable Message Process  |
| 89  | Steven R. Williams,   | Implementing a Generic Smooth Function   |
| 90  | Dilip Abreu,  | Infinitely Repeated Games with Discounting: A General Theory   |
| 91  | J.S. Jordan,  | Instability in the Implementation of Walrasian Allocations   |
| 92  | Myrna Holtz Wooders,  | William R. Zame, Large Games: Fair and Stable Outcomes   |
| 93  | J.L. Noakes,  | Critical Sets and Negative Bundles   |
| 94  | Graciela Chichilnitsky,   | Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utilities and Cardinal Preferences   |
| 95  | J.L. Ericksen,  | Twinning of Crystals   |
| 96  | Anna Nagurney,  | On Some Market Equilibrium Theory Paradoxes  |
| 97  | Anna Nagurney,  | Sensitivity Analysis for Market Equilibrium  |
| 98  | Abstracts for the Workshop on Equilibrium and Stability Questions in Continuum Physics and Partial Differential Equations |  |
| 99  | Millard Beatty,   | A Lecture on Some Topics in Nonlinear Elasticity and Elastic Stability   |
| 100 | Filomena Pacella,   | Central Configurations of the N-Body Problem via the Equivariant Morse Theory  |
| 101 | D. Carlson and A. Hoger,  | The Derivative of a Tensor-valued Function of a Tensor   |
| 102 | Kenneth Mount,  | Privacy Preserving Correspondence  |
| 103 | Millard Beatty,   | Finite Amplitude Vibrations of a Neo-hookean Oscillator  |
| 104 | D. Emmons and N. Yannellis,   | On Perfectly Competitive Economies: Loeb Economies   |
| 105 | E. Mascolo and R. Schianchi,  | Existence Theorems in the Calculus of Variations   |
| 106 | D. Kinderlehrer,  | Twinning of Crystals (II)  |
| 107 | R. Chen,  | Solutions of Minimax Problems Using Equivalent Differentiable Equations  |
| 108 | D. Abreu, D. Pearce, and E. Stacchetti,   | Optimal Cartel Equilibria with Imperfect Monitoring  |
| 109 | R. Lauterbach,  | Hopf Bifurcation from a Turning Point  |
| 110 | C. Kahn,  | An Equilibrium Model of Quilts under Optimal Contracting   |
| 111 | M. Kaneko and M. Wooders,   | The Core of a Game with a Continuum of Players and Finite Coalitions: The Model and Some Results   |
| 112 | Halm Brezis,  | Remarks on Sublinear Equations   |
| 113 | D. Carlson and A. Hoger,  | On the Derivatives of the Principal Invariants of a Second-order Tensor  |
| 114 | Raymond Deneckere and Steve Peilkan,  | Competitive Chaos  |
| 115 | Abstracts for the Workshop on Homogenization and Effective Moduli of Materials and Media                                  |  |
| 116 | Abstracts for the Workshop on the Classifying Spaces of Groups  |  |
| 117 | Umberto Mosco,  | Pointwise Potential Estimates for Elliptic Obstacle Problems   |
| 118 | J. Rodrigues,   | An Evolutionary Continuous Casting Problem of Stefan Type  |
| 119 | C. Mueller and F. Weisler,  | Single Point Blow-up for a General Semilinear Heat Equation  |
| 120 | D.R.J. Chillingworth,   | Three Introductory Lectures on Differential Topology and its Applications  |
| 121 | Giorgio Vergara Caffarelli,   | Green's Formulas for Linearized Problems with Live Loads   |
| 122 | F. Chiarenza and N. Garofalo,   | Unique Continuation for Nonnegative Solutions of Schrödinger Operators   |
| 123 | J.L. Ericksen,  | Constitutive Theory for some Constrained Elastic Crystals  |
| 124 | Minoru Murata,  | Positive solutions of Schrödinger Equations  |
| 125 | John Maddocks and Gareth P. Parry,  | A Model for Twinning   |
| 126 | M. Kaneko and M. Wooders,   | The Core of a Game with a Continuum of Players and Finite Coalitions: Nonemptiness with Bounded Sizes of Coalitions and Finite Equilibria in Production Economies with an Infinite Dimensional Commodity Space |
| 127 | William Zame,   | Equilibria in Production Economies with an Infinite Dimensional Commodity Space  |
| 128 | Myrna Holtz Wooders,  | A Tiebout Theorem  |
| 129 | Abstracts for the Workshop on Theory and Applications of Liquid Crystals  |  |
| 130 | Yoshikazu Giga,   | A Remark on A Priori Bounds for Global Solutions of Semilinear Heat Equations  |
| 131 | M. Chipot and G. Vergara-Caffarelli,  | The N-Membranes Problem  |
| 132 | P.-L. Lions and P.E. Souganidis,  | Differential Games and Directional Derivatives of Viscosity Solutions of Isaacs' Equations II  |
| 133 | G. Capriz and P. Glowine,   | On Virtual Effects During Diffusion of a Dispersed Medium in a Suspension  |
| 134 | Fall Quarter Seminar Abstracts  |  |
| 135 | Umberto Mosco,  | Wiener Criterion and Potential Estimates for the Obstacle Problem  |
| 136 | Chi-Sing Man,   | Dynamic Admissible States, Negative Absolute Temperature, and the Entropy Maximum Principle  |
| 137 | Abstracts for the Workshop on Oscillation Theory, Computation, and Methods of Compensated Compactness                     |  |
| 138 | Arie Leizarowitz,   | Tracking Nonperiodic Trajectories with the Overtaking Criterion  |
| 139 | Arie Leizarowitz,   | Convex Sets in $R^n$ as Affine Images of some Fixed Set in $R^n$   |
| 140 | Arie Leizarowitz,   | Stochastic Tracking with the Overtaking Criterion  |
| 141 | Abstracts from the Workshop on Amorphous Polymers and Non-Newtonian Fluids  |  |
| 142 | Winter Quarter Seminar Abstracts  |  |
| 143 | D.G. Aronson and J.L. Vazquez,  | The Porous Medium Equation as a Finite-speed Approximation to a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation   |
| 144 | E. Sanchez-Palencia and H. Weinberger,  | On the Edge Singularities of a Composite Conducting Medium   |
| 145 | Jon C. Luke,  | Soliton Solutions in a Class of Fully Discrete Nonlinear Wave Equations  |
| 146 | Chi-Sing Man and H. Cohen,  | A Coordinate-Free Approach to the Kinematics of Membranes  |
| 147 | J.L. Lions,   | Asymptotic Problems in Distributed Systems   |
| 148 | Reiner Lauterbach,  | An Example of Symmetry Breaking with Submaximal Isotropic Subgroup   |
| 149 | Abstracts from the Workshop on Metastability and Incompletely Posed Problem   |  |
| 150 | B. Bozar-Karakiewicz and Jerry Bona,  | Wave-dominated Shelves: A Model of Sand-Ridge Formation by Progressive, Infragravity Waves   |
| 151 | Abstracts from the Workshop on Dynamical Problems in Continuum Physics  |  |
| 152 | V.I. Olliker,   | The problem of Embedding $S^n$ into $R^n$ with Prescribed Gauss Curvature  |
| 153 | R. Bafra,   | The force on a Lattice Defect in an Elastic Body   |
| 154 | J. Fleckinger and Michael Lapidus,  | Eigenvalues of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems with an Indefinite Weight Function   |
| 155 | R. Kohn and M. Vogelius,  | Thin Plates with Rapidly Varying Thickness, and Their relation to Structural Optimization  |
| 156 | M. Gurtin,  | Some Results and Conjectures in the Gradient Theory of Phase Transitions   |
| 157 | A. Novick-Cohen,  | Energy Methods for the Cahn-Hilliard Equation  |

# A DERIVATION THEOREM FOR CAPACITIES WITH RESPECT TO A RADON MEASURE

Giuseppe Buttazzo

Scuola Normale Superiore  
Piazza dei Cavalieri, 7  
I-56100 PISA  
(ITALY)

Gianni Dal Maso

S.I.S.S.A.  
Strada Costiera, 11  
I-34014 TRIESTE  
(ITALY)

Umberto Mosco

Dipartimento di Matematica-Università  
Piazzale A.Moro, 2  
I-00185 ROMA  
(ITALY)

## § 1. INTRODUCTION

The problem dealt with in this paper is the identification of the Radon-Nikodym derivative

$$(1.1) \quad f = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}$$

of a Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with respect to a Radon measure  $\nu$ . The measure  $\mu$  is supposed not to charge polar sets but may possibly take the value  $+\infty$  on subsets of positive capacity.

A classical device is provided by the *derivation theorems* on special families of sets, e.g. the derivation theorems on *balls*. The density  $f$  can be obtained as the limit

$$(1.2) \quad f(x) = \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_\rho(x))}{\nu(B_\rho(x))}$$

provided, for instance,

- i)  $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)$  ,
- ii)  $f(x) < +\infty$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  .

This result, however, is of no help in some variational applications we are interested in (see for instance [4] and [7]), involving functionals of the type

$$\int_{\Omega} |Du|^2 dx + \int_{\Omega} u^2 d\mu$$

and their limits.

In many of these problems, a measure  $\mu$  is known to exist and we want to identify it by relying on the additional information available. This does not include the knowledge of the value of  $u$  on some family of sets, e.g. balls, as required in (1.2).

Instead, our information on  $\mu$  is of variational nature, and it can be conveniently expressed in terms of a capacity associated with  $\mu$ . The  $\mu$ -capacity of a subset  $E$  of an open set  $ACR^n$  is defined by

$$\text{cap}_{\mu}(E, A) = \min \left\{ \int_A |Du|^2 dx + \int_E u^2 d\mu : u-1 \in H_0^1(A) \right\}.$$

Our main result, Theorem 2.3, shows that the knowledge of the capacities

$$\text{cap}_{\mu}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$$

at a point  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  gives the density (1.1) as the limit

$$(1.3) \quad f(x) = \liminf_{\rho \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))}{\nu(B_{\rho}(x))}$$

under the assumptions i) and ii) above.

The role of the "masses"  $\mu(B_{\rho}(x))$  of the classical derivation theorem is thus replaced by that of the "energies"  $\text{cap}_{\mu}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$ . The advantage is that the latter are preserved under broad classes of variational perturbations that may wildly modify the masses  $\mu(B_{\rho}(x))$ .

More precisely, a convergence of variational type, called  $\gamma$ -convergence, can be defined on the set  $\mathcal{M}_0$  of all Borel measures  $\mu$  considered above, with respect to which *both* the (antagonistic) properties below hold (see [7]):

- a)  $\mathcal{M}_0$  is compact;
- b) the function  $\mu \mapsto \text{cap}_{\mu}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{M}_0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $\rho > 0$ , except possibly a countable set of values that may depend on  $x$ .

Given an arbitrary sequence  $(\mu_h)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , the property a) assures that there exists a measure  $\mu$  in  $\mathcal{M}_0$  which is the  $\gamma$ -limit of some subsequence  $(\mu_{h_k})$  of  $(\mu_h)$ . The property b) then gives  $\text{cap}_{\mu}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$  by just taking the limit of  $\text{cap}_{\mu_{h_k}}(B_{\rho}(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$ , and this allows to evaluate the limit  $f$  in (1.3).

Under the assumptions i) and ii) our derivation result will lead to identify  $\mu$  via its density  $f$ . Moreover, whenever the limit

$$\lim_{h \rightarrow +\infty} \text{cap}_{\mu_h} (B_\rho(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$$

exists, the whole sequence  $(\mu_h)$  is seen to  $\gamma$ -converge to  $\mu$ .

The stability of  $\text{cap}_\mu (B_\rho(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$  with respect to  $\gamma$ -convergence can also be exploited for "computing" the capacities  $\text{cap}_\mu (B_\rho(x), B_{2\rho}(x))$ , and hence the density (1.1), by *approximating*  $\mu$  with suitable measures  $\mu_h \in \mathcal{M}_0$ .

In this connection, we mention that *density* results are known which show that *every* measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  can be approximated (in the sense of  $\gamma$ -convergence), for instance, by sequences  $(\mu_h)$  of the form

$$\mu_h = \infty_{E_h} = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{on } E_h \\ 0 & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

as well as by sequences  $(\mu_h)$  of the form

$$d\mu_h = q_h(x) dx.$$

In a forthcoming paper our derivation result, and in particular its boundary formulation of Section 4, will be applied to a class of so-called *reinforcement* problems (see [4]).

## § 2. PRELIMINARIES AND STATEMENT OF THE RESULT.

In this section we give the notation we shall use in the following, and we state the main result of the paper.

Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and let  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  be the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel subsets of  $\Omega$ . By a *Borel measure* on  $\Omega$  we mean a non-negative countably additive set function defined on  $\mathcal{B}(\Omega)$  and with values in  $[0, +\infty]$ ; by a *Radon measure* on  $\Omega$  we mean a Borel measure which is finite on every compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

If  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\Omega$  and  $f: \Omega \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a Borel function, we shall denote by  $f\mu$  the Borel measure on  $\Omega$  defined by

$$(f\mu)(B) = \int_B f \, d\mu \quad \text{for every } B \in \mathfrak{B}(\Omega).$$

For every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and every  $r > 0$  we set

$$B_r(x) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^n : |x-y| < r\}.$$

For Radon measures, the following **derivation theorem** holds (see [11] Section 2.9).

**THEOREM 2.1.** *Let  $\mu, \nu$  be two Radon measures on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . Assume that  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mu$  (i.e.  $\mu(E)=0 \implies \nu(E)=0$ ). Then*

i) *there exists a  $\mu$ -measurable function  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty[$  such that for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$*

$$f(x) = \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B_r(x))}{\mu(B_r(x))}$$

ii)  $\nu = f\mu$ .

If  $\Omega$  is a bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , for every compact subset  $K$  of  $\Omega$  the **capacity** of  $K$  with respect to  $\Omega$  is defined by

$$\text{cap}(K, \Omega) = \inf \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |Dv|^2 \, dx : v \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), v \geq 1 \text{ on } K \right\};$$

the definition is extended to open sets  $A \subset \Omega$  by

$$\text{cap}(A, \Omega) = \sup \left\{ \text{cap}(K, \Omega) : K \subset A, K \text{ compact} \right\},$$

and to arbitrary sets  $E \subset \Omega$  by

$$\text{cap}(E, \Omega) = \inf \left\{ \text{cap}(A, \Omega) : A \supset E, A \text{ open} \right\}.$$

We say that a set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has **capacity zero** if  $\text{cap}(E \cap \Omega) = 0$  for every bounded open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . It is well known that a bounded set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  has capacity zero if and only if  $\text{cap}(E, \Omega) = 0$  for some (hence for all) bounded open sets  $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with  $\Omega \supset E$ .

If a property  $P(x)$  holds for all  $x \in E$  except for a set  $E_0 \subset E$  with capacity zero, then we say that  $P(x)$  holds **quasi everywhere** on  $E$  (q.e. on  $E$ ).

If  $\mu$  is a Borel measure on  $\Omega$  and  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is  $\mu$ -measurable, then for every Borel set  $E \subset \Omega$  with  $0 < \mu(E) < +\infty$  we set

$$\int_E f \, d\mu = \frac{1}{\mu(E)} \int_E f \, d\mu \quad (\text{with the convention } 0/0=1).$$

We denote by  $H^1(\Omega)$  the usual Sobolev space of all functions in  $L^2(\Omega)$  with first order

distribution derivatives in  $L^2(\Omega)$ , by  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  the closure of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  in  $H^1(\Omega)$ , and by  $H^{-1}(\Omega)$  the dual space of  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ , with dual pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ .

It is well known (see [12]) that for every  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  the limit

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} u(y) dy$$

exists and is finite q.e. on  $\Omega$ ; thus the pointwise value  $u(x)$  of a function  $u \in H^1(\Omega)$  can be defined q.e. on  $\Omega$  by the limit above, and in this way the function  $u$  is quasi-continuous on  $\Omega$  (see [12]). Moreover, if  $\Omega$  is bounded, it can be proved that for every  $EC\Omega$

$$\text{cap}(E, \Omega) = \min \left\{ \int_{\Omega} |Dv|^2 dx : v \in H_0^1(\Omega), v \geq 1 \text{ q.e. on } E \right\}.$$

We denote by  $\mathcal{M}_0$  the class of all Borel measures  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $\mu(E) = 0$  for every Borel set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with capacity zero. Note that we do not require the measures of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$  to be regular or  $\sigma$ -finite.

We say that a Radon measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  belongs to  $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  if there exists  $\lambda \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  such that

$$(2.1) \quad \langle \lambda, v \rangle = \int v d\mu$$

for every  $v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . It is well known that in this case  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and equality (2.1) also holds for  $v \in H^1(\mathbb{R}^n)$  because of the definition above of the pointwise values of the function  $v$ . In this paper we always identify  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$ .

Other examples of measures in  $\mathcal{M}_0$  are the following (see [7]):

- i) the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure and the  $(n-1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure belong to the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ;
- ii) if  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  is a Borel function, then the Borel measure  $f\mu$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ;
- iii) if  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ , then the Borel measure  $\mu_B$  defined by  $\mu_B(E) = \mu(E \cap B)$  belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_0$ ;
- iv) for every Borel set  $B \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  the Borel measure  $\omega_B$  defined by

$$(2.2) \quad \omega_B(E) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } B \cap E \text{ has capacity zero} \\ +\infty & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

belongs to  $\mathcal{M}_0$ .

The following property for measures of  $\mathcal{M}_0$  holds (see [7] Lemma 4.15).

PROPOSITION 2.2. For every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$ , there exists a Radon measure  $\lambda \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a Borel function  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that

$$\int_A u^2 d\mu = \int_A u^2 g d\lambda$$

for every bounded open subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for every  $u \in H^1(A)$ .

Consider now an elliptic operator of the form

$$(2.3) \quad Lu = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i (a_{ij}(x) D_j)$$

where  $a_{ij} = a_{ji} \in L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and

$$\alpha |z|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) z_i z_j \leq \beta |z|^2 \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n, z \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for suitable constants  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ .

Let  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ; for every bounded open set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and for every Borel set  $E \subset A$  we define the  $\mu$ -capacity relative to  $L$  by

$$(2.4) \quad \text{cap}_\mu^L(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \int_A \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_i v D_j v dx + \int_E v^2 d\mu : v-1 \in H_0^1(A) \right\}$$

(see [8]). For example, if  $L$  is the Laplace operator  $-\Delta$ ,  $\mu$  is the measure  $\omega_B$  defined in (2.2), and  $E \subset A$ , then

$$\text{cap}_\mu^L(E, A) = \text{cap}(E \cap B, A).$$

In any case, for every open set  $A$  and every Borel set  $E \subset A$  we have

$$\text{cap}_\mu^L(E, A) \leq k \text{cap}(E, A) \quad (\text{with } k = \max\{1, \beta\}).$$

We are now in a position to state our main result.

THEOREM 2.3. Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , let  $\nu$  be a Radon measure of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , and for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$  let

$$(2.5) \quad f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_\mu^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \quad (\text{with the convention } 0/0=1).$$

Assume that

- i)  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)$ ;
- ii)  $f(x) < +\infty$  q.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

Then  $\mu$  is a Radon measure and we have  $\mu = f\nu$ . Moreover, the liminf in (2.5) is a li-



mit for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

REMARK 2.4. The conclusion of Theorem 2.3 may fail if we drop hypothesis ii), as the following example shows.

Take  $n=1$ ,  $\mu$  the Dirac measure at the origin, and  $\nu$  the Lebesgue measure. We have that  $\mu$  and  $\nu$  are in  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , and  $\nu$  is a Radon measure. It is easy to see that the function  $f$  defined in (2.5) is given by

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} +\infty & \text{if } x=0 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} .$$

Then  $f \in L^1(\mathbb{R}, \nu)$ , but the equality  $\mu = f \nu$  does not hold.

### § 3. PROOF OF THE RESULT

For the proof of Theorem 2.3 we shall need some preliminary lemmas.

LEMMA 3.1. Let  $\mu$  be a Radon measure belonging to  $H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ . Then

$$(3.1) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\mu(B_r(x))} = 1$$

for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ .

Proof. Let  $A$  be a fixed open ball in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ; it will be enough to prove that (3.1) holds for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in A$ . For every  $x \in A$  and every  $r > 0$  with  $B_{2r}(x) \subset A$  we denote by  $u_r^x$  the solution in the distribution sense of the problem

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{cases} Lu + u \mu_{B_r(x)} = 0 & \text{on } A \\ u - 1 \in H_0^1(A) \end{cases}$$

where  $\mu_{B_r(x)}(E) = \mu(E \cap B_r(x))$ . It is easy to see that the function  $u_r^x$  minimizes the integral

$$\int_A \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(y) D_j v D_i v \, dy + \int_{B_r(x)} v^2 \, d\mu(y)$$

among the functions  $v$  with  $v-1 \in H_0^1(A)$ . Multiplying (3.2) by  $u-1$  and integrating by parts, we get

$$\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), A) = \int_{B_r(x)} u_r^x(y) \, d\mu(y) .$$

Since

$$\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), A) \leq \text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x)) \leq \mu(B_r(x))$$

the proof will be achieved if we prove that

$$(3.3) \quad \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} u_r^x(y) \, d\mu(y) \geq 1 \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in A.$$

Define now a function  $v_r^x$  by setting for every  $y \in A$

$$v_r^x(y) = \int_{B_r(x)} G(y, z) \, d\mu(z)$$

where  $G$  is the Green function for the Dirichlet problem in  $A$  relative to the operator  $L$ . Note that the values of  $v_r^x$  are defined everywhere on  $A$ , and not only q.e. on  $A$ .

Then we have

$$\begin{cases} L v_r^x = \mu_{B_r(x)} \\ v_r^x \in H_0^1(A) . \end{cases}$$

Moreover, it is easy to see by comparison, that  $u_r^x \geq 1 - v_r^x$  q.e. on  $A$ ; therefore to prove (3.3) it will suffice to show that

$$(3.4) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} v_r^x(y) \, d\mu(y) = 0 \quad \text{for } \mu\text{-a.e. } x \in A .$$

Again by comparison, we get

$$(3.5) \quad B_r(x) \subset B_R(y) \implies v_r^x \leq v_R^y .$$

We shall prove (3.4) in several steps.

Step 1. *There exists a Borel set  $N \subset A$  with  $\mu(N) = 0$ , such that*

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(y)} v_R^x(z) \, d\mu(z) = v_R^x(y) < +\infty$$

*for all  $x \in A$ , for all  $R > 0$  with  $B_{2R}(x) \subset A$ , and for all  $y \in B_{R/2}(x) - N$ .*

In fact, for every  $x \in A$  and  $R > 0$ , the function  $v_R^x$  is  $\mu$ -integrable. By a classical derivation theorem (see Theorem 2.1) there exists a Borel set  $N$  depending on  $x$  and  $R$

such that  $\mu(N)=0$  and

$$(3.6) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(y)} v_R^x(z) d\mu(z) = v_R^x(y) < +\infty \quad \text{for every } y \in A-N.$$

If  $XCR^1$  and  $SC]0, +\infty[$  are countable and dense, we can easily construct a Borel set NCA with  $\mu(N)=0$ , such that (3.6) holds for every  $x \in X$ ,  $R \in S$ .

We show now, by an approximating procedure, that this set N satisfies the requirement of Step 1.

Let  $x$  be any point of  $A$  and  $R$  any positive number; there exist two sequences  $x_h \rightarrow x$  and  $R_h \rightarrow R$  such that  $x_h \in X$ ,  $R_h \in S$  and

$$B_{3R/4}(x) \subset B_{R_h}(x_h) \subset B_R(x) .$$

For every  $y \in B_{R/2}(x)$  we have by (3.5)

$$(3.7) \quad 0 \leq v_R^x(y) - v_{R_h}^{x_h}(y) = \int_{B_R(x) - B_{R_h}(x_h)} G(y,z) d\mu(z) \leq c(x,R) \mu(B_R(x) - B_{R_h}(x_h))$$

where  $c(x,R)$  is a constant depending only on  $x,R$ . By using (3.6), (3.7) we obtain for every  $y \in B_{R/2}(x) - N$  and every  $h \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \left| v_R^x(y) - \int_{B_r(y)} v_R^x(z) d\mu(z) \right| \\ & \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \left\{ |v_R^x(y) - v_{R_h}^{x_h}(y)| + \left| v_{R_h}^{x_h}(y) - \int_{B_{R_h}(y)} v_{R_h}^{x_h}(z) d\mu(z) \right| + \int_{B_r(y)} |v_{R_h}^{x_h}(z) - v_R^x(z)| d\mu(z) \right\} \\ & \leq 2 c(x,R) \mu(B_R(x) - B_{R_h}(x_h)) , \end{aligned}$$

and by letting  $h \rightarrow +\infty$  the proof of Step 1 is achieved.

Step 2. There exists a Borel set NCA with  $\mu(N)=0$  such that

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} v_r^x(z) d\mu(z) \leq v_R^x(x)$$

for all  $x \in A-N$  and all  $R > 0$  with  $B_{2R}(x) \subset A$ .

In fact, if N is the set constructed in Step 1, for every  $x \in A-N$  and every  $R > 0$  we have  $x \in B_{R/2}(x) - N$ , and so by (3.5) and by Step 1 we get

$$\limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} v_r^x(z) d\mu(z) \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \int_{B_r(x)} v_R^x(z) d\mu(z) = v_R^x(x) .$$

Step 3. For  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in A$  we have  $\lim_{R \rightarrow 0} v_R^x(x) = 0$ .

In fact we have

$$(3.8) \quad 0 \leq v_R^x(x) = \int_{B_R(x)} G(x,z) d\mu(z) .$$

The function  $w(x) = \int_A G(x,z) d\mu(z)$  is in  $H_0^1(A)$ ; moreover it is quasi-continuous, so that  $w(x) < +\infty$  q.e. on  $A$  (see [13]). Therefore for q.e.  $x \in A$  the function  $G(x, \cdot)$  is  $\mu$ -integrable, and so, passing to the limit in (3.8) as  $R \rightarrow 0$ , the proof of Step 3 is achieved, and this, together with Step 2, proves (3.4).  $\square$

Proof of Theorem 2.3. By Proposition 2.2 there exist a Radon measure  $\lambda \in H^{-1}(\mathbb{R}^n)$  and a Borel function  $g: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow [0, +\infty]$  such that

$$(3.9) \quad \int_A u^2 d\mu = \int_A u^2 g d\lambda$$

for every open subset  $A$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and for every  $u \in H^1(A)$ . Therefore

$$(3.10) \quad \text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x)) = \text{cap}_{g\lambda}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x)) .$$

For every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  set  $g_k = g \wedge k$ ; by Lemma 3.1 there exists a Borel set  $E_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  with

$$(3.11) \quad \int_{E_1} g_k d\lambda = 0 \text{ such that}$$

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{g_k \lambda}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{(g_k \lambda)(B_r(x))} = 1$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - E_1$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $\lambda + \nu$  is a Radon measure, by the classical derivation theorem (Theorem 2.1), there exists a Borel set  $E_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(\lambda + \nu)(E_2) = 0$  and

$$(3.12) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{(g_k \lambda)(B_r(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} = g_k(x) \frac{d\lambda}{d(\lambda + \nu)}(x) < +\infty$$

$$(3.13) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B_r(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} = \frac{d\nu}{d(\lambda + \nu)}(x) \leq 1$$

for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - E_2$  and for every  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Finally, by hypothesis ii) of Theorem 2.3, there exists a Borel set  $E_3 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  such that  $(\lambda + \nu)(E_3) = 0$  and

$$(3.14) \quad f(x) < +\infty \quad \text{for every } x \in \mathbb{R}^n - E_3 .$$

Set  $E = E_1 \cup E_2 \cup E_3$ ; if  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - E$  we have by (3.10), (3.11), (3.12), (3.13)

$$\begin{aligned} g_k(x) \frac{d\lambda}{d(\lambda + \nu)}(x) &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{(g_k \lambda)(B_r(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{g_k \lambda}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{(g_k \lambda)(B_r(x))} = \\ &= \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{g_k \lambda}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{g\lambda}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} = \end{aligned}$$

$$= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \cdot \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\nu(B_r(x))}{(\lambda + \nu)(B_r(x))} = f(x) \frac{d\nu}{d(\lambda + \nu)}(x) .$$

Note that these inequalities hold even if  $\frac{d\nu}{d(\lambda + \nu)}(x) = 0$ , because we have assumed  $f(x) < +\infty$ . This is a delicate point in the proof; indeed this is the only point where hypothesis ii) is used.

Therefore, for every Borel set  $\text{BCR}^n - E_1$

$$\int_B \left[ g_k \frac{d\lambda}{d(\lambda + \nu)} \right] d(\lambda + \nu) \leq \int_B \left[ f \frac{d\nu}{d(\lambda + \nu)} \right] d(\lambda + \nu) ,$$

so that, since  $f \in L_{loc}^1(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)$

$$\int_B g_k d\lambda \leq \int_B f d\nu$$

for every Borel set  $\text{BCR}^n$ . Passing to the limit as  $k \rightarrow +\infty$ , by the monotone convergence theorem, we get

$$\int_B g d\lambda \leq \int_B f d\nu \quad \text{for every Borel set } \text{BCR}^n .$$

Thus, by (3.9)

$$\mu(A) \leq \int_A f d\nu \quad \text{for every open set } \text{ACR}^n ,$$

which yields that  $\mu$  is a Radon measure absolutely continuous with respect to  $\nu$ . Then

$$(3.15) \quad \mu(B) \leq \int_B f d\nu \quad \text{for every Borel set } \text{BCR}^n .$$

Since  $\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x)) \leq \mu(B_r(x))$ , we obtain for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$f(x) \leq \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} = \frac{d\mu}{d\nu}(x) .$$

Therefore, by (3.15), for every Borel set  $\text{BCR}^n$

$$\mu(B) \leq \int_B f d\nu \leq \int_B \left( \frac{d\mu}{d\nu} \right) d\nu = \mu(B) ,$$

which implies that  $\mu = f\nu$ . Moreover, by (3.15), for  $\nu$ -a.e.  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \leq \\ &\leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\mu(B_r(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \leq \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{(f\nu)(B_r(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} = f(x) \end{aligned}$$

which achieves the proof.  $\square$

#### § 4. THE BOUNDARY CASE

In order to apply the results of this paper to boundary reinforcement problems (see [1],[3],[4],[5],[6]) we are led to extend the theorems of the previous sections to a very particular class of non-uniformly elliptic operators.

Let  $\Omega$  be a bounded open set of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  with a Lipschitz boundary. We shall consider an elliptic operator  $L$  of the form (2.3) such that  $a_{ij}(x)=0$  for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n - \Omega$  and

$$\alpha |z|^2 \leq \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) z_j z_i \leq \beta |z|^2 \quad \text{for every } x \in \Omega \text{ and } z \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

for suitable constants  $0 < \alpha \leq \beta$ .

Let  $\mu$  be a Borel measure in  $\mathcal{M}_0$  with  $\text{spt } \mu \subset \bar{\Omega}$ . Then, since  $a_{ij}=0$  on  $\mathbb{R}^n - \Omega$ , the corresponding capacity  $\text{cap}_\mu^L$  satisfies

$$(4.1) \quad \text{cap}_\mu^L(E, A) = \inf \left\{ \int_{A \cap \Omega} \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_j u D_i u \, dx + \int_{E \cap \bar{\Omega}} u^2 \, d\mu : u-1 \in H_0^1(A) \right\}$$

for every open set  $A \subset \mathbb{R}^n$  and every Borel set  $E \subset A$ .

Of course, we may apply Theorem 2.3 to the interior points  $x \in \Omega$ . We now give an extension of the derivation theorem to the boundary points  $x \in \partial\Omega$ .

**THEOREM 4.1.** *If  $\text{spt } \mu \subset \bar{\Omega}$ , then Theorem 2.3 holds also for the degenerate operator  $L$  considered above.*

Proof. The only change is in the proof of Lemma 3.1 for  $x \in \partial\Omega$ . Since  $\Omega$  has a Lipschitz boundary, for every  $x_0 \in \partial\Omega$  there exist a neighbourhood  $U$  of  $x_0$  and a Lipschitz map  $\phi$  from  $U$  into a ball  $B_R(0)$  with a Lipschitz inverse  $\psi$ , such that  $\phi(x_0)=0$  and

$$\phi(U \cap \Omega) = B_R^+ = \{y \in B_R(0) : y_n > 0\} .$$

Let  $\tilde{\mu}$  be the measure on  $B_R(0)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\mu}(E) = \mu(\psi(E)) .$$

Note that  $\tilde{\mu}$  is supported by  $S_R^+ = \{y \in B_R(0) : y_n \geq 0\}$ .

Let  $\tilde{L} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i (\tilde{a}_{ij}(y) D_j \cdot)$  be the elliptic operator on  $B_R^+$  with

$$\tilde{a}_{ij}(y) = \sum_{h,k=1}^n (a_{hk} D_h \phi_i D_k \phi_j)(\psi(y)) |\det D\psi(y)| ,$$

and let  $\text{cap}_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\tilde{L}}$  be the  $\tilde{\mu}$ -capacity defined as in (2.4) with  $\mu, L, A, E$  replaced by  $\tilde{\mu}, \tilde{L}, A \cap B_R^+, E \cap S_R^+$  respectively. It is easy to see that for every  $x \in U \cap \partial\Omega$  the left hand side of (3.1) becomes

$$(4.2) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{B}_r(y), \tilde{B}_{2r}(y))}{\tilde{\mu}(\tilde{B}_r(y))}$$

where  $y = \phi(x)$  and for every  $r > 0$   $\tilde{B}_r(y) = \phi(B_r(x))$ .

In order to reduce the problem to the case of an interior point, already considered in Lemma 3.1, we use a symmetrization argument.

Let  $\tau: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be the map defined by

$$\tau(y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, y_n) = (y_1, \dots, y_{n-1}, -y_n).$$

For every Borel set  $E \subset S_R^+$  we put

$$\hat{\mu}(E) = \tilde{\mu}(E \cap S_R^+) + \tilde{\mu}(\tau(E) \cap S_R^+);$$

let  $\hat{L} = - \sum_{i,j=1}^n D_i(\hat{a}_{ij}(y)D_j)$  be the elliptic operator on  $B_R^+$  with

$$\hat{a}_{ij}(y) = \begin{cases} \tilde{a}_{ij}(y) & \text{if } y_n > 0 \\ c_{ij} \tilde{a}_{ij}(\tau(y)) & \text{if } y_n < 0, \end{cases}$$

where  $c_{ij} = 1$  if  $i, j < n$  or  $i = j = n$ , and  $c_{ij} = -1$  otherwise.

Finally, let  $\text{cap}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{L}}$  be the  $\hat{\mu}$ -capacity defined as in (2.4). It is easy to see that for every  $r > 0$

$$\hat{\mu}(\hat{B}_r(y)) = 2 \tilde{\mu}(\tilde{B}_r(y))$$

$$\text{cap}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{L}}(\hat{B}_r(y), \hat{B}_{2r}(y)) = 2 \text{cap}_{\tilde{\mu}}^{\tilde{L}}(\tilde{B}_r(y), \tilde{B}_{2r}(y))$$

where  $\hat{B}_r(y) = [\tilde{B}_r(y) \cap S_R^+] \cup \tau(\tilde{B}_r(y) \cap S_R^+)$ . Therefore, (4.2) becomes

$$(4.3) \quad \lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\hat{\mu}}^{\hat{L}}(\hat{B}_r(y), \hat{B}_{2r}(y))}{\hat{\mu}(\hat{B}_r(y))}.$$

Now, by repeating the proof of Lemma 3.1, and by using a more refined version of Theorem 2.1 (see for instance [11], Section 2.9), we obtain that the limit in (4.3) equals 1 for  $\hat{\mu}$ -a.e.  $y \in B_R(0) \cap \{y_n = 0\}$ , therefore the limit in (4.1) equals 1 for  $\mu$ -a.e.  $x \in U \cap \partial\Omega$ .  $\square$

## § 5. APPLICATIONS TO $\gamma$ -CONVERGENCE

In this section we apply the derivation theorem proved in Section 3 to the characterization of the  $\gamma$ -limit of a sequence  $(\mu_h)$  of measures of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ .

Let  $L$  be a uniformly elliptic operator as in Section 2 (see(2.3)). With every  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  we associate the family of quadratic functionals

$$F_{\mu}^L(u, \Omega) = \begin{cases} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \sum_{i,j=1}^n a_{ij}(x) D_j u D_i u \right] dx + \int_{\Omega} u^2 d\mu & \text{if } u \in H_0^1(\Omega) \\ +\infty & \text{elsewhere in } L^2(\Omega) \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is an arbitrary bounded open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ .

The  $\gamma^L$ -convergence of a sequence  $(\mu_h)$  is defined in terms of the  $\Gamma^-$  convergence of the corresponding functionals  $(F_{\mu_h}^L)$ . For the definition and properties of  $\Gamma^-$  convergence we refer to [9],[10] and to [2], where it is called epi-convergence.

**DEFINITION 5.1.** We say that a sequence  $(\mu_h)$  in  $\mathcal{M}_0$   $\gamma^L$ -converges to a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_0$  if, for every bounded open subset  $\Omega$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , the functionals  $F_{\mu_h}^L(\cdot, \Omega)$   $\Gamma^-$  converge to  $F_{\mu}^L$  in the space  $L^2(\Omega)$ .

When  $L$  is the Laplace operator  $-\Delta$ , then the  $\gamma^L$ -convergence is the  $\gamma$ -convergence introduced in [7] (Definition 4.8). In the general case of an elliptic operator  $L$ , the properties of  $\gamma^L$ -convergence can be easily obtained from the corresponding properties of  $\gamma$ -convergence studied in Sections 4 and 5 of [7].

**THEOREM 5.2.** Let  $(\mu_h)$  be a sequence of Borel measures of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , let  $\nu$  be a Radon measure of the class  $\mathcal{M}_0$ , and let  $f: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^n$  be a nonnegative function. Assume that

$$\begin{aligned} \text{i) for q.e. } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \quad & \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu_h}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} = \\ & = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \limsup_{h \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu_h}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} = f(x); \end{aligned}$$



ii)  $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^n, \nu)$  ;

iii)  $f(x) < +\infty$  q.e. on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  .

Then  $(\mu_h)$   $\gamma^L$ -converges to  $\mu = f\nu$  .

Proof. Since the  $\gamma^L$ -convergence is metrizable and compact (see [7], Proposition 4.9 and Theorem 4.14) we may assume that  $(\mu_h)$   $\gamma^L$ -converges to a measure  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_+$  and we have only to prove that  $\mu = f\nu$ . By Theorem 5.15 of [7] we obtain that for every  $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$(5.1) \quad \lim_{h \rightarrow \infty} \text{cap}_{\mu_h}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x)) = \text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))$$

for all  $r > 0$  in a complement of a countable set. From (5.1) and hypothesis i) we get

$$f(x) = \liminf_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{\text{cap}_{\mu}^L(B_r(x), B_{2r}(x))}{\nu(B_r(x))} \quad \text{q.e. on } \mathbb{R}^n .$$

Recalling that  $\mu + \nu$  vanishes on the sets of capacity zero, we can apply Theorem 2.3 and we conclude that  $\mu = f\nu$ .  $\square$

REMARK 5.3. A similar result can be obtained for the operators  $L$  considered in Section 4 and for the corresponding capacities (see [4]).

REMARK 5.4. In the case when  $\nu$  is the  $n$ -dimensional Lebesgue measure or the  $n-1$  dimensional measure on a smooth manifold, Theorem 5.2 has been obtained by Marchenko and Hruslov (see [14],[15]).

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The second author has been partially supported by the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi

Funzionale e le sue Applicazioni (Consiglio Nazionale delle Ricerche) and by a National Research Project of the Ministero della Pubblica Istruzione.

The first and third authors wish to thank the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications for hospitality and support at the University of Minnesota, where this paper was partially written.

### REFERENCES

- [1] E.ACERBI-G.BUTTAZZO: *Reinforcement problems in the calculus of variations.* Ann.Inst.H.Poincare Anal.Non Lineaire, (to appear).
- [2] H.ATTOUCH: *Variational Convergence for Functionals and Operators.* Pitman, Boston (1984).
- [3] H.BREZIS-L.CAFFARELLI-A.FRIEDMAN: *Reinforcement problems for elliptic equations and variational inequalities.* Ann.Mat.Pura Appl., 123 (1980), 219-246.
- [4] G.BUTTAZZO-G.DAL MASO-U.MOSCO: Paper in preparation.
- [5] G.BUTTAZZO-R.V.KOHN: Paper in preparation.
- [6] L.CAFFARELLI-A.FRIEDMAN: *Reinforcement problems in elasto-plasticity.* Rocky Mountain J.Math., 10 (1980), 155-184.
- [7] G.DAL MASO-U.MOSCO: *Wiener's criterion and  $\Gamma$ -convergence.* IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (1985).
- [8] G.DAL MASO-U.MOSCO: *Wiener criteria and energy decay for relaxed Dirichlet problems.* IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis (1985).
- [9] E.DE GIORGI-G.DAL MASO:  *$\Gamma$ -convergence and calculus of variations.* Proceedings of "Mathematical Theories of Optimization", S.Margherita Ligure 1981, Lecture Notes Math. 979, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1983).
- [10] E.DE GIORGI-T.FRANZONI: *Su un tipo di convergenza variazionale.* Atti Accad.Naz.Lincei Rend.Cl.Sci.Fis.Mat.Natur., 58 (1975), 842-850.
- [11] H.FEDERER: *Geometric Measure Theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1969).

- [12] H.FEDERER-W.ZIEMER: *The Lebesgue set of a function whose distribution derivatives are  $p$ -th power summable.*  
Indiana Univ.Math.J., 22 (1972), 139-158.
- [13] W.LITTMAN-G.STAMPACCHIA-H.WEINBERGER: *Regular points for elliptic equations with discontinuous coefficients.*  
Ann.Scuola Norm.Sup.Pisa Cl.Sci., 17 (1963), 45-79.
- [14] A.V.MARCHENKO-E.Ya.HRUSLOV: *Boundary value problems in domains with close grained boundaries* (Russian).  
Naukova Dumka, Kiev (1974).
- [15] A.V.MARCHENKO-E.Ya.HRUSLOV: *New results in the theory of boundary value problems for regions with close-grained boundaries.*  
Uspekhi Mat.Nauk, 33 (1978), 127.

- | #   | Author(s)  | Title  | #   | Author(s)                                    | Title  |
|-----|--|--|-----|--|--|
| 158 | M. Biroli and U. Mosco                           | Wiener Estimates for Parabolic Obstacle Problems   | 199 | M. Chipot, D. Kinderlehrer and L. Caffarelli | Some Smoothness Properties of Linear Laminates                                       |
| 159 | E. Bennett and W. Zame                           | Prices and Bargaining in Cooperative Games   | 200 | Y. Eige and R. Kohn                          | Characterizing Blow-up Using Similarity Variables                                    |
| 160 | M.A. Harris and Y. Sibuya                        | The n-th Roots of Solutions of Linear Ordinary Differential Equations  | 201 | P. Cannarsa and H. M. Soner                  | On the Singularities of the Viscosity Solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations |
| 161 | Millard F. Beatty                                | Some Dynamical Problems in Continuum Physics   | 202 | Andrew Majda                                 | Nonlinear Geometric Optics for Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws               |
| 162 | P. Beaman and D. Phillips                        | Larger-Time Behavior of Solutions to a Scalar Conservation Law in Several Space Dimensions                           |     |  |  |
| 163 | A. Novick-Cohen                                  | Interfacial Instabilities in Directional Solidification of Dilute Binary Alloys: The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation   |     |  |  |
| 164 | H.F. Weinberger                                  | On Metastable Patterns in Parabolic Systems  |     |  |  |
| 165 | D. Arnold and R.S. Falk                          | Continuous Dependence on the Elastic Coefficients for a Class of Anisotropic Materials                               |     |  |  |
| 166 | I.J. Bekeleman                                   | The Boundary Value Problems for Non-linear Elliptic Equation and the Maximum Principle for Euler-Lagrange Equations  |     |  |  |
| 167 | Ingo Müller                                      | Gases and Rubbers  |     |  |  |
| 168 | Ingo Müller                                      | Pseudoelasticity in Shape Memory Alloys - an Extreme Case of Thermoelasticity  |     |  |  |
| 169 | Luis Magalhães                                   | Persistence and Smoothness of Hyperbolic Invariant Manifolds for Functional Differential Equations                   |     |  |  |
| 170 | A. Danişman and M. Vogelius                      | Homogenization Limits of the Equations of Elasticity in Thin Domains   |     |  |  |
| 171 | H.C. Simpson and S.J. Spector                    | On Hadamard Stability in Finite Elasticity   |     |  |  |
| 172 | J.L. Vazquez and C. Yaver                        | Isolated Singularities of the Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation with a Radial Potential                          |     |  |  |
| 173 | G. Dal Maso and B. Mosco                         | Wiener's Criterion and $\Gamma$ -Convergence   |     |  |  |
| 174 | John M. Maddocks                                 | Stability and Folds  |     |  |  |
| 175 | R. Hardt and D. Kinderlehrer                     | Existence and Partial Regularity of Static Liquid Crystal Configurations   |     |  |  |
| 176 | M. Morukar                                       | Construction of Smooth Ergodic Cocycles for Systems with Fast Periodic Approximations                                |     |  |  |
| 177 | J.L. Ericksen                                    | Stable Equilibrium Configurations of Elastic Crystals  |     |  |  |
| 178 | Patricio Aviles                                  | Local Behavior of Solutions of Some Elliptic Equations   |     |  |  |
| 179 | S.-N. Chow and R. Lauterbach                     | A Bifurcation Theorem for Critical Points of Variational Problems  |     |  |  |
| 180 | R. Pego  | Phase Transitions: Stability and Admissibility in One Dimensional Nonlinear Viscoelasticity                          |     |  |  |
| 181 | Marieno Glaquinta                                | Quadratic Functions and Partial Regularity   |     |  |  |
| 182 | J. Bona  | Fully Discrete Galerkin Methods for the Korteweg De Vries Equation   |     |  |  |
| 183 | J. Maddocks and J. Keller                        | Mechanics of Robes   |     |  |  |
| 184 | F. Bernis  | Qualitative Properties for some nonlinear higher order Nonlinear Higher Order Parabolic Equations with Absorption    |     |  |  |
| 185 | F. Bernis  | Finite Speed of Propagation and Asymptotic Rates for some Nonlinear Higher Order Parabolic Equations with Absorption |     |  |  |
| 186 | S. Reichelstein and S. Reiter                    | Game Forms with Minimal Strategy Spaces  |     |  |  |
| 187 | T. Ding  | An Answer to Littlewood's Problem on Boundedness   |     |  |  |
| 188 | J. Rubinstein and R. Maari                       | Dispersion and Convection in Periodic Media  |     |  |  |
| 189 | M.H. Fleming and P.E. Souganidis                 | Asymptotic Series and the Method of Vanishing Viscosity  |     |  |  |
| 190 | H. Beirão Da Veiga                               | Existence and Asymptotic Behavior for Strong Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations in the Whole Space                 |     |  |  |
| 191 | L.A. Caffarelli, J.L. Vazquez, and M.L. Wolanski | Lipschitz Continuity of Solutions and Interfaces of the N-Dimensional Porous Medium Equation                         |     |  |  |
| 192 | R. Johnson                                       | m-Functions and Floquet Exponents for Linear Differential Systems  |     |  |  |
| 193 | F.V. Atkinson and L.A. Peletier                  | Ground States and Dirichlet Problems for $-\Delta = F(U)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$  |     |  |  |
| 194 | G. Dal Maso, U. Mosco                            | The Wiener Modulus of a Radial Measure   |     |  |  |
| 195 | H. A. Levine and H.F. Weinberger                 | Inequalities between Dirichlet and Neumann Eigenvalues   |     |  |  |
| 196 | J. Rubinstein                                    | On the Macroscopic Description of Slow Viscous Flow Past a Random Array of Spheres                                   |     |  |  |
| 197 | G. Dal Maso and U. Mosco                         | Wiener Criteria and Energy Decay for Relaxed Dirichlet Problems  |     |  |  |
| 198 | V. Olliker and P. Waltman                        | On the Monge-Ampere Equation Arising in the Reflector Mapping Problem  |     |  |  |