

Data Curation Service Survey (Spring 2021)

Q100 Data Curation Service Survey (Spring 2021)

This survey will ask you questions about your experiences and satisfaction with the [Insert Repository Name] data curation service. We estimate this survey will take you only 5 minutes to complete. Thanks for your time!

What you can expect

Your responses will help us evaluate and improve data repository services. The authors of this study will be able to link your responses to the dataset mentioned in the invitation email. All information that directly identifies you and your dataset will be removed prior to sharing the results outside of the study team or in publications or presentations. However we can not promise complete anonymity. We will publish a deidentified version of this dataset for sharing and reuse in the Data Repository for the University of Minnesota within six months of the survey close.

Who we are

This survey is authored and administered by individuals in the Data Curation Network, funded by the Alfred P. Sloan Foundation. We are a team of librarians and data curators at US-based academic and non-profit data repositories that help researchers ethically share their data.

Sarah Wright and Wendy Kozlowski, Cornell University eCommons

Lisa Johnston and Wanda Marsolek, University of Minnesota Data Repository for the U of M (DRUM)

Hoa Luong and Susan Braxton, University of Illinois Data Bank

Joel Herndon and Sophia Lafferty-Hess, Duke University Research Data Repository

Jake Carlson, University of Michigan Deep Blue Data

Mara Blake, Johns Hopkins University Data Archive

Questions or concerns

Contact the Principal Investigator Lisa Johnston (ljohnsto@umn.edu).

Start of Block: DCN Questions

Q2 By data curation we mean: the various actions taken to ensure that data are fit for purpose and available for discovery and reuse. During the curatorial review process, a data curator may check files, review metadata, and/or make suggestions that would help others find and reuse your data.

Did you expect the [Repository Name] staff to curate your dataset "[\\${e://Field/DatasetTitle}](#)"?

- Yes (1)
 - No (2)
-



Q3 I was satisfied with the curatorial review my data received.

- Strongly agree (1)
 - Somewhat agree (2)
 - Neither agree nor disagree (3)
 - Somewhat disagree (4)
 - Strongly disagree (5)
-



Q4 Were any changes made to your data submission due to the curatorial review?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unsure (3)

Skip To: Q5 If Were any changes made to your data submission due to the curatorial review? = Yes

Skip To: Q6 If Were any changes made to your data submission due to the curatorial review? = No

Skip To: Q7 If Were any changes made to your data submission due to the curatorial review? = Unsure

Q5 If yes, what changes were made?

- Essential changes were made (e.g., an error was corrected) (1)
- Some major changes were made (e.g., files updated/added) (2)
- A few minimal changes were made (e.g., small edits/additions) (3)
- Unsure (4)

Display This Question:

If Were any changes made to your data submission due to the curatorial review? = No

Q6 If no changes were made, why not?

- No changes were needed (1)
- I did not agree with the recommended changes (2)
- I did not have time to work on this (3)
- Unsure (4)
- Other (5) _____

Q7 Due to the curation process I felt more confident sharing my data.

- Strongly agree (1)
- Somewhat agree (2)
- Neither agree nor disagree (3)
- Somewhat disagree (4)
- Strongly disagree (5)



Q8 Data curation by this repository adds value to the data sharing process.

- Strongly agree (1)
 - Somewhat agree (2)
 - Neither agree nor disagree (3)
 - Somewhat disagree (4)
 - Strongly disagree (5)
 - No response (99)
-

Q9 What is the most "value-add" curation action taken by this repository? Tell us why you think so.



Q10 The impact that data curation has on the data sharing process is worth the effort.

- Strongly agree (1)
 - Somewhat agree (2)
 - Neither agree nor disagree (3)
 - Somewhat disagree (4)
 - Strongly disagree (5)
-

Q11 Is there anything else you'd like to tell us about your data sharing experience?

Q12 Would you recommend your colleagues submit data to this repository?

- Yes (1)
 - No (2)
 - Unsure (3)
-

Q13 May we contact you in the future to discuss data curation?

- No, thanks (1)
- Yes, please do (2)

Start of Block: Additional Questions

Note: These questions were only asked within a subset of institutions (Duke and Michigan). All institutions that did not ask these questions have missing data coded as 97. Missing data due to survey design and multi-response answers are coded as 98.

Q14 Have you deposited data in other data repositories outside of [Repository Name]?

- Yes (1)
- No (2)
- Unsure (3)

Display This Question:
If Have you deposited data in other data repositories outside of [repository name]? = Yes

Q15 What repository or repositories have you deposited with?

Q16 Why did you choose the [Repository Name] over other repository options? (Select all that apply)

- I don't have a disciplinary repository in my field (1)
 - I wasn't aware of other repository options (2)
 - I wanted to keep my data at [Institution Name] (3)
 - My colleagues recommended [Repository Name] (4)
 - I like that librarians/[Institution] review my data before publication in [Repository Name] (5)
 - Other (please explain) (6) _____
-

Q17 Have you written the [Repository Name] into a grant application data management plan as your data preservation and sharing solution?

- Yes (Duke); Yes, I already have listed Deep Blue Data in a grant application (Michigan) (1)
- No (Duke) (2)
- Not yet, but I am planning on doing so in the future (Michigan) (3)
- No, I have not and I am not planning on doing so in the future (Michigan) (4)
- Unsure (Duke) I don't know (Michigan) (5)

Note: Duke and Michigan used slightly different responses with Michigan providing multiple response options for No, therefore different codes are provided for the Michigan "No" responses. Subtle differences in language used across institutions for Yes and Unsure are also noted above.

Q18 What are approximate sizes of datasets that you may be interested in sharing in the future? (Select all that apply)

- Under 10 GB (1)
- 10-100 GB (2)
- 100 GB - 1 TB (3)
- Greater than 1 TB (4)
- Unsure/I Don't Know (5)
- Other (6) _____

Note: Michigan provided two options for under 10 GB – 1) under 2 GB and 2) 2GB-10GB, for cross institution analysis these were collapsed into under 10 GB. To review the responses solely for University of Michigan see there raw data file.