

MINNEAPOLIS NATIVE AMERICAN HOUSING SURVEY:

Technical Report

Minnesota Center for  
Social Research  
University of Minnesota  
2122 Riverside Avenue  
Minneapolis, Minnesota  
55454

**CURA has supported the work of the  
author(s) of this report but has not  
reviewed it for final publication.  
Its content is solely the  
responsibility of the author(s) and  
is not necessarily endorsed by CURA.**

## Preface

This document reports on the methods employed and the raw findings of a survey of Native American households in the city of Minneapolis. The survey was conducted during the summer of 1978 by the Upper Midwest American Indian Center. Technical support was provided by the Minnesota Center for Social Research.

The project was coordinated at the University of Minnesota by the Center for Urban and Regional Affairs. Support funds for the technical aspects of this study were provided by the Office of Local and Urban Affairs of the Minnesota State Planning Agency.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
Background . . . . .	1
Problem . . . . .	1
Organization of the Report . . . . .	1
Interview Sample . . . . .	2
Interviewers . . . . .	3
Survey Findings . . . . .	4
Background Information	5
Resident Status	27
Costs Associated with Home Ownership	35
Costs Associated with Apartment Rental	45
Relations with Landlord	56
Nature of Housing	64
Housing Discrimination Problems	71
Heating; Problems with Heating	74
Appliances/Utilities	79
Conditions of Windows/Doors	83
Condition of Residence	88
Housing Complaints/Housing Programs	105
Concluding Question: Open-Ended Comments	110
Appendix A . . . . .	118
Tribal Affiliation	
Tribal Enrollment Location	
Appendix B . . . . .	119
Interview Instrument	
Interview Codebook	

Background

During April, 1978, the Minnesota Center for Social Research (MCSR), University of Minnesota, began work with Upper Midwest American Indian Center (UMAIC) on a housing survey of Minneapolis-based Native Americans. The purpose of the survey was to record the perceptions that Native Americans have of their actual housing situations/conditions and of their felt housing needs.

MCSR's role in the project was primarily that of providing consulting/technical support for UMAIC activities. Our goal throughout was to facilitate the evolution of the project and to enhance its potential for retrieving valid and reliable data. To the extent possible, MCSR remained as close as possible to the original intent and preferences of UMAIC. MCSR was involved throughout the conduct of the study.

Problem

To date, little reported data exists about the housing conditions of Native Americans. Documentation of these conditions, situations and needs are critical if meaningful planning is to occur. The questionnaire reported on in this report is but one component of a major housing study undertaken by the Upper Midwest American Indian Center. The conditions examined by the questionnaire include crowding, the relative costs of housing, knowledge of housing programs, the nature and quality of landlord/tenant relationships, and condition of residence.

Organization of the Report

The report's first two sections discuss the background of and the problem addressed by the report. The sampling procedures employed, the verification of completed interviews, and interviewer characteristics are then presented.

In the survey findings section, data are presented in tabular and narrative form. The tables are generated directly from the responses to interview questions. Most items were computer analyzed, a few were manually tabulated. The responses to survey questions are topically organized; they do not necessarily follow the sequencing or structuring of questions as they occur in the interview. The tables to which the narrative refers immediately follow each section of narrative.

All data discussed in the narrative are the "adjusted frequency" responses. Not all interviewees answered every question; when respondent percentages are discussed these percentages reflect an adjusted frequency for the item in question. Additional treatment of the data such as cross-tabulations may serve to further draw out rational conclusions (e.g., male unemployment rates) and results. The sole purpose of this technical report is rather to present and document the data base.

#### Interview Sample

The interview sample consists of the following: Native Americans volunteering to be interviewed, acquaintances of these volunteers, client lists of the Native American Senior Advocacy program, mailing lists from a Minneapolis Public Schools Title IV project and social service agencies, voter registration files, Native Americans known to the project interviewers, and telephone listings. A total of one-thousand-five-hundred-and-one (1,501) names were collected.

From the above sampling frame, a quota sample of 875 names was drawn. The determinant of the quota was the distribution of Native Americans within census tracts as defined in the 1970 U.S. Census Report and subsequently re-defined in the 1977 State of the City Report (city of Minneapolis). Sampling

was done so as to reflect (as closely as possible) the distribution of Native Americans in the city of Minneapolis. The individuals to be interviewed were sampled at two points in time: an original sample of 650 individuals was augmented by an additional sample of 225 individuals to increase the number of respondents to the interview. A total of 587 interviews were completed based on a sample size of 875 individuals. The response rate for interviews completed is 67.1% of the original sample. The interviews occurred between June and September, 1978. The attempt to secure more interviews was abandoned when the resources to do so were depleted.

Interviews were verified in the following manner: thirty-six (36) randomly selected survey respondents were asked (those not responding to a written inquiry were telephoned) whether they had been approached for an interview, and then, whether they had participated in the interview. Of this original number: 26 persons answered in the affirmative, 2 persons answered in the negative, 1 person did not remember and 7 persons were not able to be contacted (5 did not have phones and 2 did not answer the phone). Eliminating the individuals not able to be contacted we have a positive verification rate of 89.7%. There is reason to believe that the "negative" responses are inaccurate (wrong party answered the phone) and that the actual verification rate is 100%.

#### Interviewers

A total of fifteen (15) interviewers participated in the project. The interviewers were contacted through a number of different advertisements and announcements. All interviewers were Native Americans and were between 22 and 53 years of age. Eight interviewers were male and 7 interviewers were female. All interviewers received five hours training by MCSR staff.

Survey Findings

## Background Information

Sex. Almost three-quarters of the persons interviewed (72.7%) were female.

Tribal Affiliation. Most of the persons interviewed (93.8%) were enrolled members of a tribe.\*

Age. Most of the respondents (42.3%) were between thirty-one and fifty-four years of age. More than one-third (36.6%) of the interviewees were between nineteen and thirty years of age.

Employment. With respect to this category, "employed full time" (33.6%) and "unemployed" (45.7%) were the most frequently cited. A relatively small number (9.1%) of the interviewees were retired and 11.6% were employed part-time.

Household Income. More than half (50.7%) of the respondents reported their total household income to be less than \$7,000.00. More than one-quarter (27.1%) of the interviewees report total household income to be between \$7,000.00 and \$11,999.00. Only 3.1% of the respondents report a household income in excess of \$22,000.00.

Public Assistance Received. Almost two-thirds (65.4%) of the respondents indicated that they received some form of public assistance. Of the three-hundred and eighty four persons who reported receiving assistance, more than half (52.3%) report receiving AFDC. More than one-third (34.9%) receive food stamps, and more than one-fifth (21.6%) receive social security. Welfare is received by 16.9% of the respondents.

\*See Appendix A for the tribal affiliations and places of enrollment reported by respondents.

Age of Persons in Household. Responses to this question are organized by number of persons/households within each age category. Under 5: 33.5% of the households had persons under 5 years of age living in them. Almost three-quarters (72.6%) of the households had only one such aged child; 20.8% had two. Ages 5 to 11: 44.5% of the households had persons ages 5 to 11 living in them. Almost two-thirds (62.5%) of these households had one such aged child; 23.8% had two and 11.1% had three. Ages 12 to 18: 37.8% of the households has persons ages 12 to 18 living in them. Of these households, 57.2% had one such aged person, 21.6% had two and 11.7% had three. Ages 19 or 20: Approximately one-quarter (26.1%) of the households had persons ages 19 or 20 living in them. Almost two-thirds (62.7%) had one such aged person, 28.8% had two and 7.8% had three. Ages 21 to 30: 4.8% of the households had such aged persons living in them. Of these households, more than half (53.6%) had one such aged person, 42.9% had two and 3.6% had three. Ages 31 to 49: 45.1% of the households had persons ages 31 to 49 living in them. Approximately two-thirds (65.7%) of these households had one such person, 31.3% had two and 2.6% had three. Ages 50 to 64: 16.4% of the households had such aged persons living in them. More than three-quarters (78.1%) of these households had one such aged person, 20.8% had two and 1.0% had three. Ages 65 and Over: 6.1% of the households had such aged persons living in them. Most of these households (84.6%) had one such aged person, 15.4% had two.

Have a Telephone. More than three-quarters (78.6%) of the interviewees have a phone; 21.4% indicate not having a telephone.

Public Housing. More than one-quarter (26.1%) of the interviewees live in a public housing project, 73.9% do not.

Satisfaction with Interview. Almost two-thirds of the interviewees (65.7%) felt that the interview described their housing situation "very well," 25.7% thought that their housing situation was "mostly" described, and 8.1% thought that their housing situation was described "a little." Based on their experience with this survey, 84.0% of the respondents indicated their willingness to participate in future surveys; 15.5% of the respondents were unwilling to participate.

## RESPONDENT'S SEX

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
FEMALE	1.	423	72.1	72.7	72.7
MALE	2.	159	27.1	27.3	100.0
	BLANK	5	.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.273	STD ERR	.018	MEDIAN	1.188
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.446	VARIANCE	.199
KURTOSIS	-.962	SKEWNESS	1.021	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	741.000
C.V. PCT	35.029	.95 C.I.	1.237	TO	1.310
VALID CASES	582	MISSING CASES	5		

## ENROLLED MEMBER OF TRIBE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	544	92.7	93.8	93.8
NO	2.	36	6.1	6.2	100.0
	BLANK	7	1.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.062	STD ERR	.010	MEDIAN	1.033
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.241	VARIANCE	.058
KURTOSIS	11.285	SKEWNESS	3.639	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	616.000
C.V. PCT	22.738	.95 C.I.	1.042	TO	1.082
VALID CASES	580	MISSING CASES	7		

## RESPONDENT'S AGE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
12-18	1.	39	6.6	6.7	6.7
19-30	2.	213	36.3	36.6	43.3
31-54	3.	246	41.9	42.3	85.6
55-64	4.	46	7.8	7.9	93.5
65 OR OVER	5.	38	6.5	6.5	100.0
	BLANK	5	.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.710	STD ERR	.639	MEDIAN	2.659
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	.944	VARIANCE	.891
KURTOSIS	.403	SKEWNESS	.590	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	1577.000
C.V. PCT	34.845	.95 C.I.	2.633	TC	2.786
VALID CASES	582	MISSING CASES	5		

EMPLOYMENT STATUS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
EMPLOYED FULL-TIME	1.	180	30.7	33.6	33.6
EMPLOYED PART-TIME	2.	62	10.6	11.6	45.1
UNEMPLOYED	3.	245	41.7	45.7	90.9
RETIRED	4.	49	8.3	9.1	100.0
	BLANK	51	8.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.304	STD ERR	.045	MEDIAN	2.606
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	1.033	VARIANCE	1.068
KURTOSIS	-1.387	SKEWNESS	-.135	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	1235.000
C.V. PCT	44.854	.95 C.I.	2.216	TC	2.392
VALID CASES	536	MISSING CASES	51		

## TOTAL HOUSEHOLD INCOME

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$7,000	1.	262	44.6	50.7	50.7
\$7000-\$11999	2.	140	23.9	27.1	77.8
\$12000-\$15999	3.	63	10.7	12.2	89.9
\$16000-\$21999	4.	36	6.1	7.0	96.9
\$22000 OR OVER	5.	16	2.7	3.1	100.0
	BLANK	70	11.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.847	STD ERR	.047	MEDIAN	1.487
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.079	VARIANCE	1.165
KURTOSIS	.742	SKEWNESS	1.236	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	955.000
C.V. PCT	58.422	.95 C.I.	1.754	TO	1.940
VALID CASES	517	MISSING CASES	70		

ASSIST HAS RESPONDENT RECEIVED ANY ASSISTANCE^

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	384	65.4	65.4	65.4
NO	2.	203	34.6	34.6	100.0
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.346	STD ERR	.020	MEDIAN	1.264
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.476	VARIANCE	.227
KURTOSIS	-1.583	SKEWNESS	.650	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	.790000
C.V. PCT	35.372	.95 C.I.	1.307	TO	1.384

VALID CASES	587	MISSING CASES	0
-------------	-----	---------------	---

GROUP AID TYPES OF AID RECEIVED  
(VALUE TABULATED = 1)

DICHOTOMY LABEL	NAME	COUNT	PCT OF RESPONSES	PCT OF CASES
RECEIVE WELFARE	V70	65	10.9	16.9
RECEIVE AFDC	V71	201	33.0	52.3
RECEIVE FOOD STAMPS	V72	134	22.5	34.9
RECEIVE UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION	V73	9	1.5	2.3
RECEIVE WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION	V74	9	1.5	2.3
RECEIVE PENSION	V75	20	3.4	5.2
RECEIVE OLD AGE ASSISTANCE	V76	4	.7	1.0
RECEIVE SOCIAL SECURITY	V77	83	13.9	21.6
RECEIVE OTHER PUBLIC ASSISTANCE	V78	70	11.8	18.2
TOTAL RESPONSES		595	100.0	154.9

203 MISSING CASES

384 VALID CASES

## PEOPLE UNDER 5 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	143	24.4	72.6	72.6
	2.	41	7.0	20.8	93.4
	3.	12	2.0	6.1	99.5
	4.	1	.2	.5	100.0
	BLANK	390	66.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.345	STD ERR	.044	MEDIAN	1.189
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.617	VARIANCE	.380
KURTOSIS	2.383	SKEWNESS	1.731	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	265.000
C.V. PCT	45.841	.95 C.I.	1.259	TO	1.432
VALID CASES	197	MISSING CASES	390		

## PEOPLE 5 TO 11 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	163	27.8	62.5	62.5
	2.	62	10.6	23.8	86.2
	3.	29	4.9	11.1	97.3
	4.	6	1.0	2.3	99.6
	5.	1	.2	.4	100.0
	BLANK	326	55.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.544	STD ERR	.050	MEDIAN	1.301
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.810	VARIANCE	.657
KURTOSIS	1.603	SKEWNESS	1.451	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	403.000
C.V. PCT	52.483	.95 C.I.	1.445	TO	1.643
VALID CASES	261	MISSING CASES	326		

PEOPLE 12 TO 18 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	127	21.6	57.2	57.2
	2.	48	8.2	21.6	78.8
	3.	26	4.4	11.7	90.5
	4.	12	2.0	5.4	95.9
	5.	8	1.4	3.6	99.5
	6.	1	.2	.5	100.0
	BLANK	365	62.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.779	STD ERR	.075	MEDIAN	1.374
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.122	VARIANCE	1.259
KURTOSIS	1.580	SKEWNESS	1.492	RANGE	5.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	6.000	SUM	395.000
C.V. PCT	63.056	.95 C.I.	1.031	TO	1.928
VALID CASES	222	MISSING CASES	365		

## PEOPLE 19 OR 20 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	96	16.4	62.7	62.7
	2.	44	7.5	28.8	91.5
	3.	12	2.0	7.8	99.3
	4.	1	.2	.7	100.0
	BLANK	434	73.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.464	STD ERR	.054	MEDIAN	1.297
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.669	VARIANCE	.448
KURTOSIS	.908	SKEWNESS	1.268	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	224.000
C.V. PCT	45.703	.95 C.I.	1.357	TO	1.571
VALID CASES	153	MISSING CASES	434		

## PEOPLE 21 TO 30 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	15	2.6	53.6	53.6
	2.	12	2.0	42.9	96.4
	3.	1	.2	3.6	100.0
	ELANK	559	95.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.500	STD ERR	.109	MEDIAN	1.433
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.577	VARIANCE	.333
KURTOSIS	-.554	SKEWNESS	.622	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	42.000
C.V. PCT	38.496	.95 C.I.	1.276	TO	1.724

VALID CASES 28 MISSING CASES 559

## PEOPLE 31 TO 49 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	174	29.6	65.7	65.7
	2.	83	14.1	31.3	97.6
	3.	7	1.2	2.6	99.6
	4.	1	.2	.4	100.0
	BLANK	322	54.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.377	STD ERR	.034	MEDIAN	1.261
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.558	VARIANCE	.312
KURTOSIS	1.429	SKEWNESS	1.286	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	365.000
C.V. PCT	40.528	.95 C.I.	1.310	TO	1.445
VALID CASES	265	MISSING CASES	322		

## PEOPLE 50 TO 64 IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	75	12.8	78.1	78.1
	2.	20	3.4	20.8	99.2
	3.	1	.2	1.0	100.0
	BLANK	491	83.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.229	STD ERR	.046	MEDIAN	1.140
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.447	VARIANCE	.200
KURTOSIS	1.718	SKEWNESS	1.665	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	118.000
C.V. PCT	36.344	.95 C.I.	1.139	TC	1.320
VALID CASES	96	MISSING CASES	491		

## PEOPLE 65 OR OVER IN HOUSEHOLD

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
	1.	33	5.6	84.6	84.6
	2.	6	1.0	15.4	100.0
	BLANK	548	93.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.154	STD ERR	.059	MEDIAN	1.091
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.366	VARIANCE	.134
KURTOSIS	2.090	SKEWNESS	1.996	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	45.000
C.V. PCT	31.678	.95 C.I.	1.035	TC	1.272
VALID CASES	39	MISSING CASES	548		

## HAVE A TELEPHONE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	459	78.2	78.6	78.6
NO	2.	125	21.3	21.4	100.0
	BLANK	3	.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.214	STD ERR	.017	MEDIAN	1.136
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.411	VARIANCE	.169
KURTOSIS	-.846	SKEWNESS	1.398	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	709.000
C.V. PCT	33.813	.95 C.I.	1.181	TO	1.247
VALID CASES	584	MISSING CASES	3		

## IS RESIDENCE A PUBLIC HOUSING PROJECT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	150	25.6	26.1	26.1
NO	2.	425	72.4	73.9	100.0
	ELANK	12	2.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.739	STD ERR	.018	MEDIAN	1.824
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.439	VARIANCE	.193
KURTOSIS	-.810	SKEWNESS	-1.092	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	1000.000
C.V. PCT	25.271	.95 C.I.	1.763	TO	1.775
VALID CASES	575	MISSING CASES	12		

## HOW WELL INTERVIEW DESCRIBES HOUSING

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
VERY WELL	1.	375	63.9	65.7	65.7
MOSTLY WELL	2.	147	25.0	25.7	91.4
A LITTLE	3.	46	7.8	8.1	99.5
NOT AT ALL WELL	4.	3	.5	.5	100.0
	BLANK	16	2.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.434	STD ERR	.028	MEDIAN	1.261
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.663	VARIANCE	.439
KURTOSIS	.982	SKEWNESS	1.350	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	819.000
C.V. PCT	46.199	.95 C.I.	1.380	TO	1.489
VALID CASES	571	MISSING CASES	16		

## WILL PARTICIPATE IN FUTURE SURVEYS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	466	79.4	84.0	84.0
NO	2.	86	14.7	15.5	99.5
MAYBE	3.	3	.5	.5	100.0
	BLANK	32	5.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.166	STD ERR	.016	MEDIAN	1.095
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.386	VARIANCE	.149
KURTOSIS	3.196	SKEWNESS	2.081	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	647.000
C.V. PCT	33.153	.95 C.I.	1.134	TO	1.198
VALID CASES	555	MISSING CASES	32		

Resident Status

Approximately eighty-five percent (85.1%) of the interviewees had not lived in Minneapolis all their lives. Prior to coming to Minneapolis 37.8% of the interviewees lived on or near a Minnesota Indian Reservation and 26.4% of the interviewees lived in some other out-state Minnesota areas. Only a very small number of the interviewees (2.9%) came from within the five county area.

Approximately eighty percent (80.1%) of the survey respondents lived in Minneapolis for a period of time in excess of five years; 5 years, 1 month-10 years (21.3%), 10 years, 1 month-20 years (28.7%), more than 20 years (30.1%). More than ninety percent (90.2%) of the interviewees lived in Minneapolis for two or more years.

While most persons interviewed (91.6%) considered Minneapolis to be their permanent or semi-permanent place of residence, almost forty-five percent (44.6%) of the interviewees planned to move from their current residence in the near future.

Slightly more than one quarter (25.4%) of the survey respondents have lived at their current residence for more than one year but less than three years. Less than one-third of the interviewees (30.9%) have lived at their current residence for less than one year, with 26.8% of the survey respondents having lived at their current residence for more than five years. Most persons interviewed (75.3%) rent their place of residence; the balance own their residences.

## LIFE-LONG MPLS RESIDENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	87	14.8	14.9	14.9
NO	2.	498	84.8	85.1	100.0
	BLANK	2	.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.851	STD ERR	.015	MEDIAN	1.913
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.356	VARIANCE	.127
KURTOSIS	1.925	SKEWNESS	-1.980	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	1083.000
C.V. PCT	19.236	.95 C.I.	1.822	TO	1.880

VALID CASES 585 MISSING CASES 2

## PRIOR RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
WITHIN 5 COLNTY AREA	1.	14	2.4	2.9	2.9
ON-NEAR MN IND RES	2.	182	31.0	37.8	40.7
MINNESOTA	3.	127	21.6	26.4	67.2
NORTH DAKOTA	4.	12	2.0	2.5	69.6
SOUTH DAKOTA	5.	18	3.1	3.7	73.4
WISCONSIN	6.	34	5.8	7.1	80.5
OTHER	7.	94	16.0	19.5	100.0
	BLANK	106	18.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.657	STD ERR	.091	MEDIAN	2.850
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	2.002	VARIANCE	4.009
KURTOSIS	-1.061	SKEWNESS	.747	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	1759.000
C.V. PCT	54.753	.95 C.I.	3.478	TC	3.836
VALID CASES	481	MISSING CASES	106		

## LENGTH OF MPLS RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSCLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN A MONTH	1.	3	.5	.5	.5
1 MCNTH-6 MCNTHS	2.	15	2.6	2.6	3.1
7 MCNTHS-1 YEAR	3.	15	2.6	2.6	5.8
1 YR., 1 MONTH-2 YEARS	4.	23	3.9	4.0	9.8
2 YRS, 1 MONTH- 5 YEARS	5.	58	9.9	10.1	19.9
5 YRS, 1 MONTH-10 YEARS	6.	122	20.8	21.3	41.3
10 YRS, 1 MONTH- 20 YEARS	7.	164	27.9	28.7	69.9
MORE THAN 20 YEARS	8.	172	29.3	30.1	100.0
	BLANK	15	2.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	6.497	STD ERR	.063	MEDIAN	6.805
MODE	8.000	STD DEV	1.515	VARIANCE	2.296
KURTOSIS	1.414	SKEWNESS	-1.245	RANGE	7.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	8.000	SUM	3716.000
C.V. PCT	23.324	.95 C.I.	6.372	TO	6.621
VALID CASES	572	MISSING CASES	15		

## STATUS OF MPLS RESIDENCY

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
PERMANENT	1.	447	76.1	76.7	76.7
SEMI-PERMANENT	2.	87	14.8	14.9	91.6
TEMPORARY	3.	49	8.3	8.4	100.0
	BLANK	4	.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.317	STD EPR	.026	MEDIAN	1.152
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.621	VARIANCE	.385
KURTOSIS	1.859	SKEWNESS	1.779	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	768.000
C.V. PCT	47.125	.95 C.I.	1.267	TO	1.368

VALID CASES 583 MISSING CASES 4

## PLANNING A MOVE IN NEAR FUTURE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	252	42.9	44.6	44.6
NO	2.	302	51.4	53.5	98.1
MAYBE	3.	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
	ELANK	22	3.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.573	STD ERR	.022	MEDIAN	1.601
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.533	VARIANCE	.284
KURTOSIS	-1.177	SKEWNESS	.092	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	889.000
C.V. PCT	33.872	.95 C.I.	1.529	TO	1.617

VALID CASES 565 MISSING CASES 22

## LENGTH OF TIME AT CURRENT RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN A MONTH	1.	15	2.6	2.6	2.6
1-2 MONTHS	2.	40	6.8	6.9	9.4
3-6 MONTHS	3.	76	12.9	13.0	22.5
7-11 MONTHS	4.	49	8.3	8.4	30.9
1 YR -2 YRS, 11 MONTHS	5.	148	25.2	25.4	56.3
3 YRS -4 YRS, 11 MONTHS	6.	99	16.9	17.0	73.2
5 YRS- 9 YRS, 11 MONTHS	7.	106	18.1	18.2	91.4
10 OR MORE YEARS	8.	50	8.5	8.6	100.0
	BLANK	4	.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	5.137	STD ERR	.075	MEDIAN	5.253
MODE	5.000	STD DEV	1.814	VARIANCE	3.290
KURTOSIS	-.670	SKEWNESS	-.344	RANGE	7.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	8.000	SUM	2995.000
C.V. PCT	35.310	.95 C.I.	4.990	TU	5.285

VALID CASES 583 MISSING CASES 4

## OWNERSHIP OF RESIDENCY

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
OWN	1.	145	24.7	24.7	24.7
RENT	2.	441	75.1	75.3	100.0
	BLANK	1	.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.753	STD EPR	.018	MEDIAN	1.836
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.432	VARIANCE	.187
KURTOSIS	-.625	SKEWNESS	-1.174	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	1027.000
C.V. PCT	24.644	.95 C.I.	1.718	TO	1.788
VALID CASES	586	MISSING CASES	1		

### Costs Associated with Home Ownership

Mortgage, Taxes, Insurance. For more than half (56.3%) of the homeowner group, the monthly mortgage payment is between \$150.00 and \$249.00. Less than one-quarter (23.4%) of the group has a monthly mortgage payment of between \$50.00 and \$149.00 and 14.1% of the homeowners pay between \$250.00 and \$349.00 for their monthly mortgage payment.

For most of the homeowners (88.4%) real estate taxes are included in the monthly mortgage payment. Yearly real estate taxes are between \$200.00 and \$349.00 for 30.0% of the homeowners, between \$350.00 and \$499.00 for 15.0%, and between \$500.00 and \$649.00 for 20.0% of the homeowners.

Insurance premiums are included in the monthly mortgage payment of 75.6% of the homeowners. For slightly less than one-third of the homeowners, the yearly home insurance premium is \$170.00 or more. More than one-fifth (21.4%) of the homeowners pay between \$140.00 and \$169.00 for annual insurance premiums, and 14.3% pay between \$110.00 and \$139.00 annually.

Cost of Utilities. For most homeowners (90.6%), the average monthly gas bill was under \$99.00. For those twelve homeowners using fuel oil, the monthly bill was less than \$15.00 (33.3%). Approximately one-sixth (16.7%) of the homeowners pay between \$35.00 and \$39.00 monthly for fuel oil, and another 16.7% pay \$70.00 or more.

For more than two-thirds (68.2%) of the homeowners, the average monthly electric bill was between \$20.00 and \$39.00. For less than one-quarter (22.5%) of the homeowners the average monthly electric bill was between \$10.00 and \$19.00.

The average quarterly water bill was between \$20.00 and \$34.00 for 42.7% of the homeowners, and between \$10.00 and \$19.00 for 25.8% of the homeowner group. Paying between \$35.00 and \$49.00 quarterly for water were 14.5% of the homeowners.

## OWNERS: MONTHLY MORTGAGE PAYMENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$50	1.	1	.2	.8	.8
\$50-\$149	2.	30	5.1	23.4	24.2
\$150-\$249	3.	72	12.3	56.3	86.5
\$250-\$349	4.	18	3.1	14.1	94.5
\$350 OR MORE	5.	2	.3	1.6	96.1
HOME IS PAID OFF	6.	3	.5	2.3	98.4
DON'T KNOW	7.	2	.3	1.6	100.0
	0	459	78.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.055	STD ERR	.085	MEDIAN	2.958
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	.966	VARIANCE	.934
KURTOSIS	4.577	SKEWNESS	1.644	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	391.000
C.V. PCT	31.638	.95 C.I.	2.886	TO	3.224
VALID CASES	128	MISSING CASES	459		

## OWNERS: YEARLY REAL ESTATE TAXES

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$200	1.	2	.3	10.0	10.0
\$200-\$349	2.	6	1.0	30.0	40.0
\$350-\$499	3.	3	.5	15.0	55.0
\$500-\$649	4.	4	.7	20.0	75.0
\$650-\$799	5.	2	.3	10.0	85.0
\$800 OR MORE	6.	1	.2	5.0	90.0
DON'T KNOW	7.	2	.3	10.0	100.0
		567	96.6	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.450	STD ERR	.407	MEDIAN	3.167
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	1.820	VARIANCE	3.313
KURTOSIS	-.443	SKEWNESS	.642	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	69.000
C.V. PCT	52.760	.95 C.I.	2.598	TC	4.302

VALID CASES 20 MISSING CASES 567

## OWNERS: TAXES INCLUDED IN HOUSE PAYMENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	114	19.4	88.4	88.4
NO	2.	15	2.6	11.6	100.0
	0	458	78.0	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.116	STD ERR	.028	MEDIAN	1.066
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.322	VARIANCE	.104
KURTOSIS	3.928	SKEWNESS	2.422	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	144.000
C.V. PCT	28.829	.95 C.I.	1.060	TC	1.172
VALID CASES	129	MISSING CASES	458		

## OWNERS: YEAPLY HOME INSURANCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSCLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$80	1.	3	.5	10.7	10.7
\$80-\$109	2.	3	.5	10.7	21.4
\$110-\$139	3.	4	.7	14.3	35.7
\$140-\$169	4.	6	1.0	21.4	57.1
\$170 OR MORE	5.	9	1.5	32.1	89.3
DON'T KNOW	6.	3	.5	10.7	100.0
	0	559	95.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.857	STD ERR	.290	MEDIAN	4.167
MODE	5.000	STD DEV	1.533	VARIANCE	2.349
KURTOSIS	-.712	SKEWNESS	-.540	RANGE	5.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	6.000	SUM	108.000
C.V. PCT	39.737	.95 C.I.	3.263	TO	4.451
VALID CASES	28	MISSING CASES	559		

OWNERS: INSURANCE INCLUDED IN PAYMENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	93	15.8	75.6	75.6
NO	2.	30	5.1	24.4	100.0
	0	464	79.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.244	STD ERR	.039	MEDIAN	1.161
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.431	VARIANCE	.186
KURTOSIS	-.551	SKEWNESS	1.207	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	153.000
C.V. PCT	34.664	.95 C.I.	1.167	TC	1.321
VALID CASES	123	MISSING CASES	464		

OWNERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY GAS BILL

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
\$10-\$99	2.	115	19.6	90.6	90.6
\$100-\$199	3.	8	1.4	6.3	96.9
\$200-\$299	4.	2	.3	1.6	98.4
DON'T KNOW	6.	2	.3	1.6	100.0
	0	460	78.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.157	STD ERR	.053	MEDIAN	2.052
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.597	VARIANCE	.356
KURTOSIS	27.354	SKEWNESS	4.948	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	2.000	MAXIMUM	6.000	SUM	274.000
C.V. FCT	27.654	.95 C.I.	2.053	TC	2.262

VALID CASES 127 MISSING CASES 460

## OWNERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY FUEL OIL BILL

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$15	1.	4	.7	33.3	33.3
\$25-\$39	3.	2	.3	16.7	50.0
\$55-\$69	5.	1	.2	8.3	58.3
\$70 OR MORE	6.	2	.3	16.7	75.0
DON'T KNOW	7.	3	.5	25.0	100.0
	0	575	98.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	4.000	STD ERR	.749	MEDIAN	3.500
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	2.594	VARIANCE	6.727
KURTOSIS	-1.937	SKEWNESS	-.075	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	48.000
C.V. PCT	64.842	.95 C.I.	2.352	TC	5.648
VALID CASES	12	MISSING CASES	575		

## OWNERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY ELECTRIC BILL

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
\$10-\$19	2.	29	4.9	22.5	22.5
\$20-\$39	3.	88	15.0	68.2	90.7
\$40-\$59	4.	6	1.0	4.7	95.3
\$60-\$79	5.	2	.3	1.6	96.9
\$80 OR MORE	6.	2	.3	1.6	98.4
DON'T KNOW	7.	2	.3	1.6	100.0
	0	458	78.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.961	STD ERR	.075	MEDIAN	2.903
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	.851	VARIANCE	.725
KURTOSIS	8.905	SKEWNESS	2.388	RANGE	5.000
MINIMUM	2.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	382.000
C.V. PCT	28.755	.95 C.I.	2.813	TO	3.110
VALID CASES	129	MISSING CASES	458		

## OWNERS: AVERAGE QUARTER WATER BILL

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$10	1.	9	1.5	7.3	7.3
\$10-\$19	2.	32	5.5	25.8	33.1
\$20-\$34	3.	53	9.0	42.7	75.8
\$35-\$49	4.	18	3.1	14.5	90.3
\$50-\$64	5.	7	1.2	5.6	96.0
\$65 OR MORE	6.	3	.5	2.4	98.4
DON'T KNOW	7.	2	.3	1.6	100.0
	0	463	78.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.992	STD ERR	.106	MEDIAN	2.896
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	1.186	VARIANCE	1.406
KURTOSIS	1.529	SKEWNESS	.908	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	371.000
C.V. PCT	39.638	.95 C.I.	2.781	TO	3.203
VALID CASES	124	MISSING CASES	463		

### Costs Associated With Apartment Rental

Amounts paid for rent, security deposit, damage deposit. More than one-half of the persons interviewed (58.9%) paid in excess of \$150.00 for their first month's rent, with 40.7% of the interviewees paying between \$150.00 and \$199.00 for the first month's rent. The amount paid for the last month's rent is as follows: 57.7% of the interviewees paid in excess of \$150.00; 28.3% of the interviewees paid less than \$100.00 on the last month's rent.

Most interviewees (91.0%) paid \$149.00 or less for a damage deposit on their current residence. Two interviewees (.6%) did not pay a damage deposit and one interviewee (.3%) paid more than \$300.00 for a damage deposit.

More than two-thirds (69.5%) of the interviewees indicated interest in owning their own homes.

More than three-quarters (78.8%) of the interviewees paid less than \$200.00 for current monthly rent, with 37.4% of the interviewees paying between \$140.00-\$199.00 for rent. Less than three percent (2.8%) of the interviewees pay more than \$300.00 for monthly rent.

Amounts paid for utilities. Utilities are included in the monthly rent paid by 28.5% of the persons interviewed. The monthly electric bill for most interviewees (94.4%) is less than forty dollars. More than half (56.7%) of the persons interviewed pay a monthly average of less than \$20.00 for electricity.

Most persons interviewed do not pay directly for water. Only eleven persons interviewed responded to this item. Of these eleven persons, 36.4% paid less than \$10.00 and 54.6% paid less than \$20.00 in average quarterly water costs.

Most persons interviewed do not pay directly for fuel oil. Only two persons responded to this item; one interviewee paid between \$15.00-\$24.00 and another between \$55.00-\$69.00 in average monthly fuel oil costs.

## RENTERS: 1ST MONTH'S RENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
\$0-\$59	1.	42	7.2	10.2	10.2
\$60-\$99	2.	48	8.2	11.6	21.8
\$100-\$149	3.	80	13.6	19.4	41.2
\$150-\$199	4.	168	28.6	40.7	81.8
\$200-\$249	5.	43	7.3	10.4	92.3
\$250-\$299	6.	19	3.2	4.6	96.9
\$300-\$349	7.	7	1.2	1.7	98.5
\$350-\$399	8.	4	.7	1.0	99.5
\$400 OR MORE	9.	2	.3	.5	100.0
DON'T KNOW	0	174	29.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.579	STD ERR	.072	MEDIAN	3.717
MODE	4.000	STD DEV	1.455	VARIANCE	2.118
KURTOSIS	.937	SKEWNESS	.537	RANGE	8.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	9.000	SUM	1478.000
C.V. PCT	40.668	.95 C.I.	3.438	TO	3.719
VALID CASES	413	MISSING CASES	174		

## RENTERS: LAST MONTH'S RENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
\$0-\$59	1.	53	9.0	14.7	14.7
\$60-\$99	2.	49	8.3	13.6	28.3
\$100-\$149	3.	50	8.5	13.9	42.1
\$150-\$199	4.	135	23.0	37.4	79.5
\$200-\$249	5.	47	8.0	13.0	92.5
\$250-\$299	6.	15	2.6	4.2	96.7
\$300-\$349	7.	6	1.0	1.7	98.3
\$350-\$399	8.	5	.9	1.4	99.7
\$400 OR MORE	9.	1	.2	.3	100.0
DON'T KNOW	0	226	38.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.482	STD ERR	.083	MEDIAN	3.711
MODE	4.000	STD DEV	1.574	VARIANCE	2.478
KURTOSIS	.214	SKEWNESS	.242	RANGE	8.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	9.000	SUM	1257.000
C.V. PCT	45.210	.95 C.I.	3.319	TO	3.645
VALID CASES	361	MISSING CASES	226		

RENTERS: DAMAGE DEPOSIT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$100	1.	139	23.7	43.3	43.3
\$100-\$149	2.	153	26.1	47.7	91.0
\$150-\$199	3.	15	2.6	4.7	95.6
\$200-\$299	4.	11	1.9	3.4	99.1
\$300 OR MORE	5.	1	.2	.3	99.4
HASN'T BEEN PAID	6.	2	.3	.6	100.0
	0	266	45.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.717	STD ERR	.046	MEDIAN	1.641
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.810	VARIANCE	.666
KURTOSIS	5.342	SKEWNESS	1.779	RANGE	5.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	6.000	SUM	551.000
C.V. PCT	47.553	.95 C.I.	1.627	TO	1.806
VALID CASES	321	MISSING CASES	266		

## RENTERS: INTEREST IN OWNING HOME

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	296	50.4	69.5	69.5
NO	2.	128	21.8	30.0	99.5
MAYBE	3.	1	.2	.2	99.8
NOT IN MPLS	4.	1	.2	.2	100.0
	0	161	27.4	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.312	STD ERR	.023	MEDIAN	1.220
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.484	VARIANCE	.234
KURTOSIS	.976	SKEWNESS	1.187	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	559.000
C.V. PCT	36.869	.95 C.I.	1.266	TO	1.358
VALID CASES	426	MISSING CASES	161		

## RENTERS: CURRENT MONTHLY RENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
\$0-\$59	1.	64	10.9	15.2	15.2
\$60-\$99	2.	52	8.9	12.4	27.6
\$100-\$149	3.	58	9.9	13.8	41.4
\$150-\$199	4.	157	26.7	37.4	78.8
\$200-\$249	5.	54	9.2	12.9	91.7
\$250-\$299	6.	23	3.9	5.5	97.1
\$300-\$349	7.	6	1.0	1.4	98.6
\$350-\$399	8.	5	.9	1.2	99.8
\$400 OR MORE	9.	1	.2	.2	100.0
DON'T KNOW	0	167	28.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	527	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.498	STD ERR	.077	MEDIAN	3.729
MODE	4.000	STD DEV	1.576	VARIANCE	2.484
KURTOSIS	.044	SKEWNESS	.162	RANGE	8.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	9.000	SUM	1469.000
C.V. PCT	45.066	.95 C.I.	3.346	TO	3.649
VALID CASES	420	MISSING CASES	167		

## RENTERS: UTILITIES INCLUDED IN RENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	123	21.0	28.5	28.5
NO	2.	308	52.5	71.5	100.0
	0	156	26.6	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.715	STD ERR	.022	MEDIAN	1.800
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.452	VARIANCE	.204
KUPTCSIS	-1.095	SKEWNESS	-.954	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	739.000
C.V. PCT	26.369	.95 C.I.	1.672	TC	1.757
VALID CASES	431	MISSING CASES	156		

## RENTERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY GAS COST

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$10	1.	9	1.5	5.4	5.4
\$10-\$19	2.	36	6.1	21.6	26.9
\$20-\$34	3.	44	7.5	26.3	53.3
\$35-\$49	4.	40	6.8	24.0	77.2
\$50-\$64	5.	16	2.7	9.6	86.8
\$65 OR MORE	6.	21	3.6	12.6	99.4
DON'T KNOW	7.	1	.2	.6	100.0
	0	420	71.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.509	STD ERR	.110	MEDIAN	3.375
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	1.426	VARIANCE	2.035
KURTOSIS	-.664	SKEWNESS	.322	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	586.000
C.V. PCT	40.649	.95 C.I.	3.291	TO	3.727
VALID CASES	167	MISSING CASES	420		

## RENTERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY ELECTRICITY

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$10	1.	36	6.1	11.4	11.4
\$10-\$19	2.	143	24.4	45.3	56.6
\$20-\$39	3.	119	20.3	37.7	94.3
\$40-\$59	4.	11	1.9	3.5	97.8
\$60-\$79	5.	2	.3	.6	98.4
\$80 OR MORE	6.	1	.2	.3	98.7
DON'T KNOW	7.	4	.7	1.3	100.0
	0	271	46.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.427	STD ERR	.053	MEDIAN	2.353
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.935	VARIANCE	.874
KURTOSIS	6.432	SKEWNESS	1.609	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	767.000
C.V. PCT	38.518	.95 C.I.	2.324	TO	2.531
VALID CASES	316	MISSING CASES	271		

## RENTERS: AVERAGE QUARTERLY WATER COST

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LESS THAN \$10	1.	4	.7	36.4	36.4
\$10-\$19	2.	2	.3	18.2	54.5
\$20-\$34	3.	3	.5	27.3	81.8
\$65 OR MORE	6.	1	.2	9.1	90.9
DON'T KNOW	7.	1	.2	9.1	100.0
	0	576	98.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.727	STD ERR	.619	MEDIAN	2.250
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	2.054	VARIANCE	4.218
KURTOSIS	.822	SKEWNESS	1.294	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	30.000
C.V. PCT	75.397	.95 C.I.	1.347	TC	4.107
VALID CASES	11	MISSING CASES	576		

## RENTERS: AVERAGE MONTHLY FUEL OIL COST

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
\$15-\$24	2.	1	.2	50.0	50.0
\$55-\$69	5.	1	.2	50.0	100.0
	6	585	99.7	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	3.500	STD ERR	1.500	MEDIAN	3.500
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	2.121	VARIANCE	4.500
KURTOSIS	.822	SKEWNESS	1.294	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	2.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	7.000
C.V. PCT	60.609	.95 C.I.	-15.559	TC	22.559
VALID CASES	2	MISSING CASES	585		

### Relations With Landlord

More than three-quarters (78.3%) of the interviewees know their landlord's last name. A somewhat smaller percentage (69.7%) know their landlord's address. An almost equal but slightly smaller number (68.6%) know their landlord's phone number.

More than two-thirds (67.6%) of the interviewees see their landlord "often" or "very often." However, 17.6% of the persons interviewed indicated that they "never" see their landlord.

For 79.4% of the interviewees, their landlord is "very easy" or "usually easy" to reach. Of the rest of the persons interviewed, 16.5% find their landlord "somewhat difficult" and 3.7% find their landlord "impossible" to reach.

For more than one quarter (25.3%) of the respondents, the landlord "seldom" or "never" does repairs. For 37.1% of the interviewees the landlord "always" does repairs and for 34.6% the landlord does repairs "most of the time."

In rating their relationship with their landlord, interviewees indicated the following: 28.4% saw the relationship as "very friendly," 61.3% saw the relationship as "somewhat friendly," 5.2% saw the relationship as "somewhat hostile" and 1.6% saw the relationship as "very hostile."

## RENTERS: KNOW LANDLORD'S LAST NAME

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	346	58.9	78.3	78.3
NO	2.	96	16.4	21.7	100.0
	BLANK	145	24.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.217	STD ERR	.020	MEDIAN	1.139
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.413	VARIANCE	.170
KURTOSIS	-.106	SKEWNESS	1.376	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	538.000
C.V. PCT	33.914	.95 C.I.	1.179	TO	1.256
VALID CASES	442	MISSING CASES	145		

## RENTERS: KNOW LANDLORD'S ADDRESS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	308	52.5	69.7	69.7
NO	2.	134	22.8	30.3	100.0
	BLANK	145	24.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.303	STD ERR	.022	MEDIAN	1.214
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.460	VARIANCE	.212
KURTOSIS	-1.267	SKEWNESS	.859	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	576.000
C.V. PCT	35.310	.95 C.I.	1.260	TO	1.346
VALID CASES	442	MISSING CASES	145		

## RENTERS: KNOW LANDLORD'S PHONE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	303	51.6	68.6	68.6
NO	2.	139	23.7	31.4	100.0
	BLANK	145	24.7	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.314	STD ERR	.022	MEDIAN	1.229
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.465	VARIANCE	.216
KURTOSIS	-1.363	SKEWNESS	.802	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	581.000
C.V. PCT	35.363	.95 C.I.	1.271	TO	1.358
VALID CASES	442	MISSING CASES	145		

## RENTERS: HOW OFTEN LANDLORD IS SEEN

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEVER	1.	76	12.9	17.6	17.6
HARDLY EVER	2.	62	10.6	14.4	31.9
OFTEN	3.	141	24.0	32.6	64.6
VERY OFTEN	4.	151	25.7	35.0	99.5
OTHER	6.	2	.3	.5	100.0
	BLANK	155	26.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.868	STD ERR	.053	MEDIAN	3.053
MODE	4.000	STD DEV	1.106	VARIANCE	1.224
KURTOSIS	-.820	SKEWNESS	-.439	RANGE	5.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	6.000	SUM	1239.000
C.V. PCT	38.572	.95 C.I.	2.763	TO	2.973
VALID CASES	432	MISSING CASES	155		

## RENTERS: HOW EASILY LANDLORD REACHED

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
VERY EASY	1.	174	29.6	39.8	39.8
USUALLY EASY	2.	173	29.5	39.6	79.4
SOMEWHAT DIFFICULT	3.	72	12.3	16.5	95.9
IMPOSSIBLE	4.	16	2.7	3.7	99.5
DON'T KNOW	5.	2	.3	.5	100.0
	BLANK	150	25.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.854	STD ERR	.041	MEDIAN	1.757
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.855	VARIANCE	.731
KURTOSIS	.256	SKEWNESS	.816	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	810.000
C.V. PCT	46.121	.95 C.I.	1.773	TO	1.934
VALID CASES	437	MISSING CASES	150		

## RENTERS: DOES LANDLORD DO REPAIRS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
ALWAYS	1.	161	27.4	37.1	37.1
MOST OF THE TIME	2.	150	25.6	34.6	71.7
SELDOM	3.	79	13.5	18.2	89.9
NEVER	4.	31	5.3	7.1	97.0
DON'T KNOW	5.	13	2.2	3.0	100.0
	BLANK	153	26.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.044	STD ERR	.051	MEDIAN	1.873
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.053	VARIANCE	1.109
KURTOSIS	.224	SKEWNESS	.902	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	887.000
C.V. PCT	51.525	.95 C.I.	1.944	TO	2.143
VALID CASES	434	MISSING CASES	153		

## RENTERS: RATING OF LANDLORD RELATIONSHIP

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
VERY FRIENDLY	1.	121	20.6	28.4	28.4
SOMEWHAT FRIENDLY	2.	261	44.5	61.3	89.7
SOMEWHAT HOSTILE	3.	22	3.7	5.2	94.8
VERY HOSTILE	4.	7	1.2	1.6	96.5
DON'T KNOW	5.	15	2.6	3.5	100.0
BLANK		161	27.4	MISSING	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.906	STD ERR	.041	MEDIAN	1.852
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.843	VARIANCE	.711
KURTOSIS	4.632	SKEWNESS	1.764	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	812.000
C.V. PCT	44.242	.95 C.I.	1.826	TC	1.986
VALID CASES	426	MISSING CASES	161		

### Nature of Housing

The largest number (31.5%) of the persons interviewed live in an apartment with persons living in single family homes (30.8%) being the second largest groups. Less than one quarter (22.1%) of the respondents live in a duplex while 15.1% live in a townhouse or 4-plex.

Approximately two-thirds (66.5%) of the respondents live in five or fewer rooms. Of all interviewees, 22.9% live in five rooms and 21.2% live in four rooms. Less than ten percent (8.5%) of the interviewees live in two or fewer rooms. An almost equal number (8.3%) live in eight or more rooms.

Almost all interviewees (98.1%) have a private bath; 1.9% of the respondents have a shared bath. Most persons interviewed (99.1%) have a private kitchen; .9% share kitchen facilities.

✓ A basement exists in the residence of almost three-quarters (73.7%) of the interviewees. The basement is used as storage space for 83.7% of the individuals in this group. For 10.0% of the respondents, the basement is used as occasional living space.

## TYPE OF HOUSING

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
DUPLEX	1.	129	22.0	22.1	22.1
APARTMENT	2.	184	31.3	31.5	53.6
SINGLE FAMILY HOME	3.	180	30.7	30.8	84.4
TOWNHOUSE OR 4-PLEX	4.	88	15.0	15.1	99.5
ROOMING HOUSE	6.	2	.3	.3	99.8
TRIFLEX	7.	1	.2	.2	100.0
BLANK		3	.5	MISSING	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.411	STD ERR	.043	MEDIAN	2.386
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	1.031	VARIANCE	1.062
KURTOSIS	-.137	SKEWNESS	.320	RANGE	6.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	7.000	SUM	1408.000
C.V. PCT	42.751	.95 C.I.	2.327	TO	2.495
VALID CASES	584	MISSING CASES	3		

## HABITABLE ROOMS IN RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
ONE	1.	13	2.2	2.3	2.3
TWO	2.	35	6.0	6.2	8.5
THREE	3.	79	13.5	13.9	22.4
FOUR	4.	120	20.4	21.2	43.6
FIVE	5.	130	22.1	22.9	66.5
SIX	6.	93	15.8	16.4	82.9
SEVEN	7.	50	8.5	8.8	91.7
8 OR MORE	8.	47	8.0	8.3	100.0
	BLANK	20	3.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	567	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	4.822	STD ERR	.072	MEDIAN	4.781
MODE	5.000	STD DEV	1.711	VARIANCE	2.928
KURTOSIS	-.512	SKEWNESS	.043	RANGE	7.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	8.000	SUM	2734.000
C.V. PCT	35.485	.95 C.I.	4.681	TC	4.963

VALID CASES 567 MISSING CASES 20

## V3C PRIVATE OR SHARED BATHROOM

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
PRIVATE	1.	574	97.8	98.1	98.1
SHARED	2.	11	1.9	1.9	100.0
	BLANK	2	.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.019	STD ERR	.006	MEDIAN	1.010
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.136	VARIANCE	.018
KURTOSIS	48.626	SKEWNESS	7.103	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	596.000
C.V. PCT	13.344	.95 C.I.	1.000	TC	1.030
VALID CASES	585	MISSING CASES	2		

## PRIVATE OR SHARED KITCHEN

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
PRIVATE	1.	580	98.8	99.1	99.1
SHARED	2.	5	.9	.9	100.0
	BLANK	2	.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.009	STD ERR	.004	MEDIAN	1.004
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.092	VARIANCE	.008
KURTOSIS	112.982	SKEWNESS	10.705	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	590.000
C.V. PCT	9.135	.95 C.I.	1.001	TO	1.016
VALID CASES	585	MISSING CASES	2		

## V32 BASEMENT IN RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	429	73.1	73.7	73.7
NO	2.	153	26.1	26.3	100.0
	BLANK	5	.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.263	STD ERR	.010	MEDIAN	1.178
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.441	VARIANCE	.194
KURTOSIS	-.836	SKEWNESS	1.080	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	735.000
C.V. PCT	34.887	.95 C.I.	1.227	TO	1.299
VALID CASES	582	MISSING CASES	5		

## USAGE OF BASEMENT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
STORAGE SPACE	1.	350	59.6	83.7	83.7
AN APARTMENT	2.	4	.7	1.0	84.7
OCCASIONAL LIVING SPACE	3.	42	7.2	10.0	94.7
PERMANENT LIVING SPACE	4.	22	3.7	5.3	100.0
	BLANK	169	28.8	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.368	STD ERR	.042	MEDIAN	1.097
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.867	VARIANCE	.751
KURTOSIS	2.840	SKEWNESS	2.098	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	572.000
C.V. PCT	63.338	.95 C.I.	1.285	TO	1.452
VALID CASES	418	MISSING CASES	169		

### Housing Discrimination Problems

More than one-quarter (27.6%) of the interviewees have experienced some problem with housing discrimination. One hundred and nineteen (119) respondents in this group experienced more than one form of discrimination. In the group that experienced discrimination, then, almost three-quarters (74.4%) experienced multiple housing discrimination problems. A total of 466 housing discrimination problems were cited.

Of the 466 instances of housing discrimination cited, the category most frequently mentioned was race (126 mentions or 27.0% of all mentions). Children were another important basis for housing discrimination, that category having been cited by 111 individuals and comprising 23.8% of all mentions of housing discrimination. Other frequently noted bases for discrimination were being on welfare (12.0%), having animals (10.5%), and economic status (8.6%).

## EXPERIENCED HOUSING DISCRIMINATION

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	160	27.3	27.6	27.6
NO	2.	419	71.4	72.4	100.0
	ELANK	8	1.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.724	STD ERR	.019	MEDIAN	1.809
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.448	VARIANCE	.200
KURTOSIS	-.998	SKEWNESS	-1.003	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	998.000
C.V. PCT	25.966	.95 C.I.	1.687	TO	1.760
VALID CASES	579	MISSING CASES	8		

## BASIS OF HOUSING DISCRIMINATION

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ.	ADJUSTED FREQ. (PCT.)
RACE	1.	126	27.0
SEX	2.	9	1.9
AGE	3.	20	4.3
ECONOMIC	4.	40	8.6
CREDIT	5.	16	3.4
CHILDREN	6.	111	23.8
ANIMALS	7.	49	10.5
MARITAL STATUS	8.	37	7.9
SEXUAL PREFERENCE	9.	2	0.4
WELFARE	0.	<u>56</u>	12.0
	TOTAL	466	

### Heating; Problems With Heating

Almost one-third of the interviewees (30.2%) experienced heating problems during the winter months. Thirty-three of these individuals (20.8% of the group experiencing heating problems) indicated some basis for the problem: too hot--3.0%, too cold--57.6%, poor construction of residence--18.2%, run-down condition--21.2%.

When asked about heating problems (other than the ones previously identified), 165 respondents (35.4% of the total interviewee group) indicated some problem. These problems and the percentage of individuals mentioning each one is as follows: too hot--3.6%, too cold--85.5%, both hot and cold--10.9%.

For most persons interviewed (78.3%), their place of residence is heated with gas. Fuel oil heats the residence of 4.8% of all respondents, and electricity the residence of 3.8% of all respondents. Forty-eight individuals (8.2%) did not know how their residences were heated.

## EXPERIENCED HEATING PROBLEMS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	159	27.1	30.2	30.2
NO	2.	368	62.7	69.8	100.0
	BLANK	60	10.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.698	STD ERR	.020	MEDIAN	1.784
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.459	VARIANCE	.211
KURTOSIS	-1.254	SKEWNESS	-.866	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	695.000
C.V. PCT	27.053	.95 C.I.	1.659	TO	1.738
VALID CASES	527	MISSING CASES	60		

## TYPE OF HEATING PROBLEMS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
TOO HOT	1.	1	.2	3.0	3.0
TOO COLD	2.	19	3.2	57.6	60.6
POOR CONSTRUCTION	3.	6	1.0	18.2	78.8
RUN-DOWN CONDITION	4.	7	1.2	21.2	100.0
	BLANK	554	94.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.576	STD ERR	.151	MEDIAN	2.316
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.867	VARIANCE	.752
KURTOSIS	-.819	SKEWNESS	.671	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	85.000
C.V. PCT	33.665	.95 C.I.	2.268	TO	2.883
VALID CASES	33	MISSING CASES	554		

## OTHER HEATING PROBLEMS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
TOO HOT	1.	6	1.0	3.6	3.6
TOO COLD	2.	141	24.0	85.5	89.1
BOTH HOT AND COLD	3.	18	3.1	10.9	100.0
	BLANK	422	71.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.073	STD ERR	.029	MEDIAN	2.043
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.376	VARIANCE	.141
KURTOSIS	3.705	SKEWNESS	.803	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	342.000
C.V. PCT	18.117	.95 C.I.	2.015	TO	2.130

VALID CASES 165 MISSING CASES 422

## HOW RESIDENCE IS HEATED

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ.	ADJUSTED FREQ. (PCT.)
GAS	1.	457	78.3
FUEL OIL	2.	28	4.8
ELECTRICITY	3.	22	3.8
SPACE HEATER	4.	2	0.3
OTHER	5.	27	4.6
DON'T KNOW	6.	48	8.2
	TOTAL	<u>587</u>	

Appliances/Utilities

For more than three-quarters of the persons interviewed (76.6%), there was "always" a sufficient amount of hot water in their residences. There was "usually" sufficient hot water for 18.8% of the interviewees, "seldom" sufficient hot water for 3.4% of the respondents, and "never" sufficient hot water for 1.2% of the interviewees.

The stove "always" works for 92.3% of the interviewees, "usually" works for 5.5%, "seldom" works for 0.9%, and "never" works for 1.4%.

Most interviewees (91.1%) report that their refrigerator "always" works. The refrigerator "usually" works for 6.2%, "seldom" works for 1.7% and "never" for 1.0% of all respondents.

## SUFFICIENCY OF HOT WATER

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
ALWAYS	1.	449	76.5	76.6	76.6
USUALLY	2.	110	18.7	18.8	95.4
SELDOM	3.	20	3.4	3.4	98.8
NEVER	4.	7	1.2	1.2	100.0
	BLANK	1	.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.292	STD ERR	.024	MEDIAN	1.153
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.589	VARIANCE	.347
KURTOSIS	5.263	SKEWNESS	2.230	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	757.000
C.V. PCT	45.612	.95 C.I.	1.244	TC	1.340
VALID CASES	586	MISSING CASES	1		

41

## DCES STOVE WORK

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
ALWAYS	1.	539	91.8	92.3	92.3
USUALLY	2.	32	5.5	5.5	97.8
SELDOM	3.	5	.9	.9	98.6
NEVER	4.	8	1.4	1.4	100.0
	BLANK	3	.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.113	STD ERR	.019	MEDIAN	1.042
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.447	VARIANCE	.200
KURTOSIS	24.698	SKEWNESS	4.769	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	650.000
C.V. PCT	40.170	.95 C.I.	1.077	TO	1.149
VALID CASES	584	MISSING CASES	3		

## V42 DCES REFRIGERATOR WORK

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
ALWAYS	1.	533	90.8	91.1	91.1
USUALLY	2.	36	6.1	6.2	97.3
SELDOM	3.	10	1.7	1.7	99.0
NEVER	4.	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
	BLANK	2	.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.126	STD ERR	.019	MEDIAN	1.040
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.455	VARIANCE	.207
KURTOSIS	19.419	SKEWNESS	4.228	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	659.000
C.y. PCT	40.347	.95 C.I.	1.090	TO	1.163
VALID CASES	585	MISSING CASES	2		

### Conditions of Windows/Doors

Interviewees were asked about the condition of the windows and doors in their place of residence. These questions were posed in terms of screen windows/doors and storm windows/doors.

For 56.0% of the respondents, screen windows were "all in good repair." For the balance of the interviewee group, the following was indicated about the condition of screen windows: most in good repair--26.6%, half or less in good repair--11.8%, and none in good repair--5.6%.

Screen doors were "all in good repair" for 44.8% of the interviewees. However, for more than one-third (36.9%) of the respondents, none of the screen doors were in good repair.

For almost two-thirds of the interviewees (66.1%), all of the storm windows were in good repair. None were in good repair for 9.2% of the group and half or less were in good repair for 7.5% of the interviewees.

Storm doors were indicated to all be in good repair for 54.3% of the interviewees. None of the storm doors were in good repair for 35.2% of the respondents.

## SUFFICIENCY OF SCREEN WINDOWS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
ALL IN GOOD REPAIR	1.	328	55.9	56.0	56.0
MOST IN GOOD REPAIR	2.	156	26.6	26.6	82.6
HALF OR LESS	3.	69	11.8	11.8	94.4
NONE IN GOOD REPAIR	4.	33	5.6	5.6	100.0
BLANK		1	.2	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.671	STD ERR	.037	MEDIAN	1.393
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.892	VARIANCE	.796
KURTOSIS	.409	SKEWNESS	1.174	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	979.000
C.V. PCT	53.391	.95 C.I.	1.598	TO	1.743
VALID CASES	586	MISSING CASES	1		

## SUFFICIENCY OF SCREEN DOORS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
ALL IN GOOD REPAIR	1.	255	43.4	44.8	44.8
MOST IN GOOD REPAIR	2.	41	7.0	7.2	52.0
HALF OR LESS	3.	63	10.7	11.1	63.1
NONE IN GOOD REPAIR	4.	210	35.8	36.9	100.0
BLANK		18	3.1	MISSING	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.401	STD ERR	.057	MEDIAN	2.220
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.370	VARIANCE	1.878
KURTOSIS	-1.823	SKEWNESS	.110	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	1366.000
C.V. PCT	57.082	.95 C.I.	2.288	TO	2.514
VALID CASES	569	MISSING CASES	18		

## SUFFICIENCY OF STORM WINDOWS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
ALL IN GOOD REPAIR	1.	381	64.9	66.1	66.1
MOST IN GOOD REPAIR	2.	93	15.8	16.1	82.3
HALF CR LESS	3.	43	7.3	7.5	89.8
NONE IN GOOD REPAIR	4.	53	9.0	9.2	99.0
	5.	6	1.0	1.0	100.0
BLANK		11	1.9	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.628	STD ERR	.043	MEDIAN	1.256
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.030	VARIANCE	1.062
KURTOSIS	1.139	SKEWNESS	1.528	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	938.000
C.V. PCT	63.274	.95 C.I.	1.544	TC	1.713
VALID CASES	576	MISSING CASES	11		

## SUFFICIENCY OF STORM DOUPS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
ALL IN GOOD REPAIR	1.	307	52.3	54.3	54.3
MOST IN GOOD REPAIR	2.	27	4.6	4.8	59.1
HALF OR LESS	3.	28	4.8	5.0	64.1
NONE IN GOOD REPAIR	4.	199	33.9	35.2	99.3
	5.	4	.7	.7	100.0
BLANK		22	3.7	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.232	STD ERR	.060	MEDIAN	1.420
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.420	VARIANCE	2.015
KURTOSIS	-1.738	SKEWNESS	.388	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	1261.000
C.V. PCT	63.607	.95 C.I.	2.115	TU	2.349
VALID CASES	565	MISSING CASES	22		

Condition of Residence

Interviewees were asked about the state of repair of twelve aspects of their places of residence. Their responses are summarized by each of the twelve aspects within each of the five categories of repair (needs no repair, needs a little repair, needs a lot of repair, needs overhaul, non-existent).

Needs no repair: plumbing (54.7%), electrical wiring (71.9%), inside paint (52.7%), outside paint (64.5%), roofing (75.2%), ceilings (69.0%), floors (73.0%), stairways (77.4%), walls (68.2%), foundation (74.4%), insulation (60.5%).

Needs a little repair: plumbing (31.6%), electrical wiring (16.7%), heating facilities (11.4%), inside paint (26.7%), outside paint (16.3%), roofing (12.8%), ceilings (21.4%), floors (15.5%), stairways (11.5%), walls (21.3%), foundation (10.6%), insulation (12.7%).

Needs a lot of repair: plumbing (8.0%), electrical wiring (6.7%), heating facilities (4.7%), inside paint (15.4%), outside paint (11.0%), roofing (5.2%), ceilings (6.2%), floors (7.2%), stairways (6.4%), walls (8.4%), foundation (9.1%), insulation (10.7%).

Needs overhaul: plumbing (5.6%), electrical wiring (4.6%), heating facilities (3.6%), inside paint (5.1%), outside paint (5.5%), roofing (5.6%), ceilings (3.4%), floors (4.3%), stairways (2.3%), walls (2.1%), foundation (5.1%), insulation (3.1%).

Non-existent: heating facilities (.7%), outside paint (2.8%), roofing (1.1%), stairways (2.3%), foundation (.8%), insulation (12.3%).

Mice were reported to exist in the residences of more than one-third (36.5%) of the respondents and rats in the residences of 1.9% of the respondents. No rodents were reported to be present in their residences by 61.6% of the interviewees.

Almost one-third of the interviewees (31.2%) indicated that cockroaches could be found in their residence. More than ten percent (11.8%) of the interviewees report the presence of other insects. More than half of the respondents (57.0%) report their homes to be free of insects.

Slightly more than one-third of the interviewees (37.5%) indicate the general condition of their residence to be "good" and an almost equal number of the interviewees (34.6%) believe their residences to be in "fair" condition. While 14.3% of the interviewees believe their residences to be in "excellent" general condition, 3.2% find their residences to be in "extremely poor" and 10.2% find their residences to be in "poor" general condition.

## EFFICIENCY OF PLUMBING

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	320	54.5	54.7	54.7
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	185	31.5	31.6	86.3
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	47	8.0	8.0	94.4
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	33	5.6	5.6	100.0
	ELANK	2	.3	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.646	STD ERR	.035	MEDIAN	1.414
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.854	VARIANCE	.729
KURTOSIS	.987	SKEWNESS	1.294	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	963.000
C.V. PCT	51.868	.95 C.I.	1.577	TC	1.715
VALID CASES	585	MISSING CASES	2		

## SUFFICIENCY OF ELECTRICAL WIRING

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	418	71.2	71.9	71.9
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	97	16.5	16.7	88.6
NEEDS A LOT OF RFP	3.	39	6.6	6.7	95.4
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	27	4.6	4.6	100.0
	BLANK	6	1.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.441	STD ERR	.034	MEDIAN	1.195
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.813	VARIANCE	.661
KURTOSIS	2.629	SKENNESS	1.874	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	837.000
C.V. PCT	56.422	.95 C.I.	1.374	TO	1.507
VALID CASES	581	MISSING CASES	6		

## EFFICIENCY OF HEATING FACILITIES

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	437	74.4	79.3	79.3
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	63	10.7	11.4	90.7
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	26	4.4	4.7	95.5
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	21	3.6	3.8	99.3
NON-EXISTENT	5.	4	.7	.7	100.0
	BLANK	36	6.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.352	STD ERR	.034	MEDIAN	1.130
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.800	VARIANCE	.639
KURTOSIS	5.751	SKEWNESS	2.493	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	745.000
C.V. PCT	59.142	.95 C.I.	1.285	TO	1.419
VALID CASES	551	MISSING CASES	36		

## SUFFICIENCY OF INSIDE PAINT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	308	52.5	52.7	52.7
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	156	26.6	26.7	79.5
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	90	15.3	15.4	94.9
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	30	5.1	5.1	100.0
BLANK		3	.5	MISSING	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.729	STD ERR	.037	MEDIAN	1.448
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.903	VARIANCE	.815
KURTOSIS	-.095	SKEWNESS	.981	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	1010.000
C.V. PCT	52.206	.95 C.I.	1.656	TO	1.803
VALID CASES	584	MISSING CASES	3		

## SUFFICIENCY OF OUTSIDE PAINT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	365	62.2	64.5	64.5
NEEDS A LITTLE RFP	2.	92	15.7	16.3	80.7
NEEDS A LOT OF RFP	3.	62	10.6	11.0	91.7
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	31	5.3	5.5	97.2
NON-EXISTENT	5.	16	2.7	2.8	100.0
	BLANK	21	3.6	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.659	STD ERR	.044	MEDIAN	1.275
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.055	VARIANCE	1.114
KURTOSIS	1.593	SKEWNESS	1.577	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	939.000
C.V. PCT	63.609	.95 C.I.	1.572	TU	1.746
VALID CASES	566	MISSING CASES	21		

## SUFFICIENCY OF ROCFILG

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	404	68.8	75.2	75.2
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	69	11.8	12.8	88.1
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	28	4.8	5.2	93.3
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	30	5.1	5.6	98.9
NON-EXISTENT	5.	6	1.0	1.1	100.0
	BLANK	50	8.5	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.445	STD ERR	.039	MEDIAN	1.165
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.907	VARIANCE	.822
KURTOSIS	3.747	SKEWNESS	2.139	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	776.000
C.V. PCT	62.743	.95 C.I.	1.368	TO	1.522
VALID CASES	537	MISSING CASES	50		

SUFFICIENCY OF CEILINGS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	400	68.1	69.0	69.0
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	124	21.1	21.4	90.3
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	36	6.1	6.2	96.6
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	20	3.4	3.4	100.0
	BLANK	7	1.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.441	STD ERR	.032	MEDIAN	1.225
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.761	VARIANCE	.579
KURTOSIS	2.702	SKEWNESS	1.806	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	836.000
C.V. PCT	52.773	.95 C.I.	1.379	TO	1.503
VALID CASES	580	MISSING CASES	7		

SUFFICIENCY OF FLOORS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	425	72.4	73.0	73.0
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	90	15.3	15.5	88.5
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	42	7.2	7.2	95.7
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	25	4.3	4.3	100.0
	BLANK	5	.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.428	STD ERR	.033	MEDIAN	1.185
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.805	VARIANCE	.648
KURTOSIS	2.679	SKEWNESS	1.895	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	831.000
C.V. PCT	56.377	.95 C.I.	1.362	TU	1.493
VALID CASES	582	MISSING CASES	5		

## SUFFICIENCY OF STAIRWAYS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	436	74.3	77.4	77.4
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	65	11.1	11.5	89.0
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	36	6.1	6.4	95.4
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	13	2.2	2.3	97.7
NON-EXISTENT	5.	13	2.2	2.3	100.0
	BLANK	24	4.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.405	STD ERR	.037	MEDIAN	1.146
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.887	VARIANCE	.786
KURTOSIS	5.760	SKENNESS	2.466	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	791.000
C.V. PCT	63.097	.95 C.I.	1.332	TO	1.478

VALID CASES 563 MISSING CASES 24

## SUFFICIENCY OF WALLS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	397	67.6	68.2	68.2
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	124	21.1	21.3	89.5
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	49	8.3	8.4	97.9
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	12	2.0	2.1	100.0
	BLANK	5	.9	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.443	STD ERR	.030	MEDIAN	1.233
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.735	VARIANCE	.540
KURTOSIS	1.924	SKEWNESS	1.621	RANGE	3.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	4.000	SUM	840.000
C.V. PCT	50.905	.95 C.I.	1.383	TO	1.503
VALID CASES	582	MISSING CASES	5		

## SUFFICIENCY OF FOUNDATION

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	393	67.0	74.4	74.4
NEEDS A LITTLE REP	2.	56	9.5	10.6	85.0
NEEDS A LOT OF REP	3.	48	8.2	9.1	94.1
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	27	4.6	5.1	99.2
NON-EXISTENT	5.	4	.7	.8	100.0
	ELANK	59	10.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.472	STD ERR	.040	MEDIAN	1.172
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	.911	VARIANCE	.839
KURTOSIS	2.576	SKEWNESS	1.890	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	777.000
C.V. FCT	61.920	.95 C.I.	1.394	TO	1.549
VALID CASES	528	MISSING CASES	59		

## SUFFICIENCY OF INSULATION

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
NEEDS NO REPAIR	1.	305	52.0	60.5	60.5
NEEDS A LITTLE RFP	2.	64	10.9	12.7	73.2
NEEDS A LOT OF RFP	3.	54	9.2	10.7	83.9
NEEDS OVERHAUL	4.	19	3.2	3.8	87.7
NON-EXISTENT	5.	62	10.6	12.3	100.0
	BLANK	23	14.1	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.946	STD EPR	.063	MEDIAN	1.326
MODE	1.000	STD DEV	1.404	VARIANCE	1.971
KURTOSIS	.109	SKEWNESS	1.251	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	981.000
C.V. PCT	72.133	.95 C.I.	1.824	TO	2.060
VALID CASES	504	MISSING CASES	83		

## ANY SORTS OF INCIDENTS IN RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
MICE	1.	212	36.1	36.5	36.5
RATS	2.	11	1.9	1.9	38.4
NO	3.	358	61.0	61.6	100.0
	BLANK	6	1.0	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.251	STD ERR	.040	MEDIAN	2.689
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	.959	VARIANCE	.920
KURTOSIS	-1.713	SKEWNESS	-.521	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	1308.000
C.V. PCT	42.594	.95 C.I.	2.173	TO	2.329

VALID CASES 581 MISSING CASES 6

## ANY INSECTS OR ROACHES IN RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
COCKROACHES	1.	182	31.0	31.2	31.2
OTHER INSECTS	2.	69	11.8	11.8	43.0
NO	3.	333	56.7	57.0	100.0
BLANK		3	.5	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
TOTAL		587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.259	STD ERR	.037	MEDIAN	2.623
MODE	3.000	STD DEV	.904	VARIANCE	.816
KURTOSIS	-1.566	SKEWNESS	-.533	RANGE	2.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	3.000	SUM	1319.000
C.V. PCT	40.005	.95 C.I.	2.185	TC	2.332
VALID CASES	584	MISSING CASES	3		

## GENERAL CONDITION OF RESIDENCE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
EXCELLENT	1.	84	14.3	14.3	14.3
GOOD	2.	220	37.5	37.5	51.9
FAIR	3.	203	34.6	34.6	66.5
POOR	4.	60	10.2	10.2	76.8
EXTREMELY POOR	5.	19	3.2	3.2	100.0
	BLANK	1	.2	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	2.505	STD ERR	.040	MEDIAN	2.450
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.968	VARIANCE	.938
KURTOSIS	-.082	SKEWNESS	.388	RANGE	4.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	5.000	SUM	1468.000
C.V. PCT	38.652	.95 C.I.	2.427	TO	2.584
VALID CASES	586	MISSING CASES	1		

### Housing Complaints/Housing Programs

Most of the persons interviewed (86.2%) had never made a formal housing complaint. For those persons making housing complaints, the Minneapolis Housing and Redevelopment Authority (20.3%) was cited most frequently by respondents when asked to whom complaints had been made. Also mentioned frequently were landlords, the Minneapolis Housing Inspections Department, and the Tenants Union (16.5% for all).

When asked to whom they would go were they to have housing complaints in the future, "tenants unions" were the most frequent response (mentioned by 25.7% of the interviewees). The Minneapolis Housing and Redevelopment Authority was cited by 22.0% of the respondents. Landlords, managers, and caretakers were mentioned by 14.4% of the interviewees.

Approximately three-quarters (74.5%) of the respondents did not know of any housing programs serving Native Americans and the general public (not just programs handling complaints). The only two programs cited frequently were Little Earth of United Tribes (7.8%) and the Minneapolis Regional Native American Center (9.1%).

## EVER MADE FORMAL HOUSING COMPLAINT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CLM FREQ (PCT)
YES	1.	80	13.6	13.8	13.8
NO	2.	498	84.8	86.2	100.0
	0	9	1.5	MISSING	
		-----	-----	-----	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	1.862	STD ERR	.014	MEDIAN	1.920
MODE	2.000	STD DEV	.346	VARIANCE	.119
KURTOSIS	2.417	SKEWNESS	-2.100	RANGE	1.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	2.000	SUM	1076.000
C.V. PCT	18.566	.95 C.I.	1.833	TO	1.890
VALID CASES	578	MISSING CASES	9		

## TO WHOM HOUSING COMPLAINT WAS MADE

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ.	ADJUSTED FREQ. (PCT.)
LANDLORD	1.	113	16.5
LEGAL AID	2.	2	2.5
CIVIL RIGHTS DEPT.	3.	1	1.3
HEALTH DEPT.	4.	4	5.1
HOUSING DEPT.	5.	13	16.5
HRA	6.	16	20.3
✓ CIVIL LIB. UNION	7.	1	1.3
TENTANTS' UNION	8.	13	16.5
NORTHSIDE TENANTS' UNION	9.	1	1.3
OTHER	0.	15	19.0
	TOTAL	<hr/> 79	

## TO WHOM WOULD GO WITH HOUSING COMPLAINT

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ.	ADJUSTED FREQ. (PCT.)
LANDLORD	1.	55	14.4
LEGAL AID	2.	3	0.8
HEALTH DEPT	3.	3	0.8
HOUSING INSPECTOR	4.	16	4.2
HRA	5.	84	22.0
CIVIL LIB. UNION	6.	2	0.5
TENANTS' UNION	7.	98	25.7
INDIAN ORGANIZATIONS	8.	27	7.1
TAKE LEGAL ACTION	9.	2	0.5
OTHER	0.	92	24.1
	TOTAL	<u>382</u>	

## KNOWLEDGE OF HOUSING PROGRAMS

CATEGORY LABEL	CODE	ABSOLUTE FREQ	RELATIVE FREQ (PCT)	ADJUSTED FREQ (PCT)	CUM FREQ (PCT)
LITTLE EARTH	1.	41	7.0	7.8	7.8
HUD	2.	8	1.4	1.5	9.3
HRA ETC	3.	16	2.7	3.0	12.4
MRNAC ETC	4.	48	8.2	9.1	21.5
CHIFFEWA PRCG ETC	5.	3	.5	.6	22.1
BIA PROGRAM	6.	4	.7	.8	22.8
UMAIC	8.	14	2.4	2.7	25.5
DON'T KNOW	9.	392	66.8	74.5	100.0
OTHER	0	61	10.4	MISSING	
	TOTAL	587	100.0	100.0	

MEAN	7.559	STD ERR	.117	MEDIAN	8.829
MODE	9.000	STD DEV	2.690	VARIANCE	7.234
KURTOSIS	.664	SKEWNESS	-1.525	RANGE	8.000
MINIMUM	1.000	MAXIMUM	9.000	SUM	3976.000
C.V. PCT	35.581	.95 C.I.	7.329	TC	7.789
VALID CASES	526	MISSING CASES	61		

Question 37:

We have reached the end of the interview now, but before I go is there anything you'd like to add to what you've already said, anything you feel is important for us to know, that would help us better understand your situation or housing in general?

Asked the landlord to repair things and they haven't responded: paint, windows, bathroom!

When they spray they don't do it very well, cockroaches still remain.

The landlord came late in making repairs, never replaced the carpet, never fixed the fan for the stove and drawers for the cupboards.

It's been two months since the landlord said he would come and paint.

Hard to find housing for three children.

The only thing is that we need another room.

Need help in fixing it up.

Don't feel they got a good deal on the contract work that was done. Be sure that owner gets or secures lein waivers and not to sign check to contractor until you receive these leins.

Why can't Indians in Minneapolis get B.I.A. loans?

Like to move and get a whole house.

A lot of Indian people are in sub-standard housing because of unable to afford good housing.

Wish that low income people could get loans where we could fix up our homes and not pay forever on the loan.

No facilities for washing clothes, no hook ups, no drain or faucets.

Should have more programs to help homeowner in regards to repair and bringing homes up to code.

It's hard to find good apartments in Mpls. for what I can afford.

The yard has to be taken care of. It needs a fence.

They feel like they are being discriminated against because of race.

Landlords don't take care, expect tenants to pay rent.

Everything is going fine.

Take along information to public.

(responses to 37 continued)

Landlord does not repair often.

Decent places are outrageous.

Should be more low income grants for homeowners.

Landlord owns too many houses and can't take care of them.

My little girl got lead poisoning and landlord was told to fix up this dump but he hasn't done nothing, he is what we call "slum landlord."

The landlords don't fix things up.

Would like to have a nicer place to live. If the house was fixed up it would be ok.

It takes so long to get small repairs done.

Get the building repaired.

Housing in general is poor and a lot of discrimination--find absentee landlords make them improve homes and apartments. City housing inspectors are prejudiced. The city should have more emergency housing monies to help Native Americans.

Provide program monies (means) so that people can produce their own end product.

More low income housing for Native Americans. Rent is too high for the buildings that are in poor condition.

Highness of damage deposits is a problem. It keeps people from being able to move in.

No, liked living here the last three years, but am now moving up north.

Landlord is making repairs, has just bought building.

H.U.D. isn't a good agency. Poor workmanship.

I hate them dirty old mice.

Don't keep the outside halls and stairwells in good shape, they need paint and less holes in walls.

I think there should be a large emergency housing for transients that come in and out of the city, clean and neat and two for people that care and people that don't care--and that is accessible and a shelter for young adults. Concern for pesticides used at Little Earth.

To encourage more Indian people to buy their homes.

Retainer wall made of concrete is deteriorating, needs work.

Getting old houses fixed up and more low interest loans to acquire houses. City isn't doing--checking income properties--check to see that people can get help because of income guidelines.

(37 continued)

--Letter of credit was issued to Joe Selveggio (1975) through Indian Center to help Indian homeowners obtain help for repair. She asked him for estimate on taking down a living room wall. He turned down the request. Says he was too busy with other things.

It's difficult to get a loan to buy a house for an Indian. There should be a similar concept like that of section 8 of H.U.D. that would apply to house rental and ownership.

Could use a loan for improvement.

Leaking roof--It took two years to get to it. Leaky roof caused floors to go bad.

MHRA--Loans-- since Hofstede campaign.

Would like like low interest loans to repair house.

Would like help in repairing outside foundation and windows (funds). Grant or low interest loan.

Would like to repair (minor). Low interest loans.

Need funds for repairs--sidewalks, etc.

We would like to be able to get low interest loans for house repairs.

I can't afford to live here but it's better than living in the ghetto. A nice place to live is alright but there are other problems to deal with that makes your family suffer and sacrifice to live in a decent place.

Doesn't like housing situation for Indians.

This house has bad gutters.

There should be more housing for families with children so parents don't have to worry about bothering grouchy neighbors.

Are there housing places available and where are they?

There should be more loans available for urban Indians.

We are up this year for the housing authority grant for the Powderhorn neighborhood.

Trouble getting loans--have made application for them but got no response.

More emergency housing.

Wish there was money to improve the house insulation, kitchen sink.

(37 continued)

It would be alright if it weren't for the cockroaches.

No, everything's alright.

Hope the rent isn't raised.

The history of housing for Native Americans has been one of the black marks on Minnesota history.

Renters are usually prejudgmental when trying to rent a place.

Need more single family housing in projects or duplex housing.

A person's got to speak up for yourself and not do it begging.

Need decent places for less rent.

Rent is outrageous for the conditions of the building--getting worse and worse.

Inadequate housing--discriminatory--hard to find a place.

It's pretty good.

If possible would like a loan to repair before it gets too bad.

Finding a decent place is hard for what we have to pay for rent.

Finding housing in Mpls. is hard, especially if a person has children and is on welfare. The places for rent are not in very good condition, plus they want a lot of rent money.

More housing for low-income people.

No, pretty well covered everything.

High rent.

Hard to find place because of (1) Indian; (2) money (none) except basic; (3) children. I'm getting evicted because of too many people in my apartment. White people don't understand that I have people stay if in need of a place.

This year Upper Midwest said they were going to come out and paint the house but no one came out.

Has a hard time finding a place that will accept pets.

Better information on rental information. Interested in renting house but need more information.

Would like low interest loan for house repairs.

There should be provisions made for Native Americans to get loans to upgrade housing.

(37 continued)

She had contacted about 3 people to fix her house, and they have not come back. This is why the house is rated in poor condition.

Upper Midwest can go to hell.

The only problem I have is a bill that housing keeps billing me for.

When we moved into this place it was a dump but to keep from paying outrageous prices we had to put in a lot of time, work and energy.

No complaints.

There should be better ways of helping old people get monies to upgrade their homes, without gaving to hassle with banks and loans.

Windows need fixing.

Indians aren't reliable to take care of a place.

Believe the status rented to an Indian is glossed over and not until you move in you find out all the necessary improvements that need to be done. Rent is high for what Indians are renting.

Basement flooding every time it rains.

The landlord told her in a letter that she didn't keep her house clean enough for his standards and if she didn't keep her place clean he would terminate her tenancy. She fel this allegations were false and out of line.

She feels the housing is a nice place for low income families with children.

There's a lot of stereotyping [that] goes on with respect to the Indians that live here.

Lots of kids. Parents don't watch.

Yes, but I can't think of it right now. Had I been living in a prior residence, I could add something more.

No, it's suitable.

Would like for the landlord to fix the oven, so she can do some baking. It needs adjustment.

Too many kids for working parents.

Roaches are bad--more pest control!

Landlords pry too much and are too worried about AFDC mothers.

If office would get the work done--for 2 months I have asked for things to be done and no results as yet.

(37 continued)

Happy for her list of agencies.

There could be better communication between landlords and tenants.

There should be more housing for poor people allowing children and pets.

The rent in this area is terrible for the conditions on the place. Should encourage more home ownership programs especially for Indians.

Need better places for less money. Also home ownership funds is needed for Native Americans.

The housing in this area is really bad.

Wish they had better housing for Indians and people on welfare.

Could be better housing. Would be nice to own a home.

Pay too much for what you get.

A lot of houses don't rent if you have kids, except 3-bedroom places.

She feels she has a good landlady as compared to other Indian people.

Yes, they should have better Housing Development programs where minorities can get into sooner rather than having people wait a year.

I am under a subsidized rent situation and wish more people could get the same.

Should have cheaper housing.

Need better housing for less money.

It's difficult to find a place to live. (She doesn't want to live in the high rise.)

Interested in home ownership--should be a N.A. program to help with this.

Hard to find decent places for reasonable rent (which allow children).

Would like to move.

Would like to apply for low-income housing.

Better housing and more responsive landlords toward fixing their buildings.

Wish the rent was cheaper and quit going up all the time.

More responsive landlords [who] do things when they say they're going to.

I pay too much rent. The doors aren't properly made.

A lot of mice and they haven't fixed the stove and refrigerator for 9 months.

(37 continued)

Try to help bigger families.

Would like to know more about financing for buying a house.

Wish there were more funds available for upgrading housing more inexpensively.

Needs money to get place fixed up.

Keep the lawn up and better garbage set up.

They should have programs for Indians and don't know who to complain to.  
They have to put up with due to the cost of rent.

Don't rent from family.

Would like to get into a Indian housing program.

Wish there was more help for Indians to getting better places to live that are inexpensive and cleaner. We've been looking for 2 1/2 months and couldn't find a decent place to rent to us.

I'm gonna go up north.

Wouldn't ever live in another building like this.

Feels her housing is good compared to alot of others.

Got a no good caretaker. Hollers at the kids.

Rent is high everywhere, the housing projects aren't too good. Too much trouble with neighbors.

No--I have no complaints.

General Assistance doesn't help out as much as they could or should.

Really like this place.

Neat interview--not a personal one.

Charging too much rent! (for dilapidated places)

Am looking for a place to live.

Plans to buy a house, but needs somewhere to get a low interest loan.

No, there is a lot of discrimination against children.

Bad security system on the house.

This apartment building allows cats in the apartment, which is a good thing.

(37 continued)

I was promised lawn mower to take care of the yard, but never got it.

Needs work.

Needs a loan to fix up.

Would like to get a house up in Leech Lake.

Would like to get help for repairing-loans or grant.

Would like grant or loan for repairs.

Low interest loan to fix up house or grant.

Would like to get a house in Little Earth.

A lot of slumlords--should have something done.

Takes them forever to do anything.

Its difficult to get a grant or loan from government or banks for housing repairs.

I would rather live in housing other than Indian housing projects like that of Little Earth.

Appendix A:

Tribal Affiliation

Tribal Enrollment Location

Respondents indicated affiliation with the following tribes:

Ojibwe	Canadian	Omaha
Sioux	Canada Cajun	Oneida
Winnebago	Hidatsa	Ponca
Abinaki	Kiowa	Pontawatomi
Arapahoe	Mandan & Hidatsa	Seneca
Assiniboine Sioux	Navajo	Tuscarora

Places of enrollment indicated by respondents are:

Red Lake Band of Chippewa Indians	Standing Rock Sioux Tribe/Standing Rock Agency (Also Fort Yates)
Bois Fort (Nett Lake)	Turtle Mountain Band of Chippewa Indians
Fond du Lac Band	Cheyenne River Sioux Tribe
Grand Portage Band	Flandreau Santee-Sioux Tribe
Leech Lake Band	Oglala Sioux Tribe of the Pine Ridge Reservation
Mille Lac Band	Rosebud Sioux Tribe
White Earth	Yankton Sioux Tribe of Indians
Lower Sioux Indian Community (Morton)	Bad River Band (Chippewa) also Odanah
Prairie Island Indian Community	Lac Courte Oreilles Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians
Shakopee Mdewakanton Sioux Community (Prior Lake)	Lac du Flambeau Band of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians
Upper Sioux Indian Community (Granite Falls)	Oneida Tribe of Indians of Wisconsin
Devils Lake Sioux Tribe/Fort Totten Agency	Red Cliff Bank of Lake Superior Chippewa Indians
Three Affiliated Tribes of the Fort Berthold Reservation/Fort Berthold Agency	St. Croix Chippewa Indians of Wisconsin
Sisseton-Wahpeton Sioux Tribe/Sisseton Agency	Stockbridge-Munsee Community
Assiniboine and Sioux Tribes of the Fort Peck Reservation	Wisconsin Winnebago Tribe
Omaha Tribe of Nebraska	Ponca Tribe of Indians of Oklahoma/Pawnee Agency
Santee-Sioux Tribe of Nebraska	Seneca Nation, Cattaraugus Reservation
Winnebago Tribe of Nebraska	Navajo Nation
Northern Arapahoe (Wind River)	Kenora
Prairie Band of Potawatomi Indians (Kansas)	Fort Francis
Kiowa/Anadarko Agency	Canada
	Tuscarora Nation

Appendix B:

Interview Instrument

Interview Codebook

# UPPER MIDWEST AMERICAN INDIAN CENTER

1113 West Broadway • Minneapolis, Minnesota 55411 (Main Office)  
(612) 522-4436

408 Bremer Building • St. Paul, Minnesota 55101  
(612) 224-5846



## Native American Housing Survey

### Introduction and Instructions

(Introduce yourself.)

Before we begin, let me explain a few things. First, we want to mention that you don't have to answer any questions you don't like. Just tell me you'd rather not answer a question and we'll go on to the next question.

Secondly, I'd like to repeat that no one else will ever see how you answer these questions. We will combine your answers with all the other people we interview. So what you say will be confidential and secret. Okay?

The purpose of the interview is to find out what you think about the housing situation for Native Americans in Minneapolis. Do you have any questions before we begin?

Census tract:

Interviewer:

Start:

Finish:

F M



1. To begin with, it would be helpful to know your main tribal affiliation. What tribe do you mainly consider yourself as belonging to?

2. Are you an enrolled member of a tribe?

1. No      2. Yes

↓  
2a. Where? \_\_\_\_\_

In this first section we are trying to learn about how often people move in Minneapolis, and how that affects housing for Native Americans.

3. Have you lived in Minneapolis all your life?

2. Yes      1. No

↓  
3a. Where did you live just before you moved to Mpls.?

4. How long have you lived in Minneapolis?

\* (a) \_\_\_\_\_ Years      (b) \_\_\_\_\_ Months      (c) \_\_\_\_\_ Less than a month

5. Do you consider your residence in Minneapolis. . .

\* (A) permanent  
[you do not move back  
and forth out of Mpls.]

(B) semi-permanent  
[periodically return]

(C) temporary  
[will not return]

↓  
4B1. If seasonal, which  
months do you spend in  
Minneapolis?

↓  
4C1. If temporary,  
what month will you  
leave?

↓  
4B2. Where do you live  
the other months?

↓  
4C2. Why?

6. If you are not moving out of the city, do you plan to move from your current residence in the near future?

1. No      2. Yes

7. How long have you lived in this residence? How many:

~~\*~~(a) \_\_\_\_\_ Years (b) \_\_\_\_\_ Months (c) \_\_\_\_\_ Less than a month

Now we are going to change questions a little bit again. This time we will be asking questions about your housing requirements.

8. Do you own or rent this residence?

A. Own



A1. Approximately how much are your utilities (monthly average)?

\*\*Gas \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Electric \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Water \$ \_\_\_\_\_ (quarterly)  
Fuel Oil \$ \_\_\_\_\_



A2. Approximately how much are your monthly mortgage/ deed payments?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_



A3. Does this include taxes?

2. Yes 1. No



A4. Approximately how much are your yearly taxes?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

A5. Does your mortgage include insurance ?

2. Yes 1. No



A6. How much insurance do you pay yearly?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

(GO TO PAGE 4)

B. Rent



B1. When you first moved into your current residence, at that time, which of the following and how much did you pay for:

- \*a. First month's rent \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- b. Last month's rent \$ \_\_\_\_\_
- c. Damage deposit \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
[covers possible damage that might be done]
- d. Security deposit \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
[to hold apartment for you, until you move in]

B2. Are you interested in owning a home?



1. No 2. Yes

B3. How much is your total monthly rent now?

\$ \_\_\_\_\_

B4. Does your rent include utilities?

2. Yes 1. No



B5. Approximately how much do you pay, on the average, for each of the utilities monthly?

\*Gas \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Electricity \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
Water \$ \_\_\_\_\_ [quarterly]  
Fuel Oil \$ \_\_\_\_\_

## RENTERS ONLY

9. Do you know your landlord's last name?

1. No                      2. Yes

10. Do you know your landlord's address?

1. No                      2. Yes

11. Do you know your landlord's phone number?

1. No                      2. Yes

12. How often do you see your landlord?

13. Is your landlord easy to get ahold of if you need something?

- \* a. Very easy
- b. Usually easy
- c. Somewhat difficult
- d. Impossible

14. In general, if you were to ask the landlord to repair something, would they do it?

- \* a. Always
- b. Most of the time
- c. Seldom
- d. Never

15. How would you rate your relationship to your landlord?

- \* a. Very friendly
- b. Somewhat friendly
- c. Somewhat hostile
- d. Very hostile

16. Sometimes it is not always obvious what type of housing we find and so we have been instructed to ask every time, just to make sure. Is this:

\*\*\*

- a. a duplex
- b. an apartment
- c. single famile home [whole house]
- d. townhouse [more than 2 units share a common wall] or 4-plex [4 units share common wall and ceiling]
- e. mobile home
- f. rooming house

17. How many rooms does this residence have, not counting bathrooms?

(Interviewer: this refers to rooms they use for living space only, does not count halls, stairs, attic, storage, or basement.)

18. Does this residence have a private bathroom or shared bathroom?

- a. private
- b. shared

19. Does this residence have a private or shared kitchen?

- a. private
- b. shared

20. Does this residence have a basement?

- 1. No
- 2. Yes



20a. Is the basement of your residence used as:

\*\*\*

- a. Storage space
- b. An apartment [rented to someone]
- c. Occasional living space [partyroom, work room]
- d. Permanent living space [used on a daily basis, bedroom, another room in house]

We are now going to ask questions about your housing situation, questions that get at housing problems and needs.

21. Have you ever experienced any housing discrimination problems?

- 1. No
- 2. Yes



Please describe: [Interviewer: Take notes AND circle appropriate response under question 16.]

22. What about discrimination because of...

[Interviewer: read only those answers not mentioned above.]

\*\*\*

- a. Race
- b. Sex
- c. Age
- d. Economic status (lack of money)
- e. Lack of credit
- f. Children
- g. Animals
- h. Marital status (single parent, unmarried couple, same sex roommates)
- i. Sexual preference
- j. Welfare. AFDC recipient



23. During the winter months do you have any problems with your heat?

1. No      2. Yes



Please Describe [Interviewer: Take notes AND circle appropriate response under question 24.]

24. What about other heat problems like...

[Interviewer: read only those answers not mentioned above.]

\* \*

- a. Too hot
- b. Too cold [not enough heat]
- c. Too hot and too cold both

25. How is your residence heated?

- a. Gas
- b. Fuel oil
- c. Electrically
- d. Wood stove
- e. Other (specify)
- f. Don't know

	*	Always	Usually	Seldom	Never
26. In general, is there sufficient hot water for you or your family's needs?					
27. Does the stove work?					
28. Does the refrigerator work?					

29. For the following fixtures, I am going to ask you what condition they are in:

Does this residence have, in good repair:

	*	All of Them	Most of Them	Half or Less	None
a. Summer screens on windows in the summer (not torn)					
b. Screen doors in the warm months (not torn)					
c. Storm windows in the winter (not broken or cracked)					
d. Storm doors in the cold months (not broken or cracked)					

In what repair are the following items:

	* Does Not Need Any Repair	Needs A Little Repair	Needs A Lot	Needs Complete Overhaul	Non- Existent
e. Plumbing (kitchen sink, bathroom sink, toilet, bathtub)					
f. electrical wiring (outlets, fixtures)					
g. heating facilities (radiators, furnace)					
h. inside paint					
i. outside paint					
j. roofing					
k. ceilings					
l. floors					
m. stairways					
n. walls					
o. foundation of the building					
p. insulation					

30. Do you currently have a telephone?

1. No      2. Yes

31. Do you have rodents, mice or rats, in your residence?

1. Mice      2. Rats      3. No

32. Do you have cockroaches or other insects in your residence?

1. Cockroaches      2. other insects      3. No

33. How would you rate the overall condition of this residence?

- \* a. Excellent  
b. Good  
c. Fair  
d. Poor  
e. Extremely poor

34. Have you ever made a formal housing complaint to anyone?

1. No      2. Yes

↓  
34A. To whom \_\_\_\_\_

35. If you were to make a formal housing complaint in the future, where would you go?

36. Do you know of any housing programs serving Native Americans and the general public? (not just programs handling complaints)

37. We have reached the end of the interview now, but before I go is there anything you'd like to add to what you've already said, anything you feel is important for us to know, that would help us better understand your situation or housing in general?

(Hand last page to respondent and ask them to please complete it.)

THANK YOU FOR ALL YOUR HELP.

# UPPER MIDWEST AMERICAN INDIAN CENTER

1113 West Broadway • Minneapolis, Minnesota 55411 (Main Office)

408 Bremer Building • St. Paul, Minnesota 55101

(612) 522-4436

(612) 224-5846



YOUR ANSWERS TO THESE QUESTIONS WOULD BE VERY HELPFUL TO US. AGAIN, IT IS STRESSED THAT NO ONE WILL EVERY BE ABLE TO IDENTIFY THESE ANSWERS AS COMING FROM YOU. IF YOU WANT TO, PLEASE ANSWER THE FOLLOWING QUESTIONS.

1. WHAT IS YOUR AGE?

- A. 12-18 YEARS OLD
- B. 19-30 YEARS OLD
- C. 31-54 YEARS OLD
- D. 55-64 YEARS OLD
- E. OVER 65 YEARS OLD

2. ARE YOU:

- A. EMPLOYED FULL-TIME
- B. EMPLOYED PART-TIME
- C. UNEMPLOYED
- D. RETIRED

3. WHICH OF THE FOLLOWING AMOUNTS BEST DESCRIBES THE TOTAL INCOME BEFORE TAXES OF ALL THE PEOPLE WHO LIVE IN THIS HOUSE?

- A. LESS THAN \$7,000
- B. \$7,000 - \$11,999
- C. \$12,000 - \$15,999
- D. \$16,000 - \$21,999
- E. MORE THAN \$22,000

4. DO YOU RECEIVE:

- A. WELFARE
- B. AFDC
- C. FOOD STAMPS
- D. UNEMPLOYMENT COMPENSATION
- E. WORKMEN'S COMPENSATION
- F. PENSION
- G. OLD AGE ASSISTANCE
- H. SOCIAL SECURITY
- I. OTHER (PLEASE LIST) \_\_\_\_\_

5. IN ORDER TO UNDERSTAND HOW MUCH SPACE IS SHARED HERE, IT WOULD BE USEFUL TO KNOW HOW MANY PEOPLE--BY AGE--LIVE IN THIS RESIDENCE WHO ARE:

- A. LESS THAN 5 YEARS OLD
- B. 5-11 YEARS OLD
- C. 12-18 YEARS OLD
- D. 19-20 YEARS OLD
- E. 31-49 YEARS OLD
- F. 50-64 YEARS OLD
- G. OVER 65 YEARS OLD

6. IS THIS RESIDENCE A PUBLIC HOUSING PROJECT?

- (1) NO       (2) YES

7. HOW WELL DO YOU THINK THIS INTERVIEW DESCRIBES YOUR HOUSING SITUATION?

- A. VERY WELL       B. MOSTLY       C. A LITTLE       D. NOT AT ALL.

8. BASED ON YOUR EXPERIENCE WITH THIS INTERVIEW, WOULD YOU BE WILLING TO PARTICIPATE IN INTERVIEWS DEALING WITH OTHER SUBJECTS?

- (1) No. IF NO, WHY NOT?       (2) Yes

THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR HELP

Interview Codebook

Categorization of Open-ended Responses

Question: 3a. Where did you live just before you moved to Minneapolis?

1. within the 5 county area
2. on or near a Minnesota Indian reservation
3. Minnesota
4. North Dakota
5. South Dakota
6. Wisconsin
7. Other
8. don't know

4. How long have you lived in Minneapolis?

1. less than a month
2. 1 month- 6 months
3. 7 months- 1 year
4. 1 year 1 month- 2 years
5. 2 years 1 month- 5 years
6. 5 years 1 month- 10 years
7. 10 years 1 month-20 years
8. more than 20 years

7. How long have you lives in this residence?

1. less than a month
2. 1-2months
3. 3-6 months
4. 7-11 months
5. 1 year- 2 years 11 months
6. 3 years- 4 years 11 months
7. 5 years- 9 years 11 months
8. 10 or more years

OWNERS

8A1. Gas

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$99
3. \$100-\$199
4. \$200-\$299
5. \$300 or more
6. don't know

8A1. Electric

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$19
3. \$20-\$39
4. \$40-\$59
5. \$60-\$79
6. \$80 or more
7. don't know

8A1. Water

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$19
3. \$20-\$34
4. \$35-\$49
5. \$50-\$64
6. \$65 or more
7. don't know

8A1. Fuel Oil

1. less than \$15.
2. \$15-\$24
3. \$25-\$39
4. \$40-\$54
5. \$55-\$69
6. \$70 or more
7. don't know

8A2. Mortgage/deed payments

1. less than \$50.
2. \$50-\$149
3. \$150-\$249
4. \$250-\$349
5. \$350 or more
6. home is paid off
7. don't know

8A4. Taxes

1. less than \$200.
2. \$200-\$349
3. \$350-\$499
4. \$500-\$649
5. \$650-\$799
6. \$800 or more
7. don't know

8A6. Insurance

1. less than \$80.
2. \$80-\$109
3. \$110-\$139
4. \$140-\$169
5. \$170 or more

RENTERS

8B1. First months rent

1. \$0-\$59
2. \$60-\$99
3. \$100-\$149
4. \$150-\$199
5. \$200-\$249
6. \$250-\$299
7. \$300-\$349
8. \$350-\$399
9. \$400 or more
0. don't know

7

8B1. Last months rent

1. \$0-\$59
2. \$60-\$99
3. \$100-\$149
4. \$150-\$199
5. \$200-\$249
6. \$250-\$299
7. \$300-\$349
8. \$350-\$399
9. \$400 or more
0. don't know

8B1. Damage deposit

1. less than \$100.
2. \$100-\$149
3. \$150-\$199
4. \$200-\$299
5. \$300 or more
6. hasn't paid yet
7. don't know

8B2. Are you interested in owning a home?

1. yes
2. no
3. maybe, don't know,
4. not in hpls.

8B3. How much is your rent now?

1. \$0-\$59
2. \$60-\$99
3. \$100-\$149
4. \$150-\$199
5. \$200-\$249
6. \$250-\$299
7. \$300-\$349
8. \$350-\$399
9. \$400 or more
0. don't know

## 8B5. Gas

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$19
3. \$20-\$34
4. \$35-\$49
5. \$50-\$64
6. \$65 or more
7. don't know

## 8B5. Fuel oil

1. less than \$15.
2. \$15-\$24
3. \$25-\$39
4. \$40-\$54
5. \$55-\$69
6. \$70 or more
7. don't know

## 8B5. Electricity

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$19
3. \$20-\$39
4. \$40-\$59
5. \$60-\$79
6. \$80 or more
7. don't know

## 8B5. Water

1. less than \$10.
2. \$10-\$19
3. \$20-\$34
4. \$35-\$49
5. \$50-\$64
6. \$65 or more
7. don't know

12. How often do you see your landlord?

1. NEVER

never see him/her , just send rent to the bank  
just go through housing, MHRA  
haven't seen him yet  
never, caretaker handles it  
by phone, not too often  
once a year or less  
haven't seen him for more than a year

2. HARDLY EVER

once every 6 months  
not often, couple times a year  
once in 5 months  
very little  
once in awhile  
occasionally  
sometimes  
not too often, rent is mailed to office  
not unless you ask

3. OFTEN

once a month  
rent day  
when rent is due  
once a month, but if any problems come up, he is right there

4. DAILY/VERY OFTEN

every day  
lives upstairs, all the time  
10 times a month  
3 times a week  
2-3 times a month  
couple times a month or when called  
every other weekend  
every other day  
1 or 2 times a week  
1 or 2 times a month  
all the time  
often enough  
too often  
as often as need him  
whenever there's a problem  
pretty often

5. DON'T KNOW

6. OTHER

never tried or never had to  
only sees caretaker  
they change every month and can't keep up with them  
right here in room at time of interview

17. Number of rooms

1. 1
2. 2
3. 3
4. 4
5. 5
6. 6
7. 7
8. 8 or more

21. Housing discrimination

1. RACE

New landlord made move because of children and allowed white family with  
one kid to stay  
couldn't find a place because of race  
Black neighbor calling names  
sued a person because of race discrimination  
present landlord doesn't like Indians

2. CHILDREN

too many kids  
hard to find place because of child  
owner doesn't like children  
10 kids  
first owner doesn't take children, second won't take AFDC and third says  
apartment is rented after finding out I'm Indian

3. MARITAL STATUS

discrimination because of being single parent

4. LANDLORD

I think absentee landlords are a big problem  
wasn't getting response from calls until I complained to caretaker  
landlord discriminaion  
landlord put you off

5. ECONOMIC STATUS

trouble with rents/biased  
damage deposit seems to be very high for the poor people  
rent too high for people who have to live in dilapidated homes due to  
their fixed income

6. WELFARE

discrimination because of welfare and FHA considered welfare a risk

7. NEIGHBORS

neighbors causing problems, difficulties

8. MISCELLANEOUS OTHER

wouldn't give back damage deposit  
forced into buying house, due to discrimination  
others got preference over her and got a townhouse

23. Describe heat problems

1. too hot
2. too cold
3. problems due to poor construction of home
4. problems due to poor condition of home
5. don't know

34A. To whom have you made a formal housing complaint?

1. Landlord, manager, caretaker or owners  
Includes:  
caretaker at previous residence  
general office receptionist, Little Earth  
office  
manager  
present landlord
2. Legal Aid  
Southside Management, Mr. Peterson
3. Minneapolis Civil Rights Department or Civil Rights Commission
4. Minneapolis Health Department or Health Inspector
5. Minneapolis Housing Inspections Department  
housing inspector  
inspector
6. Minneapolis Housing and Redevelopment Authority (MIRA)  
NEK  
IRA  
Housing Authority  
7. Welfare-Housing and Redevelopment
7. Minnesota Civil Liberties Union
8. Minnesota Tenants Union  
Minneapolis Tenants Union  
Tenants Union
9. Northside Tenants Union
10. Other  
Action News  
AIM in 1971  
Brigham  
Housing Department  
Housing Finance Agency, HFA or FHA  
Indian Advocate Services  
LaRose  
Louis DeMars  
next door-they killed the cat, broke windows  
mortgage company

35. Where would you go to make a formal housing complaint?

1. Landlord, manager, caretaker or owners  
Little Earth of United Tribes  
Little Earth  
South High Housing
2. Legal Aid
3. Minneapolis Health Department  
Health Department  
City Health Inspector
4. Minneapolis Housing Inspections Department  
Ask a friend who's an inspector  
Building Inspections  
City Hall-Building Inspector  
City Inspectors  
Housing Inspectors  
Minnesota Housing Inspectors
5. Minneapolis Housing and Redevelopment Authority (MIRA)  
HRA Housing on 16th Ave  
Housing Authority  
Housing Authorities  
Housing Development  
Housing Redevelopment  
Mpls Housing-Stevens Square Area  
General Office, S.E. Projects  
Minnesota Civil Liberties Union  
Civil Liberties Union
6. Minnesota Tenants Union  
Tenants Union  
Neighborhood Tenants Union
7. Indian organizations  
Minneapolis Regional Native American Center (MRNAC)  
Regional Center  
Native American Center  
Indian Center  
Upper Midwest American Indian Center (UMAIAC)  
Upper Midwest  
American Indian Center-Franklin Ave
8. would take legal action  
City Attorney  
County Attorney  
Hennepin County Municipal Court  
Conciliation Court  
lawyer
9. Others  
Housing and Urban Development H.U.D.  
Housing  
Court House  
Welfare or welfare office  
Community Services  
St. Paul Tenants Union

35 (continued)

C. Others cont.

City Housing or City Housing Department  
Housing Department  
Northside Settlements  
Column  
Housing Development Corps  
Government Center  
Housing Administration  
Powderhorn Planning District Chairman  
The City Housing Department  
Minneapolis Housing  
Senior Citizen Place  
Minnesota Housing Department  
Housing Bureau  
go no place  
would just move, skip the complaints  
to no one  
guess so  
Doesnt feel Little Earth would give satisfaction  
wouldn't know where to go  
yes  
no  
Easter Business Bureau  
Mayor's Office

36. Do you know of any housing programs serving Native Americans and the general public?

1. Little Earth of United Tribes  
Little Earth  
South High projects

2. Housing and Urban Development H.U.D.; Title VIII H.U.D.

3. Minneapolis Housing and Redevelopment Authority  
M.H.R.A. Section 8 housing  
H.R.A.  
H.R.A. 1800 Olson Highway  
Stevens Square  
House fixup  
Public housing

4. Minneapolis Regional Native American Center (M.R.N.A.C.)  
Regional Center  
Indian Center  
The Shelter  
Emergency Shelter  
Ernie Drift's program  
Emergency housing  
Emergency housing- Clinton  
Indian Center on Franklin

5. Minnesota Chippewa Tribe Home Loan Program  
Tribal monies for urban housing  
State urban Indian Housing program  
Division of Indian Works

6. Bureau of Indian Affairs (B.I.A.) Housing Program  
United Tribes  
Cass Lake R.B.C.

7. St. Paul American Indian Center

8. Upper Midwest American Indian Center (U.M.A.I.C.)

9. don't know or no

10. Others  
South, Southside  
Hospitality House  
Brother DePaul's  
Urban Housing 34th and Park  
Pilot City  
Community Services  
Northside  
General Assistance  
Legal Rights  
Project for Pride in Living  
West Bank Tenants Union  
Solstice  
Neighborhood Club

36 (continued)

- . serve the public but not for Native Americans
- forget it
- just 1
- some
- yes
- not serving
- Self Reliance