

**ON THE NATURE OF BOUNDARY CONDITIONS  
FOR FLOWS WITH MOVING FREE SURFACES**

By

**Michael Renardy**

and

**Yuriko Renardy**

**IMA Preprint Series # 579**

August 1989

On the nature of boundary conditions  
for flows with moving free surfaces

Michael Renardy and Yuriko Renardy  
Department of Mathematics and  
Interdisciplinary Center for Applied Mathematics  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  
Blacksburg, VA 24061-0123

Subject classifications: 35Q10, 65N30, 76D05

Key Words: Free surface flows, boundary conditions

Running head: Free surface flows

Send proofs to: Michael Renardy, Department of Mathematics, Virginia Polytechnic  
Institute and State University, Blacksburg, VA 24061-0123

## Abstract

We consider small perturbations of plane parallel flow between a wall and a moving free surface. The problem is posed on a rectangle with inflow and outflow boundaries. The usual boundary conditions are posed at the wall and the free surface, and the fluid satisfies the Navier-Stokes equations. We examine the nature of boundary conditions which can be imposed at the inflow and outflow boundaries in order to yield a well-posed problem. This question turns out to be more delicate than is generally appreciated. Depending on the precise situation and on the regularity required of the solution, boundary conditions at just one or both endpoints of the free surface need to be imposed. For example, we show that if the velocities at the inflow and outflow boundaries are prescribed, then the position of the free surface at the inflow boundary can be prescribed, but not at the outflow if an  $H^1$ -solution is desired. Numerical simulations with the FIDAP package [1] are used to illustrate our analytical results.

## 1. Introduction

For flows with free surfaces terminating at walls, the prescription of the contact point or the contact angle has long been accepted as a correct boundary condition. In recent years, a number of rigorous existence and uniqueness theorems have been established for problems of this kind; we refer to [2, 3] for a review and for references to previous literature: these concern steady problems with free surfaces terminating at walls.

Many numerical simulations of practically relevant flows, however, involve free surfaces which terminate not at a wall, but at a computational inflow or outflow boundary. An example is the free-surface problem that arises in coating flows, for which a portion of the domain is truncated and solved. Another example is layered flow in a channel of finite length, with interfaces: this raises the question of what conditions to pose at inflow and outflow boundaries. What typifies these examples is a numerical truncation of the flow domain. This type of situation does not seem to have been analyzed nearly as well. Since in- and outflow boundaries are mathematical artifacts, the nature of boundary conditions to be imposed cannot be guessed from physical reasoning.

In the following, we consider small perturbations of a plane parallel flow bounded by a wall at the bottom and a moving free surface at the top. We investigate the linearized problem. We shall demonstrate that in some situations well-posed problems are obtained if the position of the free surface is prescribed only at the upstream boundary, not at both boundaries. We believe that this may explain some pathological behavior which was observed in attempts to simulate flows of this type using the FIDAP package [1]. These numerical results are discussed in Section 3 below.

The equations of interest are the Stokes equations for a fluid of density  $\rho$  and viscosity  $\eta$ ,

$$\rho \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial t} = \eta \Delta \mathbf{v} - \nabla p, \quad \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \quad (1)$$

in the two-dimensional domain given by  $0 < x < L$ ,  $0 < y < M + h(x)$ . The bottom is a moving wall with the no-slip condition,

$$\mathbf{v}(x, 0) = (U, 0), \quad (2)$$

and the top  $y = M + h(x)$  is a free surface. Let  $\mathbf{n} = (-h'(x), 1)/\sqrt{1+h'^2}$  and  $\mathbf{t} = (1, h'(x))/\sqrt{1+h'^2}$  denote the unit normal and tangent, respectively. The free surface boundary conditions of continuity of shear stress and balance of normal stress by surface tension, with surface tension constant  $S$ , are

$$\eta \mathbf{n}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) \mathbf{t} = 0, \quad \eta \mathbf{n}(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) \mathbf{n} - p = S \frac{h''}{(1+h'^2)^{3/2}}, \quad (3)$$

and the kinematic free surface condition is

$$\frac{\partial h}{\partial t} + u \frac{\partial h}{\partial x} = v. \quad (4)$$

Equations (1)-(4) have the exact solution  $h = 0$ ,  $\mathbf{v} = (U, 0)$ ,  $p = 0$ . We consider small perturbations of this exact solution, which are caused by adding forcing terms to (1), (3)

and (4). We linearize the equations and take Laplace transforms with respect to time. The perturbations then satisfy the Stokes equations

$$\begin{aligned}\rho\lambda u &= \eta\Delta u - \frac{\partial p}{\partial x} + f_1, \\ \rho\lambda v &= \eta\Delta v - \frac{\partial p}{\partial y} + f_2, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial y} &= 0,\end{aligned}\tag{5}$$

on the unperturbed domain  $0 < x < L$ ,  $0 < y < M$ . Here,  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  are the given forcing terms. At the bottom wall  $y = 0$ , we have

$$u = v = 0,\tag{6}$$

and at  $y = M$ , the linearization of (3) and (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned}\eta\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} + \frac{\partial v}{\partial x}\right) &= f_3, \\ 2\eta\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} - p &= Sh'' + f_4, \\ \lambda h + Uh' &= v + f_5,\end{aligned}\tag{7}$$

where  $f_3$ ,  $f_4$  and  $f_5$  are the given forcing terms. At the inflow and outflow boundaries  $x = 0, L$  we shall impose the conditions

$$v = 0,\tag{8a}$$

or

$$\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial u}{\partial y} = 0,\tag{8b}$$

and either

$$u = 0,\tag{9a}$$

or

$$2\eta\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} - p = 0.\tag{9b}$$

In the following, we discuss the well-posedness of the problem (5)-(9) in appropriate function spaces. In order to obtain a well-posed problem, we need to impose boundary conditions on  $h(x, t)$ . The nature of these boundary conditions is the principal issue of the paper.

## 2. Well-posedness for the linearized equations

We shall consider (5)-(9) in the context of weak (variational) solutions. Let  $\Omega$  denote the domain  $(0, L) \times (0, M)$  and let  $\Gamma$  denote the top boundary. For the moment, we shall consider only the boundary condition (8a). We seek velocities in the space  $X_b$  or  $X_a$ , defined as follows.

$$X_b = \{\mathbf{v} \in (H^1(\Omega))^2 \mid \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{v}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{0}, v(0, \cdot) = v(L, \cdot) = 0\}, \quad (10b)$$

if boundary condition (9b) applies. Here, the vertical components of the velocity and normal stress vanish. The subset of  $X_b$  where boundary condition (9a) applies is

$$X_a = \{\mathbf{v} \in X_b \mid u(0, \cdot) = u(L, \cdot) = 0\}. \quad (10a)$$

In the following  $X$  denotes  $X_a$  or  $X_b$ , whichever is appropriate.

The equations have the weak form

$$\int_{\Omega} \rho \lambda \mathbf{v} \cdot \bar{\Phi} + \eta(\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) : \nabla \bar{\Phi} \, dx \, dy = (\mathbf{F}, \bar{\Phi}) + S \int_0^L h'' \bar{\psi}(x, M) \, dx, \quad (11)$$

where the notation  $A : B$ , with matrices  $A$  and  $B$ , denotes  $\sum_{i,j} A_{ij} B_{ij}$ . The vector  $\bar{\Phi} = (\phi, \psi)$  is a test function and is an arbitrary function in  $X$ , where  $\phi$  and  $\psi$  are functions of  $x$  and  $y$ .  $(\mathbf{F}, \bar{\Phi})$  denotes the expression

$$\int_{\Omega} f_1 \bar{\phi} + f_2 \bar{\psi} \, dx \, dy + \int_{\Gamma} f_3 \bar{\phi} + f_4 \bar{\psi} \, dx, \quad (12)$$

where the  $f_i$  appear in (5) and (7). In the usual manner, we take (11) with  $\mathbf{F} \in X'$  (the dual space of  $X$ ) as the generalized interpretation of our equations. In addition to (11), we still have the kinematic free-surface condition

$$\lambda h + U h' = v + f_5. \quad (13)$$

We now distinguish the following three cases:

- (i)  $S = U = 0$ ,
- (ii)  $U = 0, S > 0$ ,
- (iii)  $U > 0, S \geq 0$ .

Our main result is the following.

### Theorem:

(i) Assume  $S = U = 0$ . Then for every  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$  and  $\lambda \neq 0$ , and every  $\mathbf{F} \in X'$ ,  $f_5 \in H^{1/2}(0, L)$ , equations (11) and (13) have a unique solution such that  $\mathbf{v} \in X$ ,  $h \in H^{1/2}(0, L)$ .

(ii) Assume  $U = 0, S > 0$ . Then for every  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$  (if boundary condition (9a) applies, we exclude the case  $\lambda = 0$ ), and every  $\mathbf{F} \in X'$ ,  $f_5 \in H_{00}^{1/2}(0, L)$ , equations (11) and (13) have a unique solution such that  $\mathbf{v} \in X$ ,  $h \in H^{3/2}(0, L) \cap H_0^1(0, L)$ .

(iii) Assume  $U > 0$ ,  $S \geq 0$ . Then for every  $\lambda$  with  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$  and every  $\mathbf{F} \in X'$ ,  $f_5 \in H^{1/2}(0, L)$ , equations (11) and (13) have a unique solution such that  $\mathbf{v} \in X$ ,  $h \in H^{3/2}(0, L)$  and  $h(0) = 0$ .

We refer to [4] for the definition of the function spaces used here. In cases (ii) and (iii), the integral on the right hand side of (11) is interpreted by duality between the space  $H_{00}^{1/2}(0, L)$  and its dual, which we denote by  $H_*^{-1/2}(0, L)$ . We note that the results for the three cases are quite different. In particular, the term  $Uh'$  in (13) cannot be considered a regular perturbation even if  $U$  is small. We note also that part (iii) makes no distinction between the cases  $S = 0$  and  $S > 0$ . As we shall see in the proof, however, there is a difference in the estimates which apply for  $|\lambda| \rightarrow \infty$ .

We now give the proof of the theorem. Case (i) is straightforward. If this case applies, then  $h$  disappears from equation (11), which is now simply the Stokes problem. Equation (11) is uniquely solvable for  $\operatorname{Re} \lambda \geq 0$ , and the solution satisfies the estimate

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_X + \sqrt{|\lambda|} \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2} \leq C \|\mathbf{F}\|_{X'}, \quad (14)$$

which is obtained by setting  $\Phi = \mathbf{v}$  in (11). If  $\lambda \neq 0$ , we can then solve (13) for  $h$  and we have

$$|\lambda| \|h\|_{H^{1/2}} \leq \|f_5\|_{H^{1/2}} + C \|\mathbf{v}\|_X. \quad (15)$$

For case (ii), we first consider  $\lambda = 0$ . In this case, (13) yields  $v = -f_5$  (if (9a) applies, then this is consistent with the divergence condition only if  $f_5$  has zero average). We combine this equation with (11), where  $\Phi$  is restricted to satisfy  $\psi|_\Gamma = 0$ . The problem thus obtained is a Stokes problem which is uniquely solvable for  $\mathbf{v}$ . After inserting  $\mathbf{v}$  into (11), we can determine  $h'' \in H_*^{-1/2}(0, L)$  (if (9a) applies, then all test functions  $\Phi$  satisfy  $\int_0^L \psi(x, M) dx = 0$  and hence (11) determines  $h''$  only up to a constant). From  $h''$  and the imposed boundary conditions  $h(0) = h(L) = 0$ , we can determine  $h \in H^{3/2}(0, L)$ . With equations (11) and (13), we can associate a linear operator  $L$  from  $X \times H^{3/2}(0, L) \cap H_0^1(0, L)$  into  $X' \times H_{00}^{1/2}(0, L)$ , which maps  $(\mathbf{v}, h)$  to  $(\mathbf{F}, f_5)$ . We have just shown that, for  $\lambda = 0$  and boundary condition (9b),  $L$  is invertible, while for (9a) the nullity and deficiency are both equal to 1. The operator for  $\lambda \neq 0$  is a compact perturbation and is hence Fredholm of index zero. Hence it suffices to show uniqueness of solutions; existence follows automatically. We now set  $\Phi = \mathbf{v}$  in (11) and we use (13) in the term in the integral on the right hand side of (11). This yields, after an integration by parts

$$\rho\lambda \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \int_\Omega (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) : \nabla \bar{\mathbf{v}} dx dy + S\bar{\lambda} \int_0^L |h'|^2 dx = (\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}) - S \int_0^L h'' \bar{f}_5 dx. \quad (16)$$

The uniqueness of solutions follows immediately.

We now turn to case (iii), and again we begin with  $\lambda = 0$ . In this case, (13) yields  $h'' = (v' + f_5')/U$ , which we insert into (11). This yields

$$\eta \int_\Omega (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) : \nabla \bar{\Phi} dx dy = (\mathbf{F}, \Phi) + \frac{S}{U} \int_\Gamma \frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \bar{\psi} dx + \frac{S}{U} \int_0^L f_5'(x) \bar{\psi}(x, M) dx. \quad (17)$$

For  $S = 0$ , we have the Stokes problem, which is uniquely solvable. If  $S/\eta U$  is sufficiently small, we also get unique solvability by regular perturbation theory (the mapping  $\mathbf{v} \rightarrow \frac{\partial \mathbf{v}}{\partial x}|_{\Gamma}$  is continuous from  $X$  to  $H_*^{-1/2}(0, L)$ ). Moreover, if we set  $\Phi = \mathbf{v}$  and take the real part in (17), we obtain

$$\eta \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) : \nabla \bar{v} \, dx \, dy = \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}) + \frac{S}{U} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^L f'_5(x) \bar{v}(x, M) \, dx. \quad (18)$$

From this, we obtain the a priori estimate

$$\|\mathbf{v}\|_X \leq C(\|\mathbf{F}\|_{X'} + \|f_5\|_{H^{1/2}}). \quad (19)$$

This implies that the operator corresponding to (17) is injective and has closed range. The continuity of the Fredholm index implies now that (17) is uniquely solvable for every  $S$ . Having found  $\mathbf{v}$ , we can determine  $h$  from (13) if we impose one boundary condition.

The case  $\lambda \neq 0$  is again a compact perturbation of  $\lambda = 0$ . Hence it suffices to show uniqueness of solutions. To this purpose, we set  $\Phi = \mathbf{v}$  and use (13) twice to transform the integral on the right hand side of (11) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^L h'' \bar{v} \, dx &= \frac{1}{U} \int_0^L (-\lambda h' + v' + f'_5) \bar{v} \, dx \\ &= \frac{\lambda}{U} \int_0^L h' \bar{f}_5 \, dx - \frac{|\lambda|^2}{U} \int_0^L h' \bar{h} \, dx - \lambda \int_0^L |h'|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{U} \int_0^L (v' + f'_5) \bar{v} \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

By inserting into (11) and taking real parts, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \rho(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) \|\mathbf{v}\|_{L^2}^2 + \eta \int_{\Omega} (\nabla \mathbf{v} + (\nabla \mathbf{v})^T) : \nabla \bar{v} \, dx \, dy + S(\operatorname{Re} \lambda) \int_0^L |h'|^2 \, dx + \frac{S|\lambda|^2}{2U} |h(L)|^2 \\ = \operatorname{Re}(\mathbf{F}, \mathbf{v}) + \frac{S}{U} \operatorname{Re} \int_0^L \lambda h' \bar{f}_5 + f'_5 \bar{v} \, dx. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Uniqueness of solutions follows immediately.

We shall next consider the boundary conditions (8b) and (9a). We consider only the case  $\lambda = 0$ . The space  $X$  should be defined as

$$X = \{\mathbf{v} \in (H^1(\Omega))^2 \mid \operatorname{div} \mathbf{v} = 0, \mathbf{v}(\cdot, 0) = \mathbf{0}, u(0, \cdot) = u(L, \cdot) = 0\}, \quad (22)$$

We shall consider data  $F \in X'$ ,  $f_5 \in H^{1/2}(0, L)$  and seek solutions  $\mathbf{v} \in X$ ,  $h \in \{g \in H^{3/2}(0, L) \mid g'' \in H^{-1/2}(0, L), g(0) = g(L) = 0\}$ . If  $U = 0$ ,  $S > 0$ , we proceed analogously as in case (ii) above. We obtain  $v = -f_5$  from (13), and this equation together with (11) (where  $\Phi$  is restricted by the condition  $\psi(\cdot, M) = 0$ ) forms a Stokes problem which is uniquely solvable. Compatibility with the divergence conditions requires that the average of  $f_5$  must be zero. From (11) we can then determine  $h''$  up to a constant, and from  $h''$

and the boundary conditions  $h(0) = h(L) = 0$  we can determine  $h$ . Hence the Fredholm index of the operator in the given function spaces is zero. Since the mapping  $h \rightarrow h'$  is continuous from  $H^{3/2}$  to  $H^{1/2}$ , we can treat the term  $Uh'$  as a regular perturbation. Hence, at least for large values of the dimensionless surface tension parameter  $S/\eta U$ , we have Fredholm index zero if two boundary conditions are imposed on the free surface. We note that it is not possible to start from  $S = 0$ ,  $U > 0$  and treat the term  $Sh''$  as a regular perturbation. This is because the mapping  $h \rightarrow h''$  is continuous from  $H^{3/2}$  only into  $H_*^{-1/2}$ , but not into  $H^{-1/2}$ .

This discussion seems to indicate that, when the shear stress rather than the vertical velocity is prescribed at the inflow and outflow boundaries, boundary conditions are required at both endpoints of the free surface: namely, one boundary condition is required for the free surface height  $h$  at each end. However, we shall see that the issue is more subtle. Let us consider the special case of (5)-(7), (8b), (9a) where  $\lambda = 0$  and, in addition,  $f_1 = f_2 = 0$ . We now look for solutions of higher regularity. Let  $s$  be any number such that  $1 < s < 2$ . The following lemma holds.

**Lemma:**

a) Let  $U = 0$ ,  $S \neq 0$ . Then for every  $f_3, f_4 \in H^{s-3/2}(0, L)$ ,  $f_5 \in H^{s-1/2}(0, L)$  with  $\int_0^L f_5(x) dx = 0$ , there is a unique  $\mathbf{v} \in H^s(\Omega)$  and a one-parameter family of  $h \in H^{s+1/2}(0, L) \cap H_0^1(0, L)$ .

b) Let  $U \neq 0$ ,  $S = 0$ . Then for every  $f_3, f_4 \in H^{s-3/2}(0, L)$ ,  $f_5 \in H^{s-1/2}(0, L)$ , there is a unique solution  $\mathbf{v} \in H^s(\Omega)$ ,  $h \in \{g \in H^{s+1/2}(\Omega) \mid h(0) = 0\}$ .

The proof is straightforward. In case a, we proceed as above, in case b, we proceed in analogy to case (iii) of the theorem. The regularity result required for the Stokes problem is easily obtained, because the boundary conditions which we have imposed are consistent with extending  $u$  as an odd periodic function and  $v$  and  $p$  as even periodic functions of  $x$  with period  $2L$ . Hence we only need to consider the Stokes problem with periodic data, without having to concern ourselves with investigating corner singularities.

We can now start from part a of the lemma and treat the term  $Uh'$  as a regular perturbation. However, the second derivative operator is continuous from  $H^{s+1/2}$  into  $H^{s-3/2}$ , and hence we can also start from part b and treat the term  $Sh''$  as a regular perturbation! Hence, if  $\eta U/S$  is small, we obtain an operator of index zero by imposing two boundary conditions on  $h$ , but if  $\eta U/S$  is large, we obtain an operator of index zero if we impose only one boundary condition. In between, there must be a critical value of  $\eta U/S$  where the operator is not Fredholm with either number of boundary conditions. It seems likely that this critical value depends on  $s$ . Hence the number of boundary conditions required for the endpoints of the free surface depends on the parameters of the problem and probably also on the function space in which we require the solution to be. Clearly, a complete analysis would require an investigation of the behavior of solutions at the corners.

**Remark:**

There are also situations of practical interest where one end of the free surface is attached to a wall, while the other end is at an inflow or outflow boundary. This situation remains to be investigated.

### 3. Numerical results

The numerical simulations were done on the full Navier-Stokes system using the Fluid Dynamics Package (FIDAP) [1]. Nine-node quadratic quadrilateral elements are used for the interior, and three-node quadratic free-surface elements are used. The mixed velocity-pressure formulation with discontinuous pressure approximation is employed. The solution at each timestep of the nonlinear time-dependent problem is solved with the Newton-Raphson iterative method, which is accelerated (relaxed) by means of an acceleration factor of 0.5, together with the backward Euler timeintegration scheme. The initial timestep is 0.01, and successive timesteps are chosen adaptively.

Simulations are carried out on the domain  $0 < x < 4\pi/3$ ,  $0 < y < 1 + h(x, t)$ , where initially we have  $h(x, 0) = 0.1 \sin(3x)$ . The mesh size is 27 by 13 nodes. The viscosity, density and surface tension coefficient are all set equal to one. The bottom wall  $y = 0$  moves with unit velocity, and the top boundary is a free surface.

A number of simulations are performed with velocities  $u = 1$ ,  $v = 0$  prescribed at both the left and right boundaries. Initial conditions are generated in two different ways. For the first, we simply set the velocity equal to  $(1, 0)$  throughout the whole domain. For the second, we solve the Stokes problem with prescribed velocity  $(1, 0)$  at the bottom, left and right boundaries, together with the free surface conditions (but with the position of the surface kept fixed) at the top boundary. For this second case, the initial data are compatible with the boundary conditions at the free surface, while for the first case they are not. There is little difference in the results between the two cases, and we only show those for the second case. Figure 1 shows the solutions obtained at various time steps when  $h = 0$  is imposed at both endpoints of the free surface. Figure 2 shows results obtained when  $h = 0$  is imposed only at the left endpoint and no condition on  $h$  is imposed at the right endpoint. In both simulations, the free surface is flattened by surface tension, as would be expected, and the flow becomes uniform. However, in Figure 1 we can see unphysical wiggles in the free surface appearing near the outflow boundary. This matches up well with our analysis above which indicates that only the free surface position at the inflow boundary should be prescribed. In an actual experiment, we would expect a smooth flow; the wiggles in the mathematical computation come from trying to accommodate a boundary condition that should not have been imposed.

In Figure 3, we impose stress-free boundaries rather than velocity conditions at the inflow and outflow. Contact angles are prescribed at both endpoints of the free surface. These contact angles are chosen to be compatible with the initial free surface position, which is as above. The initial velocity field is generated by solving the Stokes problem with constant velocity  $(1, 0)$  at the bottom, zero stresses at the left and right boundaries and free surface conditions at the top boundary. Because of the prescribed non-zero contact angles, the solution does not approach uniform flow. On close inspection of the plots, one can see a very slight wiggle where the free surface meets the outflow boundary, but this is much less pronounced than in Figure 1. This is consistent with the analysis above, which suggests only a mild singularity in the present case. It would be instructive to repeat the calculation with only one contact angle prescribed, but the FIDAP algorithm does not seem to accommodate this.

## Acknowledgement

This research was initiated while the authors were visiting the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota. Financial support from the IMA is gratefully acknowledged. Parts of the computations were carried out at the Minnesota Supercomputer Center. This research is supported by the Air Force Office of Scientific Research under Grant No. AFOSR-86-0085, DARPA under Grant No. F49620-87-C-0116, National Science Foundation Grants DMS-8796241, DMS-8720298 and DMS-8902166 and a grant at the Pittsburgh Supercomputer Center.

## References

- [1] M.S. Engelman and R.I. Sani, in *Computational Techniques for Fluid Flow*, edited by C. Taylor, J.A. Johnson and W.R. Smith (Pineridge Press, Swansea, 1986), p. 47
- [2] V.A. Solonnikov, in *Nonlinear Partial Differential Equations and their Applications. Collège de France Seminar. Vol. III*, edited by H. Brézis and J.L. Lions (Pitman, London, 1982), p. 340
- [3] M. Jean, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **74**, 197 (1980)
- [4] J.L. Lions and E. Magenes, *Non-Homogeneous Boundary Value Problems and Applications I* (Springer, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1972)

## Figure Captions

Figure 1.

The mesh is shown at timesteps 5, 10, 15 and 20, or times 0.1201, 0.4590, 0.9755 and 1.857. Note the presence of wiggles on the scale of the mesh where the free surface meets the outflow boundary.

Figure 2.

The mesh is shown at timesteps 5, 10, 15 and 20, or times 0.1211, 0.4826, 1.069 and 2.341. No wiggles are present.

Figure 3.

The mesh is shown at timesteps 5, 10, 15 and 20, or times 0.0555, 0.2051, 0.5958 and 1.484. A very slight wiggle is present where the free surface meets the outflow boundary.




FIG. 1




FIG. 2




FIG. 3

## Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
536	Michael Renardy,	Ill-Posedness Resulting from Slip As a Possible Explanation of Melt Fracture
537	Michael Renardy,	Compatibility Conditions at Corners Between Walls and Inflow Boundaries for Fluids of Maxwell Type
538	Rolf Rees,	The Spectrum of Restricted Resolvable Designs with $r = 2$
539	D. Lewis and J.C. Simo,	Nonlinear stability of rotating pseudo-rigid bodies
540	Robert Hardt and David Kinderlehrer,	Variational Principles with Linear Growth
541	San Yih Lin and Yisong Yang,	Computation of Superconductivity in Thin Films
542	A. Narain,	Pressure Driven Flow of Pure Vapor Undergoing Laminar Film Condensation Between Parallel Plates
543	P.J. Vassiliou,	On Local Equivalence for Vector Field Systems
544	Brian A. Coomes,	On Conditions Sufficient for Injectivity of Maps
545	Yanchun Zhao,	A Class of Global Smooth Solutions of the One Dimensional Gas Dynamics System
546	H. Holden, L. Holden and N.H. Risebro,	Some Qualitative Properties of $2 \times 2$ Systems of Conservation Laws of Mixed Type
547	M. Slemrod,	Dynamics of Measured Valued Solutions to a Backward-Forward Heat Equation
548	Avner Friedman and Jürgen Sprekels,	Steady States of Austenitic–Martensitic–Domains in the Ginzburg–Landau Theory of Shape Memory Alloys
549	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu,	Degenerate Hamilton–Jacobi–Bellman Equations in a Bounded Domain
550	E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr., and M.V. Tratnik,	Families of Orthogonal and Biorthogonal Polynomials on the N-Sphere
551	Heinrich Freistühler,	On Compact Linear Degeneracy
552	Matthew Witten,	Quantifying the Concepts of Rate and Acceleration/Deceleration of Aging
553	J.P. Albert and J.L. Bona,	Total Positivity and the Stability of Internal Waves in Stratified Fluids of Finite Depth
554	Brian Coomes and Victor Zurkowski,	Linearization of Polynomial Flows and Spectra of Derivations
555	Yuriko Renardy,	A Couette-Poiseuille Flow of Two Fluids in a Channel
556	Michael Renardy,	Short wave instabilities resulting from memory slip
557	Daniel D. Joseph and Michael Renardy,	Stokes' first problem for linear viscoelastic fluids with finite memory
558	Xiaxi Ding,	Superlinear Conservation Law with Viscosity
559	J.L. Ericksen,	Liquid Crystals with Variable Degree of Orientation
560	F. Robert Ore, Jr. and Xinfu Chen,	Electro-Optic Modulation in an Arbitrary Cross-Section Waveguide
561	M.V. Tratnik,	Multivariable biorthogonal continuous-discrete Wilson and Racah polynomials
562	Yisong Yang,	Existence of Solutions for a Generalized Yang-Mills Theory
563	Peter Gritzmann, Laurent Habsieger and Victor Klee,	Good and Bad Radii of Convex Polygons
564	Martin Golubitsky, Martin Krupa and Chjan. C. Lim,	Time-Reversibility and Particle Sedimentation
565	G. Yin,	Recent Progress in Parallel Stochastic Approximations
566	G. Yin,	On H-Valued SA: Finite Dimensional Approximations
567	Chien-Cheng Chang,	Accurate Evaluation of the Effect of Diffusion and Conductivity in Certain Equations
568	Chien-Cheng Chang and Ruey-Ling Chern,	The Effect of Viscous Diffusion in Discrete Vortex Dynamics for Slightly Viscous Flows
569	Li Ta-Tsien (Li Da-qian) and Zhao Yan-Chun,	Global Existence of Classical Solutions to the Typical Free Boundary Problem for General Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and its Applications
570	Thierry Cazenave and Fred B. Weissler,	The Structure of Solutions to the Pseudo-Conformally Invariant Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation
571	Marshall Slemrod and Athanasios E. Tzavaras,	A Limiting Viscosity Approach for the Riemann Problem in Isentropic Gas Dynamics
572	Richard D. James and Scott J. Spector,	The Formation of Filamentary Voids in Solids
573	P.J. Vassiliou,	On the Geometry of Semi-Linear Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations in the Plane Integrable by the Method of Darboux
574	Jerome V. Moloney and Alan C. Newell,	Nonlinear Optics
575	Keti Tenenblat,	A Note on Solutions for the Intrinsic Generalized Wave and Sine-Gordon Equations
576	P. Szmolyan,	Heteroclinic Orbits in Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations
577	Wenxiong Liu,	A Parabolic System Arising In Film Development
578	Daniel B. Dix,	Temporal Asymptotic Behavior of Solutions of the Benjamin-Ono-Burgers Equation
579	Michael Renardy and Yuriko Renardy,	On the nature of boundary conditions for flows with moving free surfaces

## Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
498	David H. Wagner,	Detonation Waves and Deflagration Waves in the One Dimensional ZND Model for High Mach Number Combustion
499	Jerrold R. Griggs and Daniel J. Kleitman,	Minimum Cutsets for an Element of a Boolean Lattice
500	Dieter Jungnickel,	On Affine Difference Sets
501	Pierre Leroux,	Reduced Matrices and q-log Concavity Properties of q-Stirling Numbers
502	A. Narain and Y. Kizilyalli,	The Flow of Pure Vapor Undergoing Film Condensation Between Parallel Plates
503	Donald A. French,	On the Convergence of Finite Element Approximations of a Relaxed Variational Problem
504	Yisong Yang,	Computation, Dimensionality, and Zero Dissipation Limit of the Ginzburg-Landau Wave Equation
505	Jürgen Sprekels,	One-Dimensional Thermomechanical Phase Transitions with Non-Convex Potentials of Ginzburg-Landau Type
506	Yisong Yang,	A Note On Nonabelian Vortices
507	Yisong Yang,	On the Abelian Higgs Models with Sources
508	Chjan. C. Lim,	Existence of Kam Tori in the Phase Space of Vortex Systems
509	John Weiss,	Bäcklund Transformations and the Painlevé Property
510	Pu Fu-cho and D.H. Sattinger,	The Yang-Baxter Equation for Integrable Systems
511	E. Bruce Pitman and David G. Schaeffer,	Instability and Ill-Posedness in Granular Flow
512	Brian A. Coomes,	Polynomial Flows on $C^{n*}$
513	Bernardo Cockburn, Suchung Hou and Chi-Wang Shu,	The Runge-Kutta Local Projection Discontinuous Galerkin Finite Element Method for Conservation Laws IV: The Multidimensional Case
514	Peter J. Olver,	Invariant Theory, Equivalence Problems, and the Calculus of Variations
515	Daniel D. Joseph and Thomas S. Lundgren with an appendix by R. Jackson and D.A. Saville,	Ensemble Averaged and Mixture Theory Equations
516	P. Singh, Ph. Caussignac, A. Fortes, D.D. Joseph and T. Lundgren,	Stability of Periodic Arrays of Cylinders Across the Stream by Direct Simulation
517	Daniel D. Joseph,	Generalization of the Foscolo-Gibilario Analysis of Dynamic Waves
518	A. Narain and D.D. Joseph,	Note on the Balance of Energy at a Phase Change Interface
519	Daniel D. Joseph,	Remarks on inertial radii, persistent normal stresses, secondary motions, and non-elastic extensional viscosities
520	D. D. Joseph,	Mathematical Problems Associated with the Elasticity of Liquids
521	Henry C. Simpson and Scott J. Spector,	Some Necessary Conditions at an Internal Boundary for Minimizers in Finite Elasticity
522	Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee,	On the 0-1 Maximization of Positive Definite Quadratic Forms
523	Fu-Cho Pu and D.H. Sattinger,	The Yang-Baxter Equations and Differential Identities
524	Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich,	A Hyperbolic Inverse Problem Arising in the Evolution of Combustion Aerosol
525	E.G. Kalnins, Raphael D. Levine and Willard Miller, Jr.,	Conformal Symmetries and Generalized Recurrences for Heat and Schrödinger Equations in One Spatial Dimension
526	Wang Jinghua and Gerald Warnecke,	On Entropy Consistency of Large Time Step Godunov and Glimm Schemes
527	C. Guillopé and J.C. Saut,	Existence Results for the Flow of Viscoelastic Fluids with a Differential Constitutive Law
528	H.L. Bodlaender, P. Gritzmann, V. Klee and J. Van Leeuwen	Computational Complexity of Norm-Maximization
529	Li Ta-t sien (Li Da-qian) and Yu Xin,	Life-Span of Classical Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Wave Equations
530	Jong-Shenq Guo,	A Variational Inequality Associated with a Lubrication Problem
531	Jong-Shenq Guo,	On the Semilinear Elliptic Equation $\Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + \lambda w - w^{-\beta} = 0$ in $R^n$
532	Andrew E. Yagle,	Inversion of the Bloch transform in magnetic resonance imaging using asymmetric two-component inverse scattering
533	Bei Hu,	A Fiber Tapering Problem
534	Peter J. Olver,	Canonical Variables for BiHamiltonian Systems
535	Michael Renardy,	A Well-Posed Boundary Value Problem for Supercritical Flow of Viscoelastic Fluids of Maxwell Type