



October 4th, 2021 |6:00 pm  
Kirby Ballroom

1. **Call to Order** 6:00pm
  - a. Call to order at 6:02pm
2. **Roll Call** 6:00-6:02
3. **Approval of the Agenda** 6:02-6:05
  - a. Eli Motioned,
  - b. Gabbie Seconded.
  - c. Nam: I would like to amend the agenda to add an SCSE Senator report.
  - d. Michael seconded.
  - e. Alex: If there are no objections, we will vote on the agenda as amended.
  - f. Aye: all
  - g. Nays: 0
  - h. Abstentions: 0
4. **Approval of the Minutes** 6:05-6:07
  - a. [Minutes 9.27.21](#)
    - i. Grace motioned,
    - ii. Corbyn Seconded.
    - iii. Alex: Objections to move into a vote?
    - iv. Ayes: all
    - v. Nays: 0
    - vi. Abstentions: 0
5. **Introduction of Guests** 6:07-6:27
  - a. Ashley Bushow, Freshman rep candidate. My favorite season is winter!
  - b. Dr. Katie Jackson (20min)
    - i. [Scholastic Dishonesty Procedures for Website](#)
      1. I'm Katie Jackson, Director of Student Conduct And Conflict Resolutions, and talking about a change in the Student Scholastic Dishonesty procedures. Shoutout Parker for all of your service in this committee!
      2. I shared two outlines. On a redline markup of the policy that describes how the University responds to academic concerns. Second document is the proposed change to the procedure. Current procedure fails to

address certain aspects. There have been instances of other concerns, for example, a tutor taking an exam for a student for a class they are not enrolled in. Professors might not take up the concern. After the course has ended? The behavior includes the code's definition of dishonesty (Falsification of degrees). The procedure has a lot of practical shortcomings in its current form. The policy says that if a student is charged with dishonesty, they have the right to a hearing in front of a panel if they do not agree with the original resolution. Process that goes up the ranks of student affairs. We need to be in compliance with the Regents policy.

3. Get slides from Alex!
4. Dr. Jackson: *Reads from slides.*
5. Annie: Can you explain "grade the work in light of the reported concern?"
6. Dr. Jackson: Let's say there was a paper submitted and the faculty member realizes that it's plagiarized, they aren't going to grade that very well. But in a different scenario there's a few sentences that are familiar, they could mark a few points off. If there is an investigation and the officer finds that there was in fact no academic dishonesty committed they would talk with the faculty member about the concern they brought up.
7. Eli: With the appeal process, that process can only happen once, is that correct?
8. Dr. Jackson: Yes and no. Invoke a hearing of file for appeal; once you exhaust those options, you are done. If you have a new complaint, you can go through the process again.
9. Parker: Adding to Annie's comment, if they plagiarize a couple of sentences is the instructor required to report or are they expected to send an email to the student about the work they have done?
10. Dr. Jackson: The way it's worded in the policy is that any time an instructor takes an approach that is a penalty to the student, it's the due process right of the students to have the right to challenge that. If they take any penalty (redo, reduction), they have to report it. If they haven't done anything to penalize the student, it is not required. Sometimes students don't understand parameters with scholastic dishonesty, so having the choice to coach and educate, they have the discretion to do so.
11. Michael: How will the 3 person panel be selected?
12. Dr. Jackson: We have a group of volunteers (45), and the students that serve apply, and the faculty/staff will serve for a longer period of time. They start with an interview. We send out a doodle poll, and we look to compile a panel that will be diverse (faculty, staff, and student), and look at all demographics. We look to see who hasn't served recently, so we look at who hasn't had the chance to volunteer yet.
13. \*Michael takes over\*
14. Michael: Is that it? Any additional questions? Seeing none, we can move on.

ii. [Student Academic Integrity Policy Revision](#)

6. **Dr. Erwin** 6:27-6:34

- a. I do not have a report tonight, but would be happy to answer questions.
- b. Riley: Not as much of a question, just a thought that you should be aware of, I know a couple of students that aren't vaccinated and aren't planning to get vaccinated. They are confused about the process of getting their religious exemption, some students are confused about the process to register with the vaccination form.
- c. Dr. Erwin: If you choose one of the exemptions, you have to upload a document of documentation. There are a couple of samples given. As long as it's notarized or signed by a medical professional.
- d. Riley: Because there's no penalty if students aren't vaccinated as long as they fill the form
- e. Dr. Erwin: Correct. As long as you have an exemption or have completed the vaccination checkoff. I will say that Boynton Health is reviewing all exception forms (making sure it's a medical professional.) The students have to do what the instructions say. If you have one of those three, you won't have a hold.
- f. Riley: Is there any plan or anything in the works about having a testing regimen for unvaccinated students?
- g. Dr. Erwin: That is not a part of the policy for students, and I know nothing in the world.
- h. MAriama: One of my friends was confused. Let's say you're vaccinated and you're exposed. Do you need to isolate?
- i. Dr. Erwin: No. Test within 3-5 days. Exception would be if you are experiencing symptoms → should isolate and go and get tested.
- j. Mariama: If you're not feeling any symptoms, Do you have to isolate?
- k. Dr. Erwin: no.
- l. Grace: only the first dose? You need to be fully vaccinated to not isolate?
- m. Dr. Erwin: That is correct.

7. **Speaker's Spotlight** 6:34-6:40

- a. **Tabling Change**
  - i. There will be a signup sheet there. Make sure this sheet gets back into the SA office.
- b. **Office Hours**
  - i. There are some people from last week who didn't have any that I found completed. Go look on the attendance sheet to see what you're missing from the office house to see if I got something wrong. Otherwise I'll assume that you didn't do it.
- c. **Room Change**
  - i. Next congress we will be over in LSBE, this will be our new area unless we need to social distance again, if that is the case we will move back to the ballroom. LSBE 129.

8. **Open Positions** 6:40-6:42

- a. Michael: Do we have any nominations for open positions? Seeing none.
- b. **CAHSS Senator**
- c. **Representative-at-Large (8)**
- d. **Bulldog Lyft**
- e. **Chief External Affairs Officer**

**9. Old Business** 6:42-6:44

- a. Anyone wanna bring any old business to the floor?

**10. New Business** 6:44-6:54

- a. [Bylaw Suspension for DEI Training](#) (10min)

- i. Eli Motions to bring to the floor.
- ii. Second: Grace
- iii. Eli: *Reads resolution.*
- iv. Eli: If you have any questions about the process and taking the course Laitzia will be your contact.
- v. Jason: i for freshman modules, is this DEI already implemented for the freshmen that arrived this fall?
- vi. Laitzia: Yeah for the freshmen seminar, if you are taking the freshmen seminar you do not need to take the DEI training. It is recommended that you take it if you haven't taken it.
- vii. Annie: So Swenson freshman will be required to take this, since they do not take the freshmen seminar?
- viii. Laitzia: Yes
- ix. Riley: Isn't the bylaw that we passed, we do this every year? Even if you did it recently you have to do it again?
- x. Eli: Yes. However, I think that there is this new system for SA, and with that, setting it up is taking longer than expected. Is that correct?
- xi. Laitzia: Yes, Also in order to get access to Everfi, you will have to email Susana individually. She will send you a link. I have met with her to get the list of approved training, but I do not have access to these modules. It is the setup that is taking a longer time. If you have taken a freshman seminar, you are not required to take it.
- xii. Riley: I understand that if you have previously taken it, you've taken it. What I'm trying to say is that it's in the bylaws that you have to take it every year.
- xiii. Eli: Our bylaws state that we have to take it the sixth week of fall semester. Since the sixth week has already come and we don't have access to it, this is the main reason the suspension is being introduced in front of you.
- xiv. Mariama: What happens if students don't take it?
- xv. Eli: If individuals do not take it, they will automatically be sent to CoC per bylaw violations.
- xvi. Mariama: what if freshmen non-SA don't do it?
- xvii. Dr. Smyth: That is not applicable to this resolution.
- xviii. Alex: If you want to have that conversation after Congress, we can.
- xix. Riley Calls to question.
- xx. Gabbie seconds
- xxi. *\*Eli reads whereases\**
- xxii. Eli: Just to be clear this is a bylaw suspension and it requires I believe 8 voting members.
- xxiii. Michael: There are currently 9 voting members so only 6 need to vote.
- xxiv. Ayes: 8
- xxv. Nays: 0
- xxvi. Abstentions: 1

**11. Discussion** 6:54-7:04

a. Political Tolerance Event Discussion (10min)

- i. Eli: I have spoken about a political tolerance event since Spring, however, I have been having more and more of these discussions with SA members. In all honesty, I believe that we should have a closed political tolerance event with members of College Democrats, Bulldog Republicans, and Political Student Association. Goal of the event is to have us expand our ability to talk with other individuals about politics. I do want to make it clear that we should be having civilized conversations within this event. I do not have all of the logistics yet, but here's what I can say now. I want to have this event sometime in November and make it mandatory. I want it to be hosted by someone that I know that the Political Science Department trusts a lot and can create these learning experiences with us. I have contacted Cynthia Rugeley. This event would be closed to only these organizations to not make the political tensions so high. I picked Cynthia Rugeley because I know that she can handle political discourse extremely well, and she knows how to easily control a tense situation. In all honesty, I think that we should have this event to help us as reps to be able to talk to individuals that we disagree with. We do have those political discussions that we disagree with almost everyday. When we have those discussions, it gets extremely out of hand. With this event, I want us to be informed on how to have those civil political discussions within not only SA, but in general. We all are talking politics and I believe that this event could not only help you as a rep but as a person.
- ii. Annie: I don't know how I feel about this being a closed event, we are talking about political tolerance and yet we are closing the doors on people who could benefit from this
- iii. Gabbie: To me I'm not very prone to liking this idea because mostly these hot topic issues that are disagreed upon are very concrete, a lot of these issues are intertwined with identity and it is hard for some people to reach across the aisle to understand. Instead of hosting an event to host a debate, since SA is a nonpartisan group it would be a better idea to host a townhall group, to say what we as a student association can do for them. I think something more beneficial is sharing our agenda with these groups so they can come to our congressional sessions. A Lot of people have concrete ideas.
- iv. Riley: I also think if the overall purpose of this is to promote tolerance within our own group, why not have Dr. Rugeley just come in and speak with us? Inviting those two groups to have a discussion with us is fine and a good idea, but I don't know that that will get us to an end result of this group being politically tolerant. I think it's a recipe for disaster. There are a lot of strong opinions here, and I don't know if this is the right way to go about it. Maybe have Prof. Haglin come in and talk about it.
- v. Luke: Just to clarify, it's not kind of a debate, it's Rugeley talking to us on how to have a political discussion, instead of an actual debate.

- vi. Eli: To Annie's point, I love the idea of having more people joining our group, I think that this event should be closely targeted within these three groups because in my opinion, if we reach out to a lot of individuals, yes that will get a lot of participation, in my opinion it could lead to an out of control mechanism within the organization within the event. To Gabbie's point I do agree that some ideas are concrete, but that's specifically why I chose Rugeley. She is able to talk with people about the overall idea of these political concepts and discourse. My goal isn't to make individuals go to the other side of the aisle, my goal is to exchange your ability to understand and I wouldn't say reason within your own belief, but reason with why people are saying what they are saying and come to a sort of compromise. Rugeley can deliver an excellent presentation and activity in this event. To Riley's point on her coming to congress. Yes that is a great way, but I really want this event to be held in conversation with people on the other side as a means of not changing one's beliefs but understanding why they believe it and trying to find some type of reason, and going forth with understanding the other side. I believe as student leaders we need to effectively listen to everyone and with the current political climate, I see not only in this student body but across the globe people shutting people with opposing ideas out and that's not being a good leader.
- vii. Annie: Is the goal cross-collaboration? If so, we can host voting parties in November with College Dems, PSA, and Bulldog Republicans. I think we should just have Rugeley come in and speak with us, and we can see how that goes, because this body needs it too.
- viii. Mariama: I'm just a little confused, when you say event, do you mean an event for SA members?
- ix. Eli: Yes, something outside the congressional session.
- x. Dr. Smyth: Just a suggestion, one to support what Annie was saying, what if one or two leaders of the organization met first to talk through the concept. I think about the spectrum of these ideas that exist. Look at Bulldog Republicans, but there's a democratic socialist student, libertarian society. My suggestion would be to include a larger number of student groups.
- xi. Grace: I've been talking to Eli a lot about this event and I think to help comment about the test run. In our opinion, this was going to be a test run. The idea of this event was to talk to the leaders of these groups and they can choose select members from the group to invite to the event as a test run to see how it goes. As for the very harsh topics, we were going to try not to get into those topics right away. There will be some controversy but not the extremely heated topics.
- xii. Gabbie: I think that an event like that might be better advertised as a forum where Dr. Rugeley can lead the discussion, but I don't think that having any mention of these divisive issues would be relevant to SA as a whole. Having people develop different ideas happens in our Congress everyday. These

issues are surrounding modern politics. We don't pass resolutions on abortion or health care. These issues are not extremely relevant to this body. When it comes to contemporary politics. What about University policy topics? These would be more relevant than hosting a debate where people already have decided which side they are on.

- xiii. Eli: I obviously have a different view point in that I think yes I do agree that these topics are hard to have, especially when there's a lot of emotion and personal attachment with these topics, however as Grace said, we are avoiding extremely heated topics. We are starting small and possibly it won't be something like having a debate, we are going to try to make it possibly conversation based with Rougley being a very central and honestly very effective moderator. I would like to hear your personal thoughts later.
- xiv. Andrew: What specific policy topics do you envision being discussed?
- xv. Eli: to be honest, I don't know. That's the reason why I love the idea of bringing Rugeley into here, to discuss the event firsthand. I also want to meet with her and I know that Alex and Grace have been working with me on this event. I really think that we are going to discuss the way that this event is held. We can see how really this event might be effective in the goal here.
- xvi. Grace: Quick comment, this is a university and we are a non-partisan decision but we do make partisan decisions. But in general the university part, I think the main goal of the university is to have conversations on these topics, having facilitated conversations in a measured environment, it helps the otherside, and your own reasons.
- xvii. Mariama: I do love what this is for. It's important that we respect each other's opinions. I love everything about it, but I'm wondering: instead of the event, could we just do a speaker that comes to Congress to educate us on that?
- xviii. Eli: Honestly yes we can, but I think that we can best learn from these experiences if we have these conversations and in all honesty, if going towards the republican and democrat is too much, we can have this event exclusively for the student association.
- xix. Eli: For my closing remarks, I do think that this event will help us not only be better reps, but also become willing and able to have political conversations. This event will allow us to learn how to have political conversations with the other side in an extremely civilized manner. Nothing is fully planned for this event, but I will take everyone's concerns into consideration and have conversations throughout the week.

## **12. Governance Reports 7:04-7:06**

- a. Strategic Enrollment Management (SEM)
- b. Assessment
- c. Facilities
- d. Liberal Education
- e. Athletics
- f. Strategic Planning and Budget

- g. Student Experiences (SE)
- h. Teaching and Learning (TLC)
- i. University Coordinating Council (UCC)

**13. Executive Reports 7:06-7:19**

- a. Chief Student Life Officer - Gabbie Raymond (1min)
  - i. Consent Week Tabling 10/6
    - 1. Students can stop by the [WRAC table](#) to learn about consent, pick up coloring contest sheets, and all-new Consent Week buttons!
  - ii. [Student Life Meeting](#)
- b. Chief Academic Affairs Officer - Parker Schultz
- c. Chief External Affairs Officer
- d. Chief Financial Officer - Braden Nissen
- e. Rep. to the Board of Regents - Riley Tuft
- f. Vice President - Daksha Gummadi (5min)
  - i. Oral Report
    - 1. Student and University senate report will be next week
      - a. Discussed TC master plan.
      - b. Asked for lower caste levels to be added to the protected groups.
      - c. Congrats Nam!
- g. President - Annie Counihan (7min)
  - i. Oral Report
    - 1. Has anyone heard of the sample syllabus?
    - 2. Jennifer Mencl contacted me and said "A common question that staff and faculty have with the simple syllabus is if and when faculty are required to take it. to use Simple Syllabus. This is a question best addressed through our governance procedures with the involvement of key stakeholders, including faculty, staff, and students. Therefore, I write you today asking if your respective governance committee is willing to identify one or more volunteers to serve on a workgroup to explore and draft a policy and/or procedures for the campus syllabus management solution.
    - 3. Executive Committee of the Swenson College of Science and Engineering. We need to collaborate with both Faculty Senate and this Executive committee; I have an upcoming meeting with the both of them to discuss SA involvement in faculty senate
    - 4. 11 of our peer institutions → EVERY single one of them has student legal services.
      - a. I am getting in touch with the student governments of all of these universities to see if I can get their RFPs (request for proposals)
      - b. I will then contact Mark from Student Legal Services to get a handle on what exactly the services they need
      - c. Am going to contact Erin to ask him if he can contact all the student leaders to fill out the survey

- d. Tell me why there are only 10 responses, and more than 10 people are in this room?

Tabling to incentivize people filling out the form? Handing out dining services as well.

#### 14. Director and Student Personnel Reports 7:19-7:37

- a. Public Relations - Britney Lopez
- b. Bulldog Lyft
- c. Diversity & Inclusion - Laitzia Yang (8min)
  - i. [Agenda for Student Association and Multicultural Center Social Mixer](#)
    1. Laitzia: *Reads the agenda.*
    2. November 4, in the Kirby Ballroom from 6-8 PM!
  - ii. Oral report on Say Something feedback form
    1. This form is for any students who are associated with the MC to talk about concerns or feedback they might have. It will be anonymous unless they choose to have specific feedback from the form. Although it is anonymous, it's hard to get feedback. At the bottom, if they would like some feedback, then say how they would like to be contacted.
- d. Rules and Elections - Eli Sailer-Haugland (10min)
  - i. Oral Report
  - ii. Eli: By President Counihan's request. For the first time in a long time, I had to shut down the tablign because no one signed up. That is extremely disappointing because I know that we have the energy to table, and if we are tabling during the election time, it is essential for our name to get out there for people to know us. In all honesty, it would have really helped Corb and me to solve an issue a lot sooner if the elections were not working. It worked on my part, but not with anyone else. If I may, I need to get people signed up for tablign tomorrow.
  - iii. There was a bit of an issue with the freshmen elections ballot this morning. I did a test run, and it worked. But it didn't show up for any of the freshmen because we only had one vote as of 11:00 AM. I got that issue resolved, and that was something a part of the voting system not working. Corbin, do you have the numbers on the amount of voting individuals? We have 4 candidates and we are opening our fill-in positions for any additional positions, and those candidates will have up until the next Congress to fill out a 25 sign petition.
  - iv. Last week's event was a total success! We got rid of all of our pizza, and had a lot of great conversations. That makes me so glad because I want to have more events like this again where we are collaborating with the student body; allowing ourselves to hear others, as well as just seeing other people and getting those opinions. I am going to be talking with a lot of people about our next event which I hope to be at the end of October.
  - v. So, I put on Slack in the random messages about the Disability Resources Director. At the end of May, Emily Noremburg dropped her position to join another position here in Duluth. There was a hiring freeze but because of the importance of these positions, we had to hire a DRC director. Throughout the past month I have been part of a committee to look over resumes of these individuals via a Zoom meeting. What I talked about is that next week, they are

going to do the second round of interviews (interview with committee members and public form with students, staff, and faculty). I wanted to bring this to this body because having students attend this form is extremely essential for the hiring team to dive down deep and pick the right candidate; not only for the position, but for the students on campus.

- vi. Dr. Erwin: The group that Eli is part of called Search advisory committee, what that committee is trying to do is collect as much feedback about the kids as humanly possible. This committee will give me a full set of strengths and weaknesses.
- vii. Eli: I think it's also essential for this body to advertise this form as it will affect a majority of students here, especially students who are already in disability resources. Travelling back, Corb, do we have a number? 40 votes cast. Cool.
- viii. Michael: Was there an email sent out to the freshmen about the ballots?
- ix. Eli: Yes to circle back on the question, there was possibly an earliness message that conflicted with some peoples willing voting at 8am. There was no indication about votes until Corbyn found out there was 1 vote casted at 11am.
- x. Bukky: Is it possible to resend the email tomorrow?
- xi. Eli: I would not believe so.
- xii. Dr. Smyth: We can ask that but the answer will probably be no. It is a special effort to get the email out, I like the idea and maybe since there was an error in the voting we can make a case.
- xiii. Eli: Rules and elections meeting tomorrow at 4:30 SA office, all are welcome. Members, you have to come see you tomorrow!

- e. Systems Administrator - Olubukola Akinyemi
- f. Sustainability - Ben Black
- g. Chief of Staff - Eliana Sonderup

#### **15. Senator Reports 7:37-7:39**

- a. CAHSS Senator - Emma Nelson
- b. SCSE Senator - Nam Nguyen, Jason Coyle
  - i. Jason: We met with the Dean on Thursday, Dean Reed is awesome.
  - ii. Nam: We talked about a lot of things. If you guys remember, Dannah and I were pursuing DEI training (freshmen are exempted). Thinking about ways to implement it. From what we've learned, Dr. Erwin was introduced into the conversation. They need to coordinate Everfi training. Dean laid down the hammer, and there were four groups.
  - iii. More conversations about lib ed curriculum, looking to reform it pretty soon here. There are also focus groups being made (student-led) focused on diversity that will be introduced in SCDSE.
  - iv. Jason: SEA Stem partnership → starting conversations with both of these groups, I plan on reaching out to these two groups to see what we can do. We talked about another Google Form, in person we can get a better response rate. Trying to reboot the form to see where the college needs help and improvement.
  - v. Nam: There's something big coming to Swenson! We want to plan a big fest for SCSE. We are working with the Dean on this, and it's going to be a big Swenson themed party. Food, donuts, apple cider, etc.

- vi. Jason: Another part: upperclassmen mentoring, a speed-dating type of thing. When different upperclassmen can be there from freshmen/sophomores to go up and get some student to student advice.
- vii. Nam: We will stand for questions/comments.
- c. CEHSP Senators - Mariama Mohamed, Megan Axberg
- d. LSBE Senator - Michael Waldorf

**16. Advisor's Minute 7:39-7:45**

- a. I want to thank Mr. Speaker for the agenda.
- b. <https://z.umn.edu/umdcoker>
- c. Coca cola are the exclusive beverages that can be handed out on campus. This website: if there is not a picture of this beverage on this website, you cannot hang this out. Gatorade is a no. Powerade is a yes. Student org leaders learn about this and violate the policy all the time. I have to be the one to warn groups and departments → I have two student orgs who are about to lose status because they are not following this policy. I am just sharing that here. Daksha did everything correctly, except for one little thing. The bookstore negotiated to sell two non-Coke products (Starbs and Red Bull). From a retail standpoint, the bookstore can sell these things. As a student, you can purchase them, but not hand them out. Every once in a while, students will ask why they can buy it in the bookstore but not hand them out, and I always direct them to this website. There are people who violate this on purpose, so we're just trying to avoid that. You can bring a Mts Dew on campus, just don't hand it out to other students.
- d. <https://z.umn.edu/foodpolicy>
- e. Have you ever seen the UMD food policy? It gets updated every August. If you are a campus department or CLP (SA is, so are MC, club sports), they are tied to the University. Every department and CLP has to go through UMD dining Services to serve food on campus. CLP's get warned about this. If you're an RSO, you get more leeway of what you're allowed to do. If you are a CLP, please do not bring outside food to hand out.
- f. Eli: I know that you told me specifically that getting bulldog pizza is better than catering? (Add information here)
- g. Dr. Smyth: Food court has better oven and therefore better pizza quality
- h. Annie: What about a late night library when we hand out food from Walmart?
- i. Dr. Smyth: The answer is a little complicated, traditionally we have done that, and the second part is that it is a packaged food and those are alright. Fresh fruit we probably should be going through catering. Prepackaged foods you can hand out. It's more so about food safety, the university doesn't want to be sued for someone getting sick.
- j. Laitzia: I have been told that if I do an event and it's closed, do we have the leeway of not ordering from catering?
- k. Dr. Smyth: The potluck is the only thing. That is if you want to do your OWN thing. You good coach
- l. Riley: Do most universities have this policy?
- m. Dr. Smyth: Yes we are actually pretty good on this policy compared to other campuses.

**17. Open Announcements 7:45-7:50**

- a. Eli: You weren't here when you wanted to give your part.
- b. Aekx: I'll do it during open announcements.
- c. Grace: Tailgate, who's going to be in the box with the chancellor? Just a comment, me and Corbyn would like for you to swing by the tailgate just for a bit would be amazing!

Please don't eat the food box people. The tailgate starts at 9:30am on a Saturday to noon. ITS FREE FOOD EVERYONE!

- d. Bukky: I am working with ITSS to get our student reps page up and running, SEND ME YOUR BIOS, LAST CALL. Taste of UMD is this saturday!
- e. Nam: Can EA members meet real quick? I forgot to schedule the biweekly EA meeting.
- f. Parker: Academic affairs advising on the go form coming out pretty soon, we can talk about it before we have the event. Monday at 5pm is the next meeting.

**18. Balla Award 7:50-7:55**

- a. Jason gives to → Laitzia
- b. CONGRATS!!!!!!

**19. Adjourn 7:55**

- a. Eli motioned
- b. Nam seconded
  - i. All ayes.
  - ii. 0 Nays.
  - iii. 0 Abstentions.
  - iv. Adjourned at 7:44 pm