

Anything but ... *Leptogenesis*



Sacha Davidson
IPN de Lyon/CNRS, France

CP Violation in $\mu - e$ Conversion



Sacha Davidson

IPN de Lyon/CNRS, France

1. Why is \mathcal{CP} in muon physics interesting?
 - in general
 - leptogenesis
2. \mathcal{CP} in triple products
 - *rappelle*
 - CPT, unitarity and all that...
 - looking for \mathcal{CP} in rare muon decays
3. $\mu - e$ conversion
4. Simple Dirac algebra (even I can do by hand)
5. Summary and things to do

Why is μ physics interesting, in the shadow of the LHC?

Assume find new physics (... LHC?), precision low energy data can be useful for

1. obtaining model parameters (*eg* to which LHC is not sensitive)
 $BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)$ angular distributions in $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, ...
2. model testing (analogy: inputs to the electroweak fit of LEP data : the SM, G_F , α_{em} , m_Z)
 $(g - 2)_\mu \leftrightarrow LHC$
 $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma \leftrightarrow \mu N \rightarrow eN$

...and if LHC does not find BSM, most low energy experiments still *could* ...

(But must check which area of parameter space excluded by LHC).

Why is \mathcal{CP} interesting?

- \mathcal{CP} : particles \rightarrow anti-particles
- In Lagrangian \mathcal{L} implemented by taking complex conjugate of all coupling constants

$\mathcal{CP} \Leftrightarrow$ unremoveable phases

- quark sector of the SM: one $\mathcal{O}(1)$ CKM phase
- IF new physics at the weak scale: no electric dipole moments observed \Rightarrow combinations of the new physics phases $\ll 1$

Enigmatic origin of \mathcal{CP} Violation : are all coupling constants equipped with $\mathcal{O}(1)$ phases, or is \mathcal{CPV} a particularity of the quark sector?

Why is \mathcal{CP} in muon physics interesting?

- baryon asymmetry of the U $\Leftrightarrow \mathcal{CP}$ (but all mechanisms need more than CKM)
- IF baryogenesis via leptogenesis (*e.g.* in the seesaw) $\Rightarrow \mathcal{CP}$ phases in the lepton sector
- !! third generation ν beam (ν fact, β -beam or superbeam) sensitive to the phase δ of the lepton mixing matrix !!
- these are expensive machines (10^9 \$, € for δ ?)



can leptonic \mathcal{CP} Violation can be found somewhere else?

Outline (again)

1. Why is \mathcal{CP} in muon physics interesting?
 - in general
 - leptogenesis
2. \mathcal{CP} in triple products (LR, FB asyms. No antiparticle process...)
 - *rappelle*
 - **CPT, unitarity and all that...**
 - **looking for \mathcal{CP} in rare muon decays**
3. $\mu - e$ conversion
4. Simple Dirac algebra (even I can do by hand)
5. Summary and things to do

Discrete symmetries ...

In a local Lorentz-invariant theory, described by a unitary S-matrix (and respecting spin-stats), CPT is a symmetry. (Not quite : $CP = T^{-1}$)

$$CP : |\text{particle}(\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle \rightarrow |\text{antipart}(-\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle$$

$$T : |\text{particle}(\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{particle}(-\vec{p}, -\vec{s})|$$

For example, matrix element for $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$

$$CP : \mathcal{M}\left(\mu(\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow e(\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(\bar{\mu}(-\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow \bar{e}(-\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(-\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right)$$

$$T : \mathcal{M}\left(\mu(\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow e(\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(e(-\vec{p}_e, -\vec{s}_e) + \gamma(-\vec{p}_\gamma, -\vec{s}_\gamma) \rightarrow \mu(-\vec{p}_\mu, -\vec{s}_\mu)\right)$$

CP triple products

$$CP : |\text{particle}(\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle \rightarrow |\text{antipart}(-\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle$$

$$T : |\text{particle}(\vec{p}, \vec{s})\rangle \rightarrow \langle \text{particle}(-\vec{p}, -\vec{s}) |$$

$$CP : \mathcal{M}\left(\mu(\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow e(\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(\bar{\mu}(-\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow \bar{e}(-\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(-\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right)$$

$$T : \mathcal{M}\left(\mu(\vec{p}_\mu, \vec{s}_\mu) \rightarrow e(\vec{p}_e, \vec{s}_e) + \gamma(\vec{p}_\gamma, \vec{s}_\gamma)\right) \longrightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(e(-\vec{p}_e, -\vec{s}_e) + \gamma(-\vec{p}_\gamma, -\vec{s}_\gamma) \rightarrow \mu(-\vec{p}_\mu, -\vec{s}_\mu)\right)$$

If “triple products” appear in $|\mathcal{M}|^2$ (can arise from $\text{Tr}[\gamma_0\gamma_i\gamma_j\gamma_k\gamma_5] = 4i\epsilon_{0ijk}$)

$$|\mathcal{M}|^2 \propto \dots + [\dots]\vec{p}_b \cdot (\vec{s}_c \times \vec{p}_d) + [\dots]\vec{p}_b \cdot (\vec{s}_c \times \vec{s}_d) + \dots$$

and if they are multiplied by complex coupling constants

\Rightarrow could be a T (maybe CP) odd term in the differential rate !

- need to measure spin, \vec{p} ...
- not need to measure process involving antiparticles
- *not* need loop/strong and weak phases



Unitarity, loops and all that...

Recall (from leptogenesis), that a CP asym in an integrated partial decay rate requires loop(s), whose on-shell intermediate state particles give “strong” phase, that multiplies phase of coupling constants. This follows from

1. unitarity of $S = I + i(2\pi)^4 \delta^4(P_i - P_f) \mathcal{M}(i \rightarrow f)$: ($SS^\dagger = [1 + iT][1 - iT^\dagger]$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) - \mathcal{M}(e\gamma \rightarrow \mu) &= \mathcal{M}^\dagger \mathcal{M}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \\ \Rightarrow |\mathcal{M}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)|^2 - |\mathcal{M}(e\gamma \rightarrow \mu)|^2 &= \mathcal{O}(\mathcal{M}\mathcal{M}^\dagger\mathcal{M})(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) + \dots \end{aligned}$$

2. CPT:

$$\left| \mathcal{M}\left(e(P_e, s_e) + \gamma(P_\gamma, s_\gamma) \rightarrow \mu(P_\mu, s_\mu)\right) \right|^2 = \left| \mathcal{M}\left(\bar{\mu}(P_\mu, -s_\mu) \rightarrow \bar{e}(P_e, -s_e) + \gamma(P_\gamma, -s_\gamma)\right) \right|^2$$

... unitarity + CPT say that a CP asymmetry *where spins, momenta are summed over*, is higher order in coupling constant/loop expansion

\Rightarrow obtain phases of coupling constants at tree level by measuring asymmetries associated with triple products (FB asyms, spin asyms, etc)

Triple products in rare muon decays

$\mu(P_\mu, s_\mu) \rightarrow e\bar{e}e$
triple products galore...

Okada Okumura Shimizu

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\bar{e}e) < 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$$

no new experiments planned...?PSI thinking?

difficulty: multiple final state particles, accidental coincidence backgrounds

Triple products in rare muon decays

$\mu(P_\mu, s_\mu) \rightarrow e\bar{e}e$
triple products galore...

Okada Okumura Shimizu

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\bar{e}e) < 1.0 \times 10^{-12}$$

no new experiments planned...?PSI thinking?

difficulty: multiple final state particles, accidental coincidence backgrounds

$\mu(P_\mu, s_\mu) \rightarrow e(p_e, s_e) + \gamma(q)$

$$BR(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) < 1.2 \times 10^{-11} \quad MEG@PSI \rightarrow \sim 10^{-13} \text{ soon}$$

only possible triple product: $\vec{s}_\mu \cdot (\vec{s}_e \times \vec{p}_e)$, ... but multiplied by $q^2 = 0$

if measure also photon polarisation, there is sensitivity to \mathcal{CP} phases

Farzan

backgrds: ...+ accidental coincidences, increase as $(\text{rate})^2$

$\Rightarrow \mu - e$ conversion on nuclei: one outgoing e , $q^2 \neq 0$.

$\mu - e$ **conversion on nuclei**

μ^- captured by nucleus, cascades down to $1s$ state, then (in SM) beta decay of nucleus by muon capture (emit ν).

$\mu - e$ conversion on nuclei

μ^- captured by nucleus, cascades down to $1s$ state, then (in SM) beta decay of nucleus by muon capture (emit ν).

Or: Beyond the SM, could have Lepton Flavour Violation. Parametrise BSM in off-shell effective field theory, μe conversion mediated by

$$-\frac{4G_F m_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\mu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} (A_L P_R + A_R P_L) e F^{\alpha\beta} + h.c. + \text{four fermion}(\bar{e}\mu\bar{q}q)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow e\text{Ti})}{\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})} < 4.3 \times 10^{-12} \quad , \quad \frac{\Gamma(\mu\text{Au} \rightarrow e\text{Au})}{\Gamma(\mu\text{Au} \rightarrow \text{capture})} < 7 \times 10^{-13} \quad .$$

$$\mu 2e(\text{FNAL}), \text{COMET/PRISM (J-PARC)} \rightarrow 10^{-16}, 10^{-18}$$

backgrds: muon decay in orbit, in flight... Improve beam quality with intensity, can improve sensitivity...

discriminate operators via rate diff on various nuclei?

$\mu - e$ conversion on nuclei

μ^- captured by nucleus, cascades down to $1s$ state, then (in SM) beta decay of nucleus by muon capture (emit ν).

Or: Beyond the SM, could have Lepton Flavour Violation. Parametrise BSM in off-shell effective field theory, μe conversion mediated by

$$-\frac{4G_F m_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\mu} \sigma_{\alpha\beta} (A_L P_R + A_R P_L) e F^{\alpha\beta} + h.c. + \text{four fermion}(\bar{e} \mu \bar{q} q)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow e\text{Ti})}{\Gamma(\mu\text{Ti} \rightarrow \text{capture})} < 4.3 \times 10^{-12} \quad , \quad \frac{\Gamma(\mu\text{Au} \rightarrow e\text{Au})}{\Gamma(\mu\text{Au} \rightarrow \text{capture})} < 7 \times 10^{-13} \quad .$$

$$\mu 2e(\text{FNAL}), \text{COMET/PRISM (J-PARC)} \rightarrow 10^{-16}, 10^{-18}$$

triple product $\vec{s}_\mu \cdot (\vec{s}_e \times \vec{p}_e)$ in μe conversion? Need μ polarisation... $\sim 16\%$ after cascade?
Worse for target with spin, better for polarised target.

Kuno, Nagamine, Yamazaki



CP in $\mu - e$ conversion —to calculate

1) From operators :
$$-\frac{4G_F m_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\mu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (A_L P_R + A_R P_L) e F^{\mu\nu} + h.c$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M} &= \mathcal{M}_L + \mathcal{M}_R \\ &= -\frac{4G_F m_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \int d^3x e^{-i\vec{k}\cdot\vec{x}} \left(A_L^* \bar{u}(k) 2\sigma^{0i} E_i P_L \psi_{1s}^{(\mu)} + A_R^* \bar{u}(k) 2\sigma^{0i} E_i P_R \psi_{1s}^{(\mu)} \right) \end{aligned}$$

2) Define average over muon wavefunction :
$$\int d^3x \psi_{1s}^{(\mu)} E^i \equiv \langle E^i \rangle \quad ,$$

and recall spin proj. operator :
$$\frac{1}{2} (I + \gamma_5 \not{s})$$

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{M}|^2 &= \dots + -2G_F^2 m_\mu^2 A_L A_R^* \left\{ (I + \gamma_5 \not{s}_e) \not{k} \gamma^0 \langle \not{E} P_R (I + \gamma_5 \not{s}_\mu) \rangle \gamma^0 P_R \gamma^0 \langle \not{E} \rangle^* \right\} \\ &\quad -2G_F^2 m_\mu^2 A_L^* A_R \left\{ (I + \gamma_5 \not{s}_e) \not{k} \gamma^0 \langle \not{E} P_L (I + \gamma_5 \not{s}_\mu) \rangle \gamma^0 P_L \gamma^0 \langle \not{E} \rangle^* \right\} \\ &= \dots + 8G_F^2 m_\mu^2 \text{Im}\{A_L A_R^*\} |\langle \vec{E} \rangle|^2 \vec{s}_\mu \cdot (\vec{s}_e \times \vec{k}) \end{aligned}$$

The asymmetry



For 100% polarised muons, the asymmetry (normalised to conversion rate) between electrons polarised \pm in direction perpendicular to momentum:

$$\frac{\text{Im}[A_L^* A_R]}{8(|A_L|^2 + |A_R|^2)} \quad (\text{recall : } -\frac{4G_F m_\mu}{\sqrt{2}} \bar{\mu} \sigma_{\mu\nu} (A_L P_R + A_R P_L) e F^{\mu\nu} + h.c)$$

- maximised for extensions of the SM that give similar, and complex, contributions to A_L and A_R
(relative magnitude of A_L and A_R can be determined by the angular distribution of the electron, in $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, or $\mu - e$ conversion providing the muon is polarised)
- For 16 % μ polarisation, 10 % efficiency for detecting e polarisation, need $> 10^3$ events?
... $\text{BR}(\mu - e \text{ conversion}) \gtrsim 10^{-15}$ for exptal sensitivity $\gtrsim 10^{-18}$.

$$\text{But } \frac{\Gamma(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma)}{\Gamma(\mu e \text{ conversion})} \sim \frac{1}{\alpha} \Rightarrow \text{ need } \text{BR}(\mu \rightarrow e\gamma) \sim 10^{-13} \quad \dots \text{ PSI??}$$

Summary, Prospects...

If there is new flavoured physics at the TeV, it could mediate LFV such as $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$, $\mu - e$ conversion.

The greatest planned sensitivity is BR ($\mu - e$ conversion) $\sim 10^{-18}$.

Asymmetries in these processes, including $\mu - e$ conversion, are sensitive to \mathcal{CP} phases (of effective operators)

To do:

- what is relation of the effective operator phases to phases (flavour-diagonal, flavoured) in BSM Lagrangians
 - in SUSY seesaw — can I relate this phase to leptogenesis?
- what are asymmetries generated by all those four fermion $\bar{e}\mu\bar{q}q$ operators, which contribute to $\mu - e$ conversion (but not to $\mu \rightarrow e\gamma$, $\mu \rightarrow 3e$)?
 - Arise (in off-shell effective field theory) from box diagrams. Small in many SUSY models. Not small in, *eg* Little Higgs.
- final state interactions?
- better nuclear physics ...