

On failure of the complementing condition
and nonuniqueness in linear elastostatics

by

Henry Simpson
Department of Mathematics
University of Tennessee
Knoxville, TN 37996-1300

and

Scott J. Spector
Department of Mathematics
Southern Illinois University
Carbondale, IL 62901

Introduction

Consider a homogeneous, isotropic body composed of a compressible linearly elastic material and assume that the body is at equilibrium in a state of plane strain. The traction problem for such a body (in the absence of body forces and surface tractions) consists of finding a displacement $\underline{u} = (u_1, u_2)$ that satisfies (cf., e.g., Gurtin [4])

$$\mu \Delta \underline{u} + (\lambda + \mu) \nabla \operatorname{div} \underline{u} = \underline{0} \text{ in } R, \quad (1)$$

$$[\mu(\nabla \underline{u} + \nabla \underline{u}^T) + \lambda(\operatorname{div} \underline{u})\mathbf{I}]\underline{n} = \underline{0} \text{ on } \partial R. \quad (2)$$

Here $R \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ is a regular region, \underline{n} the outward unit normal to the boundary, ∂R , and μ and λ are the (constant) Lamé moduli.

It is well-known that (1) and (2) have a unique solution, modulo an infinitesimal rigid deformation, provided that¹ $\mu \neq 0$, $\mu + \lambda \neq 0$, and $2\mu + \lambda \neq 0$.

The purpose of this note is to demonstrate that the above mentioned uniqueness result fails when $\mu = -\lambda$. In fact we show that (1) and (2) have an infinite number of linearly independent solutions (in spite of the ellipticity of the equations). The reason for this unusual (for an elliptic system) behavior is that the boundary conditions fail to satisfy ^{the} complementing (or

¹Cf. Knops and Payne [7], pp. 63-65. The result is due to Muskhelishvili [10] and Hill [5].

Lopatinsky-Shapiro) conditions^{2,3} (cf., e.g., Agmon, Douglis, and Nirenberg [1] or Morrey [9]).

We note that the problem considered is probably not in itself physically relevant since Poisson's ratio is infinite at the indicated value of the Lamé moduli. In spite of this we think this problem has interest other than as a mathematical peculiarity. The reason is that the complementing condition can fail in problems of physical interest.

In particular, Simpson and Spector [13] have shown that when a rectangular bar composed of Hadamard-Green material is compressed the complementing condition will eventually fail for the superimposed linear problem. In addition, results of Burgess and Levinson [2], Hill and Hutchinson [6], Sawyers and Rivlin [11], Simpson and Spector [12], Wesolowski [15], Wilkes [16], Young [17], and Zhong-Heng [18] and others can be used to show that failure of the complementing condition is not an uncommon occurrence when one considers the compression and extension of elastic bars or plates.

We note that most of the above mentioned failures of the complementing condition are probably not physically observable

²Thus the assertion of Marsden and Hughes [8], that the traction boundary condition always satisfies the complementing condition, is incorrect (without additional assumptions). An assumption ruling out infinite Poisson's ratios is (of course) very reasonable.

³This failure of the complementing condition at these values of the Lamé moduli was first noticed by Thompson [14].

since they do not occur until after the material has become infinitesimally unstable. However, this does not appear to always be true for bars in tension. In particular, it is possible that surface wrinkling [17] and microshear bands [3] may be precipitated by a failure of the complementing condition.

Nonuniqueness

Let $\theta \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be any open region such that the closure of θ is contained in θ . We consider θ to be a subset of the complex plane \mathbb{C} . If f is a (complex) analytic function on θ we denote the real and imaginary parts of f by ϕ and ψ , respectively.

Thus

$$f(z) = \phi(x,y) + i \psi(x,y) \quad \text{on } \theta,$$

where $z = x + iy$.

Theorem. Let $\mu = -\lambda$ and suppose that f is any analytic function.

Then

$$\tilde{u} = (\phi, \psi) \tag{3}$$

is a solution to (1), (2).

Proof. Equation (1) is clear since the real and imaginary parts of an analytic function are harmonic. To establish (2), we note that (2) is equivalent to $\tilde{S} \tilde{n} = \tilde{0}$, where

$$\tilde{S} = \mu \cdot \begin{pmatrix} \phi_x - \psi_y & \phi_y + \psi_x \\ \phi_y + \psi_x & \psi_y - \phi_x \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is now clear from the Cauchy-Riemann equations that $\underline{S} = \underline{0}$. Thus equation (2) is satisfied.



Remark. We note that we have found all solutions of equations (1) and (2). For if $\underline{u} = (\phi, \psi)$ satisfies (1), (2) then ϕ and ψ are harmonic and hence C^∞ . It follows that $\Delta \underline{S} = \underline{0}$ in R . Now it is easy to see that \underline{S} is singular if and only if it is the zero matrix. Thus $\underline{S}_n = \underline{0}$ on ∂R is equivalent to $\underline{S} = \underline{0}$ on ∂R . By uniqueness for the Dirichlet problem we conclude that $\underline{S} = \underline{0}$ in R and hence that ϕ and ψ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann equations in R . Thus $f \equiv \phi + i\psi$ is analytic.

It follows from the above remark that the stress field \underline{S} is identically zero independent of the displacement.

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank David Kinderlehrer, Larry Payne and Hans Weinberger for their helpful comments and Steve Passman for bringing our attention to the experiments on microshear band formation. This work was supported in part by the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota.

References

1. Agmon, S., A. Douglis and L. Nirenberg, Estimates near the boundary for solutions of elliptic partial differential equations satisfying general boundary conditions II, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 17(1964), 35-92.
2. Burgess, I. W. and M. Levinson, The instability of slightly compressible rectangular rubberlike solids under biaxial loadings, Int. J. Solids Struc. 8(1972), 133-148.
3. Grady, D. E., J. R. Asay, R. W. Rohde, and J. L. Wise, Microstructure and mechanical properties of precipitation hardened aluminum under high rate deformation, Proc. of 29th Sagamore Army Materials Research Conf. (SAND82-1627C).
4. Gurtin, M. E., The linear theory of elasticity. Handbuch der Physik VIa/2. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1972.
5. Hill, R., Uniqueness in general boundary-value problems for elastic or inelastic solids. J. Mech. Phys. Solids 9 (1961), 114-130.
6. Hill, R. and J. W. Hutchinson, Bifurcation phenomena in the plane tension test, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 23(1975), 239-264.
7. Knops, R. J. and L. E. Payne, Uniqueness Theorems in Linear Elasticity. Berlin: Springer-Verlag, 1971.
8. Marsden, J. E. and T. J. R. Hughes, Topics in the mathematical foundations of elasticity. Non-linear Analysis and Mechanics: Heriot-Watt Symposium, Vol. II, (R. J. Knops, ed.) London: Pitman, 1978.
9. Morrey, C. B., Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations, Springer-Verlag, 1966.
10. Muskhelishvili, N., Recherches sur les problèmes aux limites relatifs à l'équation biharmonique équations de l'élasticité à deux dimensions., Math. Ann. 107(1933), 282-312.
11. Sawyers, K. N. and R. S. Rivlin, Bifurcation conditions for a thick elastic plate under thrust, Int. J. Solids Struc. 10(1974), 483-501.
12. Simpson, H. C. and S. J. Spector, On barrelling for a special material in finite elasticity. To appear: Quarterly of Applied Mathematics.

13. Simpson, H. C. and S. J. Spector, On bifurcation in finite elasticity: Buckling of a rectangular rod. In preparation.
14. Thompson, J. L., Some existence theorems for the traction boundary value problem of linearized elastostatics, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 32(1969), 369-399.
15. Wesolowski, Stability in some cases of tension in the light of the theory of finite strain, Arch. Mech. Stos. 14 (1962), 875-900.
16. Wilkes, E. W., On the stability of a circular tube under end thrust, Q. J. Mech. Appl. Math. 8(1955), 88-100.
17. Young, N. J. B., Bifurcation phenomena in the plane compression test, J. Mech. Phys. Solids 24(1976), 77-91.
18. Zhong-Heng, Guo, Vibration and stability of a circular cylinder subject to finite deformation, Arch. Mech. Stos. 14(1962), 757-768.