

GENERALIZED HORN'S FUNCTIONS OF MATRIX ARGUMENTS

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya* and H.S. Dhimi**,
 Department of Mathematics,
 University of Kumaun,
 Almora Campus, Almora,
 Uttaranchal, India- 263601.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:

Primary: 33C65, 33C99.

Secondary: 60E, 62H, 44A05.

Key Words: Horn's ${}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}$ and ${}^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}$ functions, matrix arguments,
 matrix transform.

ABSTRACT

Four results have been established here- three for the function ${}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}$ and one for the function ${}^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}$ with matrix arguments along with two special cases.

INTRODUCTION

The generalized Horn's functions ${}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}$ and ${}^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}$ follow as the generalizations of the Horn's functions H_3 and H_4 of two variables. We have already defined the functions ${}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}$ and ${}^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}$ with matrix arguments in our previous papers [5,6].

Here, we have given further results for these functions. All the matrices appearing in this paper are $(p \times p)$ real symmetric positive definite matrices and the meanings of all the other symbols used are the same as in the works of Mathai [2, 3].

1. Preliminary Definition

DEFINITION 1.1: The $\Phi_3^{(n)}$ - function of matrix arguments

$$\Phi_3^{(n)} = \Phi_3^{(n)}(a_2, \dots, a_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n)$$

is defined as that class of functions for which the matrix transform (M-transform) is the following:

* Department of Mathematics, Municipal Post Graduate College, Mussoorie, Dehradun, Uttaranchal, India-248179.

** To whom all the correspondence may be addressed.

$$\begin{aligned}
M(\Phi_3^{(n)}) &= \left[\int_{X_1 > 0} \cdots \int_{X_n > 0} |X_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \cdots |X_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \Phi_3^{(n)}(a_2, \dots, a_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) dX_1 \cdots dX_n \right] \\
&= \frac{\Gamma_p(a_2 - \rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(a_2)} \cdots \frac{\Gamma_p(a_n - \rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(a_n)} \frac{\Gamma_p(c) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \cdots \Gamma_p(\rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(c - \rho_1 - \cdots - \rho_n)} \quad \dots \dots (1.1)
\end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(a_2 - \rho_2, \dots, a_n - \rho_n, c - \rho_1 - \cdots - \rho_n, \rho_1, \dots, \rho_n) > (p-1)/2$.

2. The $^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}$ Function of Matrix Arguments

THEOREM 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}(a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \\
= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a)} \int_{S > 0} e^{-\text{tr}(S)} |S|^{a - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3^{(n-k+1)}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; \\
-S(X_1 + \dots + X_k)S', -S^{1/2}X_{k+1}S^{1/2}, \dots, -S^{1/2}X_nS^{1/2}) dS \quad \dots \dots (2.1)
\end{aligned}$$

for $S = S' > 0$ and $\text{Re}(a) > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: We take the M-transform of the right side of eq.(2.1) with respect to the variables X_1, \dots, X_n and the parameters ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n respectively to obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{X_1 > 0} \cdots \int_{X_n > 0} |X_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \cdots |X_k|^{\rho_k - (p+1)/2} |X_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \cdots \\
|X_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3^{(n-k+1)}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; -S(X_1 + \dots + X_k)S', \\
-S^{1/2}X_{k+1}S^{1/2}, \dots, -S^{1/2}X_nS^{1/2}) dX_1 \cdots dX_k dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_n \quad \dots \dots (2.2)
\end{aligned}$$

Applying the transformations,

$$Y_i = SX_i S', \text{ and } Y_j = S^{1/2} X_j S^{1/2} \text{ with } dY_i = |S|^{p+1} dX_i; dY_j = |S|^{(p+1)/2} dX_j \text{ and}$$

$$|Y_i| = |S|^2 |X_i|, |Y_j| = |S| |X_j| \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k \text{ and } j = k+1, \dots, n$$

the expression (2.2) yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S|^{-2(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k) - \rho_{k+1} - \dots - \rho_n} \int_{Y_1 > 0} \dots \int_{Y_n > 0} |Y_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \dots \times \\
& |Y_k|^{\rho_k - (p+1)/2} |Y_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \dots |Y_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \times \Phi_3^{(n-k+1)}(b_{k+1}, \dots, \\
& b_n; c; -(Y_1 + \dots + Y_k), -Y_{k+1}, \dots, -Y_n) dY_1 \dots dY_k dY_{k+1} \dots dY_n \quad \dots \dots (2.3)
\end{aligned}$$

Now, applying the transformations,

$$Z_1 = Y_1, Z_2 = Y_1 + Y_2, \dots, Z_k = Y_1 + \dots + Y_k; Z_j = Y_j, \text{ for } j = k + 1, \dots, n$$

then from eq.(6.7) page 95 of Mathai [2] we have

$$\begin{aligned}
dY_1 \dots dY_k &= dZ_1 \dots dZ_k, \text{ also, } dY_j = dZ_j \text{ for } j = k + 1, \dots, n \text{ and } 0 < Z_1 < Z_2 < \\
&\dots < Z_k, Z_j > 0 (j = k + 1, \dots, n)
\end{aligned}$$

which render the expression (2.3) as below,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S|^{-2(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k) - \rho_{k+1} - \dots - \rho_n} \int \dots (n) \dots \int |Z_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \times \\
& |Z_2 - Z_1|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \dots |Z_k - Z_{k-1}|^{\rho_k - (p+1)/2} |Z_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \dots \times \\
& |Z_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3^{(n-k+1)}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; -Z_k, -Z_{k+1}, \dots, -Z_n) dZ_1 \dots dZ_k \times \\
& dZ_{k+1} \dots dZ_n \quad \dots \dots (2.4)
\end{aligned}$$

Integrating out the variables Z_1, \dots, Z_{k-1} one-by-one and in order by using a type-1

Beta integral and then using the definition (1.1), the expression (2.4) yields,

$$\begin{aligned}
& |S|^{-2(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k) - \rho_{k+1} - \dots - \rho_n} \frac{\Gamma_p(c) \Gamma_p(b_{k+1} - \rho_{k+1}) \dots \Gamma_p(b_n - \rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(b_{k+1}) \dots \Gamma_p(b_n)} \times \\
& \frac{\Gamma_p(\rho_1) \dots \Gamma_p(\rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(c - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_n)} \quad \dots \dots (2.5)
\end{aligned}$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq. (2.1) and then integrating out S in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral produces $M[(^{(k)}H_3^{(n)})]$ as given by eq. (5.1) of the authors' paper [5].

THEOREM 2.2: For $p=2$,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & (k) H_3^{(n)}(a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \\
 &= \frac{\Gamma_p(c)}{\Gamma_p(b_{k+1}) \cdots \Gamma_p(b_n) \Gamma_p(c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n)} \int \cdots \int \cdots \int |U_{k+1}|^{b_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \\
 & \times \cdots |U_n|^{b_n - (p+1)/2} |I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n|^{c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n - (p+1)/2} \times \\
 & \left| I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2} \right|^{-a} {}_2F_1[(a+1)/2, (2a+1)/4; \\
 & c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n; -4(I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2})^{-1} \times \\
 & (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} (X_1 + \dots + X_k) (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} \times \\
 & (I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2})^{-1}] dU_{k+1} \cdots dU_n \quad \dots \dots (2.6)
 \end{aligned}$$

where $\text{Re}(b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n, c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n) > (p-1)/2$, $U_{k+1}' = U_{k+1} > 0$,
 $\dots, U_n' = U_n > 0$ and $0 < U_{k+1} + \dots + U_n < I$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq. (2.6) with respect to the variables X_1, \dots, X_n and the parameters ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n respectively, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{X_1 > 0} \cdots \int_{X_n > 0} |X_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \cdots |X_k|^{\rho_k - (p+1)/2} |X_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \times \\
 & \cdots |X_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \left| I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2} \right|^{-a} {}_2F_1[(a+1)/2, \\
 & (2a+1)/4; c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n; -4(I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2})^{-1} \times \\
 & (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} (X_1 + \dots + X_k) (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} \times \\
 & (I + U_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} U_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + U_n^{1/2} X_n U_n^{1/2})^{-1}] dX_1 \cdots dX_k dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_n \quad \dots (2.7)
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the transformations,

$Y_1 = X_1, Y_2 = X_1 + X_2, \dots, Y_k = X_1 + \dots + X_k; Y_j = U_j^{1/2} X_j U_j^{1/2}$, for $j = k+1, \dots, n$;
then from eq.(6.7) page 95 of Mathai [2], we have,

$dY_1 \cdots dY_k = dX_1 \cdots dX_k$, also, $dY_j = |U_j|^{(p+1)/2} dX_j$ for $j = k+1, \dots, n$ and $0 < Y_1 < Y_2 < \dots < Y_k$ and $Y_j > 0$ ($j = k+1, \dots, n$).

Using these transformations in the expression (2.7) and then integrating out the variables Y_1, \dots, Y_{k-1} one-by-one and in order by using a type-1 Beta integral as in the previous theorem, we are led to,

$$\begin{aligned} & |U_{k+1}|^{-\rho_{k+1}} \dots |U_n|^{-\rho_n} \frac{\Gamma_p(\rho_1) \dots \Gamma_p(\rho_k)}{\Gamma_p(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k)} \int_{Y_k > 0} \dots (n-k+1) \dots \int_{Y_n > 0} \times \\ & |Y_k|^{\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k - (p+1)/2} |Y_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \dots |Y_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} \times \\ & |I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n|^{-a} {}_2F_1[(a+1)/2, (2a+1)/4; c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n; \\ & -4(I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n)^{-1} (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} Y_k (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} \times \\ & (I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n)^{-1}] dY_k dY_{k+1} \cdots dY_n \quad \dots (2.8) \end{aligned}$$

Now using the following transformation in the expression (2.8),

$$\begin{aligned} Z_k &= 4(I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n)^{-1} (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} Y_k (I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n)^{1/2} \times \\ & (I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n)^{-1} \text{ with } dZ_k = 4^{p(p+1)/2} |I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n|^{-(p+1)} \times \\ & |I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n|^{(p+1)/2} dY_k \text{ and } |Z_k| = 4^p |I + Y_{k+1} + \dots + Y_n|^{-2} \times \\ & |I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n| |Y_k| \end{aligned}$$

and then writing the M-transform of the ${}_2F_1$ -function and integrating out the variables

Y_{k+1}, \dots, Y_n by using a type-2 Dirichlet integral, we have,

$$4^{-p(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k)} |U_{k+1}|^{-\rho_{k+1}} \dots |U_n|^{-\rho_n} \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \dots \Gamma_p(\rho_n) \times$$

Continued to the next page.....

$$\left| I - U_{k+1} - \dots - U_n \right|^{-(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k)} \frac{\Gamma_p(a - 2\rho_1 - \dots - 2\rho_k - \rho_{k+1} - \dots - \rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(a - 2\rho_1 - \dots - 2\rho_k)} \times$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_p[(a+1)/2 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k] \Gamma_p[(2a+1)/4 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k]}{\Gamma_p[(a+1)/2] \Gamma_p[(2a+1)/4]} \times$$

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n)}{\Gamma_p(c - b_{k+1} - \dots - b_n - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k)} \quad \dots (2.9)$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(2.6) and integrating out the variables U_{k+1}, \dots, U_n in the resulting expression by using a type-1 Dirichlet integral and observing that,

$$4^{-p(\rho_1 + \dots + \rho_k)} \frac{\Gamma_p[(a+1)/2 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k] \Gamma_p[(2a+1)/4 - \rho_1 - \dots - \rho_k]}{\Gamma_p(a - 2\rho_1 - \dots - 2\rho_k) \Gamma_p[(a+1)/2] \Gamma_p[(2a+1)/4]}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a)}, \quad \text{for } p = 2, \quad \dots (2.10)$$

from eq.(6.13) page 84 of Mathai [3], we finally have $M[{}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}]$ as given by eq. (5.1) of the authors' paper [5]. This result is different from the corresponding result in the scalar case.

THEOREM 2.3:

$${}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}(a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a) \Gamma_p(b_{k+1}) \dots \Gamma_p(b_n)} \int_{S>0} \dots (n-k+1) \dots \int_{T_n>0} e^{-\text{tr}(S+T_{k+1}+\dots+T_n)} \times$$

$$|S|^{a-(p+1)/2} |T_{k+1}|^{b_{k+1}-(p+1)/2} \dots |T_n|^{b_n-(p+1)/2} {}_0F_1[; c; -S(X_1 + \dots + X_k)] S'$$

$$-S^{1/2} (T_{k+1}^{1/2} X_{k+1} T_{k+1}^{1/2} + \dots + T_n^{1/2} X_n T_n^{1/2}) S^{1/2}] dS dT_{k+1} \dots dT_n \quad \dots (2.11)$$

for $\text{Re}(a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n) > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq. (2.11) with respect to the variables X_1, \dots, X_n and the parameters ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n respectively, we get,

$$\int_{X_1 > 0} \cdots \int_{X_n > 0} |X_1|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} \cdots |X_k|^{\rho_k - (p+1)/2} |X_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \times \\ \cdots |X_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} {}_0F_1[; c; -S(X_1 + \cdots + X_k)S' - S^{1/2}(T_{k+1}^{1/2}X_{k+1}T_{k+1}^{1/2} + \\ \cdots + T_n^{1/2}X_nT_n^{1/2})S^{1/2}]dX_1 \cdots dX_k dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_n \cdots \cdots (2.12)$$

Applying the transformations,

$$Y_1 = X_1, Y_2 = X_1 + X_2, \cdots, Y_k = X_1 + \cdots + X_k; Y_j = T_j^{1/2} X_j T_j^{1/2}, \text{ for } j = k+1, \cdots, n;$$

$$\text{with } dY_1 \cdots dY_k = dX_1 \cdots dX_k; dY_j = |T_j|^{(p+1)/2} dX_j \text{ for } j = k+1, \cdots, n \text{ and } 0 < Y_1 < Y_2 \\ < \cdots < Y_k \text{ and } Y_j > 0 \text{ (} j = k+1, \cdots, n),$$

to the expression (2.12) and integrating out Y_1, \cdots, Y_{k-1} as in the theorem (2.1) by using a type-1 Beta integral we obtain,

$$\frac{\Gamma_p(\rho_1) \cdots \Gamma_p(\rho_k)}{\Gamma_p(\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k)} |T_{k+1}|^{-\rho_{k+1}} \cdots |T_n|^{-\rho_n} \int_{Y_k > 0} \cdots (n-k+1) \cdots \int_{Y_n > 0} \times \\ |Y_k|^{\rho_1 + \cdots + \rho_k - (p+1)/2} |Y_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1} - (p+1)/2} \cdots |Y_n|^{\rho_n - (p+1)/2} {}_0F_1[; c; -SY_kS' \\ - S^{1/2}(Y_{k+1} + \cdots + Y_n)S^{1/2}]dY_k dY_{k+1} \cdots dY_n \cdots \cdots (2.13)$$

Now applying another set of transformations,

$$Z_k = SY_kS'; Z_j = S^{1/2}Y_jS^{1/2}; \text{ with } dZ_k = |S|^{p+1}dY_k; dZ_j = |S|^{(p+1)/2}dY_j;$$

$$\text{and } |Z_k| = |S|^2|Y_k|; |Z_j| = |S||Y_j|; \text{ for } j = k+1, \cdots, n$$

to the expression (2.13) and using the theorem 3.3 page 55 of Mathai [3], we get,

$$\Gamma_p(\rho_1) \cdots \Gamma_p(\rho_n) |T_{k+1}|^{-\rho_{k+1}} \cdots |T_n|^{-\rho_n} |S|^{-2\rho_1 - \cdots - 2\rho_k - \rho_{k+1} - \cdots - \rho_n} \times \\ \frac{\Gamma_p(c)}{\Gamma_p(c - \rho_1 - \cdots - \rho_n)} \cdots \cdots (2.14)$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(2.11) and then integrating out the variables S, T_{k+1}, \cdots, T_n in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral

produces $M[{}^{(k)}H_3^{(n)}]$ as given by eq. (5.1) of the authors' paper [5].

3. The $^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}$ Function of Matrix Arguments

THEOREM 3.1:

$$\begin{aligned}
 & ^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}(a, b_{k+1}, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_n; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \\
 &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(a)} \int_{S>0} e^{-\text{tr}(S)} |S|^{a-(p+1)/2} {}_0F_1(; c_1; -SX_1 S') \cdots {}_0F_1(; c_k; -SX_k S') \times \\
 & {}_1F_1(b_{k+1}; c_{k+1}; -S^{1/2} X_{k+1} S^{1/2}) \cdots {}_1F_1(b_n; c_n; -S^{1/2} X_n S^{1/2}) dS \quad \text{..... (3.1)} \\
 & \text{for } \text{Re}(a) > (p-1)/2.
 \end{aligned}$$

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq. (3.1) with respect to the variables X_1, \dots, X_n and the parameters ρ_1, \dots, ρ_n respectively, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \int_{X_1>0} \cdots \int_{X_n>0} |X_1|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} \cdots |X_k|^{\rho_k-(p+1)/2} |X_{k+1}|^{\rho_{k+1}-(p+1)/2} \times \\
 & \cdots |X_n|^{\rho_n-(p+1)/2} {}_0F_1(; c_1; -SX_1 S') \cdots {}_0F_1(; c_k; -SX_k S') \times {}_1F_1(b_{k+1}; c_{k+1}; \\
 & -S^{1/2} X_{k+1} S^{1/2}) \cdots {}_1F_1(b_n; c_n; -S^{1/2} X_n S^{1/2}) dX_1 \cdots dX_k dX_{k+1} \cdots dX_n \quad \text{..... (3.2)}
 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the following transformations to the above expression,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & Y_i = SX_i S'; Y_j = S^{1/2} X_j S^{1/2}, \text{ with } dY_i = |S|^{p+1} dX_i; dY_j = |S|^{(p+1)/2} dX_j; \text{ and} \\
 & |Y_i| = |S|^2 |X_i|; |Y_j| = |S| |X_j|; \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, k; j = k+1, \dots, n
 \end{aligned}$$

and then writing the M-transforms of the ${}_0F_1$ and ${}_1F_1$ functions we obtain,

$$\begin{aligned}
 & |S|^{-2\rho_1 - \cdots - 2\rho_k - \rho_{k+1} - \cdots - \rho_n} \frac{\Gamma_p(c_1)}{\Gamma_p(c_1 - \rho_1)} \cdots \frac{\Gamma_p(c_n)}{\Gamma_p(c_n - \rho_n)} \frac{\Gamma_p(b_{k+1} - \rho_{k+1})}{\Gamma_p(b_{k+1})} \times \\
 & \cdots \frac{\Gamma_p(b_n - \rho_n)}{\Gamma_p(b_n)} \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \cdots \Gamma_p(\rho_n) \quad \text{..... (3.3)}
 \end{aligned}$$

On substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(3.1) and integrating out the variable S in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral, the outcome is

$M[{}^{(k)}H_4^{(n)}]$ as given by eq.(4.1) of the authors' paper [6].

THEOREM 3.2: Special Cases-

$$(i) {}^{(0)}H_3^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) = F_D^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \dots\dots (3.4)$$

$$(ii) {}^{(0)}H_4^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_n; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \\ = F_A^{(n)}(a, b_1, \dots, b_n; c_1, \dots, c_n; -X_1, \dots, -X_n) \dots\dots (3.5)$$

PROOF: (i) This result is obtained by putting $k=0$ in eq.(5.1) of the authors' paper [5] and then comparing the outcome with eq.(1.4) of the authors' paper [7].

(ii) Similarly, this result can be had by putting $k=0$ in eq.(4.1) of the authors' paper [6] and then comparing the result so obtained with eq.(1.2) of the authors' paper [7].

Corrigendum: The following more should be added at the end of the statement of the theorem (5.1) of the authors' paper [5] in order to make the statement more clear and meaningful:

“and $U'_{k+1} = U_{k+1} > 0; \dots; U'_n = U_n > 0$ and $0 < U_{k+1} + \dots + U_n < I$.”

References

1. Exton H. (1976). Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications; Ellis Horwood Limited, Publishers, Chichester.
2. Mathai A.M. (1992). Jacobians of Matrix Transformations- I; Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Trivandrum , India.
3. Mathai A.M. (1993). Hypergeometric Functions of Several Matrix Arguments; Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Trivandrum , India.
4. Srivastava H.M., Karlsson P.W. (1985). Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series; Ellis Horwood Limited, Publishers, Chichester.
5. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dharmi H.S. (Nov.2001). Matrix Generalizations of Multiple Hypergeometric Functions; #1818 IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
6. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dharmi H.S. (Dec.2001). On Some Multiple Hypergeometric Functions of Several Matrix Arguments; #1821 IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
7. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dharmi H.S. (Feb.2002).On Kampé de Fériet and Lauricella Functions of Matrix Arguments-I; #1832 IMA Preprint Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
8. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dharmi H.S.(Mar. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-I. # 1848 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
9. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dharmi H.S.(Apr. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-II. # 1853 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.

10. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhama H.S.(May 2002). Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-I. # 1856 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
11. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhama H.S.(July 2002). Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-II. # 1865 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.