

Taylor Series Expansion for Solutions of the Korteweg- de Vries Equation with respect to Their Initial Values

Bing-Yu Zhang^{*†}

Institute of Mathematics and Its Applications

University of Minnesota

Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

^{*}Supported partially by 1992's Taft's Summer Research Grant

[†]On leave of absence from: Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Cincinnati, Ohio 45221

Abstract

The initial value problem for the KdV equation

$$\partial_t u + u\partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, \quad u(x, 0) = \phi(x)$$

establishes a nonlinear map K from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$. It has been known for many years that this map K is continuous [2] , [17] and is proved recently being Lipschitz continuous [23].

In this paper it is shown that the nonlinear map K is infinitely many times Frechet differentiable from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$. Furthermore, it is proved that K has a Taylor series expansion at any given $\phi \in H^s(R)$, i.e.

$$K(\phi + h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K^{(n)}(\phi)[h^n]}{n!}$$

where $K^{(n)}(\phi)$, the n-th derivative of K at ϕ , is a n linear map from the n-fold space of $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ and the series converges in the space $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ uniformly for $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$ with some $\delta > 0$. Each term $y_n = K^{(n)}(\phi)[h^n]$ in the series solves a linearized KdV equation. Thus any “small” perturbation $K(\phi + h)$ of $K(\phi)$ would be obtained by solving a series of linear problems.

In contrast the corresponding map K_p for periodic solutions of the initial value problem of the KdV equation is only known to be continuous from $H^s(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ where S is the unit length circle. This is due to the lack of smoothing effects for periodic solutions of the KdV equation. It is shown in the paper that K_p is Lipschitz continuous from $H^{s+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ and is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ for any $n \geq 1$.

The method developed in this paper applies to other nonlinear dispersive wave equations.

1 Introduction

The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation

$$\partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0$$

in one space dimension and time was originally derived in 1895 ([27]) as an approximate model for planar, uni-directional, irrotational waves propagating on the surface of shallow water. Its range of applications has broadened considerably since 1960 when a new application of the KdV equation was founded in the study of collision-free hydromagnetic waves by Gardner and Morikawa ([10]) and it now includes many physical situations which feature wave equation wherein a balance is struck between the weak effects of nonlinearity and dispersion (cf. [29], [30] and [36]). In particular, the discovery of solitons and the inverse scattering method aroused great interests in the KdV equation.

As for the initial value problem (IVP) of the KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, & x, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x), \end{cases} \quad (1.1)$$

a large amount of work has been devoted to the existence and uniqueness problems of its solutions in various function spaces. (cf. [4], [9], [11], [12], [14], [17], [28], [42] and [44]). In particular, it was established in the work of Bona and Smith [2], Bona and Scott [1], Saut and Temam [35] and Kato [17] that the IVP (1.1) is locally (resp. globally) well-posed in the classical Sobolev space $H^s(R)$ with $s > 3/2$ (resp. $s \geq 2$). Recently, based on a careful analysis of the smoothing properties of the associated linear problem and the associated maximal operator, Kenig, Ponce and Vega [22] showed that the IVP (1.1) is locally well posed in $H^s(R)$ for $s > 3/4$ and globally well posed in $H^s(R)$ for $s \geq 1$ which answered the question left open by Saut and Temam [35], and by Kato [17].

Let $s > 0$ and $T > 0$ be given. For $w : R \times [-T, T] \rightarrow R$, define

$$\lambda_1(T, w) = \sup_{[-T, T]} \|w(t)\|_s, \quad (1.2)$$

$$\lambda_2(T, w) = \sup_x \int_{-T}^T |D^s \partial_x w(x, t)|^2 dt, \quad (1.3)$$

$$\lambda_3(T, w, l) = \left(\int_{-T}^T \|J^l \partial_x w(t)\|_\infty^4 dt \right)^{\frac{1}{4}} \quad (1.4)$$

with $l \in [0, s - 3/4]$,

$$\lambda_4(T, w, r) = (1 + T)^{-\rho} \left(\int_R \sup_{[-T, T]} |J^r w(x, t)|^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (1.5)$$

with $r \in [0, s - 3/4]$ and ρ a fixed number larger than $3/4$,

$$\Lambda_{l,r}^s(T; w) = \max \{ \lambda_1(T, w), \lambda_2(T, w), \lambda_3(T, w, l), \lambda_4(T, w, r) \}, \quad (1.6)$$

and

$$X_{l,r}^{T,s} = \{ w \in C([-T, T]; H^s(R)) \mid \Lambda_{l,r}^s(T; w) < +\infty \} \quad (1.7)$$

for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$.

$X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ is a Banach space equipped with the norm

$$\|w\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} := \Lambda_{l,r}^s(T; w)$$

and is obviously a subspace of $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ with stronger topology.

According to Kenig, Ponce and Vega [22], for $s > 3/4$ and any $\psi \in H^s(R)$, there is a $T > 0$ such that the IVP (1.1) defines a nonlinear map K from a neighborhood U of ψ in $H^s(R)$ into the space $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$, i.e.

$$K(\phi) := u, \quad \text{for any } \phi \in U \quad (1.8)$$

where u is the solution of (1.1) corresponding the initial value ϕ . If $s \geq 1$, then the map K is well defined from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for any arbitrarily given $T > 0$.

Considering K as a map from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$, Bona and Smith [2] first proved that it is continuous. Later Kato [15] established the existence and uniqueness of the solution to the IVP (1.1) by applying his theory for abstract quasi-linear evolution equations. As a consequence, the continuity of the map K follows automatically. In [35], Saut and Temam showed that K is locally Hölder continuous with exponent $1/2$ while considering K as a map from $H^{s+1/2}(R)$ to $L^\infty([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ ($s \geq 2$). Recently, Kenig, Ponce and Vega [23] showed that the map K is Lipschitz continuous from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$, and hence, in particular, is Lipschitz continuous from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$.

In this paper, we shall show that K , as a nonlinear map from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$, is a C^∞ map, i.e. for any $n \geq 1$, it is n times Frechet differentiable at any $\psi \in H^s(R)$. Its n -th derivative $K^{(n)}(\psi)$ at ψ , a n -linear map from the n -fold product space $(H^s(R))^n$ into $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$, may be constructed by solving a system of inhomogeneous linearized KdV equations. More precisely, for any $n \geq 1$ and $h_k \in H^s(R)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), let

$$w_{[1,\dots,n]}^{(n)} := K^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n],$$

then $w_{[1,\dots,k]}^{(k)}$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) solve

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1]}^{(1)} + \partial_x(uw_{[1]}^{(1)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1]}^{(1)} = 0 \\ w_{[1]}^{(1)}(x, 0) = h_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.9)$$

and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1,\dots,k]}^{(k)} + \partial_x(uw_{[1,\dots,k]}^{(k)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1,\dots,k]}^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x(G_k) \\ w_{[1,\dots,k]}^{(k)}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.10)$$

for $k = 2, 3, \dots, n$ where $u = K(\psi)$ is the solution of the IVP (1.1) and G_k is a polynomial of $w_{[i_1, i_2, \dots, i_j]}^{(j)}$, $1 \leq i_1, \dots, i_j \leq k$, for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k-1$ (see section 3 for the detail of the structure of G_k).

If we let $h_1 = h_2 = \dots = h_n = h$ and denote $y_n = K^{(n)}(\psi)[h^n]$, which is a homogeneous polynomial of degree of n from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$, then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_1 + \partial_x(uy_1) + \partial_x^3 y_1 = 0 \\ y_1(x, 0) = h(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.11)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_n + \partial_x(uy_n) + \partial_x^3 y_n = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{k}{n} y_k y_{n-k}) \\ y_k(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.12)$$

for $n \geq 2$, where

$$\binom{k}{n} = \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

We may define the n -th Taylor polynomial P_n of K at $\psi \in H^s(R)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(\psi)[h] &:= K(\psi) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{K^{(n)}(\psi)}{k!} [h^k] \\ &= u + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{y_k}{k!} \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in H^s(R)$.

Let

$$z_n = K(\psi + h) - P_n(\psi)[h]$$

which is the n -th Taylor remainder of K at ψ . We shall see that z_n solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_0) + \partial_x^3 z_0 = 0 \\ z_0(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.13)$$

for $n = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_n + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_n) + \partial_x^3 z_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} z_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ z_k(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (1.14)$$

for $n \geq 1$, where $v = K(\psi + h)$, $u = K(\psi)$ and y_k ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$) are solutions of (1.11) and (1.12).

By establishing the accurate estimates of y_n and z_n , we are able to show that for any $\psi \in H^s(R)$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $h \in H^s(R)$ with $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$, then

$$K(\psi + h) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{K^{(n)}(\psi)}{n!} [h^n], \quad (1.15)$$

the series converging uniformly about h with $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$ in the space $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$. Hence the map K is an analytic map from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$. Especially, it is analytic from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$.

Note that each term in the Taylor series (1.15) is a solution of linear problem (1.11)-(1.12). Therefore, any ‘‘small’’ perturbation of a given solution of the KdV equation can be reconstructed by solving a series of linear problems

Finally we should mention that our method can be used to study differentiability of solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + H \partial_x^2 u = 0, & x, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.16)$$

where H is the Hilbert transform, and the generalized Korteweg- de Vries equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x(a(u)) + \partial_x^3 u = 0, & x, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \phi(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.17)$$

where $a(\cdot) \in C^\infty(R)$, with respect to their initial values. More precisely, we are able to show that the nonlinear map B established by the IVP (1.16) is an analytic map from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ and the nonlinear map G established by the IVP (1.17) is a C^∞ map from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$. The detail of the results concerning (1.16) and (1.17) and their proof will be given in our subsequent papers [47] and [48].

The paper is organized as follows.

— In section 2, we shall consider the IVP for the following linear equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x(a(x, t)u) + \partial_x^3 u = f(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (1.18)$$

for $x \in R$ and $t \in R$.

For given $s > 3/4$ and $T > 0$, we shall show that if $a \in X_{0,0}^{T,s}$, then for any $\psi \in H^s(R)$ and $f \in L^1([-T, T]; H^s(R))$, the IVP (1.18) has a unique solution $u \in X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ and

$$\|u\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, t)\|_s dt \right) \quad (1.19)$$

for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$ where c only depends on $\|a\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$. This would be a key estimate to prove differentiability of the map K and the convergence of the Taylor series (1.15).

— In section 3, we prove the differentiability of the map K and show that the map $K(\psi)$ can be expanded as a Taylor series in a neighborhood of any give $\phi \in H^s(R)$.

— In section 4, we consider the IVP for the periodic KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, & x \in S, \quad t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \psi \end{cases} \quad (1.20)$$

where S denotes a unit length circle.

The corresponding map K_p established by (1.20) is only known to be continuous from $H^s(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ (see [2], [17]). This is due to the lack of smoothing effects for periodic solutions of the KdV equation. On the other hand, Saut and Temam [35] showed that K_p is locally Hölder continuous with exponent $1/2$ from $H^{s+1/2}(S)$ to $L^\infty([-T, T]; H^s(S))$. We shall show that K_p is Lipschitz continuous from $H^{s+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ and is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ for any $n \geq 1$.

Notations:

– The norm in $L^2(R)$ or $L^2(S)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|$ and the norm in $H^s(R)$ or $H^s(S)$ will be denoted by $\|\cdot\|_s$. The notation $\|\cdot\|_\infty$ is used to denote the norm in $L^\infty(R)$ or $L^\infty(S)$.

– $D^s = (-\partial_x^2)^{s/2}$ and $J^s = (1 - \partial_x^2)^{s/2}$ denote the Riesz and the Bessell potential of order s respectively.

– $[A, B] = AB - BA$, where A, B are operators. Thus $[J^s; f]g = J^s(fg) - fJ^s g$ in which f is regarded as a multiplication operator.

– $H^\infty(R) := \bigcap_{s>0} H^s(R)$

– For $1 \leq p, q \leq \infty$ and $f : R \times [-T, T] \rightarrow R$,

$$\|f\|_{L_T^q L_x^p} = \left(\int_{-T}^T \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x, t)|^p dx \right)^{\frac{q}{p}} dt \right)^{\frac{1}{q}}$$

and

$$\|f\|_{L_x^p L_T^q} = \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-T}^T |f(x, t)|^q dt \right)^{\frac{p}{q}} dx \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

2 Linear Estimates

We use $\{W(t)\}_{-\infty}^{+\infty}$ to denote the unitary group which defines the solution of the IVP associated to

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v = 0, & \text{for } x, t \in R \\ v(x, 0) = v_0(x) \end{cases} \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$v(t) = W(t)v_0 = S_t * v_0$$

with $S_t(\cdot)$ defined by the oscillatory integral

$$S_t(x) = c \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} e^{ix\xi} e^{it\xi^3} d\xi.$$

Then the solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t v + \partial_x^3 v = f(x, t) \\ v(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (2.2)$$

for $x \in R, t \in R$ is expressed as

$$v(t) = \int_0^t W(t - \tau) f(\cdot, \tau) d\tau.$$

Lemma 2.1 (Kenig, Ponce and Vega) ([22]) For any $s \geq 0$,

$$\left(\sup_x \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |D^s \partial_x W(t)v_0|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq c \|v_0\|_s, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|D^{s+1/4} W(t)v_0\|_{\infty}^4 dt \right)^{1/4} \leq c \|v_0\|_s. \quad (2.4)$$

In addition, for any $s > 3/4$ and $T > 0$,

$$\left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sup_{[-T, T]} |J^l W(t)v_0|^2(x) dx \right)^{1/2} \leq c(1 + T)^\rho \|v_0\|_s \quad (2.5)$$

where $l \in [0, s - 3/4)$ and ρ is a fixed constant larger than $3/4$.

Remark 2.1 (2.3) is a stronger version of local smoothing effect of Kato type and (2.4) is the global smoothing effect of Strichartz type [41] present in solutions of (2.1). The estimate (2.5), which gives a bound for the associated maximal function $\sup_{[-T, T]} |W(t)\cdot|$, is due to Vega [46].

Lemma 2.2 For any $s \geq 0$ and $T > 0$,

$$\|W(t)v_0\|_s = \|v_0\|_s, \quad t \in [-T, T] \quad (2.6)$$

and

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \left\| \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau \right\|_s \leq \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau. \quad (2.7)$$

Proof: (2.6) and (2.7) follow easily from Kato ([17], Lemma 3.1).

Lemma 2.3 For any $s \geq 0$ and $T > 0$,

$$\|D_x^s \partial_x \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \leq c \|f\|_{L^1([-T, T]; H^s(\mathbb{R}))} \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$\|D^{s+\frac{1}{4}} \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^4} \leq c \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau. \quad (2.9)$$

If $s > 3/4$, then

$$\|J^l \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^2 L_T^\infty} \leq c(1+T)^\rho \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau \quad (2.10)$$

where $l \in [0, s - 3/4)$ and ρ is a fixed constant larger than $3/4$.

Proof: (2.8) follows from (2.3) by using the Minkowski's integral inequality.

$$\begin{aligned} & \|D_x^s \partial_x \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \leq \left\| \int_0^t |D_x^s \partial_x W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)| d\tau \right\|_{L_x^\infty L_T^2} \\ & \leq \sup_x \left(\int_{-T}^T \left(\int_{-T}^T |D_x^s \partial_x W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)| d\tau \right)^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \\ & \leq \sup_x \int_{-T}^T \left(\int_{-T}^T |D_x^s \partial_x W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ & \leq \int_{-T}^T \sup_x \left(\int_{-T}^T |D_x^s \partial_x W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} d\tau \\ & \leq c \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau \quad (\text{by (2.3)}). \end{aligned}$$

(2.9) and (2.10) follow similarly from (2.5) and (2.4) respectively. The proof is completed. \square

Lemma 2.4 *Let $s > 1/2$ and $T > 0$ be given and $X_{0,0}^{T,s}$ denote the Banach space defined by (1.6) and (1.7). Then*

$$\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s dt \leq cT^{1/2}(1+T)^\rho \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}} \|v\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}} \quad (2.11)$$

for any $u, v \in X_{0,0}^{T,s}$ where c is a constant independent of u and v .

Proof:

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s &= \|J^s(v\partial_x u + u\partial_x v)\| \\ &\leq \|J^s(v\partial_x u)\| + \|J^s(u\partial_x v)\|. \end{aligned}$$

Applying the commutator estimates due to Kato and Ponce [18],

$$\begin{aligned} \|J^s(v\partial_x u)\| &= \|vD^s\partial_x u + v(J^s - D^s)\partial_x u + [J^s; v]\partial_x u\| \\ &\leq \|vD^s\partial_x u\| + \|v(J^s - D^s)\partial_x u\| + \|[J^s; v]\partial_x u\| \\ &\leq \|vD^s\partial_x u\| + \|v\|_\infty \|u\|_s + c \{ \|\partial_x v\|_\infty \|u\|_s + \|v\|_s \|\partial_x u\|_\infty \}. \end{aligned}$$

It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T \|vD^s\partial_x u\| d\tau &\leq (2T)^{1/2} \left(\int_{-T}^T \|vD^s\partial_x u\|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (2T)^{1/2} \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}} \sup_{[-T,T]} |v(x,t)|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \left(\sup_x \int_{-T}^T |D^s\partial_x u|^2 d\tau \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq (2T)^{1/2} (1+T)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-T}^T \|v\|_\infty \|u\|_s d\tau &\leq \sup_{[-T,T]} \|v\|_s \int_{-T}^T \|u\|_s d\tau \\ &\leq 2T \sup_{[-T,T]} \|v\|_s \sup_{[-T,T]} \|u\|_s \\ &\leq 2T \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x v\| \|u\|_s d\tau &\leq \sup_{[-T,T]} \|u\|_s \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x v\|_\infty d\tau \\
&\leq (2T)^{3/4} \left(\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x v\|_\infty^4 d\tau \right)^{1/4} \sup_{[-T,T]} \|u\|_s \\
&\leq (2T)^{3/4} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x u\|_\infty \|v\|_s d\tau \leq (2T)^{3/4} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v).$$

Thus

$$\int_{-T}^T \|J^s(v \partial_x u)\| d\tau \leq c T^{1/2} (1+T)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v)$$

for some $c > 0$ independent of u, v and T . The same argument leads to

$$\int_{-T}^T \|J^s(u \partial_x v)\| d\tau \leq c T^{1/2} (1+T)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v).$$

Therefore

$$\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s d\tau \leq c T^{1/2} (1+T)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; u) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; v).$$

The proof is completed. \square

Now we consider the IVP of the following linear equation:

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x(au) + \partial_x^3 u = f(x, t) \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (2.12)$$

for $x, t \in R$ where $a = a(x, t)$

Theorem 2.1 *Let $s > 3/4$, $T > 0$ and $a \in X_{0,0}^{T,s}$ be given. Then for any $f \in L^1([-T, T]; H^s(R))$ and $\psi \in H^s(R)$, there exists a unique solution $u \in X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ to the IVP (2.12) and*

$$\|u\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau \right) \quad (2.13)$$

for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4})$ where the constant $c = c(\|a\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}})$ depends on $\|a\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$ continuously.

Proof: We use the contraction principle argument that Kenig, Ponce and Vega used in [23].

For any given $\psi \in H^s(R)$ and $f \in L^1([-T, T]; H^s(R))$, denote by $u = \Phi(v)$, the solution of the IVP for the following linear inhomogeneous equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x^3 u = f - \partial_x(av) \\ u(x, 0) = \psi \end{cases} \quad (2.14)$$

where

$$v \in S_b^T = \{w \in X_{0,0}^{T,s} \mid \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; w) < b\}$$

for some $b > 0$ to be determined.

We shall show that there exists a $b = b(\|\psi\|_s, \|f\|_{L^1([-T, T]; H^s(R))}) > 0$ and a $T^* > 0$ such that $u = \Phi(v) \in S_b^{T^*}$ if $v \in S_b^{T^*}$ and

$$\Phi : S_b^{T^*} \rightarrow S_b^{T^*}$$

is a contraction.

Consider the integral equation form of the IVP (2.14),

$$u(t) = W(t)\psi + \int_0^t W(t-\tau)f(\cdot, \tau)d\tau - \int_0^t W(t-\tau)\partial_x(av)d\tau. \quad (2.15)$$

Applying (2.3) –(2.10) to (2.15) lead to

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{l,r}^s(t; u) &\leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-t}^t \|f\|_s d\tau \right) + \int_{-t}^t \|\partial_x(av)\|_s d\tau \\ &\leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f\|_s d\tau \right) + ct^{1/2}(1+t)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; a) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(t; v) \end{aligned} \quad (2.16)$$

for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$. In particular,

$$\Lambda_{0,0}^s(t; u) \leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f\|_s d\tau \right) + ct^{1/2}(1+t)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; a) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(t; v).$$

If we choose

$$b = 2c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f\|_s d\tau \right)$$

and $T^* \leq T$ such that

$$c\sqrt{T^*}(1 + T^*)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; a) = \frac{1}{2} \quad (2.17)$$

then

$$\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T^*; u) \leq b \quad (2.18)$$

Thus, Φ is a map from $S_b^{T^*}$ to $S_b^{T^*}$.

For any $v_1, v_2 \in S_b^{T^*}$, let $w = \Phi(v_1) - \Phi(v_2)$. Then

$$w(t) = - \int_0^t W(t - \tau) \partial_x(a(v_1 - v_2)) d\tau.$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T^*; w) &\leq c \int_{-T^*}^{T^*} \|\partial_x(a(v_1 - v_2))\|_s d\tau \\ &\leq c\sqrt{T^*}(1 + T^*)^\rho \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; a) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T^*; v_1 - v_2) \end{aligned}$$

and by (2.17)

$$\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T^*; w) \leq \frac{1}{2} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T^*; v_1 - v_2) \quad (2.19)$$

Consequently, by (2.18) and (2.19), we have that there exists a unique $u \in S_b^{T^*}$ with

$$\Phi(u) = u$$

i.e.,

$$u(t) = W(t)\psi - \int_0^t W(t - \tau) \partial_x(au)(\tau) d\tau + \int_0^t W(t - \tau) f(\cdot, \tau) d\tau \quad (2.20)$$

for $-T^* \leq t \leq T^* \leq T$.

Then it follows from (2.16) and (2.17) that

$$\Lambda_{l,r}^s(T^*; u) \leq c \left(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f\|_s d\tau \right) \quad (2.21)$$

for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$. Note that T^* determined by (2.17) only depends on $\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; a)$ and not depends on ψ and f . Thus a standard argument shows that T^* can be extended to $T^* = T$. That is to say, (2.20) and (2.21) are true for $-T \leq t \leq T$. The proof is completed. \square

3 Taylor series expansion

Let X, Y be two Banach spaces. An n -linear map from X to Y is a map from the n -fold product space X^n into Y such that

$$x_k \rightarrow f(x_1, \dots, x_n)$$

is linear for each fixed $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k-1}, x_{k+1}, \dots, x_n)$, for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A homogeneous polynomial of degree n from X to Y is a map of the form $x \rightarrow f(x, \dots, x)$, for some n -linea map f .

A map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is Frechet differentiable at a point $x_0 \in X$ if there exists a continuous linear map $f'(x_0) : X \rightarrow Y$ so that

$$\|f(x) - f(x_0) - f'(x_0)(x - x_0)\|_Y = o(\|x - x_0\|_X)$$

as $x \rightarrow x_0$ where $f'(x_0) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is called the Frechet derivative of f at x_0 . f is said twice differentiable at x_0 if f is differentiable at each point in a neighborhood of x_0 and $x \rightarrow f'(x) \in \mathcal{L}(X, Y)$ is differentiable at x_0 ; and so on.

A map $f : U \subset X \rightarrow Y$, U open, is analytic in U if f is infinitely often differentiable at each point of U and if, for each $x \in U$, there exists $\delta = \delta(x) > 0$ so that whenever $\|h\|_X \leq \delta$,

$$f(x + h) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k!} f^{(k)}(x)[h^k],$$

the series converging in Y -norm uniformly in $\|h\|_X \leq \delta$.

Consider the IVP for the KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, & x, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (3.1)$$

According to Kenig, Ponce and Vega ([22], Theorem 1.1), for any $\phi \in H^s(R)$, $s > 3/4$, there is a $T > 0$ and a neighborhood U of ϕ in $H^s(R)$ such that (3.1) defines a nonlinear map $K : U \rightarrow X_{l,r}^{T,s}$

$$u := K(\psi)$$

for any $\psi \in U$ where u is the solution of (3.1) and $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$.

We shall show in this section that K is not only infinitely many Frechet differentiable, but also analytic in U .

First of all, if the map K is assumed n times differentiable, then its n -th order derivative $K^{(n)}(\psi)$ at $\psi \in U$ is a symmetric n -linear map from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ and for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H^s(R)$,

$$K^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n] = \left\{ \frac{\partial^n}{\partial \xi_1 \dots \partial \xi_n} K(\psi + \sum_{k=1}^n \xi_k h_k) \right\}_{0, \dots, 0}.$$

Similarly, the homogeneous polynomial $K^{(n)}(\psi)[h^n]$ of degree n induced by $K^{(n)}(\psi)$ is given by

$$K^{(n)}(\psi)[h^n] = \left\{ \frac{d^n}{d\xi^n} K(\psi + \xi h) \right\}_{\xi=0}$$

for any $h \in H^s(R)$.

If we denote by

$$w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} = K^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n] \quad \text{and} \quad y_n = K^{(n)}(\psi)[h^n],$$

then it is easy to see that $w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)}$ solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1]}^{(1)} + \partial_x (u w_{[1]}^{(1)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1]}^{(1)} = 0 \\ w_{[1]}^{(1)}(x, 0) = h_1 \end{cases} \quad (3.2)$$

for $n = 1$ where $u = K(\psi)$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} + \partial_x (u w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x (\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} w_{[i_1, \dots, i_j]}^{(j)} w_{[\sigma_n(i_1, \dots, i_j)]}^{(n-j)}) \\ w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.3)$$

for $n \geq 2$, where $\sigma_n(i_1, \dots, i_j) = (k_1, \dots, k_{n-j}) \leq n$ with

$$1 \leq k_1 < \dots < k_{n-j} \quad \text{and} \quad \{k_1, \dots, k_{n-j}\} \cap \{i_1, \dots, i_j\} = \emptyset.$$

As for y_n , it solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_1 + \partial_x (u y_1) + \partial_x^3 y_1 = 0 \\ y_1(x, 0) = h \end{cases} \quad (3.4)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_n + \partial_x (u y_n) + \partial_x^3 y_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{k}{n} y_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ y_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.5)$$

for $n \geq 2$.

On the other hand, we have

Proposition 3.1 *For any $u \in X_{0,0}^{T,s}$, (3.2) and (3.3) define a symmetric n -linear map $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)$ from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$ and In addition,*

$$\|\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)[h_1, \dots, h_n]\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c(n, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \prod_{k=1}^n \|h_k\|_s \quad (3.6)$$

for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H^s(R)$ where $c(n, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}})$ continuously depends on $\|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$.

Proof: The existence of the n -linear map $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}$ follows from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 easily. We just verify (3.6).

(3.6) is true for $n = 1$ by applying (2.13) to (3.2). Assume that (3.6) is true for $n \leq m$. Then applying Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 to (3.3) with $n = m + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|w_{[1, \dots, m+1]}^{(m+1)}\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq m+1} \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x (w_{[i_1, \dots, i_j]}^{(j)} w_{[\sigma_{m+1}(i_1, \dots, i_j)]}^{(m+1-j)})\|_s d\tau \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq m+1} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; w_{[i_1, \dots, i_j]}^{(j)}) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; w_{[\sigma_{m+1}(i_1, \dots, i_j)]}^{(m+1-j)}) \\ &\leq c \sum_{j=1}^m \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq m+1} c(j, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) c(m+1-j, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} \|h_k\|_s \\ &:= c(m+1, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \prod_{k=1}^{m+1} \|h_k\|_s. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, (3.6) is proved by induction. The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 3.1 For any $u \in X_{l,r}^{T,s}$, (3.4) and (3.5) define a homogeneous polynomial $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)[h^n]$ of degree n which is induced from $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)$ and

$$\|y_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} = \|\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)[h^n]\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c(n, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \|h\|_s^n \quad (3.7)$$

for any $h \in H^s(R)$.

Now, formally, we may define the n -th Taylor polynomial $P_n(h)$ of K at $\psi \in U \subset H^s(R)$ as

$$\begin{aligned} P_n(h) &= K(\psi) + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(u)[h^k] \\ &= u + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} y_k \end{aligned}$$

where $u = K(\psi)$ and y_n is the solution of (3.4) and (3.5).

Proposition 3.2 Let z_n denote the n -th Taylor remainder of K at ψ , i.e.

$$z_n = K(\psi + h) - P_n(h),$$

then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((v+u)z_0) + \partial_x^3 z_0 = 0 \\ z_0(x, 0) = h(x) \end{cases} \quad (3.8)$$

for $n = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_n + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_n) + \partial_x^3 z_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} z_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ z_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.9)$$

for $n \geq 1$ where $v = K(\psi + h)$ and $u = K(\psi)$.

Proof: (3.8) follows from direct computation. We prove (3.9) by induction. It is easy to see that (3.9) is true for $n = 1$. Assume that (3.9) is true for $n = m$, i.e.

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_m + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_m) + \partial_x^3 z_m = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} z_k y_{m-k} \right) \\ z_m(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} .$$

Let

$$z_{m+1} = z_m - \frac{1}{(m+1)!} y_{m+1}$$

where y_{m+1} is the solution of (3.5) with $n = m + 1$.

Then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_{m+1}) + \partial_x^3 z_{m+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x(H) \\ z_{m+1}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{(m+1)!} z_0 y_{m+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} z_k y_{m-k} - \\ & - \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \sum_{k=0}^m \binom{k}{m+1} y_k y_{m+1-k}. \end{aligned}$$

The direct computation shows that

$$\begin{aligned} H = & \frac{1}{(m+1)!} z_0 y_{m+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} (z_k - \frac{1}{(k+1)!} y_{k+1}) y_{m-k} + \\ & + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} \frac{1}{(k+1)!} y_{k+1} y_{m-k} - \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{k}{m+1} y_k y_{m+1-k} \\ = & \frac{1}{(m+1)!} z_0 z_{m+1} + \sum_{k=0}^{m-1} \frac{1}{(m-k)!} z_{k+1} y_{m-k} + \\ & + \sum_{k=1}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)! k!} y_k y_{m+1-k} - \frac{1}{(m+1)!} \sum_{k=1}^m \binom{k}{m+1} y_k y_{m+1-k} \\ = & \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} z_k y_{m+1-k} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_{m+1} + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u+v)z_{m+1}) + \partial_x^3 z_{m+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x(\sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} z_k y_{m+1-k}) \\ z_{m+1}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases}$$

which is (3.9) for $n = m + 1$. The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 3.1 *Let $s > \frac{3}{4}$. Then, for any $\phi^* \in H^s(R)$, there exist a $T > 0$ and a neighborhood U of ϕ^* in $H^s(R)$ such that the nonlinear map K defined by the IVP (1.1) is infinitely many time Frechet differentiable in U from $H^s(R)$ to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$. Its n -th derivative $K^{(n)}$ at $\psi \in U$ is given by*

$$K^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n] = \mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)[h_1, \dots, h_n]$$

for any $h_1, \dots, h_n \in H^s(R)$ where $\mathcal{K}^{(n)}(u)$ is defined by (3.2) and (3.3) with $u = K(\psi)$.

Proof: We only need to prove that for any $\psi \in U$,

$$K(\phi + h) = \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{1}{k!} \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k] + o(\|h\|_s^n)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ in $H^s(R)$ uniformly for $\|\phi - \psi\|_s \leq \|h\|_s$ by the Converse Taylor Theorem (see [8]).

Let

$$v = K(\phi + h), \quad u = K(\phi), \quad y^{(k)} = \mathcal{K}^{(k)}(u)[h^k]$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$ and

$$z_0 = v - u, \quad z_1 = z_0 - y_0, \quad z_n = z_{n-1} - \frac{1}{n!} y_n.$$

Then, by Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_0) + \partial_x^3 z_0 = 0 \\ z_0(x, 0) = h \end{cases} \quad (3.10)$$

for $n = 0$,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_n + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_n) + \partial_x^3 z_n - \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} z_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ z_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.11)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

Choose $\delta_1 > 0$ such that

$$S_{\delta_1}(\psi) = \{\phi \in H^s(R), \|\phi - \psi\|_s \leq \delta_1\} \subset U.$$

Note that $K(\phi)$ is bounded on $S_{\delta_1}(\psi)$ since K is continuous.

By Corollary 3.1,

$$\|y_k\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c(k, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \|h\|_s^k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

where $u = K(\phi)$ and $c(k, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}})$ is uniformly bounded on $S_{\delta_1}(\psi)$. It suffices to prove that

$$\|z_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq \beta(n) \|h\|_s^{n+1} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\beta(n)$ is uniformly bounded for $\phi \in S_{\delta_1}(\psi)$. We verify this by induction. It is easy to obtain (3.12) for $n = 0$ by using Theorem 2.1 to (3.10). Suppose (3.12) is true for $n \leq m$. Then applying Theorem 2.1 to (3.11) with $n = m + 1$, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{m+1}\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(z_k y_{m+1-k})\|_s \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; z_k) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T; y_{m+1-k}) \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^m \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} \beta(k) \|h\|_s^{k+1} c(m+1-k, \|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}) \|h\|_s^{m+1-k} \\ &:= \beta(m+1) \|h\|_s^{m+2}. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 3.2 (Taylor's Formula) *For any $\phi \in U$ and $h \in H^s(\mathbb{R})$ satisfying*

$$\phi + \xi h \in U, \quad \text{for any } \xi \in (0, 1),$$

$$K(\phi + h) = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{j!} K^{(j)}(\phi)[h^j] + \int_0^1 \frac{(1-\xi)^{n-1}}{n!} K^{(n)}(\phi + \xi h)[h^n] d\xi$$

with any $n \geq 1$.

Proof: cf. Theorem 8.14.3 in [7].

Next we show that the map K is analytic from U to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ with $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$, i.e. for any $\phi \in U$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$K(\phi + h) = K(\phi) + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} K^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k]$$

for any $h \in H^s(R)$ with $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$, and the series is uniformly convergent in $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$.

We begin with improving the estimate (3.7).

Proposition 3.3 *Let $u \in X_{0,0}^{T,s}$ be given and y_n is the solution of (3.4)-(3.5). Then there is a constant $c > 0$ only depending on $\|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$ and a sequence $\alpha(n)$ defined as*

$$\begin{cases} \alpha(1) = 1 \\ \alpha(n) = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \alpha(k)\alpha(n-k), \quad \text{for } n \geq 2 \end{cases} \quad (3.13)$$

such that

$$\|y_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c^n \alpha(n) n! \|h\|_s^n. \quad (3.14)$$

for any given $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$.

Proof: Let $q_n = \frac{y_n}{n!}$. It solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q_1 + \partial_x(uq_1) + \partial_x^3 q_1 = 0 \\ q_1(x, 0) = h \end{cases} \quad (3.15)$$

for $n \geq 1$, and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t q_n + \partial_x(uq_n) + \partial_x^3 q_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} q_k q_{n-k}) \\ q_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.16)$$

for $n \geq 2$. Applying Theorem 2.1 to (3.15) yields

$$\|q_1\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c_1 \|h\|_s \quad (3.17)$$

for some $c_1 > 0$ depending only on $\|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$. Then, by applying Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 to (3.16) with $n = 2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_2\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq c_1 \frac{1}{2} \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(q_1^2)\|_s d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{2} \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, q_1)^2 \\ &\leq \frac{c_1^3 c_2}{2} \|h\|_s^2 \quad \text{by (3.17)} \end{aligned}$$

for some $c_2 > 0$ independent of u and h . If we assume that

$$\|q_m\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq \frac{c_1^{2m-1} c_2^{m-1}}{2^{m-1}} \alpha(m) \|h\|_s^m$$

for $1 \leq m \leq N$, then, similarly, applying Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 to (3.16) with $n = N + 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|q_{N+1}\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq \frac{c_1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(q_k q_{N+1-k})\| d\tau \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{2} \sum_{k=1}^N \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, q_k) \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, q_{N+1-k}) \\ &\leq \frac{c_1 c_2}{2} \frac{c_1^{2m} c_2^{N-1}}{2^N} \|h\|_s^{N+1} \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha(k) \alpha(N+1-k) \\ &= \frac{c_1^{2(N+1)-1} c_2^N}{2^m} \|h\|_s^{N+1} \alpha(N+1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved by induction that

$$\|q_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq \frac{c_1^{2n-1} c_2^n}{2^n} \alpha(n) \|h\|_s^n,$$

which is (3.14) by choosing $c^n = \frac{c_1^{2n+1} c_2^n}{2^n}$. The proof is completed, \square

Proposition 3.4 *For the sequence $\alpha(n)$ defined by (3.13), we have*

$$\alpha(n) = \frac{2^{n-1} (2n-3)!!}{n!} \tag{3.18}$$

where

$$(2n-3)!! = (2n-3)(2n-5)\dots(3)(1).$$

Thus

$$\alpha(n) \leq \frac{4^{n-1}}{n}, \quad \text{for any } n \geq 2$$

or more precisely, by Sterling's formula

$$\alpha(n) \sim \frac{2^{2n-1}}{\sqrt{\pi n} (2n-1)} \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof: Let

$$\beta_1 = 1 \text{ and } \beta_n = \frac{2^{n-1}(2n-3)!!}{n!}$$

for $n \geq 2$. Define

$$y(x) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_n x^n$$

which is convergent for any $|x| < 1/4$. It is easy to check that

$$y(x) = \frac{1}{2} - x - \frac{(1-4x)^{1/2}}{2}$$

and

$$y(x) = (x + y(x))^2$$

for any $|x| < \frac{1}{4}$. Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (x + y(x))^2 &= \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \beta_n x^n \right)^2 \\ &= \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k \beta_{n-k} \right) x^n \end{aligned}$$

for any $|x| < 1/4$, i.e.

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \beta_n x^n = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k \beta_{n-k} \right) x^n,$$

which implies that

$$\beta_n = \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \beta_k \beta_{n-k}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. Thus

$$\alpha(n) = \beta_n = \frac{2^{n-1}(2n-3)!!}{n!}$$

for $n = 2, 3, \dots$. The proof is completed. \square

Corollary 3.3 *Under the assumption of Proposition 3.4,*

$$\|y_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c^n n! \|h\|_s^n \tag{3.19}$$

for $n \geq 1$ where c is a constant only depending on $\|u\|_{X_{0,0}^{T,s}}$.

Theorem 3.2 *Let $s > 3/4$ be given. For any $\psi^* \in H^s(R)$, there is a $T > 0$ and a neighborhood U of ψ^* in $H^s(R)$ such that the IVP (1.1) defines an analytic nonlinear map K from U to $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for any $(l, r) \in [0, s - \frac{3}{4}] \times [0, s - \frac{3}{4}]$, i.e., for any $\phi \in U$, there is a $\delta > 0$ such that if $h \in H^s(R)$ with $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$, then*

$$K(\phi + h) = K(\phi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} K^{(n)}(\phi)[h^n] \quad (3.20)$$

where the series (3.20) converges uniformly for $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$ in the space $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$. If we let $y_k = K^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k]$, $u = K(\phi)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_1 + \partial_x(u y_1) + \partial_x^3 y_1 = 0 \\ y_1(x, 0) = h(x) \end{cases} \quad (3.21)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_n + \partial_x(u y_n) + \partial_x^3 y_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{k}{n} y_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ y_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.22)$$

for $n \geq 2$.

Proof: Denote by $v = K(\phi + h)$ with $\|h\|_s \leq 1$, $z_0 = v - u$ and

$$z_n = z_{n-1} - \frac{1}{n!} y_n \text{ for } n \geq 1.$$

By Proposition 3.2,

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_0) + \partial_x^3 z_0 = 0 \\ z_0(x, 0) = h \end{cases} \quad (3.23)$$

for $n = 0$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_n + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_n) + \partial_x^3 z_n = \frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} z_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ z_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (3.24)$$

for $n \geq 1$.

It is easy to see by applying Theorem 2.1 to (3.23) that

$$\|z_0\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c\|h\|_s$$

Similarly, applying Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 to (3.24) with $n = 1$ yields

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_1\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq c\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, z_0)\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, y_1) \\ &\leq c^3\|h\|_s^2 \end{aligned}$$

We assume that for $2 \leq k \leq m$,

$$\|z_k\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq 2^{(k-1)}c^{2k+1}\|h\|_s^{k+1} \quad (3.25)$$

Then, applying Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.4 to (3.24) with $n = m + 1$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|z_{m+1}\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^m \Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, z_k)\Lambda_{0,0}^s(T, y_{m+1-k}) \frac{1}{(m+1-k)!} \\ &\leq c^2\|h\|_s c^{m+1}\|h\|_s^{m+1} + c \sum_{k=1}^m 2^{k-1}c^{2k+1}c^{m+1-k}\|h\|_s^{k+1}\|h\|_s^{m+1-k} \\ &\leq c^{2(m+1)+1}\|h\|_s^{m+1+1} \left(1 + \sum_{k=1}^m 2^{k-1}\right) \\ &= c^{2(m+1)+1}2^{m+1-1}\|h\|_s^{m+1+1} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we prove by induction that

$$\|z_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \leq c^{2n+1}2^{n-1}\|h\|_s^{n+1}$$

for $n \geq 1$ where the constant $c > 0$ only depends on $u = K(\phi)$, and therefore only on $\phi \in H^s(R)$.

If we choose $\delta = \frac{1}{4c^2}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \|K(\psi + h) - K(\psi) - \sum_{k=1}^n K^{(k)}(\psi)[h^k]\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} &= \|z_n\|_{X_{l,r}^{T,s}} \\ &\leq c^{(2n+1)}2^{n-1}\|h\|_s^{n+1} \\ &\leq \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \end{aligned}$$

for any h with $\|h\|_s < \delta$. This implies that the series (3.20) is uniformly convergent in $X_{l,r}^{T,s}$ for any $h \in H^s(R)$ with $\|h\|_s \leq \delta$. The proof is completed. \square .

Corollary 3.4 *For given $T > 0$ and $s \geq 1$, the map K defined by the IVP (1.1) is analytic from $H^s(R)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(R))$.*

4 Periodic KdV equation

In this section, we consider the IVP for the periodic KdV equation

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + u \partial_x u + \partial_x^3 u = 0, & x \in S, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.1)$$

where S is a unit circle. Let $H^s(S)$ denote the real Sobolev space of order s ($s \geq 0$) which may be characterised as the space of real periodic functions

$$v(x) = \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} v_k \exp(2i\pi kx)$$

such that

$$\left\{ \sum_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (1 + k^2)^s |v_k|^2 \right\}^{1/2} < +\infty. \quad (4.2)$$

The left-hand side of (4.2) is a Hilbert norm for $H^s(S)$ denoted also $\|v\|_s$.

It is well-known (cf. [2] and [35]) that for any $T > 0$ and $\psi \in H^s(S)$ ($s \geq 2$), there is a unique solution $u \in C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ to (4.1). Therefore (4.1) defines a nonlinear map K_p from $H^s(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ for any $T > 0$. K_p is also known being continuous ([2], [17]) for many years. Saut and Temam [35] showed that K_p is Hölder continuous with exponent 1/2 if it is considered as a map from $H^{s+1/2}(S)$ to $L^\infty(0, T; H^s(S))$.

We shall show in this section that K_p is Lipschitz continuous from $H^{s+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T], H^s(S))$ and is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ for any $n \geq 1$.

The following “fractional type Leibniz formula” is due to Saut and Temam [35].

Lemma 4.1 *Let $u, v \in H^s(S)$, $s > 1$, $r > 1/2$. Then*

$$\|D^s(uv) - uD^s v\| \leq c(r, s) \{ \|u\|_s \|v\|_r + \|u\|_{r+1} \|v\|_{s-1} \} \quad (4.3)$$

As an application of Lemma 4.1, we have

Lemma 4.2 *Let $T > 0$ and $s > 1$ be given. Then*

$$\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s d\tau \leq cT \sup_{[-T,T]} \|u\|_{s+1} \sup_{[-T,T]} \|v\|_{s+1} \quad (4.4)$$

for any $u, v \in C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ where c is independent of u, v and T .

Proof: It is easy to see that

$$\begin{aligned} \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s &\leq \|v\partial_x u\|_s + \|u\partial_x v\|_s \\ &\leq c\{\|D^s(v\partial_x u)\| + \|D^s(u\partial_x v)\| + \|v\partial_x u\| + \|u\partial_x v\|\}. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \|D^s(v\partial_x u)\| &= \|vD^s\partial_x u + D^s(v\partial_x u) - vD^s\partial_x u\| \\ &\leq \|vD^s\partial_x u\| + \|D^s(v\partial_x u) - vD^s\partial_x u\| \\ &\leq \|v\|_1 \|u\|_{s+1} + c(r, s)\{\|v\|_s \|\partial_x u\|_r + \|v\|_{r+1} \|u\|_s\} \\ &\leq c\|v\|_{s+1} \|u\|_{s+1} \end{aligned}$$

for some constant $c > 0$. Similarly,

$$\|D^s(u\partial_x v)\| \leq c\|u\|_{s+1} \|v\|_{s+1}$$

Hence

$$\|\partial_x(uv)\|_s \leq c\|u\|_{s+1} \|v\|_{s+1}$$

and

$$\int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(uv)\|_s d\tau \leq cT \sup_{[-T,T]} \|u\|_{s+1} \sup_{[-T,T]} \|v\|_{s+1}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Consider the following linear problem

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t u + \partial_x(a(x, t)u) + \partial_x^3 u = f, & x \in S, t \in R \\ u(x, 0) = \psi(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.5)$$

The following proposition is parallel to Theorem 2.1.

Proposition 4.1 *Let $s \geq 2$, $T > 0$ and $a = a(x, t) \in C([-T, T]; H^{s+1}(S))$ be given. If $\psi \in H^s(S)$ and $f \in L^1([-T, T]; H^s(S))$, then there exists a unique solution $u \in C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ to (4.5). Moreover,*

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_s \leq \beta \left\{ \|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f(\cdot, \tau)\|_s d\tau \right\} \quad (4.6)$$

where β depends only on $\sup_{[-T, T]} \|a(\cdot, t)\|_{s+1}$ continuously.

Proof: The existence and uniqueness of the solution u follow from the standard semigroup theory (cf. [15]). We only need to prove (4.6).

Without loss of generality, we may assume that a , ψ and f are smooth functions, and therefore the solution u is smooth. Otherwise, we replace them by smooth ϵ approximation a_ϵ , ψ_ϵ and f_ϵ , respectively, and let $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ after the estimate is obtained.

Applying D^s to each member of the first equation of (4.5) and taking the L^2 -scalar product with $D^s u$, we get

$$\frac{1}{2} \frac{d}{dt} \|D^s u\|^2 + (D^s \partial_x (au), D^s u) = (D^s f, D^s u)$$

where (\cdot, \cdot) denotes the inner product in $L^2(S)$. Note that

$$(D^s \partial_x (au), D^s u) = (D^s (u \partial_x a), D^s u) + (D^s (a \partial_x u), D^s u),$$

$$\begin{aligned} (D^s (a \partial_x u), D^s u) &= (a D^s \partial_x u, D^s u) + ([D^s; a] \partial_x u, D^s u) \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} (\partial_x a D^s u, D^s u) + ([D^s; a] \partial_x u, D^s u) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(D^s (u \partial_x a), D^s u) = (u D^s \partial_x a, D^s u) + ([D^s; u] \partial_x a, D^s u).$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\begin{aligned} |(D^s (a \partial_x u), D^s u)| &\leq \frac{1}{2} |(\partial_x a D^s u, D^s u)| + |([D^s; u] \partial_x u, D^s u)| \\ &\leq c \left\{ \|\partial_x a\|_\infty \|D^s u\|^2 + \|a\|_s \|u\|_{1+r} \|D^s u\| + \right. \\ &\quad \left. \|\partial_x u\|_{1+r} \|u\|_{s-1} \|D^s u\| \right\} \\ &\leq c \left\{ \|a\|_{s+1} \|D^s u\|^2 + \|a\|_s \|u\|_s^2 + \|a\|_{s+1} \|u\|_s^2 \right\} \\ &\leq c \|a\|_{s+1} \|u\|_s^2 \end{aligned}$$

and similarly,

$$|(D^s(u\partial_x a), D^s u)| \leq c\|a\|_{s+1}\|u\|_s^2.$$

Thus

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_s \leq c\|a\|_{s+1}\|u\|_s + \|f\|_s$$

which implies that

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \|u(\cdot, t)\|_s \leq c(\|\psi\|_s + \int_{-T}^T \|f\|_s d\tau) \exp \left\{ \int_{-T}^T \|a\|_{s+1} d\tau \right\}.$$

The proof is completed. \square

Theorem 4.1 *Let $s \geq 2$ and $T > 0$ be given. Then*

(i) *The nonlinear map K_p defined by the IVP (4.1) is Lipschitz continuous from $H^{s+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$.*

(ii). *The map K_p is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ for any $n \geq 1$.*

(iii). *For any given $\psi \in H^{s+1+n}(S)$, let*

$$w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} = K_p^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n].$$

where $h_k \in H^{s+n+1}(S)$ ($k = 1, 2, \dots, n$), then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1]}^{(1)} + \partial_x(uw_{[1]}^{(1)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1]}^{(1)} = 0, & x \in S, t \in R \\ w_{[1]}^{(1)}(x, 0) = h_1(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.7)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} + \partial_x(uw_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)}) + \partial_x^3 w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} = -\frac{1}{2}\partial_x(\sum_{j=1}^{n-1} \sum_{1 \leq i_1 < \dots < i_j \leq n} w_{[i_1, \dots, i_j]}^{(j)} w_{[\sigma_n(i_1, \dots, i_j)]}^{(n-j)}) \\ w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)}(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.8)$$

with $x \in S, t \in R$ for $n \geq 2$.

Denote by

$$y_n = K_p^{(n)}(\psi)[h^n]$$

with $h \in H^{s+n+1}(S)$, then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_1 + \partial_x(u y_1) + \partial_x^3 y_1 = 0, & x \in S, t \in R \\ y_1(x, 0) = h(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.9)$$

for $n = 1$ and

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t y_n + \partial_x(u y_n) + \partial_x^3 y_n = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \binom{k}{n} y_k y_{n-k} \right) \\ y_n(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.10)$$

for $n \geq 2$ where $u = K_p(\psi)$ is the solution of (4.1).

Proof: Let $v = k_p(\psi + h)$ and $z_0 = v - u$. Then

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_0 + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_0) + \partial_x^3 z_0 = 0 \\ z_0(x, 0) = h(x) \end{cases} \quad (4.11)$$

Note that $u, v \in C([-T, T]; H^{s+1}(S))$ if $h, \psi \in H^{s+1}(S)$. Applying (4.6) to (4.11), we have

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \|z_0\|_s = \sup_{[-T, T]} \|u(\cdot, t) - v(\cdot, t)\|_s \leq \beta \|h\|_s \leq \beta \|h\|_{s+1}$$

where $\beta = \beta(\|u + v\|_{s+1})$, which implies that K_p is Lipschitz continuous from $H^{s+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$.

As in section 3, if K_p is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$, then $K_p^{(n)}(\psi)$ is an n -linear map from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ and

$$w_{[1, \dots, n]}^{(n)} = K^{(n)}(\psi)[h_1, \dots, h_n]$$

solves (4.7)-(4.8). Similarly,

$$y_n = K^{(n)}(\psi)$$

solves (4.9)- (4.10). On the other hand, according to Proposition 4.1, (4.7)- (4.8) defines a continuous n -linear map from $H^{s+1+n}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$ and similarly,

(4.9)-(4.10) defines a continuous homogeneous polynomial of degree n . More precisely, if $\psi, h \in H^{s+n+1}(S)$, then

$$y_k \in C([-T, T]; H^{s+n+1-k}(S)), \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

and

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \|y_k\|_{s+n+1-k} \leq c(k, \|\psi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}^k \quad (4.12)$$

for $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ where $c(k, \|\psi\|_{s+n+1})$ is bounded if ψ runs through a bounded set in $H^{s+n+1}(S)$.

By the Converse Taylor Theorem, it suffices to show that

$$K_p(\phi + h) = K_p(\phi) + \sum_{k=1}^n K_p^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k] + o(\|h\|_{n+1+s}^n) \quad (4.13)$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$ uniformly for $\|\phi - \psi\|_{s+n+1} \leq \|h\|_{s+n+1}$ in order to prove that K_p is n times Frechet differentiable from $H^{s+n+1}(S)$ to $C([-T, T]; H^s(S))$.

Let $v = K_p(\phi + h)$ and $u = K_p(\phi)$. Both v and u are bounded in $C([-T, T]; H^{s+n+1}(S))$ if ϕ, h satisfy

$$\|\phi - \psi\|_{s+n+1} \leq \|h\|_{s+n+1} < 1.$$

Denote by $z_0 = v - u$, $y_k = K_p^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k]$ and

$$z_k = z_{k-1} - \frac{1}{k!} y_k, \quad k = 1, 2, \dots, n$$

Then y_n solves (4.9) for $n = 1$ and (4.10) for $n \geq 2$. As for z_k , $0 \leq k \leq n$, it solves (4.11) for $k = 0$ and solves

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t z_k + \frac{1}{2} \partial_x((u + v)z_k) + \partial_x^3 z_k = -\frac{1}{2} \partial_x \left(\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{1}{(k-j)!} z_j y_{k-j} \right) \\ z_k(x, 0) = 0 \end{cases} \quad (4.14)$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n$.

Applying (4.6) to (4.11) yields

$$z_0 \in C([-T, T]; H^{s+n}(S))$$

and

$$\|z_0\|_{s+n} \leq \beta(0, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}$$

since $h, u = K_p(\phi) \in H^{s+n+1}(S)$.

Assume that

$$z_k \in C([-T, T]; H^{s+n+1-(k+1)}(S))$$

with

$$\sup_{[-T, T]} \|z_k\|_{s+n-k} \leq \beta(k, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}^{k+1}$$

for $1 \leq k \leq n-1$.

Then, by applying (4.6) to (4.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{[-T, T]} \|z_n\|_s &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \int_{-T}^T \|\partial_x(z_n y_{n+1})\|_s dt \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sup_{[-T, T]} \|z_k\|_{s+1} \sup_{[-T, T]} \|y_{n-k}\|_{s+1} \\ &\leq c \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \beta(k, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) c(n-k, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}^{n+1} \\ &:= \beta(n, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}^{n+1} \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta(n, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1})$ is bounded if ϕ runs through a bounded set in $H^{s+n+1}(S)$. Thus we have proved by induction that

$$\|K_p(\phi + h) - K_p(\phi) - \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{k!} K_p^{(k)}(\phi)[h^k]\|_s \leq \beta(n, \|\phi\|_{s+n+1}) \|h\|_{s+n+1}^{n+1}$$

which implies (4.13). The proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] J. L. Bona & R. Scott, Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation in fractional order Sobolev spaces, *Duke Math. J.*, **43**(1976), 87 – 99
- [2] J. L. Bona & R. Smith, The initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Roy. Soc. London, Ser. A*, **278**(1978), 555 – 601

- [3] L. Carleson, Some analytical problems related to statistical mechanics, *Euclidean Harmonic Analysis, Lecture Notes in Math.*, Springer-Verlag, **779**(1979), 9 – 45
- [4] A. Cohen, Solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation from irregular data, *Duke Math. J.*, **45**(1978), 149 – 181
- [5] A. Cohen & T. Kappeler, Solution to the Korteweg-de Vries equation with initial profile in $L^1_1(R) \cap L^1_N(R^+)$, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.* , **18**(1987), 991 – 1025
- [6] P. Constantin & J. C. Saut, Local smoothing properties of dispersive equations, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **1**(1988), 413 – 446
- [7] J. Dieudonné, *Foundation of Mordern Analysis*, Academic Press, **1969**
- [8] D. Henry, Geormetric Theory of Semilinear Parabolic Equation, *Lecture Notes in Math.*, **840**, Springer (1981)
- [9] W. Craig, T. Kappeler & W. A. Strauss, Gain of regularity for equations of KdV type, *Analyse Non Linéaire, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré*, **9**(1992), 147 – 186
- [10] C. S. Gardner & G. K. Morikawa, Similarity in the asymptotic behavior of collision-free hydromagnetic waves and water waves, *New York University, Courant Inst, Math. Sci. Res. Rep.*, NYO-9082, (1960)
- [11] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Green, M. D. Kruskal & R. M. Miura, A method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. Letters*, **19**(1967), 1095 – 1097
- [12] ———, The Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations VI. Method for exact solutions, *Comm. Pure and Math.*, **27**(1974), 97 – 133
- [13] J. Ginibre & G. Velo, Smoothing properties and retarded estimates for some dispersive evolution equations, preprint
- [14] J. Ginibre & Y. Tsutsumi, Uniqueness for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equations, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **20**(1989), 1388 – 1425
- [15] T. Kato, Quasilinear equations of evolutions, with applications to partial differential equation, *Lecture Notes in Math.* **448**, Springer (1975), 27 – 50

- [16] ———, On the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Manuscripta Math.*, **29**(1979), 89 – 99
- [17] ———, On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equations, *Advances in Mathematics Supplementary Studies, Studies in Applied Math.*, **8**(1983), 93 – 128
- [18] T. Kato & G. Ponce, Commutator estimates and the Euler and Navier-Stokes equations, *Pure Appl. Math.*, **41**(1988), 897 – 907
- [19] T. Kappeler, Solutions to the Korteweg-de Vries equation with irregular initial data, *Comm. P. D. E.*, **11**(1986), 927 – 945
- [20] C. E. Kenig, G. Ponce & L. Vega, On the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Duke Math. J.*, **59**(1989), 585 – 610
- [21] ———, Oscillatory integral and regularity of dispersive equations, *Indiana University Math. J.*, **40**(1991), 37 – 69
- [22] ———, Well-posedness of the initial value problem for the Korteweg-de Vries, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **4**(1991), 323 – 347
- [23] ———, Well-posedness and scattering results for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation via the contraction principle, preprint
- [24] ———, On the generalized Benjamin-Ono equation, preprint
- [25] ———, Small solutions to nonlinear Schrödinger equations, preprint
- [26] C. E. Kenig & A. Ruiz, A strong type (2,2) estimates for the maximal functions associated to the Schrödinger equation, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **230**(1983), 239 – 246
- [27] D. J. Korteweg & G. de Vries, On the change of form of long waves advancing in a rectangular canal, and on a new type of long stationary waves, *Philos. Mag.*, **5**, **39**(1895), 422 – 423
- [28] S. N. Kruzhkov & A. V. Faminskii, Generalized solutions of the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Math. USSR, Sbornik*, **48**(1984), 93 – 138

- [29] P. D. Lax, Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, **21**(1968), 467 – 490
- [30] R. M. Miura, The Korteweg-de Vries equation: A survey of results, *SIAM Review*, **18**(1976), 412 – 459
- [31] R. M. Miura, S. C. Gardner & M. D. Kruskal, Korteweg-de Vries equation and generalizations, II. Existence of conservation laws and constant of motion, *J. Math. Phys.*, **9**(1968), 1204 – 1209
- [32] G. Ponce & L. Vega, Nonlinear small data scattering for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Funct. Anal.*, (1990), 445 – 457
- [33] R. L. Sachs, Classical solutions of the Korteweg-de Vries equation for non-smooth initial data via inverse scattering, *Comm. PDE*, **10**(1985), 27 – 89
- [34] J. C. Saut, Sur quelques généralizations de l'équations de Korteweg-de Vries, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **58**(1979), 21 – 61
- [35] J. C. Saut & R. Temam, Remarks on the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Israel J. Math.*, **24**(1976), 78 – 87
- [36] A. C. Scott, F. Y. Chu & D. W. McLaughlin, The soliton: A new concept in applied sciences, *Proc. IEEE*, **61**(1973), 1443 – 1483
- [37] A. Sjöberg, On the Korteweg-de Vries equations: existence and uniqueness, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **29**(1970), 569 – 579
- [38] P. Sjölin, Regularity of solutions to the Schrödinger equations, *Duke Math. J.*, **55**(1987), 699 – 715
- [39] W. A. Strauss, Nonlinear scattering at lower energy, *J. Funct. Anal.*, **41**(1981), 110 – 133
- [40] R. S. Strichartz, Multipliers in fractional Sobolev spaces, *J. Math. Mech.*, **16**(1967), 1031 – 1060
- [41] ———, Restriction of Fourier transform to quadratic surface and decay of solutions of wave equations, *Duke Math. J.*, **44**(1977), 705 – 714

- [42] S. Tanaka, Korteweg-de Vries equation: construction of solution in terms of scattering data, *Osaka J. Math.*, **11**(1974), 49 – 59
- [43] R. Temam, Sur un problème non linéaire, *J. Math. Pures Appl.*, **48**(1969), 159 – 172
- [44] Y. Tsutsumi, The Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation with measure as initial data, *SIAM J. Math. Anal.*, **20**(1989), 582 – 588
- [45] L. Vega , The Schrödinger equation: pointwise convergence to the initial data, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **102**(1988), 874 – 878
- [46] L. Vega, Doctoral Thesis, Universidal Autonoma de Madrid, Spain (1987)
- [47] B.-Y. Zhang, Taylor series expansion for solutions of the Benjamin-Ono equation with respect to their initial values (in preparation)
- [48] B.-Y. Zhang, Differentiability of solutions of the Generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation with respect to their initial values (in preparation)

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank Qiman Shao for his help for the proof of Proposition 3.4 and Anthony Leung for some useful discussions.