

**ON A NEW WAY OF SOLVING THE LINEAR EQUATIONS  
THAT ARISE IN THE METHOD OF LEAST SQUARES**

By

**C.G.J. Jacobi**

*Translated by*

**G.W. Stewart**

**IMA Preprint Series # 951**

April 1992

On a New Way of Solving the Linear Equations  
that Arise in the Method of Least Squares\*

C. G. J. Jacobi

Translated by  
G. W. Stewart<sup>†</sup>

March 1992

ABSTRACT

This report contains a translation of a paper of C. G. J. Jacobi, "Ueber eine neue Auflösungsart der bei der Methode der kleinsten Quadrate vorkommenden lineären Gleichungen," which appeared in *Astronomische Nachrichten* **22** (1845). In the paper Jacobi shows how to use rotations to increase the diagonal dominance of symmetric linear systems, which he then solves by what we today call the point Jacobi method. This preconditioner is none other than Jacobi's method for diagonalizing a symmetric matrix. Although Jacobi points out his method can be used to find eigenvalues, he reserves a fuller exposition for a later paper [*Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik*, **30** (1846), 51–s94], which is now generally cited as the source of the method. A variant for unsymmetric equations is also considered.

---

\*This report is available by anonymous ftp from `thales.cs.umd.edu` in the directory `pub/reports`.

<sup>†</sup>Department of Computer Science and Institute for Advanced Computer Studies, University of Maryland, College Park, MD 20742.

# ASTRONOMISCHE NACHRICHTEN.

## No. 523

### On a New Way of Solving the Linear Systems that Arise in the Method of Least Squares

The burden of solving exactly a large number of linear equations — the method of least squares leads to such equations in many cases — has caused people to consider the use of iterative methods. One such method naturally presents itself when a different variable in each equation is multiplied by a pre-eminently large coefficient. Specifically, let the equations be

$$\begin{aligned} (00)x + (01)x_1 + (02)x_2 \text{ etc.} &= (0m) \\ (10)x + (11)x_1 + (12)x_2 \text{ etc.} &= (1m) \\ (20)x + (21)x_1 + (22)x_2 \text{ etc.} &= (2m) \\ \text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.}, & \end{aligned}$$

and let all the coefficients ( $ik$ ) be small compared to the diagonal coefficient ( $ii$ ). Then we can get approximations to the unknowns  $x, x_1, x_2$ , etc. from the equations

$$(00)x = (0m), \quad (11)x_1 = (1m), \quad (22)x_2 = (2m), \quad \text{etc.}$$

If we denote these values by  $a, a_1, a_2$ , etc., we obtain their first corrections, which I denote by  $\Delta, \Delta_1, \Delta_2$ , etc., from the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (00)\Delta &= -\{(01)a_2 + (02)a_2 \text{ etc.}\} \\ (11)\Delta_1 &= -\{(10)a + (12)a_2 \text{ etc.}\} \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

In general, if we set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= a + \Delta + \Delta^2 + \Delta^3 \text{ etc.} \\ x_1 &= a_1 + \Delta_1 + \Delta_1^2 + \Delta_1^3 \text{ etc.} \\ x_2 &= a_2 + \Delta_2 + \Delta_2^2 + \Delta_2^3 \text{ etc.} \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.}, \end{aligned}$$

where the superscripts index the sequence of ever decreasing corrections, then we get the  $\Delta^{i+1}$  from the  $\Delta^i$  according to the equations

$$\begin{aligned} (00)\Delta^{i+1} &= -\{(01)\Delta_1^i + (02)\Delta_2^i \text{ etc.}\} \\ (11)\Delta_1^{i+1} &= -\{(10)\Delta^i + (12)\Delta_2^i \text{ etc.}\} \\ (22)\Delta_2^{i+1} &= -\{(20)\Delta^i + (21)\Delta_1^i + (23)\Delta_3^i \text{ etc.}\}, \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.}, \end{aligned}$$

Now in the equations that come from the method of least squares, the diagonals *usually* dominate; for they are sums

of squares, while the remaining coefficients arise from the addition of positive and negative numbers, which tend to cancel each other. Nonetheless, some of the off-diagonal elements will, as a rule, assume values large enough to affect the success of the iterative method just given. However, as I will show in what follows, we can transform the equations by the repetition of an easy calculation into other equations for which the this problem grows less and less, until eventually the equations assume a form that permits the application of the above iterative method.

I will assume that the two off-diagonal coefficients ( $ik$ ) and ( $kj$ ) are equal, something that is always true of the equations that come from the method of least squares. I will also suppose that the coefficient (01) has a significant value, whose effect is to slow the iterative method. To annihilate this coefficient, I set

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \cos \alpha \cdot \eta + \sin \alpha \cdot \eta_1, \\ x_1 &= \sin \alpha \cdot \eta - \cos \alpha \cdot \eta_1, \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\begin{aligned} (00)x + (01)x_1 &= \{(00)\cos \alpha + (01)\sin \alpha\}\eta \\ &\quad + \{(00)\sin \alpha - (01)\cos \alpha\}\eta_1, \\ (10)x + (11)x_1 &= \{(10)\cos \alpha + (11)\sin \alpha\}\eta \\ &\quad + \{(10)\sin \alpha - (11)\cos \alpha\}\eta_1. \end{aligned}$$

I then replace the two equations

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (00)x + (01)x_1 + (02)x_2 \text{ etc.} - (0m) = 0, \\ u_1 &= (10)x + (11)x_1 + (12)x_2 \text{ etc.} - (1m) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

with two other equations:

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \cos \alpha \cdot u + \sin \alpha \cdot u_1 = 0, \\ \nu_1 &= \sin \alpha \cdot u - \cos \alpha \cdot u_1 = 0. \end{aligned}$$

If we now determine the angle  $\alpha$  so that

$$\{(0,0) - (1,1)\} \cos \alpha \sin \alpha = (01)\{\cos \alpha^2 - \sin \alpha^2\}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2} \tan 2\alpha = \frac{(01)}{(00) - (11)},$$

then the two new equations become

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(00)\cos\alpha^2 + 2(01)\cos\alpha\sin\alpha + (11)\sin\alpha^2\}\eta \\ &+ \{\cos\alpha.(02) + \sin\alpha.(12)\}x_2 + \text{etc.} = \cos\alpha.(0m) + \sin\alpha(1m), \\ &\{(00)\sin\alpha^2 - 2(01)\sin\alpha\cos\alpha + (11)\cos\alpha^2\}\eta \\ &+ \{\sin\alpha.(02) - \cos\alpha.(12)\}x_2 + \text{etc.} = \sin\alpha.(0m) - \cos\alpha(1m). \end{aligned}$$

One can easily determine the coefficients of  $x_2, x_3$ , etc. trigonometrically by means of auxiliary angles whose tangents are equal to  $\frac{(12)}{(02)}, \frac{(13)}{(03)}$ , etc. Here one must pay close attention to the correctness of the signs of the coefficients. In this regard an effective check may be obtained by assuming that in  $u$  and  $u_1$  and  $v$  and  $v_1$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} x &= \cos\alpha + \sin\alpha, & x_1 &= \sin\alpha - \cos\alpha, \\ \eta &= \eta_1 = x_2 = x_3 \text{ etc.} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

and testing the equality of the values

$$\begin{aligned} v &= \cos\alpha.u + \sin\alpha.u_1, \\ v_1 &= \sin\alpha.u - \cos\alpha.u_1. \end{aligned}$$

The coefficients of  $\eta$  and  $\eta_1$  can be represented in the following forms:

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{(00) + (11)}{2} + \sqrt{R}, \\ &\frac{(00) + (11)}{2} - \sqrt{R}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$R = \left\{ \frac{(00) - (01)}{2} \right\}^2 + (01)^2.$$

The sign of  $\sqrt{R}$  depends on the the quadrant in which  $2\alpha$  is taken according to the two formulas

$$\sqrt{R} = \frac{(00) - (01)}{2\cos 2\alpha} = \frac{(01)}{\sin 2\alpha},$$

which at the same time provides a check.

When  $x$  and  $x_1$  are replaced by  $\eta$  and  $\eta_1$ , each one of the remaining equations, such as

$$(20)x + (21)x_1 + (22)x_2 + \text{etc.} = (2m),$$

is transformed as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(20)\cos\alpha + (21)\sin\alpha\}\eta + \{(20)\sin\alpha - (21)\cos\alpha\}\eta_1 \\ &(22)x_2 + (23)x_3 + \text{etc.} = (2m). \end{aligned}$$

Since here the coefficients of  $\eta$  and  $\eta_1$  are the same as the coefficients of  $x_2$  in the the first two transformed equations, we see that the transformed equations retain their symmetry about the diagonal. Therefore, to get the coefficients of  $\eta$  and  $\eta_2$  in the remaining equations we need only calculate the coefficients of  $x_2, x_3$ , etc. in the first two equations. The

coefficients of  $x_2, x_3$ , etc. in the remaining equations are unchanged, as are the constant terms.

In the transformed equation the coefficient corresponding to (01) is zero. The sum of the diagonal coefficients remains unchanged; i.e., (00) + (11). On the other hand, the sum of their squares increases by  $2.(01)^2$ . From this it follows that these coefficients spread apart: the larger becomes larger and the smaller becomes smaller. However, provided the coefficients of the equations are formed as they are in applications of the method of least squares, the smaller coefficient can never vanish. Specifically, the product of the two coefficients is

$$\left\{ \frac{(00) - (01)}{2} \right\}^2 - R = (00)(11) - (01)^2.$$

Hence if we set

$$\begin{aligned} (00) &= \alpha\alpha + \beta\beta + \gamma\gamma + \delta\delta \text{ etc.} \\ (11) &= \alpha_1\alpha_1 + \beta_1\beta_1 + \gamma_1\gamma_1 + \delta_1\delta_1 \text{ etc.} \\ (01) &= \alpha\alpha_1 + \beta\beta_1 + \gamma\gamma_1 + \delta\delta_1 \text{ etc.} \end{aligned}$$

the product is always the quantity

$$(00)(11) - (01)^2 = \Sigma(\alpha\beta_1 - \beta\alpha_1)^2,$$

which is positive. For the above sum consists of all squares formed pairwise from the elements  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , etc. and cannot be zero unless the quantities  $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$ , etc. are all proportional to the quantities  $\alpha_1, \beta_1, \gamma_1, \delta_1$ , etc.

The sum of squares of the coefficients of  $x_2$ , of  $x_3$ , etc. —  $(02)^2 + (12)^2, (03)^2 + (13)^2$ , etc. — are also unchanged in the two transformed equations. Likewise each of the remaining equations the sum of squares of the the coefficients of  $\eta$  and  $\eta_1$  are the same as those of  $x$  and  $x_1$  in the original system. The sum of squares of the off-diagonal coefficients thus decreases by  $2(01)^2$ , which is the same quantity by which the sum of squares of the two diagonal coefficients increases. Hence the sum of squares of all the coefficients remains unchanged, which is also true of the sum of squares of the constant terms. From this we see the following. Suppose that we treat the transformed system in a similar way, applying transformations several times one after the other while each time removing the most influential off-diagonal coefficient. Then in the last system so obtained

- 1) the sum of the diagonal coefficients, the sum of squares of all the coefficients, and the sum of squares of the constant terms are all the same as in the original system;
- 2) the sum of squares of the diagonal coefficients increases, and the sum of squares of the off-diagonal coefficients

decreases by the same amount: namely, twice the sum of squares of the coefficients that were annihilated by the individual transformations.

In this way we can transform the equations to be solved in the application of the method of least squares into other equations that permit the use of the iterative method given at the outset. In fact, it is easy to show that if we keep transforming indefinitely, always annihilating the largest off-diagonal coefficient, we can make the off-diagonal coefficients smaller than any given quantity. However, at a certain point, which is best left to the judgement of the calculator, it will be profitable to switch to the iterative method. If this is done too early, the iteration method itself will show which coefficient is making the results uncertain and hence must be annihilated by new transformations.

Since  $\eta\eta + \eta_1\eta_1 = xx + x_1x_1$  and the remaining unknowns  $x_2, x_3$  etc. remain unaltered by the transformation, the sum of squares of all the unknowns retain the value throughout the successive transformations. If we denote by  $s, s_1, s_2$  etc. the unknowns of the system we arrive at after several successive transformations, then

$$1) \quad xx + x_1x_1 + x_2x_2 \text{ etc.} = ss + s_1s_1 + s_2s_2 \text{ etc.}$$

If we collect all the successive substitutions into a single one, so that the original unknowns  $x, x_1, x_2$  etc. are expressed in terms of  $s, s_1, s_2$  etc. from the last equation, then this same formula immediately gives the values of  $s, s_1, s_2$  etc. in terms of  $x, x_1, x_2$  etc. Namely, if we have

$$\begin{aligned} 2) \quad x &= a s + b s_1 + c s_2 \text{ etc.}, \\ x_1 &= a_1 s + b_1 s_1 + c_1 s_2 \text{ etc.}, \\ x_2 &= a_2 s + b_2 s_1 + c_2 s_2 \text{ etc.}, \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

it follows from equation 1), which must remain an identity under this substitution, that

$$\begin{aligned} &s(ax + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 \text{ etc.}) \\ &+ s_1(bx + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 \text{ etc.}) \\ &+ s_2(cx + c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 \text{ etc.}) \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \quad = ss + s_1s_1 + s_2s_2 + \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} s &= ax + a_1x_1 + a_2x_2 + \text{etc.} \\ s_2 &= bx + b_1x_1 + b_2x_2 + \text{etc.} \\ s_3 &= cx + c_1x_1 + c_2x_2 + \text{etc.} \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

In order to have a check, we can derive the last system of equations all at once from the original by the single substi-

tution 2). Namely, denote the original system of equations as above by

$$u = 0, \quad u_1 = 0, \quad u_2 = 0, \text{ etc.},$$

By means of 2), introduce the quantities  $s, s_1, s_2$  etc. in place of  $x, x_1, x_2$  etc., and then form the equations

$$\begin{aligned} 3) \quad au + a_1u_1 + a_2u_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ bu + b_1u_1 + b_2u_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ cu + c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ \text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} & \end{aligned}$$

which are the equations finally obtained from the successive transformations. Alternatively one can first form the equation 3) from the original equations and then by means of 2) introduce the quantities  $s, s_1, s_2$  etc. as unknowns. Relations holding between the coefficients, such as

$$\begin{aligned} aa + a_1a_1 + a_2a_2 \text{ etc.} &= 1, \\ aa + a_1a_1 + a_2a_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ \text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} & \\ aa + bb + cc \text{ etc.} &= 1, \\ aa_1 + bb_1 + cc_1 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ \text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} & \end{aligned}$$

can also serve as checks that can be applied everywhere and in very many ways. In any case one will do well not to start applying the iterative method before convincing himself of the of the correspondence between last equations and the original. And it is a good idea to carry out the calculations necessary to form the equations in higher precision. If the equations divide into several groups that are connected to each other by only a few unknowns, as is case for large triangular networks, the substitution 3) will also divide into corresponding groups.

I will now briefly sketch how the method followed here can be extended to linear systems that are not symmetric about the diagonal; i.e., systems for which  $(ik) = (ki)$  does not hold. However, it is essential for the success of the method that the two coefficients  $(ik)$  and  $(ki)$  not differ too greatly from one another — or rather that when they have significant values they at least have the *same signs*. I will content myself with writing down the results.

The system of equations is once again

$$\begin{aligned} u &= (00)x + (01)x_1 + (02)x_2 \text{ etc.} - (0m) = 0 \\ u_1 &= (10)x + (11)x_1 + (12)x_2 \text{ etc.} - (1m) = 0 \\ u_2 &= (20)x + (21)x_1 + (22)x_2 \text{ etc.} - (2m) = 0 \\ &\text{etc.} \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

If the coefficients (01) and (10) have significant values, I set

$$\begin{aligned} \cos 2\Delta \cdot x &= \cos(\alpha + \Delta) \cdot \eta + \sin(\alpha - \Delta) \cdot \eta_1, \\ \cos 2\Delta \cdot x_1 &= \sin(\alpha + \Delta) \cdot \eta - \cos(\alpha - \Delta) \cdot \eta_1, \end{aligned}$$

where the angles  $\alpha$  and  $\Delta$  are determined by the equations

$$\begin{aligned} \rho \cos 2\alpha &= (00) - (11), \\ \rho \sin 2\alpha &= (01) + (10), \\ \rho \sin 2\Delta &= (10) - (01). \end{aligned}$$

Setting

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= \cos(\alpha - \Delta) \cdot u + \sin(\alpha - \Delta) \cdot u_1, \\ \nu_1 &= \sin(\alpha + \Delta) \cdot u - \cos(\alpha + \Delta) \cdot u_1, \end{aligned}$$

I replace the first two equations with  $\nu = 0$ ,  $\nu_1 = 0$ , so that the transformed system is the following:

$$\nu = 0, \quad \nu_1 = 0, \quad u_2 = 0, \quad u_3 = 0, \quad \text{etc.}$$

In the equation  $\nu = 0$  the coefficient of  $\eta_1$  vanishes; in the equation  $\nu_1 = 0$  the coefficient of  $\eta$  vanishes. If we set

$$\begin{aligned} \nu &= [00]\eta + * + [02]x_2 + [03]x_3 \text{ etc.}, \\ \nu_1 &= * + [11]\eta_1 + [12]x_2 + [13]x_3 \text{ etc.}, \\ u_2 &= [20]\eta + [21]\eta_1 + [22]x_2 + [23]x_3 \text{ etc.}, \\ &\quad \text{etc.} \quad \quad \quad \text{etc.} \end{aligned}$$

then we have

$$\begin{aligned} [00] &= \frac{(00) + (11)}{2} + \frac{\rho}{2} \cos 2\Delta, \\ [11] &= \frac{(00) + (11)}{2} - \frac{\rho}{2} \cos 2\Delta, \\ [02] &= \cos(\alpha - \Delta)(02) + \sin(\alpha - \Delta)(12), \\ [12] &= \sin(\alpha + \Delta)(02) - \cos(\alpha + \Delta)(12), \\ \cos 2\Delta [20] &= \cos(\alpha + \Delta)(20) + \sin(\alpha + \Delta)(21), \\ \cos 2\Delta [21] &= \sin(\alpha - \Delta)(20) - \cos(\alpha - \Delta)(21). \end{aligned}$$

From these formulas it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} [00] + [11] &= (00) + (11), \\ [00]^2 + [11]^2 &= (00)^2 + (11)^2 + 2(01)(10), \\ [02][20] + [12][21] &= (02)(20) + (12)(21) \end{aligned}$$

These equations show that however many times the transformation is successively applied the sums

$$\Sigma[ii], \quad \Sigma\{(ii)(ii) + 2(ik)(ki)\}$$

remain unchanged. Moreover the second sum remains unchanged in such a way that  $\Sigma.(ii)^2$  is always larger and  $2\Sigma(ik)(ik)$  is always smaller by twice the product of the two coefficients annihilated by the transformation in question. Having reduced the off-diagonal coefficients sufficiently by repeating the transformation, we can apply the iterative method I described at the outset.

The method given here can be used with even greater profit when the equations to be solved have the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \{(00) - G\}x + (01)x_1 + (02)x_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ (10)x + \{(11) - G\}x_1 + (12)x_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0, \\ (20)x + (21)x_1 + \{(22) - G\}x_2 \text{ etc.} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

As is well known, by eliminating the unknowns  $x$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , etc. one gets a higher equation whose roots are the various values of  $G$ . For each of these values we must determine the ratios of  $x$ ,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$ , etc. In this case the preliminary transformations turn out to be the same for all the systems corresponding to the various values of  $G$ , and they give these values directly and with increasing accuracy, without the necessity of forming the the higher equation. Thereafter a method similar to the one described at the outset gives the small corrections in the values of  $G$  and the ratios of the unknowns corresponding to these values. Here I will content myself with the above sketch, since the method and its application to the secular perturbations of the *seven* chief planets will be presented in another paper. There it will be seen from the calculations my learned friend Herr Dr. *Seidl* has so carefully performed that owing to the speed and stability with which one arrives at an accurate approximation to the final results the method has noteworthy advantages over the one used by Herr *Leverrier*.

The application of the method to the equations given in the *Theoria motus* p. 219 will serve as an example here. The original equations are

$$\begin{aligned} 27p + 6q + *r - 88 &= 0 \\ 6p + 15q + r - 70 &= 0 \\ *p + q + 54 - 107 &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If the coefficient 6 of  $q$  in the first equation is eliminated, then  $\alpha = 22^\circ 30'$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} p &= 0,92390y + 0,38268y' \\ q &= 0,38268y - 0,92390y' \end{aligned}$$

and the new equations are

$$\begin{aligned} 29,4853y + *y' + 0,38268r - 108,0901 &= 0 \\ *y + 12,5147y' - 0,92390r + 30,9967 &= 0 \\ 0,38268y - 0,92390y' + 54r - 107 &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

From them comes the first approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \log y &= 0,56419 \\ \log y' &= 0,39389n \\ \log r &= 0,29699. \end{aligned}$$

The second approximation

$$\begin{aligned} \log y &= 0,56114 \\ \log y' &= 0,36746n \\ \log r &= 0,28174. \end{aligned}$$

After two more easy corrections, we get the exact values

$$\begin{aligned} \log y &= 0,56125 \\ \log y' &= 0,36836n \\ \log r &= 0,28233, \end{aligned}$$

from which come the values

$$\begin{aligned} \log p &= 0,39276 \\ \log q &= 0,55036 \end{aligned}$$

The weights of  $y$ ,  $y'$ , and  $r$  are very near the coefficients on the diagonal. In fact, from them one obtains the logarithms of the weights of

$$\begin{aligned} p &\dots\dots\dots 1,39092 \\ q &\dots\dots\dots 1,13565 \\ r &\dots\dots\dots 1,73239 \end{aligned}$$

which are very near the true weights.

Berlin Nov. 17 1844

*C. G. J. Jacobi.*

#	Author/s	Title
866	Gui-Qiang Chen and Tai-Ping Liu,	Zero relaxation and dissipation limits for hyperbolic conservation laws
867	Gui-Qiang Chen and Jian-Guo Liu,	Convergence of second-order schemes for isentropic gas dynamics
868	Aleksander M. Simon and Zbigniew J. Grzywna,	On the Larché-Cahn theory for stress-induced diffusion
869	Jerzy Luczka, Adam Gadomski and Zbigniew J. Grzywna,	Growth driven by diffusion
870	Mitchell Luskin and Tsorng-Whay Pan,	Nonplanar shear flows for nonaligning nematic liquid crystals
871	Mahmoud Affouf,	Unique global solutions of initial-boundary value problems for thermodynamic phase transitions
872	Richard A. Brualdi and Keith L. Chavey,	Rectangular $L$ -matrices
873	Xinfu Chen, Avner Friedman and Bei Hu,	The thermistor problem with zero-one conductivity II
874	Raoul LePage,	Controlling a diffusion toward a large goal and the Kelly principle
875	Raoul LePage,	Controlling for optimum growth with time dependent returns
876	Marc Hallin and Madan L. Puri,	Rank tests for time series analysis a survey
877	V.A. Solonnikov,	Solvability of an evolution problem of thermocapillary convection in an infinite time interval
878	Horia I. Ene and Bogdan Vernescu,	Viscosity dependent behaviour of viscoelastic porous media
879	Kaushik Bhattacharya,	Self-accommodation in martensite
880	D. Lewis, T. Ratiu, J.C. Simo and J.E. Marsden,	The heavy top: a geometric treatment
881	Leonid V. Kalachev,	Some applications of asymptotic methods in semiconductor device modeling
882	David C. Dobson,	Phase reconstruction via nonlinear least-squares
883	Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga,	Minimal currents, geodesics and relaxation of variational integrals on mappings of bounded variation
884	Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga,	Partial regularity of least gradient mappings
885	Charles R. Johnson and Michael Lundquist,	Operator matrices with chordal inverse patterns
886	B.J. Bayly,	Infinitely conducting dynamos and other horrible eigenproblems
887	Charles M. Elliott and Stefan Luckhaus,	'A generalised diffusion equation for phase separation of a multi-component mixture with interfacial free energy'
888	Christian Schmeiser and Andreas Unterreiter,	The derivation of analytic device models by asymptotic methods
889	LeRoy B. Beasley and Norman J. Pullman,	Linear operators that strongly preserve the index of imprimitivity
890	Jerry Donato,	The Boltzmann equation with lie and cartan
891	Thomas R. Hoffend Jr., Peter Smereka and Roger J. Anderson,	A method for resolving the laser induced local heating of moving magneto-optical recording media
892	E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and Sanchita Mukherjee,	Models of $q$ -algebra representations: the group of plane motions
893	T.R. Hoffend Jr. and R.K. Kaul,	Relativistic theory of superpotentials for a nonhomogeneous, spatially isotropic medium
894	Reinhold von Schwerin,	Two metal deposition on a microdisk electrode
895	Vladimir I. Oliker and Nina N. Uraltseva,	Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows
896	Wayne Barrett, Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy and Tamir Shalom,	Rank incrementation via diagonal perturbations
898	Mingxiang Chen, Xu-Yan Chen and Jack K. Hale,	Structural stability for time-periodic one-dimensional parabolic equations
899	Hong-Ming Yin,	Global solutions of Maxwell's equations in an electromagnetic field with the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity
900	Robert Grone, Russell Merris and William Watkins,	Laplacian unimodular equivalence of graphs
901	Miroslav Fiedler,	Structure-ranks of matrices
902	Miroslav Fiedler,	An estimate for the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices
903	Miroslav Fiedler,	Remarks on eigenvalues of Hankel matrices
904	Charles R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, Michael Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche,	Spectra with positive elementary symmetric functions
905	Pierre-Alain Gremaud,	Thermal contraction as a free boundary problem
906	K.L. Cooke, Janos Turi and Gregg Turner,	Stabilization of hybrid systems in the presence of feedback delays
907	Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu,	A numerical transmutation approach for underwater sound propagation
908	LeRoy B. Beasley, Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,	Combinatorial orthogonality
909	Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,	Strong hall matrices
910	Håkan Wennerström and David M. Anderson,	Difference versus Gaussian curvature energies; monolayer versus bilayer curvature energies applications to vesicle stability
911	Shmuel Friedland,	Eigenvalues of almost skew symmetric matrices and tournament matrices
912	Avner Friedman, Bei Hu and J.L. Velazquez,	A Free Boundary Problem Modeling Loop Dislocations in Crystals
913	Ezio Venturino,	The Influence of Diseases on Lotka-Volterra Systems
914	Steve Kirkland and Bryan L. Shader,	On Multipartite Tournament Matrices with Constant Team Size



- 915 **Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey**, More on Structure-Ranks of Matrices
- 916 **Douglas B. Meade**, Qualitative Analysis of an Epidemic Model with Directed Dispersion
- 917 **Kazuo Murota**, Mixed Matrices Irreducibility and Decomposition
- 918 **Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey**, Some Applications of Elementary Linear Algebra in Combinations
- 919 **Carl D. Meyer**, Sensitivity of Markov Chains
- 920 **Hong-Ming Yin**, Weak and Classical Solutions of Some Nonlinear Volterra Integrodifferential Equations
- 921 **B. Leinkuhler and A. Ruehli**, Exploiting Symmetry and Regularity in Waveform Relaxation Convergence Estimation
- 922 **Xinfu Chen and Charles M. Elliott**, Asymptotics for a Parabolic Double Obstacle Problem
- 923 **Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan**, An Approximate Boundary Integral Method for Acoustic Scattering in Shallow Oceans
- 924 **Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan**, Source Localization Processing in Perturbed Waveguides
- 925 **Kenneth L. Cooke and Janos Turi**, Stability, Instability in Delay Equations Modeling Human Respiration
- 926 **F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, B.D. Coleman and F. Hélein**, Bifurcation Analysis of Minimizing Harmonic Maps Describing the Equilibrium of Nematic Phases Between Cylinders
- 927 **Frank W. Elliott, Jr.**, Signed Random Measures: Stochastic Order and Kolmogorov Consistency Conditions
- 928 **D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and B.L. Shader**, Pick's Inequality and Tournaments
- 929 **J.W. Demmel, N.J. Higham and R.S. Schreiber**, Block  $LU$  Factorization
- 930 **Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez**, Regional Blow-Up in a Semilinear Heat Equation with Convergence to a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
- 931 **Bryan L. Shader**, Convertible, Nearly Decomposable and Nearly Reducible Matrices
- 932 **Dianne P. O'Leary**, Iterative Methods for Finding the Stationary Vector for Markov Chains
- 933 **Nicholas J. Higham**, Perturbation theory and backward error for  $AX - XB = C$
- 934 **Z. Strakos and A. Greenbaum**, Open questions in the convergence analysis of the lanczos process for the real symmetric eigenvalue problem
- 935 **Zhaojun Bai**, Error analysis of the lanczos algorithm for the nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem
- 936 **Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, On an elliptic-parabolic problem related to phase transitions in shape memory alloys
- 937 **Bojan Mohar and Neil Robertson**, Disjoint essential circuits in toroidal maps
- 938 **Bojan Mohar**, Convex representations of maps on the torus and other flat surfaces
- 939 **Bojan Mohar and Svatopluk Poljak** Eigenvalues in combinatorial optimization
- 940 **Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey and Bryan L. Shader**, Conditional sign-solvability
- 941 **Roger Fosdick and Ying Zhang**, The torsion problem for a nonconvex stored energy function
- 942 **René Ferland and Gaston Giroux**, An unbounded mean-field intensity model:  
Propagation of the convergence of the empirical laws and compactness of the fluctuations
- 943 **Wei-Ming Ni and Izumi Takagi**, Spike-layers in semilinear elliptic singular Perturbation Problems
- 944 **Henk A. Van der Vorst and Gerard G.L. Sleijpen**, The effect of incomplete decomposition preconditioning on the convergence of conjugate gradients
- 945 **S.P. Hastings and L.A. Peletier**, On the decay of turbulent bursts
- 946 **Apostolos Hadjidimos and Robert J. Plemmons**, Analysis of  $p$ -cyclic iterations for Markov chains
- 947 **ÅBjörck, H. Park and L. Eldén**, Accurate downdating of least squares solutions
- 948 **E.G. Kalnins, William Miller, Jr. and G.C. Williams**, Recent advances in the use of separation of variables methods in general relativity
- 949 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of LU, Cholesky and QR factorizations
- 950 **G.W. Stewart**, Gaussian elimination, perturbation theory and Markov chains
- 951 **G.W. Stewart**, On a new way of solving the linear equations that arise in the method of least squares
- 952 **G.W. Stewart**, On the early history of the singular value decomposition
- 953 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of Markov chains with nearly transient states
- 954 **Umberto Mosco**, Composite media and asymptotic dirichlet forms
- 955 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, The structure of the eigenvectors of sparse matrices
- 956 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, A note on Jacobi being more accurate than QR
- 957 **Raymond H. Chan, James G. Nagy and Robert J. Plemmons**, FFT-based preconditioners for Toeplitz-Block least squares problems
- 958 **Zhaojun Bai**, The CSD, GSVD, their applications and computations
- 959 **D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and N.J. Pullman**, A bound on the exponent of a primitive matrix using Boolean rank
- 960 **Richard A. Brualdi, Shmuel Friedland and Alex Pothén**, Sparse bases, elementary vectors and nonzero minors of compound matrices
- 961 **J.W. Demmel**, Open problems in numerical linear algebra
- 962 **James W. Demmel and William Gragg**, On computing accurate singular values and eigenvalues of acyclic matrices
- 963 **James W. Demmel**, The inherent inaccuracy of implicit tridiagonal QR
- 964 **J.J.L. Velázquez**, Estimates on the  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation