

**STRUCTURE-RANKS OF MATRICES**

By

**Miroslav Fiedler**

**IMA Preprint Series # 901**

December 1991

# STRUCTURE-RANKS OF MATRICES

MIROSLAV FIEDLER\*

**Abstract.** Structure-rank of a matrix, i.e. maximum order of a nonsingular submatrix all of whose entries are located in a given structure (subset of  $M \times N$  where  $M, N$  are row- and column-index sets, respectively) were previously studied for the off-diagonal part. Here, results on more general ranks, e.g. off-block-diagonal, strictly upper-triangular and strictly upper-block-triangular are presented. In particular, it is shown that for a nonsingular matrix  $A$ , in each of the last mentioned three cases, the ranks of  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  coincide.

**1. Introduction.** In [2], the notion of the off-diagonal rank of a square matrix was introduced as the maximum order of a nonsingular submatrix of  $A$  none of whose entries is a diagonal entry of  $A$ . In particular, it was shown that the off-diagonal ranks of a nonsingular matrix and its inverse coincide.

We intend to generalize this rank and obtain results of a similar nature. As usual, for an  $m \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,  $M = \{1, 2, \dots, m\}$ ,  $N = \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and  $\alpha \subseteq M$ ,  $\beta \subseteq N$ , we denote by  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  the submatrix of  $A$  with row-indices in  $\alpha$  and column-indices in  $\beta$ .

Under a *structure*  $S$  in the class of all such  $m \times n$  matrices we understand a subset of  $M \times N$ . We call then  $S$ -rank of  $A$ , denoted  $r(S; A)$ , the maximum order of a nonsingular submatrix of  $A$  all of whose entries are in  $S$ ; in other words,

$$(1) \quad r(S; A) = \max\{\text{rank } A[\alpha|\beta] \mid \alpha \times \beta \subseteq S\}.$$

Thus, for square  $n \times n$  matrices and  $S_\sigma = N \times N \setminus \{(1, 1), (2, 2), \dots, (n, n)\}$ ,  $r(S_\sigma; A)$  is the off-diagonal rank of  $A$ .

We shall also say that a structure  $S$  has *combinatorial rank*  $cr(S)$  if

$$cr(S) = \min\{k \mid P \subseteq M, Q \subseteq N, S \subseteq (P \times N) \cup (M \times Q), |P| + |Q| = k\}.$$

The following theorem ([1], Cor. 3) will be of crucial importance in the sequel:

**THEOREM A.** *Let  $A$  be a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $\alpha, \beta$  be subsets of  $N$ . Then*

$$(2) \quad \text{rank } A^{-1}[\alpha|\beta] = \text{rank } A[N \setminus \beta | N \setminus \alpha] + |\alpha| + |\beta| - n.$$

**COROLLARY B.** *For a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  and  $\alpha \subseteq N$ ,*

$$(3) \quad \text{rank } A^{-1}[\alpha | N \setminus \alpha] = \text{rank } A[\alpha | N \setminus \alpha].$$

---

\*Math. Inst. Acad., Prague and IMA, Minneapolis.

**2. Results.** The following is immediate:

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $S_1, S_2$  be structures on  $M \times N$  such that  $S_1 \subseteq S_2$ . Then for each  $|M| \times |N|$  matrix  $A$ ,*

$$r(S_1; A) \leq r(S_2; A) \leq r(S_1; A) + cr(S_2 \setminus S_1).$$

Let us now generalize the theorem on the off-diagonal rank mentioned in the Introduction to off-block-diagonal ranks:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_p$  be a decomposition (i.e.  $N_i \cap N_j = \emptyset$  for all  $i, j, i \neq j$ ) of  $N, |N| = n$ . Let*

$$S = N \times N \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^p (N_i \times N_i).$$

*Then for every nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,*

$$(4) \quad r(S; A^{-1}) = r(S; A).$$

*Proof.* Since (4) is symmetric *w.r.* to inversion, assume that

$$r(S; A^{-1}) \geq r(S; A).$$

Let  $r(S; A^{-1}) = \text{rank } A^{-1}[\alpha_0 | \beta_0]$ ,  $\alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \subseteq S$ , let  $I = \{i | N_i \cap \alpha_0 \neq \emptyset\}$ , and

$$\alpha_1 = \bigcup_{i \in I} N_i.$$

Since  $\beta_0 \cap \alpha_1 = \emptyset$ , we have  $\beta_0 \subseteq N \setminus \alpha_1$  so that

$$\alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \subseteq \alpha_1 \times (N \setminus \alpha_1) \subseteq S.$$

By Theorem 1 and Corollary B,

$$\begin{aligned} r(S; A^{-1}) &\leq \text{rank } A^{-1}[\alpha_1 | N \setminus \alpha_1] \\ &= \text{rank } A[\alpha_1 | N \setminus \alpha_1] \\ &\leq r(S; A). \end{aligned}$$

Thus (4) follows.  $\square$

In the next theorem, we prove a similar statement for strictly block-triangular structures.

THEOREM 3. Let  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_p$  be a decomposition of  $N$ , let

$$S_t = \cup_{(i,j), i < j} (N_i \times N_j).$$

Then, for any nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ ,

$$(5) \quad r(S_t; A^{-1}) = r(S_t; A).$$

*Proof.* We shall first prove a lemma.

LEMMA. In our notation,

$$(6) \quad r(S_t; A) = \max_{q=1,2,\dots,p} \text{rank } A [\cup_{i=1}^q N_i | N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q N_i].$$

*Proof.* Denote the right-hand side in (6) by  $R$ , set

$$\sigma_q = \cup_{i=1}^q N_i \times (N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^q N_i), q = 1, \dots, p.$$

Since  $\sigma_q \subseteq S_t$  for each  $q$ , it follows that

$$r(S_t; A) \geq r(\sigma_q; A) \text{ for each } q$$

so that

$$r(S_t; A) \geq R.$$

Let

$$r(S_t; A) = \text{rank } A[\alpha_0 | \beta_0], \alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \subseteq S_t.$$

Define  $I = \{i | \alpha_0 \cap N_i \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $J = \{j | \beta_0 \cap N_j \neq \emptyset\}$ ,  $\alpha_1 = \cup_{i \in I} N_i$ . Since  $\alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \subseteq S_t$ , every index in  $I$  is smaller than every index in  $J$ . We have thus

$$\alpha_0 \times \beta_0 \subseteq \alpha_1 \times (N \setminus \alpha_1)$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} r(S_t; A) &\leq \text{rank } A[\alpha_1 | N \setminus \alpha_1] \\ &\leq R. \end{aligned}$$

To return to the proof of Theorem 3, (5) follows immediately since by Corollary B, the right hand sides of (6) for  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  are equal.  $\square$

We can thus speak about *strictly upper-triangular rank* of  $A$  in the case that

$$(7) \quad S_u = \cup_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (j, k),$$

about the *strictly upper-block-triangular rank* of  $A$  if

$$(8) \quad S_{ub} = \cup_{1 \leq j < k \leq p} (N_j \times N_k)$$

and all indices in  $N_k$  are smaller than all indices in  $N_{k+1}$ ,  $1 \leq k \leq p-1$ .

We have thus

COROLLARY 4. If  $A$  is a nonsingular  $n \times n$  matrix then the strictly upper-triangular ranks of  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  coincide. The same is true for strictly upper-block-triangular ranks.

In the next theorem, we admit to include to  $S_u$  in (7) the diagonal entries as well, thus obtaining the *weakly upper-triangular rank* or,  $S_w$ -rank.

THEOREM 5. The weakly upper-triangular ranks of  $A$  and  $A^{-1}$  differ at most by one:

$$(9) \quad |r(S_w; A) - r(S_w; A^{-1})| \leq 1.$$

*Proof.* As in the proof of the Lemma, one shows easily that

$$(10) \quad r(S_w; A) = \max_{k=1, \dots, n} \text{rank } A[\{1, \dots, k\} | \{k, k+1, \dots, n\}].$$

By Theorem A,

$$\text{rank } A[\{1, \dots, k\} | \{k, \dots, n\}] = \text{rank } A^{-1}[\{1, \dots, k-1\} | \{k+1, \dots, n\}] + 1$$

(and just 1 on the right-hand side if  $k = 1$ , or  $k = n$ ). Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank } A^{-1}[\{1, \dots, k\} | \{k, \dots, n\}] &\leq \text{rank } A^{-1}[\{1, \dots, k-1\} | \{k+1, \dots, n\}] + 2 \\ &= \text{rank } A[\{1, \dots, k\} | \{k, \dots, n\}] + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Thus also the maxima differ at most by one which, by (10), yields (9).

*Example.* For

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that equality is attained in (9).

In the following theorem, relation of the ranks to the  $LU$ -decomposition is cleared.

THEOREM 6. Let, for an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  and for a decomposition  $N = N_1 \cup N_2 \cup \dots \cup N_p$ , all principal submatrices

$$A [\cup_{j=1}^k N_j | \cup_{j=1}^k N_j], \quad k = 1, \dots, p$$

be nonsingular so that a block  $LU$ -decomposition of  $A$  exists, where  $L$  has zero blocks in all  $N_j \times N_k$  positions for  $j < k$  and  $U$  has zero blocks in all  $N_j \times N_k$  positions for  $j > k$ .

Then, in the notation (8),

$$(11) \quad r(S_{ub}; U) = r(S_{ub}; A).$$

In other words,  $U$  has the same strictly upper-block-triangular rank as  $A$  (and as  $A^{-1}$  by Theorem 3).

*Proof.* Since  $A = LU$ ,

$$A [\cup_{i=1}^k N_i | N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k N_i] = L [\cup_{i=1}^k N_i | \cup_{i=1}^k N_i] U [\cup_{i=1}^k N_i | N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k N_i]$$

which implies

$$\text{rank } A [\cup_{i=1}^k N_i | N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k N_i] = \text{rank } U [\cup_{i=1}^k N_i | N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^k N_i],$$

$k = 1, \dots, p$ .

By (6), (11) follows.

**3. Applications.** In this concluding section, we shall first generalize the structure-rank as follows:

We assume that in  $M \times N$ , two structures  $S_1, S_2$  are given such that  $S_1 \subset S_2, S_1 \neq S_2$ . If  $A$  is an  $m \times n$  matrix, the *difference*  $S_2 \ominus S_1$ -rank of  $A$  is the maximum order of a nonsingular submatrix  $A[\alpha|\beta]$  for which

$$S_1 \subseteq \alpha \times \beta \subseteq S_2.$$

We denote it as  $r(S_2 \ominus S_1; A)$  so that

$$r(S_2 \ominus S_1; A) = \max\{\text{rank } A[\alpha|\beta] | \alpha \subseteq M, \beta \subseteq N, S_1 \subseteq \alpha \times \beta \subseteq S_2\}.$$

In this notation, we prove:

**THEOREM 7.** Let  $N = N_1 \cup \dots \cup N_p, p \geq 2$ , be a decomposition of  $N$ . Let  $A$  be an  $n \times n$  matrix for which  $A[N_1|N_1]$  is invertible. Then, for

$$S_1 = N_1 \times N_1, S_2 = N \times N \setminus \cup_{i=1}^p (N_i \times N_i),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{S} &= \cup_{i=2}^p N_i \times \cup_{i=2}^p N_i \setminus \cup_{i=2}^p (N_i \times N_i) \\ &(\text{ in } (N \setminus N_1) \times (N \setminus N_1)), \end{aligned}$$

$$(12) \quad r(S_2 \ominus S_1; A) = r(\hat{S}; A^{-1} [\cup_{i=2}^p N_i | \cup_{i=2}^p N_i]) + |N_1|.$$

*Proof.* For notational convenience, we can assume that  $N_1$  consists of the first  $n_1$  indices,  $N_2$  of the next  $n_2$  consecutive indices,  $\dots$ ,  $N_p$  of the last  $n_p$  indices and  $\sum_{k=1}^p n_k = n$ . We can then write  $A$  in the block form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where  $A_{11}$  is  $n_1 \times n_1$ ,  $A_{22}(n_2 + \dots + n_p) \times (n_2 + \dots + n_p)$ . Let the inverse conformally partitioned be denoted as

$$B = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix}.$$

As is well known [3], the Schur complement of  $A_{11}$  in  $A$  is

$$A/A_{11} = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}$$

and satisfies

$$(A/A_{11})^{-1} = B_{22}.$$

By Theorem 2 on off-block-diagonal ranks,

$$r(\hat{S}; B_{22}) = r(\hat{S}; A/A_{11})$$

which is, however, equal to

$$r(S_2 \ominus S_1; A) - n_1$$

since

$$\begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & A_{12} \\ A_{21} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & 0 \\ A_{21} & A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} I_1 & A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} \\ 0 & I_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

This proves (12) in the original notation.  $\square$

Let us add an observation on orthogonal and unitary matrices.

**THEOREM 8.** *Let  $C$  be a unitary or orthogonal matrix. Assign to every  $(p \times q)$ -submatrix  $C_0$  of  $C$  the number  $k(C_0) = \frac{1}{2}(p + q) - \text{rank } C_0$ . Then, whenever  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are complementary submatrices of  $C$  (the rows as well as the columns are complementary) then  $k(C_1) = k(C_2)$ .*

*Proof.* Follows easily from (2) and the fact that  $C^{-1} = C^*$  (or  $C^T$ ).

**COROLLARY 9.** *In a unitary or orthogonal  $n \times n$  matrix, the ranks of complementary  $(p \times q)$ -submatrices with  $p + q = n$  coincide.*

**Acknowledgement.** The author wishes to thank Professor Wayne Barrett for helpful discussions.

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. FIEDLER AND T.L. MARKHAM, *Completing a matrix when certain entries of its inverse are specified*, Linear Algebra Appl. 74 (1986), pp. 225–237.
- [2] M. FIEDLER AND T.L. MARKHAM, *Rank-preserving diagonal completions of a matrix*, Linear Algebra Appl. 85 (1987), pp. 49–56.
- [3] E.V. HAYNSWORTH, *Determination of the inertia of a partitioned Hermitian matrix*, Linear Algebra Appl. 1 (1968), pp. 73–81.



## Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
818	<b>Avner Friedman and Bei Hu</b> ,	The Stefan problem with kinetic condition at the free boundary
819	<b>M.A. Grinfeld</b> ,	The stress driven instabilities in crystals: mathematical models and physical manifestations
820	<b>Bei Hu and Lihe Wang</b> ,	A free boundary problem arising in electrophotography: solutions with connected toner region
821	<b>Yongzhi Xu, T. Craig Poling, and Trent Brundage</b> ,	Direct and inverse scattering of time harmonic acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous shallow ocean
822	<b>Steven J. Altschuler</b> ,	Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves
823	<b>Steven J. Altschuler and Matthew A. Grayson</b> ,	Shortening space curves and flow through singularities
824	<b>Tong Li</b> ,	On the Riemann problem of a combustion model
825	<b>L.A. Peletier &amp; W.C. Troy</b> ,	Self-similar solutions for diffusion in semiconductors
826	<b>C.J. van Duijn, L.A. Peletier &amp; R.J. Schotting</b> ,	On the analysis of brine transport in porous media
827	<b>Minkyu Kwak</b> ,	Finite dimensional description of convective reaction-diffusion equations
828	<b>Minkyu Kwak</b> ,	Finite dimensional inertial forms for the 2D Navier–Stokes equations
829	<b>Victor A. Galaktionov and Sergey A. Posashkov</b> ,	On some monotonicity in time properties for a quasilinear parabolic equation with source
830	<b>Victor A. Galaktionov</b> ,	Remark on the fast diffusion equation in a ball
831	<b>Hi Jun Choe and Lihe Wang</b> ,	A regularity theory for degenerate vector valued variational inequalities
832	<b>Vladimir I. Oliker and Nina N. Uraltseva</b> ,	Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, II. The mean curvature case.
833	<b>S. Kamin and W. Liu</b> ,	Large time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation with a source
834	<b>Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez</b> ,	Singular solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations
835	<b>Bernhard Kawohl and Robert Kersner</b> ,	On degenerate diffusion with very strong absorption
836	<b>Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich</b> ,	Parameter identification in reaction-diffusion models
837	<b>E.G. Kalnins, H.L. Manocha and Willard Miller, Jr.</b> ,	Models of $q$ -algebra representations I. Tensor products of special unitary and oscillator algebras
838	<b>Robert J. Sacker and George R. Sell</b> ,	Dichotomies for linear evolutionary equations in Banach spaces
839	<b>Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich</b> ,	Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries
840	<b>Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich</b> ,	Solution of a boundary value problem for Helmholtz equation via variation of the boundary into the complex domain
841	<b>Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez</b> ,	Asymptotic behaviour for an equation of superslow diffusion. The Cauchy problem
842	<b>Josephus Hulshof and Juan Luis Vazquez</b> ,	The Dipole solution for the porous medium equation in several space dimensions
843	<b>Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez</b> ,	The propagation of turbulent bursts
844	<b>Miguel Escobedo, Juan Luis Vazquez and Enrike Zuazua</b> ,	Source-type solutions and asymptotic behaviour for a diffusion-convection equation
845	<b>Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco</b> ,	Discontinuous media and Dirichlet forms of diffusion type
846	<b>Stathis Filippas and Jong-Shenq Guo</b> ,	Quenching profiles for one-dimensional semilinear heat equations
847	<b>H. Scott Dumas</b> ,	A Nekhoroshev-like theory of classical particle channeling in perfect crystals
848	<b>R. Natalini and A. Tesei</b> ,	On a class of perturbed conservation laws
849	<b>Paul K. Newton and Shinya Watanabe</b> ,	The geometry of nonlinear Schrödinger standing waves
850	<b>S.S. Sritharan</b> ,	On the nonsmooth verification technique for the dynamic programming of viscous flow
851	<b>Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You</b> ,	Global attractor, inertial manifolds and stabilization of nonlinear damped beam equations
852	<b>Shigeru Sakaguchi</b> ,	Critical points of solutions to the obstacle problem in the plane
853	<b>F. Abergel, D. Hilhorst and F. Issard-Roch</b> ,	On a dissolution-growth problem with surface tension in the neighborhood of a stationary solution
854	<b>Erasmus Langer</b> ,	Numerical simulation of MOS transistors
855	<b>Haim Brezis and Shoshana Kamin</b> ,	Sublinear elliptic equations in $\mathbb{R}^n$
856	<b>Johannes C.C. Nitsche</b> ,	Boundary value problems for variational integrals involving surface curvatures
857	<b>Chao–Nien Chen</b> ,	Multiple solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation on $\mathbb{R}^N$ with nonlinear dependence on the gradient
858	<b>D. Brochet, X. Chen and D. Hilhorst</b> ,	Finite dimensional exponential attractor for the phase field model
859	<b>Joseph D. Fehribach</b> ,	Mullins-Sekerka stability analysis for melting-freezing waves in helium-4
860	<b>Walter Schempp</b> ,	Quantum holography and neurocomputer architectures
861	<b>D.V. Anosov</b> ,	An introduction to Hilbert’s 21st problem
862	<b>Herbert E Huppert and M Grae Worster</b> ,	Vigorous motions in magma chambers and lava lakes

- 863 **Robert L. Pego and Michael I. Weinstein**, A class of eigenvalue problems, with applications to instability of solitary waves
- 864 **Mahmoud Affouf**, Numerical study of a singular system of conservation laws arising in enhanced oil reservoirs
- 865 **Darin Beigie, Anthony Leonard and Stephen Wiggins**, The dynamics associated with the chaotic of tangles two dimensional quasiperiodic vector fields: theory and applications
- 866 **Gui-Qiang Chen and Tai-Ping Liu**, Zero relaxation and dissipation limits for hyperbolic conservation laws
- 867 **Gui-Qiang Chen and Jian-Guo Liu**, Convergence of second-order schemes for isentropic gas dynamics
- 868 **Aleksander M. Simon and Zbigniew J. Grzywna**, On the Larché-Cahn theory for stress-induced diffusion
- 869 **Jerzy Luczka, Adam Gadomski and Zbigniew J. Grzywna**, Growth driven by diffusion
- 870 **Mitchell Luskin and Tsorng-Whay Pan**, Nonplanar shear flows for nonaligning nematic liquid crystals
- 871 **Mahmoud Affouf**, Unique global solutions of initial-boundary value problems for thermodynamic phase transitions
- 872 **Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey and Bryan L. Shader**, Rectangular  $L$ -matrices
- 873 **Xinfu Chen, Avner Friedman and Bei Hu**, The thermistor problem with zero-one conductivity II
- 874 **Raoul LePage**, Controlling a diffusion toward a large goal and the Kelly principle
- 875 **Raoul LePage**, Controlling for optimum growth with time dependent returns
- 876 **Marc Hallin and Madan L. Puri**, Rank tests for time series analysis a survey
- 877 **V.A. Solonnikov**, Solvability of an evolution problem of thermocapillary convection in an infinite time interval
- 878 **Horia I. Ene and Bogdan Vernescu**, Viscosity dependent behaviour of viscoelastic porous media
- 879 **Kaushik Bhattacharya**, Self-accommodation in martensite
- 880 **D. Lewis, T. Ratiu, J.C. Simo and J.E. Marsden**, The heavy top: a geometric treatment
- 881 **Leonid V. Kalachev**, Some applications of asymptotic methods in semiconductor device modeling
- 882 **David C. Dobson**, Phase reconstruction via nonlinear least-squares
- 883 **Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga**, Minimal currents, geodesics and relaxation of variational integrals on mappings of bounded variation
- 884 **Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga**, Partial regularity of least gradient mappings
- 885 **Charles R. Johnson and Michael Lundquist**, Operator matrices with chordal inverse patterns
- 886 **B.J. Bayly**, Infinitely conducting dynamos and other horrible eigenproblems
- 887 **Charles M. Elliott and Stefan Luckhaus**, 'A generalised diffusion equation for phase separation of a multi-component mixture with interfacial free energy'
- 888 **Christian Schmeiser and Andreas Unterreiter**, The derivation of analytic device models by asymptotic methods
- 889 **LeRoy B. Beasley and Norman J. Pullman**, Linear operators that strongly preserve the index of imprimitivity
- 890 **Jerry Donato**, The Boltzmann equation with lie and cartan
- 891 **Thomas R. Hoffend Jr., Peter Smereka and Roger J. Anderson**, A method for resolving the laser induced local heating of moving magneto-optical recording media
- 892 **E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and Sanchita Mukherjee**, Models of  $q$ -algebra representations: the group of plane motions
- 893 **T.R. Hoffend Jr. and R.K. Kaul**, Relativistic theory of superpotentials for a nonhomogeneous, spatially isotropic medium
- 894 **Reinhold von Schwerin**, Two metal deposition on a microdisk electrode
- 895 **Vladimir I. Olikier and Nina N. Uraltseva**, Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows
- 896 **Wayne Barrett, Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy and Tamir Shalom**, Rank incrementation via diagonal perturbations
- 897 **Thierry Dombre, Alain Pumir, and Eric D. Siggia**, On the interface dynamics for convection in porous media
- 898 **Mingxiang Chen, Xu-Yan Chen and Jack K. Hale**, Structural stability for time-periodic one-dimensional parabolic equations
- 899 **Hong-Ming Yin**, Global solutions of Maxwell's equations in an electromagnetic field with the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity
- 900 **Robert Grone, Russell Merris and William Watkins**, Laplacian unimodular equivalence of graphs
- 901 **Miroslav Fiedler**, Structure-ranks of matrices
- 902 **Miroslav Fiedler**, An estimate for the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices
- 903 **Miroslav Fiedler**, Remarks on eigenvalues of Hankel matrices
- 904 **Charles R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, Michael Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche**, Spectra with positive elementary symmetric functions
- 905 **Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, Thermal contraction as a free boundary problem
- 906 **K.L. Cooke, Janos Turi and Gregg Turner**, Stabilization of hybrid systems in the presence of feedback delays
- 907 **Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu**, A numerical transmutation approach for underwater sound propagation
- 908 **LeRoy B. Beasley, Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader**, Combinatorial orthogonality
- 909 **Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader**, Strong hall matrices
- 910 **Håkan Wennerström and David M. Anderson**, Difference versus Gaussian curvature energies; monolayer versus bilayer curvature energies applications to vesicle stability
- 911 **Shmuel Friedland**, Eigenvalues of almost skew symmetric matrices and tournament matrices