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We have conducted a new set of rock magnetic experiments on samples of remagnetized Paleozoic carbonates of eastern North America. These experiments were designed to investigate the origin of the unusual hysteresis behavior of these rocks, by evaluating (1) the importance of ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite as a remanence carrier, and (2) the sources of low-field susceptibility. Low-temperature measurements of saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (SIRM) indicate that the pyrrhotite magnetic transition at 32 K is absent in the Trenton and Onondaga limestones of New York. This transition is observed but poorly expressed in the Knox Group of east Tennessee: room-temperature SIRM, cooled to 10 K in zero field, lose a small fraction of their intensity between 30 and 35 K. Samples from all three formations show a broad peak in IRM intensity at about 200 K, which is typical of pyrrhotite. In the Trenton and Onondaga samples, the ferrimagnetic component of low-field susceptibility is significantly larger than the ratio Mr/Hcr, and is thus probably due dominantly to magnetite; in some of the Knox samples the reverse is true, suggesting an important pyrrhotite contribution. For all of the samples, the ferrimagnetic susceptibility, normalized by the saturation magnetization, is anomalously high, about a factor of 5 or 10 higher than the typical value for magnetite. We believe that this indicates a very substantial contribution from superparamagnetic particles. Strong frequency dependence of susceptibility and very high ratios of anhysteretic to saturation remanence confirm the importance of ultrafine particles, spanning the superparamagnetic—single-domain boundary. All three of these chemically remagnetized carbonates units exhibit the following properties, which have not previously been found together for any rock or synthetic analog, and which therefore appear to constitute a diagnostic set of rockmagnetic criteria for recognizing chemically-remagnetized rocks:

\[ \frac{M_{r}}{M_{s}} = 0.89 \ \frac{(H_{c})^{0.6}}{(H_{c})}; \ k_{f}M_{s} = 50 \ \mu T; \ ARM/SIRM = 20\%; \ \frac{k_{f}(M_{r}/H_{c})}{M_{s}} = 50. \]

INTRODUCTION

The Paleozoic strata of eastern and central North America, particularly the carbonate units, were extensively remagnetized during the late Paleozoic Kiaman reverse geomagnetic polarity superchron, and abundant evidence now points to a mechanism involving growth of new magnetite by authigenic precipitation and/or by alteration of preexisting sulfides, especially pyrite [McCabe and Elmore, 1989; Van der Voo, 1989; Suk et al., 1990a, b; Lu et al., 1990]. Previous rockmagnetic studies on these remagnetized carbonates have indicated a predominance of fine ferrimagnetic particle sizes (single domain to pseudo-single domain, SD to PSD), and suggested a dominantly cubic (magnetocrystalline) anisotropy [Jackson, 1990a]. These inferences were based mainly on hysteresis properties, which are characterized by an unusual combination of relatively high ratios of saturation remanence to saturation magnetization \( \frac{M_{r}}{M_{s}} \) and remanent to bulk coercivity \( \frac{(H_{c})}{(H_{c})} \) [Jackson, 1990a].

In this study we investigate a possible alternative explanation for the unusually high values of these two hysteresis ratios, namely an unrecognized and significant contribution from ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite. Although it is clear that pyrrhotite is not responsible for the characteristic late Paleozoic natural remanent magnetization (NRM) of these rocks (which unblocks at temperatures above the Curie temperature of pyrrhotite, about 325°C), there are several reasons to suspect that pyrrhotite may be partially responsible for their unusual bulk magnetic properties. First, Freeman [1986] reported abundant ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite in magnetic extracts from the Helderberg limestone, despite the fact that Scotese et al. [1982] saw evidence of only pure magnetite in their thermomagnetic analysis of Helderberg extracts. Second, the coexistence of pyrrhotite with magnetite is not easily identified by the use of such common techniques as acquisition and thermal demagnetization of isothermal remanent magnetization (IRM) [e.g., Lowrie and Heller, 1982]. Although there is not a well-defined inflection in IRM decay curves from these carbonates, there is inevitably a large fraction (roughly 75%) of saturation isothermal remanent magnetization (SIRM) removed by heating to 300-325°C [Kent, 1979; Stead and Kodama, 1984].

Third, hysteresis ratios very similar to those of the remagnetized...
carbonates have been measured for synthetic magnetite-hematite mixtures [Jackson et al., 1990]; it is conceivable that magnetite-pyrrhotite mixtures may exhibit similar behavior, due to the high $M_r/M_s$ ratios and moderately high coercivities typical of pyrrhotite [Dekkers, 1988; Clark, 1984]. Like hematite, pyrrhotite has an easy magnetic plane and a hard axis, and very high anisotropy. Finally, recent low-temperature studies have shown that ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite may be much more common in a variety of rock types (including Appalachian Paleozoic carbonates) than is generally recognized [Rochette et al., 1990].

In addition to testing for a significant ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite contribution to the magnetic properties of these carbonates, we examine their susceptibility characteristics, including frequency dependence, in some detail. This provides additional evidence bearing on the ferrimagnetic mineralogy and grain size distribution.

**METHODS**

Probably the most definitive means of identifying ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite in magnetite-bearing rocks is by its magnetic transition at 32 K [Rochette et al., 1990; Dekkers et al., 1989]. This method is preferable to thermomagnetic analysis for several reasons. First, any significant magnetic changes at this temperature can be attributed unambiguously to pyrrhotite; in contrast, Curie temperatures near 325°C may be due to titanium-bearing magnetites or hematites. Further, because the ratio $M_r/M_s$ is typically much higher for pyrrhotites than for magnetites [Dekkers, 1988], pyrrhotite may be an important source of remanence while contributing insignificantly to $M_s$ in magnetite-bearing rocks, rendering it "invisible" in high-field thermomagnetic studies. Additionally, when using a high-sensitivity, low-temperature superconducting quantum interference device (SQUID), it is possible to analyze whole-rock samples rather than extracts, which may not be truly representative of the magnetic sources in the rock. And finally, the degree of recovery on rewarming through the transition temperature provides important grain size information [Dekkers et al., 1989].

Additional characteristic properties for distinguishing magnetite and pyrrhotite are based on the relationship between ferrimagnetic susceptibility ($k_f$) and various other rockmagnetic parameters. Worm [1991] reports that for pyrrhotite $k_f$ is much smaller than the self-demagnetization limit $1/N$, where $N$ is the demagnetizing factor (measured susceptibility is related to intrinsic susceptibility $k_f$ by $1/k_f = 1/k_i + N$; thus for large $k_i$, $k_f = 1/N$). Worm [1991] has also demonstrated that $k_f$ in pyrrhotite is strongly field-dependent. For magnetite, $k_f$ is much larger than the ratio $M_r/M_s$ for pyrrhotite it is considerably smaller than this ratio [Dekkers, 1988].

Similarly, a comparison of the data of Dekkers [1988] for pyrrhotite with that compiled by Maher [1988] for magnetite indicates that the ratio $k_f/M_s$ is typically lower for pyrrhotite than for magnetite, by about a factor of 2. Anhysteretic remanent magnetization (ARM), normalized by direct field strength, may be referred to as anhysteretic susceptibility $k_{AR}$ [King et al., 1982]. The ratio $k_f/k_p$ is typically much larger for pyrrhotite than for magnetite [Rochette, 1988].

In this study we have investigated these properties, as well as the frequency-dependence of susceptibility, for approximately 40 samples of the Trenton limestone (Ordovician, New York), Onondaga limestone (Devonian, New York), and Knox Dolomite (Ordovician, Tennessee). These samples have been used in a number of previous studies of paleomagnetism [McCabe et al., 1984; Bachladek et al., 1987], anisotropy [McCabe et al., 1985; Jackson et al., 1989; Jackson, 1990b], and rock magnetism [McCabe et al., 1989; Jackson, 1990a]. We have used three different sets of specimens for a combination of non-destructive and destructive (e.g., heating or extraction) experiments. Saturation magnetization and paramagnetic susceptibility $k_p$ were determined from high-field hysteresis measurements; the low-field ferrimagnetic susceptibility $k_f$ was determined by subtracting $k_p$ from the previously-measured low-field susceptibility $k_f$.

For a few samples, the room-temperature SIRM acquired during the high-field measurements was then cooled to 5 or 10 K in zero field in 10° or 20° steps, using a SHE Corporation SQUID susceptometer in the Néel laboratory in Grenoble. Low-temperature measurements for two additional samples were made with a Quantum Design Magnetic Property Measurement System (MPMS) at the University of Minnesota. The "zero field" control of these instruments is somewhat loose, and actual fields may have been as large as a few hundred microtesla. As a result, a paramagnetic correction had to be applied to the low-temperature data (since the induced paramagnetic magnetization varies inversely with temperature, it became quite large in a few cases).

Other samples were thermally demagnetized after the high-field measurements, or crushed for preparation of magnetic extracts. Frequency-dependent susceptibility was measured with a Bartington dual-frequency alternating current bridge (470 and 4700 Hz). Due to the effects of high-field treatment on susceptibility [e.g., Potter and Stephenson, 1990] these measurements were made on specimens that had not been used for hysteresis measurements. Additional low-frequency susceptibility measurements were made with a Kappabridge KLY-2 instrument in Grenoble. Anhysteretic remanence was imparted with a Schonstedt alternating field demagnetizer with a peak amplitude of 100 mT and a superimposed steady field of 100 mT.

**RESULTS**

Thermal demagnetization of SIRM shows blocking temperatures almost entirely below 400° or 450°C, and at least 70% removed by 350°C, as shown previously by Kent [1979] and by Stead and Kodama [1984] (Figure 1). Although it is not well defined, there is a suggestion of an inflection in many of the curves at about the Curie temperature of pyrrhotite, suggesting but not requiring an important contribution from that mineral. Kent [1985] interpreted the thermal demagnetization behavior as indicating predominantly multidomain magnetite, because the unblocking temperatures are so far below the Curie temperature for pure magnetite. More generally, the unblocking behavior can be interpreted as arising from magnetite dominated by magnetocrystalline anisotropy, as the demagnetization curves closely mirror the temperature dependence of the cubic anisotropy constant $K_1$ [e.g., O'Reilly, 1984].

The pyrrhotite low-temperature transition is either poorly defined or completely absent in all of the samples analyzed (Figure 2). Only one sample of the Knox dolomite shows an observable loss of remanence on cooling below 50 K. The apparent transition temperature is slightly higher than expected, probably because of an "undershoot" in the temperature stepping. On rewarming through the transition temperature, this sample shows no recovery. This is unlike any of the types of transition behavior described by Dekkers et al. [1989] for natural and synthetic pyrrhotites of various grain sizes, all of which exhibited at least 50% recovery, with almost perfect reversibility of the transition for the finest grain sizes. In contrast, the magnetite low-temperature transition [Verwey and Haayman, 1941; Nagata et al., 1964; Chikazumi, 1975; Dunlop and Argyle, 1991] at approximately 120 K is clearly defined in two of the samples (Figure 2). Thus the low-
temperature behavior of room temperature IRM does not appear to indicate a significant pyrrhotite contribution in most samples.

Interestingly, however, almost all samples show a fairly well defined, broad peak in IRM intensity at about 200 K, with values 5\% to 10\% higher than room temperature values. Dekkers [1989] finds that this is typical of well-characterized natural pyrrhotites of a wide range of grain sizes. Increasing intensity of SIRM on cooling in zero field undoubtedly arises from increasing spontaneous magnetization; this can be expected to occur for both magnetite and pyrrhotite, but with a stronger temperature dependence for the latter by virtue of its lower $T_C$. However, the decrease in intensity on further cooling below 200 K seems to indicate a reduction in spontaneous magnetization, i.e., the behavior of a P-type ferrite. Such a decrease is not expected to occur in magnetite due to the nature of the interactions in that mineral [Stacey and Banerjee, 1974], nor is it generally observed experimentally in magnetite-bearing carbonate rocks [e.g., Lowrie and Heller, 1982]. Dekkers' [1989] measurements on pyrrhotites, on the other hand, show a maximum in $M_s$ at the same temperature, and he points out that this correlates with changes in the anisotropy constants for pyrrhotite; in particular, $K_3$ changes sign and $K_4$ has a maximum at 205 K [Bin and Pauthenet, 1963]. Thus the low-temperature data are suggestive of some contribution from pyrrhotite, but the lack of a transition at 32 K is problematic.

Table 1 summarizes the low- and high-field susceptibility data. Ferrimagnetic susceptibility on average constitutes about 75\% of the overall $k_{sf}$, but the proportion is quite variable, ranging from about 30\% to essentially 100\%, with values between $10^{-5}$ and $10^{-4}$ SI volume-normalized units. The ratio $M_{rs}/H_{cr}$ ranges from about $10^{-5}$ to $10^{-5}$ SI, and with only one exception is much smaller than $k_f$ (Table 2), suggesting a predominance of magnetite rather than pyrrhotite, according to Dekkers [1988]. The ratio $k_fM_s$ is surprisingly large, typically around 40 or 50 $\mu$m/A (Table 1). This is much larger than values calculated from published data for synthetic magnetites over a very wide range of grain sizes [e.g., Maher, 1988]. The ARM/SIRM ratio is also unusually high, ranging from 10\% to over 30\% (Table 2). The frequency-dependence is quite strong, in many samples exceeding 10\%

Table 3). Susceptibilities in the Knox samples were too low to obtain consistent measurements of $k_{fd}$, but for the Trenton and Onondaga samples repeat measurements showed that $k_{fd}$ was reproducible to within 2\%.

**DISCUSSION**

**Importance of Pyrrhotite**

The absence of a marked magnetic transition near 32 K appears to indicate that the contribution of pyrrhotite to the magnetic properties of the Trenton and Onondaga samples is negligible in
TABLE 1. Ferromagnetic Susceptibilities and Saturation Magnetization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>k_f</th>
<th>k_p</th>
<th>k_f</th>
<th>M_s</th>
<th>k_f/M_s</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Trenton Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA 3</td>
<td>7.68</td>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>7.24</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA 4</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>43.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA 6</td>
<td>3.72</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>0.88</td>
<td>41.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTA 12</td>
<td>5.64</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>5.83</td>
<td>0.76</td>
<td>76.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Onondaga Limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-23</td>
<td>2.35</td>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>33.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8-27</td>
<td>13.19</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>13.24</td>
<td>2.97</td>
<td>44.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9-27</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>6.37</td>
<td>1.46</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO10-3</td>
<td>12.71</td>
<td>2.71</td>
<td>10.00</td>
<td>2.30</td>
<td>43.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO10-6</td>
<td>8.60</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>1.60</td>
<td>51.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-23</td>
<td>14.14</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>14.26</td>
<td>2.92</td>
<td>48.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11-10</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>0.50</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>25.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12-24</td>
<td>2.48</td>
<td>-0.15</td>
<td>2.63</td>
<td>0.62</td>
<td>42.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13-32</td>
<td>0.63</td>
<td>-0.04</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>26.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14-29</td>
<td>8.46</td>
<td>8.13</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>11.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox Dolomite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAQ 2.2</td>
<td>3.62</td>
<td>2.40</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>17.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCP 3.2</td>
<td>2.96</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>39.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM 42.1</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>1.24</td>
<td>3.14</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>28.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Units for k are 10^-5 SI volume-normalized; M_s is in A/m; and k_f/M_s is in μm/A.

a For these samples, k_p was measured by the SHE susceptometer and k_o by kappabridge.
For all other samples k_p was measured with a vibrating-sample magnetometer (VSM)
and k_o was measured with a Barrington bridge.

TABLE 2. Ferromagnetic and Anhysteretic Susceptibilities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>k_f</th>
<th>k_a</th>
<th>M_re/H_cr</th>
<th>ARM/M_re</th>
<th>k_d/k_f</th>
<th>k_d/(M_re/H_cr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Onondaga limestone</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO1-8</td>
<td>4.56</td>
<td>46.6</td>
<td>0.69</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>10.2</td>
<td>68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO2-12</td>
<td>7.59</td>
<td>57.9</td>
<td>1.17</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO3-2</td>
<td>6.06</td>
<td>49.3</td>
<td>0.86</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>8.1</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO5-4</td>
<td>1.94</td>
<td>13.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO5-7</td>
<td>1.35</td>
<td>7.4</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FO5-9</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Knox Dolomite</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAL 1.3C</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>22.6</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>251</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BAQ 1.1C</td>
<td>0.42</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.38</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COP 7.12</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FCP 3.1C</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>25.6</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM 35.1A</td>
<td>0.90</td>
<td>4.8</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NM 42.3</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

k_f and k_a are recalculated from data of McCabe et al. [1989]. Units for k_f, k_a and M_re/H_cr are 10^-5 SI volume-normalized; the remaining ratios are dimensionless.

carrier, the reason for the absence of a low-temperature transition requires further investigation; it is possible that unknown factors such as chemical impurities, grain size and grain shape may suppress the transition in pyrrhotite as they do in magnetite and hematite [Nininger and Schroer, 1978; Kobayashi and Fuller, 1968]. Thus, while the absence of a clear 32 K transition does not
support a significant pyrrhotite contribution, it may not necessarily rule one out.

The alternative test for pyrrhotite, suggested by Dekkers [1988], appears at first to indicate resoundingly the predominance of magnetite, especially in the New York samples. The ratios $M_t/H_{cr}$ are invariably much less than $k_f$ (Table 2); for the Knox magnetite, especially in the New York samples. The ratios most of Mrs/Hcr<<k f appears to rule out a significant pyrrhotite contribution n the New York samples [Dekkers, 1988], but the harder phase carrying the majority of $M_t$, and the softer phase carrying most of $M_t$ [Nagata and Carteion, 1987; Parry, 1982; Kneller, 1969]. For pyrrhotite, coexisting with magnetite, to be the cause of the anomalous hysteresis ratios in the remagnetized Paleozoic carbonates, it would have to be the principal carrier of I RM. The unblocking temperature spectra of SIRM, $k_f/k_f$ ratios, and SIRM maximum at 200 K appear to be consistent with this; the lack of a well-defined low-temperature transition argues against this idea but does not necessarily rule it out. The alternative test for pyrrhotite is also somewhat ambiguous: the relationship $M_t/H_{cr}<<k_f$ appears to rule out a significant pyrrhotite contribution in the New York samples [Dekkers, 1988], but the relation $k_m>>k_f$ is more typical of pyrrhotite than of magnetite [Rochette, 1988].

The origin of the pyrrhotite is unlikely to be related to that of the magnetite because of the widely different geochemical environments in which these minerals form. At least some of the pyrrhotite predate Kiman magnetite formation: Suk et al. [1991] have observed magnetite as a partial replacement of monoclinic pyrrhotite in Devonian carbonates from New York. However, it is clear that ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite does not contribute to the characteristic component of natural remanence, which unblocks above about 350°C. It is possible that it contributes in some way to the Cenozoic NRM component, which has unblocking temperatures that are considered anomalously high for a viscous remanence in magnetite [Kent, 1985], but the mechanism by which it may have acquired such a remanence is unclear.

Perhaps the most important questions regarding the presence or absence of monoclinic pyrrhotite are (1) to what extent does it affect the unusual rock-magnetic properties of these samples, and (2) what may reasonably be inferred from the presence or absence of similar magnetic properties in other rocks? If these properties (high values for $k_d/k_f$, ARM/SIRM, $M_0/M$, $H_{cr}/H_{cn}$, etc) are controlled by the authigenic magnetite alone, they provide a fingerprint that can potentially be used to identify similar chemically remagnetized carbonate rocks in other settings. On the other hand, if the properties described above are a consequence of the coexistence of magnetite and ferrimagnetic pyrrhotite, the conclusions that may be drawn from their presence or especially from their absence are somewhat less clear.

### Importance of Superparamagnetic Particles

The very high values of $k_fM_g$ and the strong frequency dependence of susceptibility indicate an important contribution from superparamagnetic (SP) particles, which have greatly enhanced susceptibility. The specific susceptibility of stable (SD or larger) ferrimagnetic grains (per unit mass of ferrimagnetic material) has a rather weak grain size dependence. For magnetite, measured values range from about $3 \times 10^{-4}$ to $4 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$ in the SD range up to about $6 \times 10^{-4}$ or $7 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$ for MD particles [Dankers, 1978; Ozdemir and Banerjee, 1982; Maher, 1988]. In theory, however, the specific susceptibility of SP material may be higher than these values by an order of magnitude or more [Stephenson, 1971; Stacey and Banerjee, 1974; O'Reilly, 1984; Maher measured specific susceptibilities up to $11 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{m}^3/\mathrm{kg}$ for synthetic magnetites in the size range below 0.03 μm (30 nm). Because the mass of ferrimagnetic material in natural samples is unknown, it is appropriate to normalize by the saturation magnetization. Taking a value of 92 Am²/kg for the saturation magnetization of magnetite yields a ratio $k_fM_g$ of about 5 to 8 μmA for stable grain sizes (SD or larger), but it may reach 50 or more μmA for SP grains. Moskowitz et al. [1988] have reported ratios as high as 35 μmA for bacterial magnetites with dimensions of 40 to 50 nm. The high values of $k_fM_g$ in the natural samples of this study, up to nearly 80 μmA (Table 1), thus indicate that a very large fraction of the ferrimagnetic susceptibility comes from SP particles.

The presence of a significant SP fraction is qualitatively confirmed by the high values of $k_fM_g$ (Table 3; $k_fM_g = (k_f - k_f/k_f)k_f$, where $k_f$ and $k_f$ refer to high-frequency and low-frequency values respectively). For the Trenton limestone, $k_fM_g$ is uniformly greater than 8%, reaching values as high as 17%. For comparison, the highest value reported by Maher [1988] was 11%, for a sample containing synthetic magnetite with a mean grain size of 22 nm. Eick [1989] has described susceptibility decreases of almost 50% (over two decades of frequency) in ash-flow tuffs containing elongate magnetites with an average length (determined by scanning and transmission electron microscope (SEM and TEM) observations) of 50 nm [Schlinger et al., 1991; Eick and Schlinger,
1990]. Interestingly, however, the 40 to 50 nm magnetites produced by magnetotactic bacteria studied by Moskowitz et al. [1988] show no frequency dependence (B. M. Moskowitz, personal communication, 1991). For the Onondaga samples $k_{fd}$ is on average slightly lower than for the Trenton, perhaps as a consequence of a somewhat larger average contribution of (frequency-independent) paramagnetic material.

Large values of $k_{fd}$ indicate the presence of an important population of grains with blocking frequencies between 470 and 4700 Hz, i.e., with relaxation times (at room temperature) of approximately $10^{-3}$ s. Using Néel's formula relating relaxation time to volume, it is easy to calculate that these relaxation times correspond to volumes on the order of $10^{-25}$ m$^3$, or diameters in the vicinity of 20 nm. Particles in this size range are superparamagnetic at 470 Hz (i.e., their relaxation time is shorter than the measurement time constant) but "stable" at the higher frequency (relaxation time longer than the measurement time constant). If we assume that susceptibility in these particles drops by about a factor of 10 with the change from SP to SD state on increasing frequency [e.g., Stephenson, 1971], we can conclude that 10% $k_{fd}$ may be generated by only about 1% of the total ferrimagnetic volume (in other words, only about 1% of the magnetite/pyrrhotite is in the size range with blocking frequencies between 470 and 4700). If the susceptibility drop at high frequency is less than a factor of 10, the volume fraction of SP grains will be concomitantly larger; the high values of the ratio $k_f/M_s$ suggest that this is probably the case. Values 5 to 10 times greater than the self-demagnetization limit (1/(NM$_s$) = $6 \mu$m/A) suggest that as much as 90% of the susceptibility comes from SP particles; depending on their specific susceptibility, this appears to indicate that SP particles constitute somewhere around 50% of the total volume of ferrimagnetic material.

Very high ARM intensities are another characteristic of very fine grain size, near the SP-SD boundary. For these samples, the ARM acquired in an 80 A/m DC field (1 Oe or 100 mT equivalent) is between 15% and 35% of $M_{cr}$. Similar ARM/SIRM ratios have been reported by Moskowitz et al. [1988] for bacterial magnetite. Moskowitz et al. use these ratios to calculate interaction fields [Jaep, 1971; Banerjee and Mellema, 1974], and find that such high ratios require very weak interaction (interaction fields on the order of 0.01 to 0.05 mT, i.e., less than about half an Oersted). This is consistent with previous evidence that the particles in the remagnetized carbonates are predominantly non interacting [Jackson, 1990a].

Table 2 also shows that the theoretical approximation $k_a = M_{s}/H_{cr}$ is grossly inaccurate for these samples, with $k_a$ exceeding this ratio by about a factor of 50. This relationship ($k_a > M_{s}/H_{cr}$) seems to be a further characteristic of ultrafine particles. Examination of the data of Maher [1988] shows that the ratio $k_a/(M_{s}/H_{cr})$ exceeds 2.0 for a few of her synthetic ultrafine-grained samples and that each of these few also exhibit $k_{fd} > 5\%$ and/or specific susceptibility exceeding $10^{-3}$ m$^2$/kg. In contrast, Dankers [1978] measured $k_M$ values very close to $M_{s}/H_{cr}$ for relatively coarse-grained magnetites. High values of $k_a/(M_{s}/H_{cr})$ (as well as high $k_{fd}$ and high specific susceptibility) seem to be suppressed with increasing particle interactions, inasmuch as none of these effects can be found in Maher's [1988] data for samples containing more than 0.3% magnetite by weight. The mean value of $M_s$ for the Paleozoic carbonates of this study is on the order of 1 A/m, corresponding to a magnetite content of a few parts per million. An absence of interaction effects may be the reason that these characteristic properties of ultrafine particles ($k_{fd} > 5\%$, $k_fM_s > 10 \mu$m/A, $k_a > M_{s}/H_{cr}$ and ARM/SIRM > 10%) are better expressed in these carbonate samples than in Maher's samples, exclusively containing synthetic ultrafine magnetites.

**Origin of Bulk Magnetic Properties and Natural Remanence**

In view of these new observations, we suggest two possible causes for the wasp-waisted character of the hysteresis loops and the associated high $H_{cr}/H_c$ ratios that are typical of these samples [Jackson, 1990a]. The bimodality of coercivities that is required to generate such constricted loops may be provided either by a mixture of pyrrhotite and magnetite or by a mixture of SP and larger magnetites. It remains plausible that pyrrhotite contributes significantly to the observed properties, especially of some Knox samples, but the evidence is inconclusive. The strongest indications of its possible presence are the humps in SIRM cooling curves, which closely match the measured temperature dependence of $M_s$ in pyrrhotite [Dekkers, 1989]. Although pyrrhotite clearly does not contribute to the characteristic late Paleozoic remanence, it may play some role in the anomalous stability of the Cenozoic (presumably viscous) overprint [Kent, 1985], and may also be important in controlling ARM anisotropy [McCabe et al., 1985; Jackson et al., 1989].

In contrast to the remaining uncertainty about the importance of pyrrhotite, the evidence is quite clear for a major contribution from ultrafine magnetites, spanning the SP-SD boundary. Uncommonly high values of $k_f/M_s$ and $k_{fd}$ such as we have found have no other known source. Similarly, ARM/SIRM ratios exceeding 10% require fine SD carriers [Dunlop and West, 1969; Schmidbauer and Schemhera, 1987]; further they preclude significant particle interactions [Moskowitz et al., 1988]. The presence of such material also helps to account for the low bulk coercivities and wasp-waisted hysteresis loops observed previously. In fact the hysteresis properties can be modeled very closely as a mixture of superparamagnetic and stable single-domain magnetite controlled by cubic magnetocrystalline anisotropy. The theoretical and empirical results of Kneller [1969], Parry [1982], and Nagata and Carleton [1987] indicate that the high $H_{cr}/H_c$ ratios can be accounted for if the SP fraction is responsible for the majority of $M_s$ (i.e., more than half of the total weight of magnetite is in the SP size range), while the remanence is carried mainly by stable SD grains. A ferrimagnetic assemblage containing 50% or more SP particles is consistent with the $k_f/M_s$ ratios we have measured, as well as with the low bulk coercivities (as low as 4 mT in many of the samples). The high $M_{s}/M_s$ ratio of cubic SD particles (0.87) would be reduced by mixing with an equal volume of SP material to around 0.4; this is somewhat larger than the average value found by Jackson [1990a]. Such a mixture can thus account for virtually all of the rockmagnetic observations: high ratios of $M_{s}/M_s$ and $H_{cr}/H_c$; extremely large normalized susceptibilities $k_fM_s$ and strong frequency dependence of susceptibility; high ARM/SIRM ratios, as well as the unblocking temperature distributions for SIRM and for the Kiaman component of NRM [e.g., Saffer and McCabe, 1992]. It is also interesting to note that the differences in hysteresis properties for the three formations are compatible with the susceptibility differences: the Knox samples have the highest $M_{s}/M_s$ ratios, and thus they must have the smallest SP fraction; they also have the lowest $k_fM_s$ ratios.

Although petrographic studies of magnetic extracts from remagnetized limestones have generally found a preponderance of relatively coarse-grained (larger than a few microns) magnetite [e.g., McCabe et al., 1983; Wisniewicki et al., 1983; Bac| Atadse et
Alternatively, it may be a consequence of dominantly cubic characteristic (1) is similar to behavior reported for magnetite-carbonate rocks. Research designed to test this idea by analysis of rock magnetic criteria for recognizing chemically remagnetized hematite mixtures [Jackson et al., 1990], and may reflect an contribution of SP particles to the ferrimagnetic susceptibility of various ages and from various locations is currently underway. All of the remagnetized carbonate units investigated in this study and in the previous study of Jackson [1990a] share the following host of uncommon rock magnetic properties:

\[ M_{zh}/M_s = 0.89 \left( H_{cr}/H_c \right)^{-0.6} \]  

(1)

\[ k_f/M_s = 50 \mu \text{m/A} \]  

(2)

\[ \text{ARM}/M_{zh} = 20\% \]  

(3)

\[ k_d(M_{zh}/H_{cr}) = 50 \]  

(4)

\[ k_d/k_f \geq 5 \]  

(5)

\[ k_{fd} \geq 5\% \]  

(6)

Three of these (2), (3), and (6) have only been reported previously for ultrafine magnetites (a few tens of nanometers) [e.g., Moskowitz et al., 1988; Maher, 1988; Eick, 1989]. Characteristic (1) is similar to behavior reported for magnetite-hematite mixtures [Jackson et al., 1990], and may reflect an important, previously-unrecognized pyrrhotite contribution. Alternatively, it may be a consequence of dominantly cubic magnetocrystalline anisotropy, as interpreted by Jackson [1990a]. In any case, we are unaware of any other rock or synthetic analog in which all of these properties have been found together. Therefore these appear to constitute a diagnostic set of rock magnetic criteria for recognizing chemically remagnetized carbonate rocks. Research designed to test this idea by analysis of additional remagnetized and nonremagnetized carbonates of various ages and from various locations is currently underway.

An additional point worth mentioning is that the very significant contribution of SP particles to the ferrimagnetic susceptibility renders interpretation of the anisotropy of magnetic susceptibility (AMS) highly uncertain. The conclusions of Jackson [1990b] regarding AMS in the Trenton limestone, based on the analytical method of Henry and Daly [1983], are therefore probably overstated. Figure 3 of Jackson [1990b] may be more appropriately interpreted as indicating that anisotropy in Trenton samples generally decreases with increasing mean susceptibility; this may be related to a greater proportion of SP particles in those samples.

**SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS**

Evidence for or against a significant pyrrhotite contribution to the unusual bulk magnetic properties of remagnetized Paleozoic carbonates remains somewhat equivocal. The pyrrhotite low-temperature magnetic transition is poorly expressed in one sample of Knox dolomite and absent in all other samples tested. For the Onondaga and Trenton samples, the ferrimagnetic susceptibility is much larger than the ratio \( M_{zh}/H_{cr} \), indicating a predominance of magnetite according to Dekkers [1988]; for the Knox samples the Dekkers test indicates significant pyrrhotite. For all three units, \( k_f \) is much smaller than the anhysteretic susceptibility (often by more than an order of magnitude), which is generally characteristic of pyrrhotite according to Rochette [1988]. However \( k_d/k_f \) ratios for the Onondaga and Trenton samples are comparable to those found by Moskowitz et al. [1988] for bacterial magnetites and by Maher [1988] for synthetic magnetites spanning the SP-SD boundary.

There is clear evidence for a very significant contribution of ultrafine particles to the bulk magnetic properties of all three of the stratigraphic units studied. All samples have unusually high ratios of \( k_f/M_s, \text{ARM}/\text{SIRM} \), and \( k_d(M_{zh}/H_{cr}) \), as well as a strong frequency-dependence of susceptibility. The normalized susceptibility values indicate that superparamagnetic particles may constitute more than half of the total mass of ferrimagnetic material in these samples; this is consistent with previous observations of anomalous hysteresis behavior.
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