QUENCHING PROFILES FOR ONE-DIMENSIONAL SEMILINEAR HEAT EQUATIONS

By

Stathis Filippas $\quad \text{and} \quad$ Jong-Shenq Guo

IMA Preprint Series # 846 August 1991

Quenching Profiles for One-Dimensional Semilinear Heat Equations

STATHIS FILIPPAS† and JONG-SHENQ GUO‡

Abstract. We are interested in the local behavior, near a quenching point, of a solution of a semilinear heat equation with singular powerlike absorption. Using the method of Herrero and Velazquez, we obtain a precise description of the spatial profile of the solution in a neighborhood of a quenching point at the quenching time, under certain assumptions on the initial data.

1. Introduction. In this paper, we consider the problem

(1.1)
$$u_t = u_{xx} - u^{-\beta} \quad \text{in} \quad (-l, l) \times (0, T),$$

$$(1.2) u(x,0) = u_0(x), x \in [-l,l],$$

$$(1.3) u(\pm l, t) = 1, \quad t \in [0, T),$$

where $\beta > 0, l > 0, T > 0$, and $u_0(x)$ is smooth and such that $0 < u_0(x) \le 1$ and $u_0(\pm l) = 1$. For any $\beta > 0$ fixed, it is well known [18] that there is a finite time T such that the minimum of the solution u(x,t) reaches zero as $t \uparrow T$ for certain choices of l and u_0 . This phenomenon, which is called quenching, has been studied by many authors for the past two decades (see, for example, [10, 18, 19] and references cited therein).

Hereafter we assume that u quenches at finite time T. A point x_0 is said to be a quenching point if there is a sequence $\{(x_n, t_n)\}$ such that $x_n \to x_0, t_n \uparrow T$, and $u(x_n, t_n) \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

It has been shown [10, 13] that the set of points at which the solution quenches (at the same time T) is a finite set and stays a positive distance away from the boundary $|x| = \pm l$. Moreover, concerning the rate at which the solution approaches zero, under the assumption that $u_0(x)$ is such that

(H)
$$u_0'' - u_0^{-\beta} \le 0,$$

the following estimate has been shown [10, 2, 12] for all values of $\beta > 0$

(1.4)
$$\lim_{t \uparrow T} (T - t)^{-1/(\beta + 1)} u(x, t) = (\beta + 1)^{1/(\beta + 1)},$$

[†]IMA, University of Minnesota, 206 Church Street SE, Minneapolis, MN 55455

[‡]Institute of Applied Mathematics, National Tsing Hua University, Hsinchu, Taiwan 30043, R.O.C. The major part of this work was done while the second author was visiting the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, University of Minnesota. He thanks the staff of IMA for their hospitality. The research of the second author was partially supported by the National Science Council, Republic of China.

uniformly for $|x - x_0| < C(T - t)^{1/2}$ for any positive constant C. For the corresponding results in higher dimensions, we refer the interested reader to [3, 11].

The above estimate, besides giving the quenching rate, provides us with some information about the asymptotic behavior of u in a space-time parabola (with its vertex at the quenching point) as t approaches T. But since the domain of validity of this estimate, tends to zero as we approach the quenching time, any information about the space structure of the solution at the quenching time T is lost. In this work we are interested in learning more about the behavior of u near a quenching point at time T.

The similarity between quenching and blowup problems is well known. A typical example of the latter is the following equation

$$(1.5) u_t = u_{xx} + u^p, \quad -\infty < x < \infty, \quad t > 0, \quad p > 1,$$

with continuous, nonnegative and bounded initial conditions. The above equation has been studied extensively in recent years (see for instance, [5–9, 14–17, 20]). Solutions of (1.5), under certain assumptions on the initial data become infinite in finite time. Moreover, an estimate similar to (1.4) holds for the blowup rate.

In a recent series of papers, Herrero and Velazquez [14–17, 20] were able to obtain a precise description of the space structure of the solution in a neighborhood of a blowup point. In this work we shall employ these ideas in the study of the quenching problem. Our main result is the following

Theorem A. Let u(x,t) be the solution of (1.1)-(1.3) which quenches at the point x_0 at time T. Moreover we assume that:

- (i) $u_0(x)$ satisfies (H),
- (ii) $0 < u_0(x) \le 1$,
- (iii) $u_0(x)$ has a single minimum.

Then we have that

(1.6)
$$u(x,T) = \left[\frac{(\beta+1)^2}{8\beta}\right]^{\gamma} \left(\frac{|x-x_0|^2}{|\ln|x-x_0||}\right)^{\gamma} (1+o(1)),$$

as
$$|x - x_0| \to 0$$
; here $\gamma = 1/(\beta + 1)$.

A few remarks are in order. Assumption (i) is essential in our analysis, since the quencing rate estimate (1.4), of which we make strong use, is known under hypothesis (H). In contrast, assumption (ii) (that is, the upper bound) is rather an assumption of technical convenience. We could remove it at the expence of making things slightly more complicated.

To explain the relevance of (iii) we first have to recall certain facts from [14-17]. It has been shown there, that the space structure near a blowup point at the blowup time

T, depends on whether there is a single maximum of u(x,t) (for times prior to T) which becomes infinite at time T, or whether two or more maxima coalesce at exactly the blowup time T. Moreover, they prove the existence of initial data for which the second possibility happens [15] and they show that such a behavior is of unstable character [17] – the generic one being blowup originating from a single maximum. Returning now to our problem, we expect that a similar situation will hold. The role of assumption (iii) should now be clear: since $u_0(x)$ has a single minimum, by standard results (cf. for instance [1]) u(x,t) will have no more than a minimum (in fact exactly one, since we know that it quenches), and therefore the possibility of two or more minima coalescing at time T is ruled out. In analogy with the blowup problem we expect that the coalescence of two or more minima at exactly the quenching time T will result to a behavior different to the one described in (1.6).

One should notice in (1.6) that u(x,T) develops a cusp if $\beta > 1$ whereas it is smooth if $0 < \beta \le 1$. This result is not altogether new. It has been shown in [4], under certain assumptions on the initial data, that u_x tends to infinity for $\beta > 1$ whereas u_x tends to zero if $0 < \beta < 1$.

Our analysis depends heavily on the ideas of [5, 14-16, 20]. Many of the results there are applicable in problem (1.1)–(1.3), either in a straightforward way or after minor modifications. To keep this work at a reasonable size, we simply quote them. On the other hand, several differences appear at the technical level. The relevant arguments then, are presented in detail.

The method can be roughly divided into three steps. In the first step, one obtains more information about the asymptotic behavior of the solution in space-time parabolas, as the quenching time is approached (essentially, by adding one more term in the right hand side of (1.4)). In the second step, one computes the asymptotic behavior of the solution in slightly larger regions (namely, $|x - x_0| < C\sqrt{(T-t)|\ln(T-t)|}$). These information are then used in the last step where the final time profiles are computed. These steps are presented in Sections 3, 4, 5 respectively, whereas in Section 2 some preparatory material is presented.

2. Preliminaries. In this section we will establish some preliminary results and we will introduce some notation.

At first we note that without loss of generality – as far as the analysis of the present work is concerned – we may assume that l = 1. As a second step we will replace equation (1.1) by an "extended" one, defined on the whole real line, which of course, admits the same solutions with (1.1) when confined in the initial interval [-1,1]. This step is of a purely technical character since our main result is clearly of a local nature.

Following [20] we set:

$$V(x,t) = rac{1}{\sqrt{\pi t}} \exp\left(-rac{x^2}{4t}
ight), \quad W(x,t) = rac{x}{2\sqrt{\pi t^3}} \exp\left(-rac{x^2}{4t}
ight).$$

Clearly $V_x = -W$, $V_t = V_{xx}$, and $W_t = W_{xx}$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$, t > 0.

To extend (1.1) to the right we define

(2.1)
$$\bar{u}(x,t) = (x-1) \int_0^t W(x-1,t-\tau) u_x(1,\tau) d\tau + 1, \quad x \ge 1.$$

One can verify that

$$\bar{u}_t - \bar{u}_{xx} = \bar{g}(x,t), \qquad x > 1, \quad t > 0,$$

where

(2.2)
$$\bar{g}(x,t) = 2u_x(1,0)V(x-1,t) + 2\int_0^t V(x-1,t-\tau)u_{x\tau}(1,\tau)d\tau.$$

Also, we have at x = 1:

$$\bar{u}(1,t) = 1,$$
 $0 < t < T,$
 $\bar{u}_x(1,t) = u_x(1,t),$ $0 < t < T.$

A similar extension can be performed to the left so that finally we get the equation:

$$\tilde{u}_t - \tilde{u}_{xx} = f(x, t), \quad x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad 0 < t < T$$

with

$$\tilde{u}(x,t) = \begin{cases} u(x,t), & |x| \leq 1 \\ \bar{u}(x,t), & |x| > 1 \end{cases}$$

and

$$f(x,t) = \begin{cases} -u^{-\beta}(x,t), & |x| \le 1\\ \bar{g}(x,t), & |x| > 1. \end{cases}$$

Notice that \tilde{u} is continuously differentiable at $x = \pm 1$, whereas f(x,t) has a jump discontinuity at $x = \pm 1$. Since the quenching points stay away from the boundary we have (from standard regularity theory) that $u_x(1,t)$ and $u_{xt}(1,t)$ are uniformly bounded, whereas under the assumptions of Theorem A they are nonnegative as well. It then follows from (2.1) and (2.2) that for |x| > 1:

(2.5)
$$1 \le \bar{u}(x,t) < c_1 < +\infty, \quad 0 < \bar{g}(x,t) < c_2 < +\infty$$

for suitable constands c_1, c_2 . In particular there are no quenching points for $|x| \geq 1$. From now on we will study the extended equation (2.3).

Assuming that $x_0 = 0$ is a quenching point we next introduce similarity variables:

(2.6)
$$w(y,s) = (T-t)^{-\gamma} \tilde{u}(x,t), \quad y = x/\sqrt{T-t}, \quad s = -\ln(T-t),$$

where $\gamma = 1/(\beta + 1)$. Then w(y, s) exists for all time s and solves the equation

(2.7)
$$w_s = w_{yy} - \frac{y}{2}w_y + \gamma w - F(y, s) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

where

$$F(y,s) = \begin{cases} w^{-\beta}, & |y| \le e^{s/2}, \\ -e^{(\gamma-1)s}g(y,s), & |y| > e^{s/2}, \end{cases}$$

with $g(y,s) = \bar{g}(ye^{-s/2}, T - e^{-s}).$

It has been shown that, under the monotonicity assumption (H), for any $\beta > 0$

(2.8)
$$w(y,s) \to \kappa \equiv \gamma^{-\gamma} \text{ as } s \to \infty, \text{ uniformly for } |y| < C.$$

Moreover, for any $\beta > 0$ there exists a positive constant B such that

(2.9)
$$w(y,s) > B$$
 in $W \equiv \{|y| < e^{s/2}, s > -\ln T\}.$

Concerning the growth properties of w and its space derivative we have that

- for $\beta > 1$, $|w| < c_1|y| + c_2$ and $|w_y| < c_3$ in W,
- for $\beta = 1$, $|w| < c_4|y|^2 + c_5$ in W,
- for $0 < \beta < 1$, $|w| < c_6 |y|^{2\gamma} + c_7$ and $|w_y| < c_8 w^{(1-\beta)/2}$ in W,

for suitable positive constants c_1, \ldots, c_8 . Thus, in all cases, w can grow at most quadratically in y. One can easily check that this property is preserved if we replace W by $\mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+$.

To obtain more information about the way w approaches κ we next linearize equation (2.7) about κ . Setting

$$(2.10) v(y,s) = w(y,s) - \kappa,$$

we observe that v(y,s) solves the equation

(2.11)
$$v_s = v_{yy} - \frac{y}{2}v_y + v - f(v) \equiv \mathcal{L}v - f(v) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+,$$

where

(2.12)
$$f(v) = \begin{cases} (1 - \gamma)v - \gamma \kappa + (v + \kappa)^{-\beta}, & |y| \le e^{s/2}, \\ (1 - \gamma)v - \gamma \kappa - e^{(\gamma - 1)s} g(y, s), & |y| > e^{s/2}. \end{cases}$$

In the remaining of this section we will discuss some general properties of the equation (2.11). At first we note that the linear operator in (2.11) can be written as $\mathcal{L}v = \frac{1}{\rho}(\rho v_y)_y$ with $\rho = e^{-y^2/4}$. Let us denote by L_{ρ}^2 the (Hilbert) space of functions v for which $\int v^2 \rho < \infty$. The operator \mathcal{L} is easily seen to be a self-adjoint operator in L_{ρ}^2 . Concerning its spectral properties we have the following.

LEMMA 2.1. The eigenvalues of \mathcal{L} are:

$$\lambda_k=1-rac{k}{2},\quad k=0,1,2,\ldots$$

The associated orthonormal eigenfunctions are

$$h_k(y) = \alpha_k H_k(y/2), \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

where H_k is the kth standard Hermite polynomial and $\alpha_k = (\pi^{1/2} 2^{k+1} k!)^{-1/2}$.

For a proof see for instance [5]. Concerning the nonlinear term of (2.11) we have:

LEMMA 2.2. Let f(v) be given by (2.12). Then for s large enough

$$(2.13a) 0 < f(v) < C_1 v^2,$$

$$(2.13b) f(v) < C_2|v|,$$

for suitable constants C_1, C_2 depending only on B and β .

Proof. Suppose first that $|y| < e^{s/2}$. Expanding $(v + \kappa)^{-\beta}$ we get

(2.14)
$$(v + \kappa)^{-\beta} = \kappa^{-\beta} - \beta \gamma v + \frac{1}{2} \beta (\beta + 1) (\varphi + \kappa)^{-\beta - 2} v^{2},$$

for some φ between 0 and v. Therefore

$$f(v) = c(\varphi, \beta)v^2, \qquad c(\varphi, \beta) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\beta(\beta+1)(\varphi+\kappa)^{-\beta-2}.$$

If $v \geq 0$ then $0 \leq c(\varphi, \beta) \leq (\beta/2)(\beta+1)\kappa^{-\beta-2} = \beta/(2\kappa)$. If v < 0, recalling that $B \leq v + \kappa \leq \varphi + \kappa$ we obtain $0 \leq c(\varphi, \beta) \leq (\beta/2)(\beta+1)B^{-\beta-2}$. Thus (2.13a) has been established. To show (2.13b) we observe that if $v < \kappa$ then (2.13b) follows from (2.13a) with $C_2 = \kappa C_1$. If $v > \kappa$ then from (2.12) and the lower bound of v we get that:

$$f(v) \le (1 - \gamma)v - \gamma\kappa + B^{-\beta} < C_2 v,$$

for some constant C_2 .

Consider now the case where $|y| > e^{s/2}$. Then $v(y,s) \ge e^{\gamma s} - \kappa$ (cf. (2.5), (2.6)) whereas g(y,s) is bounded and (2.13a,b) follow trivially from (2.12). \square

For future reference we note that by keeping one more term in the expansion (2.14) one can show in a similar way that

(2.15)
$$f(v) = \frac{\beta}{2\kappa} v^2 + g(v), \quad |g(v)| < c|v|^3.$$

We finally introduce some notations that we are going to use in the next sections. We denote by ||v|| the L_{ρ}^2 norm of v, i.e., $||v|| = (\int v^2 \rho)^{1/2}$. We also denote by v_+ the "unstable" part of v, i.e., the projection of v onto the first two eigenfunctions of \mathcal{L} (corresponding to positive eigenvalues), and similarly for v_0 and v_- .

3. Refined asymptotics in space-time parabolas. In this section we will study in more details the large time behavior of v(y, s). More precisely we will show:

PROPOSITION 3.1. Given any C > 0, for s large enough, either

(3.1)
$$v(y,s) = \frac{\kappa}{2\beta s} \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - 1\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{s}\right),$$

or else, for some $m \geq 3$ and some constant $c \neq 0$

(3.2)
$$v(y,s) = ce^{(1-\frac{m}{2})s}h_m(y) + o(e^{(1-\frac{m}{2})s}),$$

where convergence takes place in $C^k(|y| < C)$ for any $k \ge 0$.

We note that for the blowup problem (1.5) the corresponding v-equation is

$$(3.3a) v_s = \mathcal{L}v + f_b(v)$$

where \mathcal{L} is the same linear operator as in (2.11) and

(3.3b)
$$f_b(v) = O(v^2) \text{ as } v \to 0 \quad \text{and} \quad |v(y,s)| < M \text{ in } \mathbf{R} \times \mathbf{R}^+.$$

The large time behavior of the solutions of (3.3a,b) has been studied in [5] and in a more complete way in [14] where the above Proposition has been established. The main difference between the present situation and problem (3.3a,b) is the fact that for problem (3.3a,b) v(y,s) is uniformly bounded in space-time whereas here v may grow (at most) quadratically in y. But it turns out that all the arguments used in [5, 14] are applicable with minor changes. To avoid repetition we will briefly sketch them. We begin by recalling some results from [14]:

LEMMA 3.1. For any r > 1, q > 1, and L > 0 there exists $s_0^* = s_0^*(q, r)$ and C = C(r, q, L) such that:

$$\left(\int v^r(\cdot, s + s^*)\rho\right)^{1/r} \le C\left(\int v^q(\cdot, s)\rho\right)^{1/q},$$

for any s > 0 and any $s^* \in [s_0^*, s_0^* + L]$.

LEMMA 3.2. Fix $s_1 > 0$, A > 0. If for some b > 0 we have that $||v_+|| + ||v_-|| \le b||v_0||$ for $s \in [s_1, s_1 + A]$, then there exists $\theta = \theta(b, A)$ such that

$$||v(\cdot, s_1)||^2 \le \theta ||v(\cdot, s_1 + A)||^2.$$

LEMMA 3.3. If for any M > 0, there exists C = C(M) > 0 such that $||v|| \leq Ce^{-Ms}$ for s > 0, then $v(y, s) \equiv 0$.

These are Lemmas 2.3, 3.1 and 3.5 respectively in [14]. All of them have been proved under the additional assumption that v(y,s) is uniformly bounded. One can check in [14] that this assumption is only used for the derivation of the estimate $|f_b(v)| < c|v|$, which in our case is true (cf. (2.13b)).

As a next step one can show:

LEMMA 3.4. Either ||v|| tends to zero exponentially fast, or else for s large enough:

$$||v_+|| + ||v_-|| = o(||v_0||).$$

This can be proved as in [5] (Theorem A there). Again, the condition that v is bounded is to be replaced by (2.13b), the rest of the arguments there staying the same.

We next show:

LEMMA 3.5. Assume that (3.4) holds. Then:

(3.5)
$$v(y,s) = \frac{\kappa}{2\beta s} \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - 1\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{s}\right),$$

in the L^2_{ρ} sense.

Proof. We present an argument which is simpler than that of [5] or [14]. Let

(3.6)
$$v_0(y,s) = \alpha(s)h_2(y), \quad h_2(y) = c_2\left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - 1\right), \quad c_2 = \frac{1}{2}\pi^{-1/4}.$$

We will work with the equation in the form (2.15). Projecting the equation onto $h_2(y)$ we get:

$$\begin{split} \dot{\alpha}(s) &= -\frac{\beta}{2\kappa} \int v^2 h_2 \rho + \int g(v) h_2 \rho \\ &= -\frac{\beta}{2\kappa} \int v_0^2 h_2 \rho + \frac{\beta}{2\kappa} \int (v_0^2 - v^2) h_2 \rho + \int g(v) h_2 \rho \\ &\equiv -\frac{\beta}{2\kappa} \cdot 4c_2 \cdot \alpha^2 + \frac{\beta}{2\kappa} \mathcal{E}_1 + \mathcal{E}_2. \end{split}$$

We next estimate $\mathcal{E}_1, \mathcal{E}_2$. Recalling that $v = v_+ + v_0 + v_-$ we write:

$$\begin{aligned} |\mathcal{E}_{1}| &\leq \int |v_{+} + v_{-}| \cdot |v + v_{0}| \ |h_{2}| \rho \\ &\leq \left(\int |v_{+} + v_{-}|^{2} \rho \right)^{1/2} \left\{ \left(\int v^{2} h_{2}^{2} \rho \right)^{1/2} + \left(\int v_{0}^{2} h_{2}^{2} \rho \right)^{1/2} \right\} \\ &\leq \varepsilon \left(\int v_{0}^{2} \rho \right)^{1/2} \left\{ c \left(\int v^{4} \rho \right)^{1/4} + c \left(\int v_{0}^{2} \rho \right)^{1/2} \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.4) and standard inequalities. Moreover from Lemmas 3.1, and 3.2 we have:

$$(3.7) \qquad \int v^4 \rho \le c \left(\int v^2 (\cdot, s - s^*) \rho \right)^2 \le c \left(\int v^2 \rho \right)^2 \le c \left(\int v_0^2 \rho \right)^2 = c \alpha^4$$

so that we get $|\mathcal{E}_1| < \varepsilon \alpha^2$. By an argument similar to that used in (3.7) we get that $|\mathcal{E}_2| \leq c\alpha^3$. So finally we have:

$$\dot{\alpha} = -\frac{2\beta c_2}{\kappa} \alpha^2 + o(\alpha^2)$$

Solving the above ODE and using (3.6) we obtain

$$v_0(y,s) = \frac{\kappa}{2\beta s} (1 + o(1)) \left(\frac{1}{2}y^2 - 1\right),$$

and (3.5) follows since $||v - v_0|| \le ||v_+|| + ||v_-|| = o(||v_0||) = o(1/s)$. \square

To deal with the case where ||v|| decays exponentially fast one can show:

LEMMA 3.6. Assume that ||v|| decays exponentially fast. Then either there exists $m \geq 3$ and $C \neq 0$ such that

$$v(y,s) = Ce^{(1-\frac{m}{2})s}h_m(y) + o\left(e^{(1-\frac{m}{2})s},\right)$$

in the L^2_{ρ} sense, or else $v \equiv 0$.

This is Proposition 5.8 in [14]. The same proof carries over here with no changes. We remark that the case $v \equiv 0$ is easily ruled out since it implies that $\tilde{u}(x,t) \equiv (T-t)^{\gamma} \kappa$ (cf. (2.6), (2.10)). Such a solution of (1.1) clearly violates the boundary conditions (1.3).

We finally note that once we have obtained convergence in the L^2_{ρ} norm one can improve the mode of convergence by using standard interior regularity theory.

4. Asymptotics beyond parabolas. In this section we will show the following:

PROPOSITION 4.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, if x_0 is the (unique) quenching point of (1.1) then, for any R > 0

(4.1)
$$\lim_{t \uparrow T} (T - t)^{-\gamma} u(\eta \sqrt{(T - t)|\ln(T - t)|} + x_0, \ t) = \kappa \left[1 + \frac{\beta + 1}{4\beta} \eta^2 \right]^{\gamma},$$

uniformly for $|\eta| \leq R$.

To begin with, we notice that since $u_0(x)$ has a single minimum so do w(y,s) and v(y,s) for all times s. It then follows from Proposition 3.1 that the large time behavior of v is described by (3.1), since any other possibility would imply that v has at least two minima.

The proof of Proposition 4.1 will be a consequence of a number of lemmas stated and proved below. As a first step we establish the upper bound for the expression in the left hand side of (4.1). Assuming for simplicity that $x_0 = 0$ we show:

LEMMA 4.1. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, we have:

$$(4.2) (T-t)^{-\gamma} u(\eta \sqrt{(T-t)|\ln(T-t)|}, t) \le \kappa \left(1 + \frac{\beta+1}{4\beta} \eta^2 + o(1)\right)^{\gamma},$$

as $t \to T$, uniformly for $|\eta| \le R$.

Proof. Let

$$(4.3) \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta, s) = (T - \lambda)^{-\gamma} u(\eta \sqrt{T - \lambda}, \ \lambda + s(T - \lambda)), \quad \lambda \in (0, T), \quad s \in (0, 1).$$

Set $R_{\lambda} = (T - \lambda)^{-1/2}$ and let $u_{\lambda}(\eta, s)$ be the solution of the initial boundary value problem:

$$u_{\lambda,s} - u_{\lambda,\eta\eta} = 0$$
 in $Q_{R_{\lambda}} \equiv [-R_{\lambda}, R_{\lambda}] \times [0,1],$
 $u_{\lambda}(\pm R_{\lambda}, s) = (T - \lambda)^{-\gamma} + (T - \lambda)^{1-\gamma},$
 $u_{\lambda}(\eta, 0) = \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta, 0) + (T - \lambda)^{1-\gamma}.$

Consider the function:

(4.4)
$$\Phi_{\lambda}(\eta, s) = \left\{ u_{\lambda}^{\beta+1}(\eta, s) - (\beta+1)s \right\}^{\gamma} \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{R_{\lambda}}.$$

Straightforward calculations show that:

$$\begin{split} & \Phi_{\lambda,s} - \Phi_{\lambda,\eta\eta} \geq -\Phi_{\lambda}^{-\beta} & \text{in} \quad Q_{R_{\lambda}}, \\ & \Phi_{\lambda}(\eta,0) > \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta,0) & \text{in} \quad [-R_{\lambda},R_{\lambda}], \\ & \Phi_{\lambda}(\pm R_{\lambda},s) \geq \varphi_{\lambda}(\pm R_{\lambda},s) & \text{for} \quad s \in [0,1]. \end{split}$$

We conclude by comparison that

(4.5)
$$\Phi_{\lambda}(\eta, s) \ge \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta, s) \quad \text{in} \quad Q_{R_{\lambda}},$$

for λ fixed.

From the definitions of u_{λ} and φ_{λ} it follows that $|u_{\lambda}(\eta,0)| < C(T-\lambda)^{-\gamma}$ for some C positive. Arguing as in [20, Lemma 3.7] we get that

(4.6)
$$u_{\lambda}(\eta, s) = S(s) \left\{ \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta, 0) + (T - \lambda)^{1 - \gamma} \right\} + O\left(e^{-1/[16(T - \lambda)]}\right),$$

uniformly for $|\eta| < \theta < R_{\lambda}/2$, $0 \le s \le 1$, where S(s) denotes the heat semigroup on the whole real axis.

From (3.1) it follows that

$$(4.7) \varphi_{\lambda}(\eta, 0) = \kappa + \frac{\kappa}{2\beta |\ln(T - \lambda)|} \left(\frac{\eta^2}{2} - 1\right) + o\left(\frac{1}{|\ln(T - \lambda)|}\right) \text{as } \lambda \to T,$$

uniformly on compact sets in η . We next choose $s = s(\lambda)$ as follows

$$1 = (1 - s)|\ln[(T - \lambda)(1 - s)]|,$$

so that

$$1-s pprox rac{1}{|\ln(T-\lambda)|}$$
 as $\lambda \to T$.

Arguing now as in [14, Lemma 6.1] we obtain:

$$u_{\lambda}(\eta, s) = \kappa + \frac{\kappa}{4\beta} \eta^2 (1 - s) + o(1 - s)$$
 as $s \to 1^-$.

Using (4.4) we have that

$$\Phi_{\lambda}(\eta, s) = \kappa \left[1 + \frac{\beta + 1}{4\beta} \eta^2 + o(1) \right]^{\gamma} (1 - s)^{\gamma} \text{ as } s \to 1^-.$$

Finally, from (4.5) we get that:

$$[(T-\lambda)(1-s)]^{-\gamma}u(\eta\sqrt{T-\lambda},\ \lambda+s(T-\lambda))\leq\kappa\left[1+\frac{\beta+1}{4\beta}\eta^2+o(1)\right]^{\gamma}\ \text{as }s\to1^-,$$

and (4.2) follows by setting $T-t=(T-\lambda)(1-s)$. \square

We next show:

LEMMA 4.2. Under the assumptions of Theorem A, for any R > 0, there exists C > 0 such that

$$(4.8) |w_y(\eta\sqrt{s},s)| \le \frac{C}{\sqrt{s}} as s \to \infty,$$

uniformly on $|\eta| \leq R$.

Proof. At first we will show that

$$(4.9) w(y,s) \ge \kappa - \frac{C}{s},$$

for s large enough and some positive constant C. In view of (2.10) this is equivalent to $v(y,s) \ge -C/s$. From (3.1) and for large enough s we have that

$$v(\pm 2, s) = \frac{\kappa}{2\beta s} + o\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) > 0, \quad v(0, s) = -\frac{\kappa}{2\beta s} + o\left(\frac{1}{s}\right) < 0.$$

We conclude that the (unique) minimum of v(y, s) lies in the interval (-2, 2) and (4.9) follows from (3.1).

Once we have obtained (4.9), the proof can be completed in the same way as in [20, Lemma 3.9], that is, by differentiating (2.7) with respect to y, multiplying it by $sign(w_y)$, using Kato's inequality and then the variation of constants formula. \square

We next set:

(4.10)
$$G(y,s) = w^{\beta+1}(y,s) - (\beta+1).$$

G(y,s) is easily seen to satisfy the equation:

(4.11)
$$G_s = G_{yy} - \frac{y}{2}G_y + G - L(y, s),$$

with

(4.12)
$$L(y,s) = \begin{cases} \beta(\beta+1)w^{\beta-1}w_y^2, & |y| \le e^{s/2} \\ (\beta+1)\left\{\beta w^{\beta-1}w_y^2 - 1 - w^{\beta}e^{(\gamma-1)s}g(y,s)\right\}, & |y| > e^{s/2}. \end{cases}$$

In the remaining of this section we will show that

(4.13)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} G(\eta \sqrt{s}, s) = \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{4\beta} \eta^2,$$

uniformly for $|\eta| \leq C$. Notice that (4.13) is the same as (4.1) when restated in the original variables. At first we show a preliminary estimate.

LEMMA 4.3. For s large enough there is a positive constant C such that

Proof. For all y, s we know that $w(y, s) \ge B$. If it were true that $w(y, s) < c < +\infty$ then we would have

$$|G|=|w^{\beta+1}-(\beta+1)|\leq c|w-\kappa|=c|v|,$$

for some c positive, and (4.14) would follow at once from (3.1). But from Lemma 4.1 we have that $w(y,s) \le c < +\infty$ when $|y| \le \delta \sqrt{s}$. We may thus write:

$$||G(\cdot,s)||^2 = \int_{|y|<\delta\sqrt{s}} |w^{\beta+1} - (\beta+1)|^2 e^{-y^2/4} dy + \int_{|y|>\delta\sqrt{s}} |w^{\beta+1} - (\beta+1)|^2 e^{-y^2/4} dy.$$

By the same reasoning as above we deduce that the first integral is less than or equal to $(C/s)^2$, whereas the second one can be easily estimated using the fact that w(y,s) can grow at most quadratically in y. \square

To prove (4.13) we now use the variation of constants formula in (4.11), to obtain

(4.15)
$$G(y,s) = E_1(s,s_0) \int_{\mathbf{R}} E_2(y,s;\lambda,s_0) G(\lambda,s_0) d\lambda$$
$$- \int_{s_0}^s E_1(s,\sigma) \int_{\mathbf{R}} E_2(y,s;\lambda,\sigma) L(\lambda,\sigma) d\lambda d\sigma$$
$$\equiv J_1 - J_2,$$

where

(4.16a)
$$E_1(s,\sigma) = \frac{e^{s-\sigma}}{\sqrt{4\pi[1 - e^{-(s-\sigma)}]}},$$

(4.16b)
$$E_2(y, s; \lambda, \sigma) = \exp\left\{-\frac{[ye^{-(s-\sigma)/2} - \lambda]^2}{4[1 - e^{-(s-\sigma)}]}\right\},$$

and s, s_0 are related with

$$(4.17) s = e^{s-s_0}.$$

We next show:

LEMMA 4.4. There holds

(4.18)
$$\lim_{s \to \infty} J_1(\eta \sqrt{s}, s) = \frac{(\beta + 1)^2}{4\beta} \eta^2,$$

uniformly for $|\eta| \leq C$.

Proof. It consists in a slight modification of the proof in [14, Lemma 6.4]. We fix R > 0 and we split J_1 into two integrals $J_{1,1}^R$ and $J_{1,2}^R$ to be performed in the regions $|\lambda| \leq R$ and $|\lambda| \geq R$ respectively. Using (4.14) and standard inequalities one shows that $J_{1,2}^R(\eta\sqrt{s},s)$ tends to 0 as $s, R \to \infty$ uniformly for $|\eta| < C$. To estimate $J_{1,1}^R$ we observe from (3.1) that:

(4.19)
$$G(y,s) = \frac{(\beta+1)^2}{4\beta s}(y^2-2) + g_R(y,s),$$

with $g_R(y,s) = o(1/s)$ as $s \to \infty$ uniformly for |y| < R. Using now (4.19) it can be shown that

$$J_{1,1}^R(\eta\sqrt{s},s) \to \frac{(\beta+1)^2}{4\beta}\eta^2$$
 as $s, R \to \infty$,

uniformly for $|\eta| \leq C$, and (4.18) follows. \square

To complete the proof of (4.13) we finally have:

LEMMA 4.5. There holds

$$\lim_{s \to \infty} J_2(\eta \sqrt{s}, s) = 0,$$

uniformly for $|\eta| \leq C$.

Proof. Again, minor modifications are required in the proof of [14, Lemma 6.5]. We first break the integral J_2 into four parts:

$$J_{2}(y,s) = \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{0}+A} E_{1} \int_{R<|\lambda|<\delta\sqrt{s_{0}}} E_{2}Ld\lambda d\sigma + \int_{s_{0}}^{s_{0}+A} E_{1} \int_{|\lambda|\leq R} E_{2}Ld\lambda d\sigma + \int_{s_{0}+A}^{s} E_{1} \int_{|\lambda|\leq \delta\sqrt{s_{0}}} E_{2}Ld\lambda d\sigma + \int_{s_{0}}^{s} E_{1} \int_{|\lambda|\geq \delta\sqrt{s_{0}}} E_{2}Ld\lambda d\sigma$$

$$\equiv J_{21} + J_{22} + J_{23} + J_{24},$$

where A is such that $s_0 + A < s - 1$. Since E_1, E_2 are known functions (cf. (4.16)) we only need to find estimates for the nonlinear term $L(\lambda, \sigma)$ in the various regions above. Let C denote a positive constant not necessarily the same in each occurrence.

(a) For J_{23} recalling (4.12) we have that

$$L(\lambda, \sigma) = \beta(\beta + 1)w^{\beta - 1}w_{\lambda}^{2}.$$

For all $\beta > 0$ we have from Lemma 4.2 that $|w_{\lambda}(\lambda, \sigma)| < C/\sqrt{\sigma}$ for $|\lambda| < \delta\sqrt{s_0}$.

- For $\beta > 1$, from Lemma 4.1 we have that $|w(\lambda, \sigma)| < C$.
- For $0 < \beta \le 1$, since $w \ge B > 0$ we get that $w^{\beta 1} \le B^{\beta 1}$.

Thus, is all cases $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| \leq C/\sigma \leq C/s_0$, and one can show that

$$|J_{23}(\eta\sqrt{s},s)| \le Ce^{-A} \quad \text{for} \quad |\eta| < C.$$

(b) For J_{21} , as in (a) we have that $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| \leq C/s_0$. Moreover $|ye^{-(s-\sigma)/2}| < Ce^{A/2}$ (for $|\eta| < C$), $|E_1| \leq Cs_0$ and $|E_2| \leq \exp\{Ce^A\}e^{-\lambda^2/8}$. It then follows

$$|J_{21}(\eta\sqrt{s},s)| \le CA \exp\{Ce^A\}e^{-R^2/8}R^{-1} \quad \text{for} \quad |\eta| < C.$$

(c) For J_{22} , we can use Proposition 3.1 to get that $|w_{\lambda}| < C/\sigma$ (for $|\lambda| < C$) and therefore $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| < C/\sigma^2$. It then follows that

(4.23)
$$|J_{22}(\eta\sqrt{s},s)| \le \frac{C}{s_0} \text{ for } |\eta| < C,$$

for some $C = C(R, A, \delta) > 0$.

- (d) Finally, for J_{24} we note that from (4.12) it follows that for $|\lambda| > e^{\sigma/2}$, $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| < C < +\infty$, whereas for $|\lambda| \le e^{\sigma/2}$ we have (see Section 2):
 - For $\beta > 1$, $|w_{\lambda}|$ is bounded and $|w| \leq C(|\lambda| + 1)$ therefore $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| \leq C(|\lambda| + 1)^{\beta 1}$.
 - For $\beta = 1$, we claim that

(cl)
$$|L(\lambda, \sigma)| \leq C\sigma$$
.

(Let us accept this at the moment and continue.)

• For $0 < \beta < 1$, $w^{\beta-1}w_{\lambda}^2$ is bounded therefore $|L(\lambda, \sigma)| < C < +\infty$. In all cases, by arguments similar to these in [14] it can be shown:

$$(4.24) |J_{24}(\eta\sqrt{s},s)| \to 0 as s_0 \to \infty, for |\eta| < C.$$

In view of (4.21)–(4.24) and by taking $s_0 \to \infty$, $R \to \infty$, and $A \to \infty$ in this order, (4.20) follows. \square

We still have to substantiate our claim (cl). In view of (4.12) this is equivalent to

$$(4.25) |w_y| \le C\sqrt{s}.$$

Let t_* be close enough to T and $u_* = \min_{|x| \leq 1} u(x, t_*)$. Set

$$J(x,t) = \frac{1}{2}u_x^2 - \ln\frac{u}{u_*}$$
 in $Q_{t_*} \equiv (-1,1) \times (0,t_*).$

By a standard argument (quite similar for instance to that in [10, Lemma 3.4]) we get that

$$|u_x| \le \sqrt{2\ln\frac{u}{u_*}} \le \sqrt{2\ln\frac{1}{u_*}} \quad \text{in } Q_{t_*}.$$

Returning now to similarity variables and taking into account (2.9) the result follows.

5. The Quenching Profiles. In this section we will give the proof of the main theorem. We begin by presenting an auxiliary result that we are going to use later.

For a given R > 0, let

$$g(s) = (\kappa - \epsilon)(1 - s)^{\gamma},$$

$$h(y) = \begin{cases} (\kappa + \mu \epsilon) & \text{if } y \in [-R/2, R/2], \\ (\kappa - \epsilon) & \text{if } y \in [-R, -R/2) \cup (R/2, R]; \end{cases}$$

where μ and ϵ are two positive constants to be specified later. By slightly adapting the arguments in [15, Proposition 3.1] we get

LEMMA 5.1. Suppose z(y,s) satisfies equation (1.1) in $Q_R \equiv (-R,R) \times (0,1)$ with $z(y,s) \geq g(s)$ in Q_R and $z(y,0) \geq h(y)$ in [-R,R]. Then there exists a $\mu > 0$ such that for any $\epsilon > 0$ small enough z(y,s) quenches at most at $y = \pm R$ at time 1. More precisely, there exists function $F(y) = F(y,R,\mu,\epsilon)$ which is bounded away from zero on compact subsets of (-R,R), there exists $\lim_{s\uparrow 1} z(y,s) = z(y,1)$ for $y \in (-R,R)$, and $z(y,s) \leq F(y)$ in $(-R,R) \times (0,1]$.

We are now ready to give the proof of Theorem A.

Proof of Theorem A. Assuming for simplicity that $x_0 = 0$ we will show

(5.1)
$$u(x,T) = \left[\frac{(\beta+1)^2}{8\beta} \right]^{\gamma} \left(\frac{|x|^2}{|\ln|x||} \right)^{\gamma} (1+o(1)),$$

as $|x| \to 0$.

Consider the family of functions

(5.2)
$$\phi_{(t)}(y,s) = (T-t)^{-\gamma} u(\lambda(t) + y\sqrt{T-t}, t + s(T-t)),$$

where 0 < t < T, 0 < s < 1, $\lambda(t) = \eta \sqrt{(T-t)|\ln(T-t)|}$, with $\eta \neq 0$, and

$$|y\sqrt{T-t}| \le |\lambda(t)|/2.$$

Notice that $\phi_{(t)}$ satisfies

$$\phi_{(t)s} = \phi_{(t)yy} - \phi_{(t)}^{-\beta},$$

for any fixed $t \in (0, T)$. By (4.9), we have

(5.3)
$$\phi_{(t)}(y,s) \ge \left[\kappa - \frac{C}{|\ln(T-t)|(1-s)}\right] (1-s)^{\gamma} \ge \left[\kappa - \frac{C}{|\ln(T-t)|}\right] (1-s)^{\gamma}.$$

Moreover, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that

(5.4)
$$\phi_{(t)}(y,0) = \kappa \left\{ 1 + \left(\frac{\beta+1}{4\beta} \right) \left[\eta + \frac{y}{\sqrt{|\ln(T-t)|}} \right]^2 \right\}^{\gamma} + o(T-t)$$

as $t \uparrow T$. We now claim that for any positive integer n there exist positive constants c_n and C_n (depending only on n) such that

(5.5)
$$0 < c_n \le \phi_{(t)}(y, s) \le C_n < +\infty,$$

in $[-n/2, n/2] \times [0, 1]$, uniformly as $t \uparrow T$. The lower bound in (5.5) follows from (5.3), (5.4) and Lemma 5.1. To see the upper bound we observe that because of assumption (H),

 $u_t(x,t)$ is nonpositive for all $t \in (0,T)$. Consequently u(x,t) (as well as $\phi_{(t)}(y,s)$, for t fixed) is decreasing with time; therefore

$$\phi_{(t)}(y,s) \le \phi_{(t)}(y,0),$$

and $\phi_{(t)}(y,0)$ is bounded above by (5.4).

By a compactness argument and then a diagonal process we see that there is a function $\tilde{\phi}(y,s)$ such that

(5.6)
$$\phi_{(t)}(y,s) \to \tilde{\phi}(y,s) \text{ as } t \uparrow T,$$

uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbf{R} \times [0,1]$. Moreover, $\tilde{\phi}$ satisfies

(5.7)
$$\tilde{\phi}_s = \tilde{\phi}_{yy} - \tilde{\phi}^{-\beta} \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbf{R} \times (0,1),$$

and

(5.8)
$$\tilde{\phi}(y,0) = \kappa \left[1 + \left(\frac{\beta + 1}{4\beta} \right) \eta^2 \right]^{\gamma}, \quad y \in \mathbf{R}.$$

It follows that

(5.9)
$$\tilde{\phi}(y,s) = \kappa \left[(1-s) + \left(\frac{\beta+1}{4\beta} \right) \eta^2 \right]^{\gamma}.$$

Now, set

(5.10)
$$x = \eta \sqrt{(T-t)|\ln(T-t)|}.$$

Then we have

$$|\ln |x|| \approx \frac{1}{2} |\ln (T-t)|$$
 as $t \uparrow T$,

and

$$x \approx \eta \sqrt{(T-t)} \sqrt{2|\ln|x||}$$
 as $t \uparrow T$.

Therefore,

(5.11)
$$T - t \approx \frac{|x|^2}{2\eta^2 |\ln |x||} \quad \text{as} \quad t \uparrow T.$$

From (5.6) and (5.9) it follows that

$$\phi_{(t)}(0,1) = \kappa \left[\left(\frac{\beta+1}{4\beta} \right) \eta^2 \right]^{\gamma} + o(1) \quad \text{as} \quad t \uparrow T.$$

On the other hand, by (5.2),

$$\phi_{(t)}(0,1) = (T-t)^{-\gamma} u(\eta \sqrt{(T-t)|\ln(T-t)|}, T),$$

and (5.1) follows from (5.10) and (5.11). \Box

REFERENCES

- [1] S. ANGENENT, The zero set of a solution of a parabolic equation, J. Reine Angew. Math. 390 (1988), 79-96...
- [2] M. FILA & J. HULSHOF, A note on the quenching rate, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. (to appear).
- [3] M. FILA, J. HULSHOF, & P. QUITTNER, The quenching problem on N-dimensional ball, preprint.
- [4] M. FILA, & B. KAWOHL, Asymptotic analysis of quenching problems, Rocky Mt. J. Math. (to appear).
- [5] S. FILIPPAS & R. V. KOHN, Refined asymptotics for the blow up of $u_t \Delta u = u^p$, IMA Preprint Series # 776 (February 1991), Minnesota.
- [6] A. FRIEDMAN & B. McLeod, Blow-up of positive solutions of semilinear heat equations, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 34 (1985), pp. 425-447.
- [7] Y. GIGA & R. V. KOHN, Asymptotically self-similar blow-up of semilinear heat equations, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 38 (1985), pp. 297-319.
- [8] Y. Giga & R. V. Kohn, Characterizing blow-up using similarity variables, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 36 (1987), pp. 1-40.
- [9] Y. GIGA & R. V. KOHN, Nondegeneracy of blow-up for semilinear heat equation, Comm. Pure Appl. Math., 42 (1989), pp. 845-884.
- [10] J.-S. Guo, On the quenching behavior of the solution of a semilinear parabolic equation, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 151 (1990), pp. 58-79.
- [11] J.-S. Guo, On the semilinear elliptic equation $\Delta w \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + \lambda w w^{-\beta} = 0$ in \mathbb{R}^n , IMA Preprint Series # 531 (June 1989), Minnesota.
- [12] J.-S. Guo, On the quenching rate estimate, Quarterly of Applied Math. (to appear).
- [13] J.-S. Guo, The critical length for a quenching problem, Nonlinear Analysis, TMA (to appear).
- [14] M. A. HERRERO & J. J. L. VELAZQUEZ, Blow-up behavior of one-dimensional semilinear parabolic equations, preprint.
- [15] M. A. HERRERO & J. J. L. VELAZQUEZ, Flat blow-up in one-dimensional semilinear heat equations, preprint.
- [16] M. A. HERRERO & J. J. L. VELAZQUEZ, Blow-up profiles in one-dimensional semilinear parabolic problems, preprint.
- [17] M. A. HERRERO & J. J. L. VELAZQUEZ, Generic behavior of one-dimensional blowup patterns, preprint.
- [18] H. A. LEVINE, Quenching, nonquenching, and beyond quenching for solutions of some parabolic equations, Annali di Mat. Pura et Applicada, 155 (1990), pp. 243-260.
- [19] H. A. LEVINE, Advances in quenching, in Proceedings of the International Conference on Reaction-Diffusion Equations and Their Equilibrium States, Gregynog, Wales, August 1989.
- [20] J. J. L. VELAZQUEZ, Local behavior near blow-up points for semilinear parabolic equations, preprint.

- 774 L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy, Self-similar solutions for infiltration of dopant into semiconductors
- 775 H. Scott Dumas and James A. Ellison, Nekhoroshev's theorem, ergodicity, and the motion of energetic charged particles in crystals
- Stathis Filippas and Robert V. Kohn, Refined asymptotics for the blowup of $u_t \Delta u = u^p$.
- 777 Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips, Maximum principles and a priori estimates for an incompressible material in nonlinear elasticity
- 778 Patricia Bauman, Nicholas C. Owen and Daniel Phillips, Maximal smoothness of solutions to certain Euler-Lagrange equations from nonlinear elasticity
- 779 Jack Carr and Robert Pego, Self-similarity in a coarsening model in one dimension
- 780 J.M. Greenberg, The shock generation problem for a discrete gas with short range repulsive forces
- 781 George R. Sell and Mario Taboada, Local dissipativity and attractors for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky equation in thin 2D domains
- 782 T. Subba Rao, Analysis of nonlinear time series (and chaos) by bispectral methods
- 783 Nicholas Baumann, Daniel D. Joseph, Paul Mohr and Yuriko Renardy, Vortex rings of one fluid in another free fall
- 784 Oscar Bruno, Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich, Asymptotic behavior for a coalescence problem
- 785 **Johannes C.C. Nitsche**, Periodic surfaces which are extremal for energy functionals containing curvature functions
- 786 F. Abergel and J.L. Bona, A mathematical theory for viscous, free-surface flows over a perturbed plane
- 787 Gunduz Caginalp and Xinfu Chen, Phase field equations in the singular limit of sharp interface problems
- 788 Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu, An inverse problem for harmonic acoustics in stratified oceans
- 789 Roger Fosdick and Eric Volkmann, Normality and convexity of the yield surface in nonlinear plasticity
- 790 H.S. Brown, I.G. Kevrekidis and M.S. Jolly, A minimal model for spatio-temporal patterns in thin film flow
- 791 Chao-Nien Chen, On the uniqueness of solutions of some second order differential equations
- 792 Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman, The thermistor problem for conductivity which vanishes at large temperature
- 793 Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman, The thermistor problem with one-zero conductivity
- 794 E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr., Separation of variables for the Dirac equation in Kerr Newman space time
- 795 E. Knobloch, M.R.E. Proctor and N.O. Weiss, Finite-dimensional description of doubly diffusive convection
- 796 V.V. Pukhnachov, Mathematical model of natural convection under low gravity
- 797 M.C. Knaap, Existence and non-existence for quasi-linear elliptic equations with the p-laplacian involving critical Sobolev exponents
- 798 Stathis Filippas and Wenxiong Liu, On the blowup of multidimensional semilinear heat equations
- 799 **A.M.** Meirmanov, The Stefan problem with surface tension in the three dimensional case with spherical symmetry: non-existence of the classical solution
- 800 **Bo Guan and Joel Spruck**, Interior gradient estimates for solutions of prescribed curvature equations of parabolic type
- 801 Hi Jun Choe, Regularity for solutions of nonlinear variational inequalities with gradient constraints
- 802 Peter Shi and Yongzhi Xu, Quasistatic linear thermoelasticity on the unit disk
- 803 Satyanad Kichenassamy and Peter J. Olver, Existence and non-existence of solitary wave solutions to higher order model evolution equations
- 804 Dening Li, Regularity of solutions for a two-phase degenerate Stefan Problem
- 805 Marek Fila, Bernhard Kawohl and Howard A. Levine, Quenching for quasilinear equations
- Yoshikazu Giga, Shun'ichi Goto and Hitoshi Ishii, Global existence of weak solutions for interface equations coupled with diffusion equations
- 807 Mark J. Friedman and Eusebius J. Doedel, Computational methods for global analysis of homoclinic and hetero clinic orbits: a case study
- 808 Mark J. Friedman, Numerical analysis and accurate computation of heteroclinic orbits in the case of center manifolds
- 809 Peter W. Bates and Songmu Zheng, Inertial manifolds and inertial sets for the phase-field equations
- J. López Gómez, V. Márquez and N. Wolanski, Global behavior of positive solutions to a semilinear equation with a nonlinear flux condition
- 811 Xinfu Chen and Fahuai Yi, Regularity of the free boundary of a continuous casting problem
- 812 Eden, A., Foias, C., Nicolaenko, B. and Temam, R., Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations
 Part I: Construction and applications
- 313 Jose-Francisco Rodrigues and Boris Zaltzman, On classical solutions of the two-phase steady-state Stefan problem in strips
- Viorel Barbu and Srdjan Stojanovic, Controlling the free boundary of elliptic variational inequalities on a variable domain
- Viorel Barbu and Srdjan Stojanovic, A variational approach to a free boundary problem arising in electrophotography
- 816 B.H. Gilding and R. Kersner, Diffusion-convection-reaction, free boundaries, and an integral equation

- Shoshana Kamin, Lambertus A. Peletier and Juan Luis Vazquez, On the Barenblatt equation of elastoplastic filtration
- 818 Avner Friedman and Bei Hu, The Stefan problem with kinetic condition at the free boundary
- 819 M.A. Grinfeld, The stress driven instabilities in crystals: mathematical models and physical manifestations
- 820 Bei Hu and Lihe Wang, A free boundary problem arising in electrophotography: solutions with connected toner region
- Yongzhi Xu, T. Craig Poling, and Trent Brundage, Direct and inverse scattering of time harmonic acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous shallow ocean
- 822 Steven J. Altschuler, Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves
- 823 Steven J. Altschuler and Matthew A. Grayson, Shortening space curves and flow through singularities
- 824 Tong Li, On the Riemann problem of a combustion model
- 825 L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy, Self-similar solutions for diffusion in semiconductors
- 826 C.J. van Duijn, L.A. Peletier & R.J. Schotting, On the analysis of brine transport in porous media
- 827 Minkyu Kwak, Finite dimensional description of convective reaction-diffusion equations
- 828 Minkyu Kwak, Finite dimensional inertial forms for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations
- Victor A. Galaktionov and Sergey A. Posashkov, On some monotonicity in time properties for a quasilinear parabolic equation with source
- 830 Victor A. Galaktionov, Remark on the fast diffusion equation in a ball
- 831 Hi Jun Choe and Lihe Wang, A regularity theory for degenerate vector valued variational inequalities
- Vladimir I. Oliker and Nina N. Uraltseva, Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, II. The mean curvature case.
- 833 S. Kamin and W. Liu, Large time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation with a source
- 834 Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez, Singular solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations
- 835 Bernhard Kawohl and Robert Kersner, On degenerate diffusion with very strong absorption
- 836 Avner Friedman and Fernandor Reitich, Parameter identification in reaction-diffusion models
- 837 E.G. Kalnins, H.L. Manocha and Willard Miller, Jr., Models of q-algebra representations I. Tensor products of special unitary and oscillator algebras
- 838 Robert J. Sacker and George R. Sell, Dichotomies for linear evolutionary equations in Banach spaces
- 839 Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich, Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries
- Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich, Solution of a boundary value problem for Helmholtz equation via variation of the boundary into the complex domain
- Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez, Asymptotic behaviour for an equation of superslow diffusion.

 The Cauchy problem
- Josephus Hulshof and Juan Luis Vazquez, The Dipole solution for the porous medium equation in several space dimensions
- 843 Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez, The propagation of turbulent bursts
- Miguel Escobedo, Juan Luis Vazquez and Enrike Zuazua, Source-type solutions and asymptotic behaviour for a diffusion-convection equation
- 845 Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco, Discontinuous media and Dirichlet forms of diffusion type
- 846 Stathis Filippas and Jong-Shenq Guo, Quenching profiles for one-dimensional semilinear heat equations
- 847 H. Scott Dumas, A Nekhoroshev-like theory of classical particle channeling in perfect crystals
- 848 R. Natalini and A. Tesei, On a class of perturbed conservation laws
- Paul K. Newton and Shinya Watanabe, The geometry of nonlinear Schrödinger standing waves
- 850 S.S. Sritharan, On the nonsmooth verification technique for the dynamic programming of viscous flow
- Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You, Global attractor, inertial manifolds and stabilization of nonlinear damped beam equations
- 852 Shigeru Sakaguchi, Critical points of solutions to the obstacle problem in the plane
- F. Abergel, D. Hilhorst and F. Issard-Roch, On a dissolution-growth problem with surface tension in the neighborhood of a stationary solution
- 854 Erasmus Langer, Numerical simulation of MOS transistors
- 855 Haim Brezis and Shoshana Kamin, Sublinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n
- Johannes C.C. Nitsche, Boundary value problems for variational integrals involving surface curvatures
- 857 Chao-Nien Chen, Multiple solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^N with nonlinear dependence on the gradient
- 858 D. Brochet, X. Chen and D. Hilhorst, Finite dimensional exponential atttractor for the phase field model
- Joseph D. Fehribach, Mullins-Sekerka stability analysis for melting-freezing waves in helium-4
- 860 Walter Schempp, Quantum holography and neurocomputer architectures
- 861 D.V. Anosov, An introduction to Hilbert's 21st problem
- 862 Herbert E Huppert and M Grae Worster, Vigorous motions in magma chambers and lava lakes