

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

BOARD OF REGENTS

Litigation Review Committee

Thursday, September 6, 2007

8:45 - 9:45 a.m.

600 McNamara Alumni Center, W. R. Peterson Conference Room

Board Members

Anthony Baraga, Chair
Patricia Simmons, Vice Chair
Steven Hunter

A G E N D A

1. Annual Report on Legal Matters - M. Rotenberg (p. 2)
2. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters - M. Rotenberg (p. 3)



**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
BOARD OF REGENTS**

Litigation Review Committee

September 6, 2007

Agenda Item: Annual Report on Legal Matters

review review/action action discussion

Presenters: General Counsel Mark Rotenberg

Purpose:

policy background/context oversight strategic positioning

Each year the Office of the General Counsel (OGC) is required by Board of Regents Policy: *Attorneys and Related Services* to report on its activities.

Outline of Key Points/Policy Issues:

The report reviews the activities of OGC and provides the Litigation Review Committee an opportunity to discuss legal developments and trends affecting the University and OGC's performance in providing legal services to the University.

Background Information:

The 2007 Annual Report is provided in the docket materials for the September 7, 2007 Board of Regents meeting on pages 6-31.

RESOLUTION

WHEREAS, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota;

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public meeting of the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee be held on Thursday, September 6, 2007 at approximately 9:00 a.m. in the William R. Peterson Conference Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

- I. *Regents of the University of Minnesota v. United States of America;*
- II. *Charles F. Gill v. Geoff Maciejewski, acting in his individual capacity as an officer of the University of Minnesota Police Department;*
- III. *Das Patent* Litigation; and
- IV. Carl Zeiss MicroImaging GmbH (claim)