

university of minnesota memo

To Penny Krosch

From Martha Kvanbeck, Senate

- For your information
- For your approval
- Per your request
- For your attention
- Note and file
- Note and return
- Note and forward
- Please advise
- Please reply
- Send copy
- Please see me

These items were distributed at the University Senate meeting held yesterday (Nov. 6, 1986).

Date _____

University of Minnesota
BA Form 507

S92046

Following are corrections and additions to the agendas as published:

Twin Cities Campus Assembly

Item IV. Committees of the Assembly, 1986-87:

Under Information, add the following:

COMMITTEES Faculty: George Shapiro

INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS Students: Leong Keat Cheah, Peter Lacy, Cynthia Lundberg, Howard Shutt

TRANSPORTATION & PARKING Students: John Berg, David Eder

UNIVERSITY BOOKSTORES Students: John Blood, Ann Loper

University Senate

Item IV. Committees of the Senate, 1986-87

For Action, add the following:

PHYSICAL PLANT & SPACE ALLOCATION Student: Gary Thomas

SOCIAL CONCERNS Student: Stephen Sarui

SUMMER SESSION Student: Eric Lunaas

Under Information, add the following:

ALL-UNIVERSITY HONORS Student: Maribeth Fuerstneau

EDUCATIONAL DEVELOPMENT Students: Brenda Heney, Brian Kroeger, Dara Meas, Scot Prichard, James Rice

Faculty Senate

Item II. Committees of the Faculty Senate, 1986-87

For Action, add the following:

TENURE Student: Christopher Ferrall

Item III. Faculty Consultative Committee - Committee on the Faculty Retirement Plan - will be moved to the University Senate agenda between Items IX and X.

Item IV. Faculty Affairs Committee - The fourth sentence is to be changed as follows: "~~After~~ Toward the end of the initial term of 18 months, the position will be reviewed and a decision made as to whether it should be continued, expanded, or terminated."

University Senate

New Item X. Committee on the Faculty Retirement Plan

MOTION: To amend the motion affecting ex officio membership of the Committee on the Faculty Retirement Plan (Rules, Article III.2) to add as an ex officio member a representative of the University Retirees Association.

LEONID HURWICZ
Senator

UNIVERSITY SENATE

PRESIDENT'S POLICY AGENDA

1986-87

Early in each academic year, the President highlights those issues and proposed activities which will be of overriding importance for the ensuing year. Noted briefly below are nine items which are anticipated to be at the forefront of administrative activities for 1986-87.

1. Faculty Development Committee -- by Senate resolution in Spring, 1986, a joint Senate/administrative committee is being appointed to study long-term issues of faculty development and vitality, with a particular focus on the Twin Cities Campus. This is a critical committee whose recommendations will influence the structure of our faculty support programs in the years ahead. This committee is charged with completing its recommendations by the end of Spring Quarter, 1987.
2. Continuation of Planning for Commitment to Focus -- during 1986-87 formal action will be taken on the response of Continuing Education and Extension to the proposals set out in Commitment to Focus.

The CTF Committee on Minority Access will complete its interim report in November with a final report scheduled for the end of January. This report will be discussed in upcoming Senate meetings.

3. Undergraduate Implementation Committee -- this joint Senate/administrative committee has been charged specifically with taking the recommendations of the many task forces on undergraduate education and deriving a single, unified proposal for improving undergraduate education on the Twin Cities campus. The report is due in mid-December.
4. Undergraduate Education Research Center -- this joint Senate/administrative committee is charged with examining the rationale and feasibility for establishing a research program which would focus on undergraduate education in research universities. The report of this committee is scheduled for the end of Fall Quarter, 1986.
5. Development of Detailed Policies for Implementing New Preparation Requirements -- with the approval of increased preparation requirements, this year will be devoted to developing the detailed procedures necessary for following through on these changes. Appropriate colleges and Senate

committees will be involved in this process. Subsequently, these new procedures will be communicated to each school system throughout the State.

6. Academic Program Planning Process -- under the leadership of Provost Benjamin, each academic unit will be asked to develop detailed program priority statements. This process, entitled Strategy to Focus, will reach conclusion in Fall, 1987. The outcomes will yield program priorities which will guide budgeting decisions for the next two biennia.
7. Program Budgeting -- accompanying the new process of program choice, will be an examination of revenues and expenses for each unit in programmatic terms. This program budget process will be introduced to both academic and support units. The development of this new approach will be undertaken during 1986-87.
8. Administrative Reorganization -- during 1986-87 specific outcomes from the Lilly Committee on Reorganization will be implemented. This will include increased autonomy for the coordinate campuses, program budgeting, and a more coordinated approach to setting management information system priorities.
9. 1986-87 Program Reviews -- the following program reviews will be undertaken this year:

Agricultural Economics
 American Studies
 Business Administration (Ph.D. only)
 Educational Psychology
 Fisheries and Wildlife
 French and Italian
 German
 Laboratory Medicine and Pathology
 Medicine
 Rural Sociology
 Sociology
 Surgery

Office of the President
 October, 1986

SDI here: time to talk

Wednesday, November 5, 1986

The campus has a good opportunity to learn about itself — some might say remake itself — but it will require the participation of the University Senate. University senators will decide on Thursday whether to support a call for an open meeting on campus research for the Strategic Defense Initiative, the fabulously expensive plan that the Reagan administration dreams will end the threat of nuclear war. Here at the University, professors can accept government funding for research into components of SDI thanks to the University Senate's decision last May, when it voted 79 to 55 to defeat a proposed ban on SDI research. Rather than seeking another ban, the new proposal asks for an open meeting at which the University could look more deeply into the issue. It deserves support.

In the wake of the Reykjavik summit, where Reagan committed the gross folly of making SDI the heart of U.S. negotiating strategy, the juggernaut has picked up steam. It is time for University officials to come before a much-divided community and explain to critics why SDI research on campus deserves protection as an instance of academic freedom. They need not fear a harangue: at an open meeting, they would find students and professors who value free inquiry and have powerful arguments against permitting this research. There is one consideration in particular which the administration and regents ought to be more concerned about: if professors who accept SDI research money hit on sensitive discoveries, their work could be retroactively classified — the very antithesis of open academic inquiry.

More than simple forthrightness calls the administra-

tion and regents to the table. Like the ongoing concern over CIA recruitment here, the SDI debate has made the campus reexamine its role in the larger world that begins at the end of the Washington Avenue Bridge. This examination is healthy, and one would think that University officials would gladly lend their encouragement. And there's arguably a kind of momentum that can be built on: last month's MPIRG-sponsored debate on U.S. policy in Central America was one of the most stimulating intellectual events on campus in many a moon.

The first step is for the University Senate to endorse CLA Senator Miguel Carter's resolution. Then, let's see where a little talk leads.

XIX. OLD BUSINESS

SDI OPEN HEARING RESOLUTION

Action (15 minutes)

The following motion was proposed at the June 5, 1986, Senate meeting and was referred to the Senate Consultative Committee in accordance with the Rules of the Senate:

MOTION:

Whereas continued debate will lead to a better and more informed decision on the University's role on strategic defense initiative (SDI) research;

Whereas the significance of this issue warrants a greater discussion than that which has so far been accorded at the University Senate level;

Whereas the University Board of Regents is the highest decision-making body of our institution and has thus far been removed from discussion on this issue;

Therefore, be it resolved, that the University Senate urges that an open hearing on the University's role in SDI research be conducted and the full Board of Regents be invited to attend this open hearing.

MIGUEL CARTER
CLA Senator

Comment:

The Senate Consultative Committee recommends that for reasons of propriety the Senate reject the motion; the Senate Consultative Committee feels it improper for the Senate to attempt to set the agenda and priorities for other organizations and individuals.

SENATE CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE
Ellen Berscheld, Chr.

PETITION FOR OPEN HEARING ON ROLE OF UNIVERSITY IN "STAR WARS" RESEARCH

BECAUSE open and free discussion are the basic ideals upon which our university and society were founded and thrive on;

BECAUSE the significance of Strategic Defense Initiative (SDI or Star Wars) research on campus calls for fuller discussion than has taken place within our governing institutions, the Board of Regents in particular;

BECAUSE an open hearing would allow the University community (Regents, faculty, staff, students, etc.) to hear and air opposing concerns on SDI research and related issues such as Academic Freedom, forms of applied research, and the overall mission of the University;

BECAUSE such information will allow us to make an informed decision on the University's role in SDI:

WE URGE THE UNIVERSITY SENATE TO APPROVE THE RESOLUTION CALLING FOR AN OPEN HEARING ON THE ROLE OF THE UNIVERSITY IN SDI RESEARCH AT ITS FALL QUARTER MEETING ON NOV. 6.

Non-Students

Margaret Warpeha, Adviser
 Robert Hammarberg, Lab Manager, Civil Service
 August Nimitz, Assoc. Prof., Pol. Sci.
 Edwin Fogelman, Prof., Pol. Sci.
 Ben Frankel, Visiting Prof., Pol. Sci.
 Earl Shaw, Prof. Pol. Sci.
 Charlene Powell, Secretary, Int'l Studies
 Chip Peterson, Coordinator, Int'l Studies
 Edward P. Ney, Regents Professor, Phys. & Ast.
 Brian L. Job, Prof. Pol. Sci.
 Phyllis E. Messenger, Ass't Director, Int'l Studies
 Scott Bol, Visiting worker
 Anne Velasco, Lab. Medicine
 Jatinder Rare, Staff
 Judge Miles W. Lord
 Katherine Guenther, Prof., English
 William Rowe, Prof., Anthropology
 Jon Booth, ISTC Director

Grad Students

Eric Selbin	Christopher Klyza	Jim Mara
Scott Hvizdos	Rhona Leibel	Greg McAvoy
Roxanne Doty	Wendy Rahn	Elizabeth Rutter
Kathy Hochstetler	Juana Weiden	Floriane Wild
Ronald Steiner	Jim Granato	Prosper Sanou
Susan Bickford	Robert Paolino	Manfred La Cassagnere
Diane Duffy	John Heyrman	Judith Arginteanu
Lawrence Biskowski	Elizabeth Theiss-Morse	Tracy Peterson
Sung-Don Hwang	C.M. Kite	Amy Ransom
Mark Matern	Barry Kornstein	Silvestra Manniello
Kris Thachammer	Alexander Wendt	Yola Steedhiou
Amy Fried	David Alperin	Cynthia Blaucke
Jennifer Clelland	Eric Jay Mlyn	Martine Mekerta
Don Dresser	David Schultz	Bill Lamberts
Joe Berlinerblau	Ken Stever	Dipankar Choudhury
Barbara Cruikshank	Glen Halva-Neubauer	James Walter

Students

Mike Deneen	Dan Haight	Mary Skourpa	Tony Hunnicutt	Glen Anderson
Kirk Samuelson	Lara Harms	Kim Randall	Barbara Porwit	Pat Hoppe
Joni Hunderad	Paul Johnson	Julie Brown	Kathleen Young	Louis Garelick
Karen Kangas	Marie Hagen	Molly Maguire	Ronda Huebner	Scott Dreblow
David Meyer	Kam Talbot	Douglas Cripe	Scott Duncan	Kevin Johnson
Lisa Helman	Mina Wood	Shawn Savage	Michael Walsh	Jill Brouillet
Lawa Elmquist	Barb Manzella	Paul Ailis	James Mathias	Pam Blerfuss
Betty Jo Strez	Connie Peterson	Tara Wencel	Hassin Vanahi	Heather Bobbitt
Matthew Shards	David Ellis	Corinne Becker	Kevin Hartegan	Kris Neurer
Jeanne Rasmussen	Joe Burns	Eyenga Bokamba	Matt Welles	Lawrence Coil
Leonard Sanford Jr.	Whitney Clark	Maria Baca	John Ogren	Jennifer Hall
Stephane Irons	Mary Whitehead	Makom Potek	Kelly Broer	Dirk DeYoung
Brian Brennan	Jody Thom	Lydia Alsopacn	Bill Peterer	Jeff Olson
Greg Simpson	Tim Nelson	Stephen Boland	Carol Jubert	Patrick Haloner
Anthony Mundelius	Enk Severn	Julie Galbrath	Kathleen Johns	Teresa Hoffman
Lynn Wronski	Kris Knutson	David Eder	Kan Geurts	Jeff Sobell
Robert Pennaz	Stephen Gross	Mark Brose	Eileen Ford	Debbie Garringer
Margaret Boier	Anne Helgeson	Jeff Larner	Kelli Watson	Lucia Sommer
Ben Johnson	Sarah Rasmussen	Anne Fanslow	Jim Monical	Corinn Butler
Steve Wietacka	Caroline Enckson	David Micko	Elizabeth Hicker	Beth Mesa
Anja Cunsikus	Dan Gulden	Anne Coper	Mike Day	Hewi Gustafson
James Garbarini	Tracy Anderson	Kathryn McCann	Joselyn Shackelford	I-Hsian Wang
Punita Raval	Mary Gibson	John Jarpe	Stephane Smith	Lakmal Bolg
Bernard White	Melissa Summers	Beth Koduboy	Elizabeth King	Krishna Katrogoddi
Kari Vieta	John Herbner	Miguel Carter	Chris Garber	Ann Mitchell
Bob Christensen	John Bloom	Barb Murphy	Robert Orme	Dean Juale
Mike Lefever	Steve Felt	Steve Stepiay	Shereen Lee	Manana Zackiam
Georganne LaPeau	Jim Nertz	Noelle Racette	Paul Riggs	Donald Rupprecht
Lisa Anne Thomas	Bob Dare	Anja Kutsch	Darbara Zenor	Loren Marthe
Farnaz Mahmoodi	Jim Davis	Susan Davidson	Patrick Keller	Amy Fesier
Dave Blake	Marc Daniels	Gary Seenn	Pierre Baudhun	Dipender Seltua
Stephanie Hansen	Cary Hakam	Anne McFadden	John Barge	Mark Peterson
Kris Johnson	William Mason	Peter Flynn	Chrysa Freeman	Patrick Cockz
Lisa Baron	Ed Krenik	Elizabeth Davoli	Rich Blasing	Joe Kochencarter
	Pete Braver	Kari Rabe	Rose Marie Baynes	Mike Nicolaus
	Katherine Schnell	Gregory Anderson	Jeff Stone	Jody May
	Michele Muldrow	Nick Ramstead	Jean Nusbaum	

Endorsing Organizations: MPIRG, College Republicans, U-DFL, Ground Zero MN, and University Political Science Association (UPSA).