

**UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA**  
**TWIN CITIES CAMPUS ASSEMBLY**  
**MINUTES**

**October 24, 1974**

The first regular meeting of the Twin Cities Campus Assembly was convened in the auditorium of Nicholson Hall on Thursday, October 24, 1974. Checking or signing the roll as present were 77 voting members of the faculty, 29 voting members of the student body, and 3 nonmembers.

Professor Russell K. Hobbie presided.

The following items were considered, and action was taken as indicated.

The Twin Cities Campus Assembly Minutes of January 31, 1974, were *approved*.

**I. ASSEMBLY AND CAMPUS COMMITTEES, 1974-75**

**Reported for Action (10 minutes)**

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND RULES:** Russell Hobbie (chairman), Mario Bognanno, Gordon Kepner. New members: Susanne Fisher, Deon Stuthman. Students: Kathy Sims, David Bland.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON PLACEMENT SERVICES:** New member: Lee Ponto. Students: Mark Patterson, Mary Radaich, Kent Kyle.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON CONVOCATIONS AND THE ARTS:** Students: Diane Houpt (chairman), Laurie Waters, Rick Olivadotti.

**ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL POLICY:** Willard Hartup (chairman), Rutherford Aris, Bert Ellenbogen, Stan Kegler (ex officio), Calvin Kendall, Charles McKhann. New members: John Borchert, Albert Linck (ex officio), Robert Kiste, Patricia Swan. Students: Enrique Serra, Elaine Parent, David Eagan.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON EDUCATIONAL SERVICES:** New members: John Wertz replaces Lloyd Lofquist who has resigned. Students: John Ciabattari, Beatrice Rothweiler, Cheryl McGonagle.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON HONORS PROGRAMS:** Students: Ruth Etzel, Roger Hagedorn.

**ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS:** David Giese and David French (cochairmen). New members: Stanley Kegler (ex officio) replaces Stanley Wenberg who has resigned, Eileen Sivert. Students: Marlene Schumacher, Mike Corcoran, Maureen Meier. Alumni: Wallace Salovich, Donald McMoore.

**ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON STUDENT AFFAIRS:** Donald Biggs and Walter H. Johnson have resigned. No replacements as yet. New member: C. Robert Morris replaces M. Alison Stones who has resigned. Students: Greg Geris (chairman), Greg Barbe, Connie Barnhart, Nancy Niehaber, Pam Spence, Wendy Wildung, Teresa Wray, Tom Bodin, Richard Marsden, Gene Peterson, Norman Hendrickson, Rick Evans, Steve Strasser. Alumni: Mark McGree, Harriet Holden.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON INTRAMURAL AND EXTRAMURAL ACTIVITIES:** Students: Ann Kucera, Mary Christensen, Gene Peterson, Maureen Meier, David Usher.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON INTERNATIONAL STUDENTS:** Students: Blair Smith, Mary Morgan, Mark Patterson, Lekan Shobowale, Genie Rogstad.

**CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON STUDENT BEHAVIOR:** New member: Robert Oliphant replaces Ferdinand Schoettle who is on leave. Students: Laurie Waters, Mary Morgan, Scott Crossman, Deborah Searles, Janice Heinig, George Drowski, Kirby Larson, Rober Hagedorn, Susan O'Brien, Danna Dekko, Austin Indritz, Nancy Niehaber.

*Approved*

## **II. SPECIAL NOMINATING COMMITTEE**

### **Reported for Action by the Faculty Assembly (10 minutes)**

The chairman of the Assembly appoints the following as members of a nominating committee to name candidates to fill, by the election procedure, 1975-78 vacancies (three 3-year terms) on the Faculty Assembly Steering Committee. The Faculty Assembly Steering Committee forms the Twin Cities membership of the Senate Consultative Committee. Eleanor Fenton (chairman), David Berninghausen, Walter Heller, David Lykken, William Martin.

*Approved*

## **III. OFFICERS OF THE TWIN CITIES CAMPUS ASSEMBLY**

### **Reported for Action**

The chairman of the Twin Cities Campus Assembly has designated the following as officers for 1974-75:

Abstractor—Marilee Ward  
Acting Clerk—Marilee Ward  
Parliamentarian—Ralph Miller

*Approved*

## **IV. REPORT OF THE CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND RULES**

### **1. Reported for Action (10 minutes)**

The Committee on Business and Rules recommends amendment of the Constitution, Article VI, Section 1, to read:

Amendments to this Constitution shall be approved either by a two-thirds majority of all voting members of the Twin Cities Assembly at a regular or special meeting, or by a majority of all voting members of the Assembly at two meetings, the second of which shall be the next regular meeting, and

provided the proposed amendment has been distributed, in writing, to the persons and in the manner provided in Article II, Section 5, for distribution of the Twin Cities Assembly agenda, at least 10 days prior to the date of the vote on the approval of the proposed amendment. Such amendments after adoption shall then be submitted to the University Senate and Regents for approval.

This amendment would make the amendment procedure for the Assembly Constitution the same as that for the Senate Constitution.

*Approved*

## **2. Reported for Information**

Regular meetings of the Twin Cities Campus Assembly are scheduled as follows:

February 6, 1975—3:15 p.m., Nicholson auditorium

April 24, 1975—3:15 p.m., Nicholson auditorium

Items for the docket must be delivered to the clerk of the Assembly no later than 2 weeks prior to the date of each meeting. All items should be double-spaced to facilitate printing in the *Daily*.

RUSSELL K. HOBBIE  
Chairman

## **V. REPORT OF THE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON COMMITTEES**

### **1. Reported for Action (15 minutes)**

The Assembly Committee on Committees recommends an amendment to Article III of the bylaws to provide for the following new Assembly committee:

#### **6. Academic Standing**

The Assembly Committee on Academic Standing shall consist of eight members of the faculty, three representatives of the student body, and ex officio representation from the following administrative units: Vice President, Academic Administration; Vice President, Student Affairs. The faculty and student members of the committee also shall serve as the Twin Cities Campus Assembly representatives on the Senate Committee on Academic Standing and Relations.

The Assembly Committee on Academic Standing shall concern itself with policies on academic standing that are needed on a campus-wide basis. The committee shall study problems related to admission, scholastic standing, and grading as they affect Twin Cities collegiate units and bring recommendations to the Assembly as appropriate.

*Approved*

### **2. Reported for Action (15 minutes)**

The Assembly Committee on Committees recommends the creation of the following committee as a campus committee reporting to the Twin Cities Assembly through ACSA:

#### **CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON TRANSPORTATION AND PARKING**

*Membership.* The Campus Committee on Transportation and Parking shall consist of four representatives of the student body (including at least one

from Continuing Education and Extension), three members of the faculty, two representatives of the civil service staff and ex officio representation from the Office of the Vice President for Finance, Planning and Operations.

*Duties and Responsibilities.* The Campus Committee on Transportation and Parking shall be responsible for studying, formulating and recommending policies regarding all aspects of transportation and parking. It shall serve as an advisory body to the appropriate units of the Office of the Vice President for Finance, Planning and Operations. It shall seek out student, faculty and civil service attitudes concerning parking and transportation policies and shall be responsible for involving all affected and relevant community groups in its proceedings.

*Reporting to the Assembly.* The committee shall report to the Assembly through the Assembly Committee on Student Affairs in accordance with Section T1.202.5 of the Assembly Handbook of Rules and Operational Procedures.

BETTY WALLACE ROBINETT  
Chairman

An amendment was *approved* to insert after student body "(including at least one from Continuing Education and Extension)."

The recommendation for the committee was *approved as amended*.

## **VI. ANNUAL REPORT OF THE ASSEMBLY COMMITTEE ON STUDENT AFFAIRS 1973-74**

### **Reported for Information**

ACSA held five meetings per quarter during the 1973-74 academic year. The committee's most extensive project involved a comprehensive study of the University Conduct Code. After a year of study, a subcommittee recommended several changes in the wording of the present code. These recommendations were approved by ACSA and have been forwarded to central administration and the Regents for implementation. The study is not yet completed and will be continued by the newly appointed committee. It is hoped that a solution can be reached which will allow the study to include input from individuals who have been through the disciplinary process.

A second major effort involved the creation of a comprehensive campus alcohol policy. After much study, the committee developed a proposed policy which was approved by the University Senate. The Regents adopted a comprehensive policy but deleted the portions authorizing sale of alcohol on campus. To implement the Regents policy, the committee developed a system for licensing the use of alcohol at appropriate events. The committee is currently charged with the ongoing responsibility of overseeing the operation of the alcohol policy. The union governing boards are developing mechanisms for implementing the alcohol policy in their unique situations. For the present, the committee has deferred action on the question of sales on campus, but this may be reopened if experience with the present policy indicates that extension of the policy would be appropriate.

In other action, the committee recommended changes in the transcript policy to enable all students to have post-fall, 1972 nonachievement grades removed from their external transcripts. These recommendations were adopted by the Twin Cities Assembly. The committee also recommended the creation of a Campus Committee on Transportation and Parking in order to fill a serious gap in the committee structure and provide student and faculty input to ad-

ministrative decisions on these important matters. Members of the committee initiated discussions on the structure of student government which eventually led to the restructuring proposal adopted in the spring referendum. The committee reviewed several other University policies including the audit policies for fraternities and sororities and the police procedures which resulted from the Darley committee report on the 1972 campus disturbances.

In the exercise of its quasi-judicial functions regarding student organizations, the committee adopted procedures for the hearing of appeals from the Campus Committee on Student Behavior. The committee also heard complaints regarding the use of student services fee funds for partisan political purposes and the seating of candidates elected to the Board of Publications. In connection with the latter complaint, the committee encouraged the Elections Commission and the Board of Publications to develop procedures which will avoid challenges to successful candidates in future elections.

In an attempt to develop better coordination and communication between ACSA and its campus committees, the committee requested and received reports of the activities of the campus committees under its jurisdiction at the start of fall quarter. In the course of this inquiry, the committee discovered and attempted to rectify delays in the appointment of members to two of the campus committees. It is hoped this reporting procedure will become a regular policy of the committee as it was a valuable means of acquainting the members with the activities within the structure.

While committee members' attendance records were not perfect, the committee's work was not hampered by attendance problems. The committee has not yet worked out a satisfactory procedure for the replacement of student members who resign. For the future, the Committee on Committees has been requested to provide a ranking of the alternate list. A large majority of the members exhibited a sincere commitment to the work of the committee. It is especially gratifying to note that one-half or more of the members in attendance at each meeting were students.

RICHARD NELSON  
Chairman  
*Approved*

## VII. ANNUAL REPORT, CAMPUS COMMITTEE ON STUDENT BEHAVIOR 1973-74

### Reported for Information

The Committee on Student Behavior became a campus committee by action of the Twin Cities Campus Assembly (*Minutes*, TCCA, April 26, 1973) and the approval of the President in early June. The Assembly Committee on Student Affairs was informed by letter of the President's acceptance of the plan with certain modifications (*Minutes*, ACSA, October 1, 1973, letters attached to this report).

From July 1, 1973, to May 15, 1974, the Campus Committee on Student Behavior (CCSB) heard one complaint against a student organization and 12 complaints against four students. The complaints ranged from restricting a student from attendance at an announced public meeting to disorderly conduct on campus, theft, harassment, property damages, violating residence hall rules, possession and use of illegal drugs, and physical assault. The parts of the Code of Conduct alleged to have been violated were Items 1, 6, 7, 8, and 12 of Section IV. Dispositions included a finding of insufficient evidence to support two complaints, restriction of visitation privileges in residence halls, removal from residence halls, probation in lieu of suspension, requirement of restitution

for property damages, referral for counseling, and dismissal of charges due to the exclusion of evidence improperly obtained. From previous experience we anticipate three or more cases during the last half of the spring quarter.

A major problem encountered in the operation of the adjudicative system stems from the uniqueness of cases referred to the CCSB. Members' calendars must first be cleared for a hearing but the hearings are frequently postponed and must be rescheduled for such reasons as: request for additional time to prepare a defense; class examinations; emergence of a pressing priority item requiring attention by the advocate; unavailability of a witness; and confinement of the accused. A hearing may take a number of hours. Time schedules of committee members are difficult to match, considering the requirement of a 3- to 4-hour hearing. Of course, a committee member may not be seated until examined for bias, prejudice, and preformed judgment. Once seated, he may not leave before adjournment. Challenges to the seating of members have on occasion decimated the panel below a quorum and thereby postponed the hearing until special members are appointed. Our experience indicates that to seat one committee member we need a pool of three; thus for a panel of six we need a reservoir of 18 persons. Suitable hearing rooms available for three consecutive hours are difficult to obtain especially in view of the need for nearby rooms in which to sequester witnesses.

To meet our special needs the Committee on Assembly Committees has enlarged the faculty pairs of nominees for membership from six to nine. The Committee on Assembly Committees also plans to increase the student membership proportionately.

A phenomena of increasing frequency is the desire of the accused as represented by his advocate to resolve the complaint by agreement before completion of the hearing. Two such agreements have been approved this year. The agreement is drawn up by the advocate and the University representative, reviewed with the secretary, and then submitted to the chairman of the CCSB or to the committee itself for approval. Another factor of some interest is that the four students whose cases were brought before the CCSB chose closed hearings.

The CCSB procedures evolved from experience with individuals over a period of years. Some procedural modifications were made in 1972 to handle the expansion of jurisdiction authorized by the ACSA to include complaints by or against student organizations. Such modifications have not proved entirely satisfactory. The CCSB will, in its annual review of its own procedures in the fall of 1974, consider writing a separate set of procedures applicable solely to cases involving student organizations. If separate procedures emerge from the study, they will be submitted to the ACSA for review.

JOHN N. CLAUSEN  
Chairman



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Office of the Vice President  
for Student Affairs  
Morrill Hall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

June 22, 1973

Mr. Edwin Siggelkow, Secretary  
Assembly Committee on Student Affairs  
Student Activities Center  
317-17th Avenue S.E.  
Campus Mail

Dear Ed:

As you know, the Twin Cities Assembly approved the recommendation of the Assembly Committee on Student Affairs for a Campus Committee on Student Behavior with somewhat different membership and arrangements than has existed previously.

Since student conduct was delegated by the Board of Regents to the President and his designee, the Assembly action is an advisory one.

However, both the President and I believe that the membership arrangement ought to be implemented insofar as this is possible. I am asking Dr. L. F. Snoxell, secretary of the Campus Committee on Student Behavior, to institute the appropriate arrangements beginning fall quarter.

I am attaching a copy of a memorandum I sent to President Moos about the matter. He has indicated his concurrence with an experimental period of implementation. Please make this a matter of record for the Assembly Committee on Student Behavior.

Sincerely,

Paul H. Cashman  
Vice President

cc: President Moos  
cc: Martin Snoke  
cc: L. F. Snoxell  
Attachment



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

Office of the Vice President  
for Student Affairs  
Morrill Hall  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

May 30, 1973

TO: MM

FROM: PHC

SUBJECT: Campus Committee on Student Behavior

Recently the Twin Cities Assembly acting on the recommendation of the Assembly Committee on Student Affairs (ACSA) established a Campus Committee on Student Behavior under the ACSA and the Assembly structures. This Assembly committee will replace the existing Committee on Student Behavior. One of the provisions of the new committee is that its membership and the membership of each of its hearing panels shall consist of a majority of student members. Another of its provisions is that the procedures of the new committee must be approved by the ACSA. Since student discipline is delegated by the Board of Regents to the President and his designees, the Assembly action is advisory only.

The proposed changes are not without their problems. Past experience suggests that it is difficult for students to commit the long hours necessary to hear individual complaints. The committee rules and procedures have developed over a period of many years and are based both on its own experiences as well as legal definitions of required and acceptable due process.

My own view is that we would try the proposal set forth by the Twin Cities Assembly on an experimental basis with the understanding that it will be necessary to return to the previous system if experience indicates the new approach does not permit cases to be heard without undue delay and substantial loss of effectiveness. I would, however, favor acceptance of the present committee rules and procedures with future changes or additions reviewable by ACSA. I think it unwise to postpone a hearing if a quorum of members is present even though the mix is not a majority of students. That is, the failure of a student member to meet with the committee for a hearing at which he was scheduled to serve should not result in a delay in the hearing and the consequent inconvenience to all persons assembled.

Colleges by long tradition have been invited to appoint members to the Committee on Student Behavior when their students appear as the accused. The Assembly plan does not carry forth this tradition. I do not think we could obtain at this time college backing to drop their special representation. Thus it becomes even more apparent that circumstances alone might alter the planned balance of students and faculty on the committee. It seems to me that the best way of handling the problem would be to instruct the secretary



of the committee to schedule each meeting with four students and three faculty members plus the college representative who could be either a student or a faculty member. A quorum consists of six persons; thus there could be a drop out of two before the hearing need be delayed.

If you concur, I shall inform the Assembly Committee on Student Affairs that its proposal will be implemented and that the new policy incorporating the above understandings will begin fall quarter, 1973. I will also advise the ACSA that I will report to them any subsequent decision to return to the previous system along with the reasons. It is my hope that the new approach will work and we will exert every effort to assure that it will.

*Accepted*

**VIII. OLD BUSINESS**

None.

**IX. NEW BUSINESS**

None.

MARILEE WARD  
Acting Clerk of the Assembly

## **Appendix**

### **ABSTRACT OF DISCUSSION**

The meeting was called to order by Russell Hobbie, professor of physics and astronomy and chairman of the Business and Rules Committee, at 3:15 p.m. in Nicholson Hall auditorium. Minutes of the January 31, 1974, meeting were approved.

Membership on committees and officers of the Assembly for the current year were approved, as was a special nominating committee to name candidates to fill next year's vacancies on the Steering Committee. The latter was approved by the Faculty Assembly.

A motion by Betty Robinett, professor of linguistics and chairman of the Committee on Committees, to create an Assembly Committee on Academic Standing was approved. A second proposal from her committee called for a Campus Committee on Transportation and Parking. Robert Jones, extension student senator, moved an amendment to specify that at least one member be from Continuing Education and Extension. Several students opposed the motion on the grounds that requiring membership from any one division of the University was an arbitrary act and that such petitions should be recommended to the Committee on Committees. Those favoring the amendment cited lack of representation for extension students in critical matters affecting them and the fact that parking was an important consideration for those attending evening classes. After the amendment was approved, it was proposed that the committee report directly to the Assembly instead of to the Student Affairs Committee. The chair ruled the suggestion out of order because all campus committees must be assigned a relationship and responsibility to an Assembly committee. The committee report, as amended, was then approved.

The Assembly then took up the Business and Rules Committee recommendation for a constitutional amendment to bring the amending procedure into agreement with that of the Senate. There was no debate, and it was approved 130 to 0.

Other committee reports were reported for information only, and the meeting adjourned at 4:20 p.m.

MARILEE WARD  
Abstractor