

Minnesota Forestry Research Notes



No. 248
April 15, 1974

Twig Diameter and Weight Relationships for Important Browse Species in Northern Minnesota

James M. Peek, Laurits W. Krefting and John C. Tappeiner^{1/}

Several studies have demonstrated that high correlations exist between weight and basal diameter of twigs of various woody species (Shafer 1963, Basile and Hutchings 1966, Telfer 1969, and Lyon 1970). This relationship is of potential value for determining utilization and production of woody browse on game ranges. Initially, a regression equation of weight on diameter at the proximal end of the current year's growth (DCG) is determined for each species by clipping and weighing about 50 unbrowsed twigs. Once the appropriate regression equation of weight on diameter is derived, field work consists of tallying browsed and unbrowsed twigs by species and diameters at the point of browsing (DPB). The mean DPB is calculated from this data, and the mean weight per browsed twig is obtained from the regression equation and multiplied by the number of browsed twigs per acre to determine the total weight of the twigs removed by browsing. A utilization estimate may be determined by dividing total weight of twigs removed by total twig weight for each species per unit area (Shafer 1963). A regression equation calculated from 50 unbrowsed twigs will produce a regression coefficient with a variation of between 10 and 20 percent at the 0.95 level of significance (Peek et al. 1971). Similarly, 50 DPB's will suffice to estimate utilization for each species in each area.

A one-thousandth-acre (3.7-foot radius) circular plot provides a convenient size within which to count twigs in the field. Only twigs over one inch long, and within the browsing range of moose or deer (6 feet above ground level for deer, 10 feet for moose) should be counted. Measurements at the Cloquet Forestry Center in two beaked hazel stands (Corylus cornuta) showed that 50 plots sufficed to establish a production-utilization estimate with a level of accuracy equal to 95% of the mean, but this will vary with the species composition and degree of aggregation of the shrub community.

Regression equations which are developed for one location for a given species may not be applicable to other locations if soil, moisture and over-story canopy closure varies. Peek et al. (1971) showed from 48 comparisons of regressions of eight woody species collected from five locations across northern Minnesota that 25 comparisons were significantly different from each other (P 0.01) and 20 comparisons differed by a margin greater than 20%, the level at which the differences were considered to have practical significance.

^{1/}Currently College of Forestry, Wildlife and Range Sciences, University of Idaho; College of Forestry, University of Minnesota; and U. S. Forest Service, San Francisco, California.

This report presents regression equations for nineteen woody species of twig weight on twig diameter and length, as derived from five different sites in northern Minnesota (Tables 1 and 2). Twig length data are presented because these measurements can be obtained with a ruler rather than a vernier caliper, and may be more useful for studies involving growth and production.

Methods

Current year's growth over one inch long was clipped from several different plants of each species. As wide a range of twig sizes as possible were collected for each site. The diameter of the proximal portion of the twig and its length was measured to the nearest 0.05 cm. The twigs were oven dried in a forced draft furnace at 95° C. for 24 hours and weighed to the nearest 0.01 gram.

Study Areas

Nine species were collected from the Agassiz National Wildlife Refuge in northwestern Minnesota; the species were taken on level terrain with poorly drained peat soils and no overstory canopy. Twelve species were collected near Isabella in northeastern Minnesota on level terrain with well-drained shallow sandy soil and approximately 50 percent canopy closure of aspen-birch overstory. Eight species were collected from each of two locations in the Jonvik deer yard along the north shore of Lake Superior. One site (1968 collection) was on well-drained, shallow sandy-clay soil in an old clearing with a southerly exposure. The other site (1969 collection) was on a shallow poorly-drained sandy soil under a sparse canopy of balsam fir (Abies balsamea), northern white-cedar (Thuja occidentalis) and paper birch (Betula papyrifera).

Results and Conclusions

A general relationship existed between mean twig weights and site characteristics which is worth further investigation. Comparison of species collected on the Agassiz, Jonvik and Isabella sites shows the Populus tremuloides, P. balsamifera and Salix discolor twig weights averaged highest at Agassiz and lowest at Isabella. Cornus stolonifera twig weights averaged higher at the 1969 Jonvik site than at Agassiz or the 1968 Jonvik site, with the Isabella site again having lowest twig weights. Sorbus americana twigs also averaged considerably heavier at the Jonvik sites than at Isabella. Corylus cornuta mean weights averaged lowest for the Cloquet stands and highest for the 1969 Jonvik site.

Lengths and diameters of twigs generally paralleled trends in weights between areas. However, Cornus stolonifera, P. balsamifera and Salix discolor twigs averaged longer and narrower at Agassiz than at the Jonvik sites. Although stand characteristics under which twigs were collected varied among sites, the data suggested that inherent site differences such as soil characteristics and the prevailing climate may be reflected in twig characteristics examined. Since these species are major forage sources for various wildlife, particularly for deer and moose, it is suggested that in addition the variation in their associated nutritive contents is worth quantification.

Literature Cited

- Basile, J. V. and S. S. Hutchings. 1966. Twig diameter-length-weight relations of bitterbrush. *J. Range Manage.* 19(1):34-38.
- Lyon, L. J. 1970. Length- and weight-diameter relations of serviceberry twigs. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 34(2):456-460.
- Peek, J. M., L. W. Krefting and J. C. Tappeiner II. 1971. Variation in twig diameter-weight relationships in northern Minnesota. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 35(3):501-507.
- Shafer, E. L., Jr. 1963. The twig-count method for measuring hardwood deer browse. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 27(3):428-437.
- Telfer, E. S. 1969. Twig weight-diameter relationships for browse species. *J. Wildl. Manage.* 33(4):917-921.

Table 1. Summary of twig diameter and weight data from four areas in Minnesota

Location	Species	Number of Twigs Measured	Correlation Coefficient (Diameter and Weight)	Twig Length (mm)		Oven Dry Weight (gms) Mean	Range	Regression Equation
				at Proximal End Mean	Range			
Agassiz Refuge	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	61	93	3.21	2.2-4.4	1.17	0.3-3.3	Y=0.385(d)-0.066
	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	60	91	5.44	3.7-10.2	3.16	0.7-12.3	Y=0.628(d)-0.256
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	62	88	5.05	2.6-10.4	1.84	0.2-11.2	Y=0.422(d)-0.291
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	57	94	4.19	2.8-7.5	2.55	0.4-8.4	Y=0.676(d)-0.282
	<i>Salix cordata</i>	48	90	4.98	3.5-7.4	5.07	1.3-11.0	Y=1.077(d)-0.293
	<i>Salix lucida</i>	56	95	5.79	3.9-8.1	4.91	1.1-5.9	Y=0.903(d)-0.318
	<i>Salix bebbiana</i>	49	92	4.25	2.9-5.9	2.71	0.7-7.0	Y=0.669(d)-0.133
	<i>Salix interior</i>	46	92	3.84	2.3-5.9	2.38	0.3-7.7	Y=0.685(d)-0.250
	<i>Salix petiolaris</i>	55	70	4.02	2.9-5.4	2.94	1.1-6.2	Y=0.751(d)-0.007
Cloquet Center	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	50	75	1.67	1.1-2.9	0.064	0.01-0.6	Y=0.042(d)+0.035
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	50	72	1.87	1.3-2.9	0.082	0.01-0.7	Y=0.046(d)+0.046
Isabella Area	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	51	91	3.67	2.1-7.0	1.799	0.4-8.8	Y=0.5497(d)-0.218
	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	59	92	3.05	2.0-4.8	0.653	0.1-2.0	Y=0.299(d)-0.045
	<i>Amelanchier sp.</i>	61	92	2.37	2.0-4.0	0.710	0.1-3.6	Y=0.339(d)-0.093
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	72	89	2.44	1.5-4.5	0.465	0.1-2.4	Y=0.217(d)-0.064
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	59	90	2.55	1.6-4.3	0.509	0.1-2.5	Y=0.225(d)-0.065
	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	60	92	2.90	1.5-5.6	0.988	0.1-4.7	Y=0.410(d)-0.201
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	50	95	3.13	1.8-7.7	0.597	0.1-4.4	Y=0.252(d)-0.192
	<i>Prunus pennsylvanica</i>	49	91	2.84	1.5-6.5	0.975	0.1-8.0	Y=0.416(d)-0.206
	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	47	90	2.99	2.0-5.0	0.734	0.1-4.9	Y=0.280(d)-0.102
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	58	89	3.09	1.7-4.9	1.355	0.2-5.8	Y=0.477(d)-0.119
	<i>Salix humilis</i>	67	92	3.35	2.0-6.3	1.599	0.2-8.8	Y=0.571(d)-0.314
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	58	63	4.76	2.9-7.5	1.023	0.1-4.6	Y=0.227(d)-0.058
Jonvik Deer Yard (1968 collection)	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	50	86	4.16	<u>1/</u>	0.84	<u>1/</u>	Y=0.210(d)-0.034
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	48	86	3.54		0.78		Y=0.231(d)-0.038
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	46	86	3.77		0.68		Y=0.196(d)-0.059
	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	48	79	3.63		1.16		Y=0.337(d)-0.063
	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	50	93	5.66		2.06		Y=0.396(d)-0.183
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	48	90	4.63		1.49		Y=0.349(d)-0.129
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	50	96	4.04		1.81		Y=0.504(d)-0.227
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	50	84	6.82		3.09		Y=0.482(d)-0.197
Jonvik Deer Yard (1969 collection)	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	50	83	4.32	3.0-6.1	1.08	0.4-3.5	Y=0.261(d)-0.048
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	50	94	3.81	2.2-6.2	1.18	0.2-3.8	Y=0.338(d)-0.338
	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	50	94	4.31	2.7-6.5	1.95	0.5-6.4	Y=0.489(d)-0.158
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	49	91	4.24	2.0-6.1	1.28	0.1-3.2	Y=0.319(d)-0.075
	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	50	92	5.48	3.0-10.5	2.24	0.4-10.4	Y=0.477(d)-0.374
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	50	89	4.55	2.1-8.5	1.57	0.2-8.8	Y=0.400(d)-0.250
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	50	92	3.95	2.8-7.0	1.42	0.2-7.9	Y=0.411(d)-0.203
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	50	92	7.34	4.3-13.2	4.83	0.7-21.2	Y=0.774(d)-0.854

1/No data

Table 2. Summary of twig length weight data from four areas in Minnesota

Location	Species	Number of Twigs Measured	Correlation Coefficient (Diameter and Weight)	Twig Length (mm)		Oven Dry Weight (gms) Mean	Range	Regression Equation
				at Proximal End Mean	Range			
Agassiz Refuge	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	61	93	39.0	19.5-66.0	1.17	0.3-3.3	Y=0.0319(L)-0.0
	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	60	88	49.0	23.5-84.0	3.16	0.7-12.3	Y=0.0697(L)-0.2
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	62	75	49.5	27.9-80.9	1.84	0.2-11.2	Y=0.0417(L)-0.2
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	57	83	50.5	26.0-92.3	2.55	0.4-8.4	Y=0.0549(L)-0.2
	<i>Salix cordata</i>	48	89	67.6	31.5-99.5	5.07	1.3-11.0	Y=0.0794(L)-0.2
	<i>Salix lucida</i>	56	89	56.7	37.0-63.0	4.91	1.1-5.9	Y=0.0920(L)-0.3
	<i>Salix bebbiana</i>	49	86	54.8	29.0-81.5	2.71	0.7-7.0	Y=0.0518(L)-0.1
	<i>Salix interior</i>	46	88	61.3	30.0-95.8	2.38	0.3-7.7	Y=0.0430(L)-0.2
	<i>Salix petiolaris</i>	55	86	65.9	46.0-95.9	2.94	1.1-6.2	Y=0.0462(L)-0.1
Cloquet Center	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	50	82	10.9	3.0-20.0	0.064	0.01-0.6	Y=0.0058(L)-0
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	50	79	10.3	0.7-40.7	0.082	0.01-0.7	Y=0.0079(L)-0
Isabella Area	<i>Abies balsamea</i>	51	94	20.3	9.0-47.7	1.799	0.4-8.8	Y=0.0995(L)-0.2
	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	59	91	25.9	11.5-44.3	0.653	0.1-2.0	Y=0.0269(L)-0.0
	<i>Amelanchier sp.</i>	61	91	25.7	2.7-64.3	0.710	0.1-3.6	Y=0.0317(L)-0.1
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	72	89	26.0	10.0-60.0	0.465	0.1-2.4	Y=0.0207(L)-0.0
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	59	92	28.8	11.5-68.0	0.509	0.1-	Y=0.0204(L)-0.0
	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	60	94	28.4	10.7-67.7	0.988	0.1-	Y=0.0426(L)-0.2
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	50	88	26.6	11.0-69.5	0.597	0.1-	Y=0.0293(L)-0.1
	<i>Prunus pennsylvanica</i>	49	86	37.1	15.2-84.2	0.975	0.1-	Y=0.0317(L)-0.1
	<i>Prunus virginiana</i>	47	92	20.1	8.1-45.4	0.734	0.1-	Y=0.0423(L)-0.1
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	58	83	14.5	0.7-30.3	1.023	0.1-	Y=0.0749(L)-0.0
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	58	93	41.3	17.8-83.1	1.355	0.2-	Y=0.0362(L)-0.1
	<i>Salix humilis</i>	67	89	40.1	13.0-96.0	1.599	0.2-	Y=0.0475(L)-0.3
	Jonvik Deer Yard (1968 collection)	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	50	94	25.4	<u>1/</u>	0.84	<u>1/</u>
<i>Betula papyrifera</i>		48	91	29.0		0.84		Y=0.0278(L)-0.0
<i>Corylus cornuta</i>		46	94	22.9		0.68		Y=0.0329(L)-0.0
<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>		48	89	28.1		1.16		Y=0.0429(L)-0.0
<i>Populus balsamifera</i>		50	90	32.9		2.06		Y=0.0679(L)-0.1
<i>Populus tremuloides</i>		48	89	36.4		1.49		Y=0.0443(L)-0.1
<i>Sorbus americana</i>		50	84	26.7		3.09		Y=0.1229(L)-0.1
<i>Salix discolor</i>		50	89	41.0		1.81		Y=0.0490(L)-0.1
Jonvik Deer Yard	<i>Acer spicatum</i>	50	93	37.2	24.9-66.6	1.08	0.4-3.5	Y=0.0306(L)-0.059
	<i>Betula papyrifera</i>	50	94	39.3	16.5-65.3	1.18	0.2-3.8	Y=0.0328(L)-0.109
	<i>Corylus cornuta</i>	49	90	30.9	12.8-49.4	1.28	0.1-3.2	Y=0.0435(L)-0.067
	<i>Cornus stolonifera</i>	50	94	46.4	22.4-81.5	1.95	0.5-6.4	Y=0.0457(L)-0.172
	<i>Populus balsamifera</i>	50	88	36.4	14.5-70.0	2.24	0.4-10.4	Y=0.0713(L)-0.020
	<i>Populus tremuloides</i>	50	81	36.7	14.0-72.3	1.57	0.2-8.8	Y=0.0489(L)-0.227
	<i>Sorbus americana</i>	50	82	36.9	19.3-66.0	4.83	0.7-21.2	Y=0.1498(L)-0.704
	<i>Salix discolor</i>	50	87	42.7	12.5-91.3	1.42	0.2-7.9	Y=0.0382(L)-0.212

1/No data