

AHC F&P Committee Meeting
February 17, 2005

AHC FINANCE AND PLANNING COMMITTEE

Minutes of the Meeting

These minutes reflect discussion and debate at a meeting of a subcommittee of the AHC Faculty Consultative Committee; none of the comments, conclusions, or actions reported in these minutes represent the views of, nor are they binding on, the Senate or Assembly, the Administration, or the Board of Regents.

PRESENT: Dan Feeney (chair), Beth Nunnally, Tim Church, Lynda Young, Joan Liaschenko, Thomas Shier

REGRETS: Kathryn Dusenbery

OTHER: David Hagen, Assistant to CFO, Academic Health Center Office of Finance

[In these minutes: review of a letter to Mike McNaughton, Information Technology Professional, Office of Human Resources; discussion of updated financial comparison report, including the development of salient points for the report's cover letter]

Professor Feeney called the meeting to order at 4:30 p.m.

As a first item of business, the committee began a discussion about the fringe benefits portion of its correspondence with Chuck Campbell, Chair, Senate Committee on Finance and Planning. As directed by the committee, Beth Nunnally reported that she had spoken with the Office of Human Resources to ascertain the feasibility of having a report run on the actual fringe benefit costs for the AHC. She recalled the committee had discussed conducting a similar analysis two years ago, but the budget office was not ready to accommodate its request for information at that time. It was noted that administration currently wishes to keep the fringe benefits discussion separate from the budget model discussion.

Members' attention was directed to the draft memo to the Office of Human Resources to request information on fringe benefits. After discussion, it was agreed the following information should be added:

- Clarification that the committee is seeking information for faculty only
- List of all committee member names under 'From:'
- Copy Kathie Krichbaum, Chair, AHC FCC

It was also suggested that Carol Carrier and Richard Pfutzenreuter be informed of the request.

After the changes are made and Professor Feeney has signed the memo, it will be sent.

Next, Professor Feeney asked committee member to discuss salient points for a cover letter for the updated financial comparison report. David Hagen passed out a new draft of the report, and committee members began a discussion of edits to the report, as well as interpretation of the data and its implications.

The committee discussed the following data from the report:

- Sources of Revenue, FY 2004
- Expenses By Object, FY 2004
- Tuition and O & M Allocations, 1994-2004
- Growth in Nonsponsored Carry Forward Balances

- Faculty Trends: Tenured and Tenure Track Faculty Headcount
- Student Trends: Fall Enrollments
- Expenses by Object: O&M Funding per Student
- Expenses by Object: Tuition Revenue per Student
- Assigned Space
- Expenses by Object: Instructional Square Feet per Student
- Expenses by Object: Three Views of Revenue per Assignable Square Foot
- Expenses by Object: State Funding per Tenured/Tenure Track Faculty
- Percent of Faculty Salaries Paid From O&M, State Special and Tuition Dollars

The committee will continue discussing this report at its next meeting. Hearing no further business, Professor Feeney adjourned the meeting at 6:00 p.m.

Rebecca Wienbar
University Senate Office