

HUMBERT'S FUNCTIONS OF MATRIX ARGUMENTS –I

Lalit Mohan Upadhyaya* & H. S. Dhimi**

Department of Mathematics,
University of Kumaun,
Almora Campus,
Almora (Uttaranchal),
India –263601.

2000 AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:

Primary: 33C65, 33C99.

Secondary: 60E, 62H, 44A05.

Key Words: Humbert's functions, matrix arguments, matrix-transform.

ABSTRACT

Five results concerning the Humbert's functions $\Phi_2, \Phi_3, \Psi_1, \Psi_2$, and Ξ_1 of matrix arguments have been established in this paper.

INTRODUCTION

Mathai has earlier studied the Humbert's functions of matrix arguments in conjunction with the Appell's functions of matrix arguments [4,5,6]. We have also studied them together with the Appell's functions in our earlier studies [9,10]. But in the present and its consequent study we have ventured in the direction of studying some properties of Humbert's functions independently without any reference at all to the Appell's functions of matrix arguments. All the matrices appearing in this paper are (p x p) real symmetric positive definite matrices and the meanings of all the other symbols used are the same as in the works of Mathai [3,4].

1. Preliminary Definition

We have already given the Mathai's definitions of Humbert's functions of matrix arguments in our previous two papers [9, 10]. Only one definition which has not been given previously in our works shall be given here. For other definitions which shall be required by us for proving the results in this paper, references to our earlier papers shall be made at the appropriate places.

* Department of Mathematics, Municipal Post Graduate College, Mussoorie, Dehradun (Uttaranchal), India-248179.

** To whom all the correspondence may be addressed.

DEFINITION 1.1: The Humbert's Φ_3 - function of matrix arguments,

$$\Phi_3 = \Phi_3(b; c; -X, -Y)$$

is defined as that function for which the matrix- transform (M-transform) is the following:

$$\begin{aligned} M(\Phi_3) &= \int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3(b; c; -X, -Y) dXdY \\ &= \frac{\Gamma_p(c) \Gamma_p(b - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(b) \Gamma_p(c - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \quad \dots\dots(1.1) \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(b - \rho_1, c - \rho_1 - \rho_2, \rho_1, \rho_2) > (p - 1)/2$.

2. Results

THEOREM 2.1:

$$\begin{aligned} &|P|^{-\beta} \Xi_1(\alpha, \alpha', \beta; \gamma; -P^{1/2} X P^{1/2}, -Y) \\ &= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \int_{T>0} e^{-\text{tr}(PT)} |T|^{\beta - (p+1)/2} \Phi_2(\alpha, \alpha'; \gamma; -T^{1/2} X T^{1/2}, -Y) dT \quad \dots\dots(2.1) \end{aligned}$$

for $\text{Re}(\beta) > (p - 1)/2$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq. (2.1) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we get,

$$\begin{aligned} &\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \times \\ &\quad \Phi_2(\alpha, \alpha'; \gamma; -T^{1/2} X T^{1/2}, -Y) dXdY \quad \dots\dots(2.2) \end{aligned}$$

Applying the transformation

$X_1 = T^{1/2} X T^{1/2}$ (with $dX_1 = |T|^{(p+1)/2} dX$ and $|X_1| = |T||X|$) and then using eq.(1.1) of the authors' paper [10], the above expression yields,

$$|T|^{-\rho_1} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\alpha - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\alpha' - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha) \Gamma_p(\alpha') \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \quad \dots\dots(2.3)$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(2.1) and then integrating out T in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral generates,

$$|P|^{-(\beta-\rho_1)} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma)\Gamma_p(\alpha-\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\alpha'-\rho_2)\Gamma_p(\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\rho_2)\Gamma_p(\beta-\rho_1)}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_p(\alpha')\Gamma_p(\gamma-\rho_1-\rho_2)} \dots\dots(2.4)$$

Now, taking the M-transform of the left side of eq.(2.1) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we have,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2-(p+1)/2} \times \\ |P|^{-\beta} \Xi_1(\alpha, \alpha', \beta; \gamma; -P^{-1/2}XP^{-1/2}, -Y) dXdY \dots\dots(2.5)$$

which under the transformation

$X_2 = P^{-1/2}XP^{-1/2}$ (with $dX_2 = |P|^{-(p+1)/2}dX$ and $|X_2| = |P|^{-1}|X|$) and then using eq.(1.2) of the authors' paper [10] yields the same result as in eq.(2.4) above.

THEOREM 2.2:

$$|P|^{-\gamma} \left| I + P^{-1/2}XP^{-1/2} \right|^{-\beta} \left| I + P^{-1/2}YP^{-1/2} \right|^{-\beta'} \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\gamma)} \int_{T>0} e^{-\text{tr}(PT)} |T|^{\gamma-(p+1)/2} \times \\ \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -T^{1/2}XT^{1/2}, -T^{1/2}YT^{1/2}) dT \dots\dots(2.6)$$

for $\text{Re}(\gamma) > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq.(2.6) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we get,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2-(p+1)/2} \times \\ \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -T^{1/2}XT^{1/2}, -T^{1/2}YT^{1/2}) dXdY \dots\dots(2.7)$$

Applying the same transformation as for eq.(2.2) above along with a similar transformation for the Y-variable and then using eq.(1.1) of the authors' paper [10], the last expression produces,

$$|T|^{-\rho_1 - \rho_2} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\beta' - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_p(\beta') \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \dots\dots (2.8)$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(2.6) and then integrating out T in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral gives,

$$|P|^{-(\gamma - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \frac{\Gamma_p(\beta - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\beta' - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_p(\beta')} \dots\dots (2.9)$$

Now, taking the M-transform of the left side of eq.(2.6) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we obtain,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \times \\ |P|^{-\gamma} \left| I + P^{-1/2} X P^{-1/2} \right|^{-\beta} \left| I + P^{-1/2} Y P^{-1/2} \right|^{-\beta'} dX dY \dots\dots (2.10)$$

On making use of the same transformation as for eq.(2.5) along with a similar transformation for the Y-variable in eq.(2.10) and integrating out the new variables of integration by using a type-2 Beta integral, we get the same result as in eq.(2.9).

THEOREM 2.3:

$$|P|^{-\beta'} \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -X, -P^{-1/2} Y P^{-1/2}) \\ = \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta')} \int_{T>0} e^{-\text{tr}(PT)} |T|^{\beta' - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -X, -T^{-1/2} Y T^{-1/2}) dT \dots\dots (2.11)$$

for $\text{Re}(\beta') > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: We take the M-transform of the function on the right side of eq.(2.11) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, to obtain,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \times \\ \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -X, -T^{-1/2} Y T^{-1/2}) dX dY \dots\dots (2.12)$$

Applying the transformation

$$Y_1 = T^{1/2} Y T^{1/2} \text{ (with } dY_1 = |T|^{(p+1)/2} dY \text{ and } |Y_1| = |T||Y|) \text{ and then}$$

using the definition (1.1) we obtain from the above expression,

$$|T|^{-\rho_2} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \dots\dots (2.13)$$

which, on substitution on the right side of eq.(2.11) and then integrating out T in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral gives,

$$|P|^{-(\beta' - \rho_2)} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\beta - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\beta' - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_p(\beta') \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1 - \rho_2)} \dots\dots (2.14)$$

Now, taking the M-transform of the left side of eq.(2.11) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we obtain,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1 - (p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2 - (p+1)/2} \times$$

$$|P|^{-\beta'} \Phi_2(\beta, \beta'; \gamma; -X, -P^{-1/2} Y P^{-1/2}) dX dY \dots\dots (2.15)$$

Applying the transformation

$$Y_2 = P^{-1/2} Y P^{-1/2} \text{ (with } dY_2 = |P|^{-(p+1)/2} dY \text{ and } |Y_2| = |P|^{-1} |Y|) \text{ and}$$

then using eq.(1.1) of the authors' paper [10], the above expression generates the same result as in eq.(2.14) above.

THEOREM 2.4: For $p=2$,

$$|P|^{-\alpha} \Xi_1[(\alpha + 1)/2, \beta, (2\alpha + 1)/4; \gamma; -4P^{-1} Y P^{-1}, -X)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} \int_{T>0} e^{-\text{tr}(PT)} |T|^{\alpha - (p+1)/2} \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -X, -TYT') dT \dots\dots (2.16)$$

where, $\text{Re}(\alpha) > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the function on the right side of eq.(2.16) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we have,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2-(p+1)/2} \times \\ \Phi_3(\beta; \gamma; -X, -TYT') dXdY \quad \dots\dots(2.17)$$

Applying the transformation

$Y_1 = TYT'$ (with $dY_1 = |T|^{p+1} dY$ and $|Y_1| = |T|^2 |Y|$) and then using the definition (1.1), the above expression yields,

$$|T|^{-2\rho_2} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma)\Gamma_p(\beta-\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_p(\gamma-\rho_1-\rho_2)} \quad \dots\dots(2.18)$$

Substituting this expression on the right side of eq.(2.16) and then integrating out T in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral produces,

$$|P|^{-(\alpha-2\rho_2)} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma)\Gamma_p(\beta-\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\alpha-2\rho_2)\Gamma_p(\rho_1)\Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta)\Gamma_p(\alpha)\Gamma_p(\gamma-\rho_1-\rho_2)} \quad \dots\dots(2.19)$$

Now, taking the M-transform of the left side of eq.(2.16) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we obtain,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2-(p+1)/2} \times \\ |P|^{-\alpha} \Xi_1[(\alpha+1)/2, \beta, (2\alpha+1)/4; \gamma; -4P^{-1}YP^{-1}, -X] dXdY \quad \dots\dots(2.20)$$

which, under the transformation

$$Z_1 = 4P^{-1}YP^{-1} \text{ (with } dZ_1 = 4^{p(p+1)/2} |P|^{-(p+1)} dY \text{ and } |Z_1| = 4^p |P|^{-2} |Y|)$$

and then using eq.(1.2) of the authors' paper [10] along with the observation that for $p=2$,

$$4^{-p\rho_2} \times \frac{\Gamma_p[(\alpha+1)/2-\rho_2]\Gamma_p[(2\alpha+1)/4-\rho_2]}{\Gamma_p[(\alpha+1)/2]\Gamma_p[(2\alpha+1)/4]} = \frac{\Gamma_p(\alpha-2\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha)} \quad \dots\dots(2.21)$$

(from eq.(6.13) page 84 of Mathai [4]) yields the same result as in eq.(2.19) above.

This result is different from the corresponding result in the scalar case.

THEOREM 2.5:

$$|P|^{-\beta} \Psi_1(\alpha, \beta; \gamma, \gamma'; -P^{-1/2} X P^{-1/2}, -Y)$$

$$= \frac{1}{\Gamma_p(\beta)} \int_{T>0} e^{-\text{tr}(PT)} |T|^{\beta-(p+1)/2} \Psi_2(\alpha; \gamma, \gamma'; -T^{1/2} X T^{1/2}, -Y) dT \dots\dots (2.22)$$

for $\text{Re}(\beta) > (p-1)/2$.

PROOF: Taking the M-transform of the right side of eq.(2.22) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively, we get,

$$\int_{X>0} \int_{Y>0} |X|^{\rho_1-(p+1)/2} |Y|^{\rho_2-(p+1)/2} \times$$

$$\Psi_2(\alpha; \gamma, \gamma'; -T^{1/2} X T^{1/2}, -Y) dX dY \dots\dots (2.23)$$

Applying the same transformation as for eq.(2.2) and using eq.(1.7) of the authors' paper [9], the above expression yields,

$$|T|^{-\rho_1} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\gamma') \Gamma_p(\alpha - \rho_1 - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\alpha) \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\gamma' - \rho_2)} \dots\dots (2.24)$$

which, on substitution on the right side of eq.(2.22) and then integrating out T in the resulting expression by using a Gamma integral produces,

$$|P|^{-(\beta-\rho_1)} \frac{\Gamma_p(\gamma) \Gamma_p(\gamma') \Gamma_p(\alpha - \rho_1 - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\beta - \rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_1) \Gamma_p(\rho_2)}{\Gamma_p(\beta) \Gamma_p(\alpha) \Gamma_p(\gamma' - \rho_2) \Gamma_p(\gamma - \rho_1)} \dots\dots (2.25)$$

The same result is also obtained by taking the M-transform of the left side of eq.(2.22) with respect to the variables X and Y and the parameters ρ_1 and ρ_2 respectively and then applying the same transformation as for eq.(2.5) along with the use of eq.(1.6) of the authors' paper [9].

References

1. Erdélyi A., Magnus W., Oberhettinger F., Tricomi F.G. (1954). Tables of Integral Transforms, Vol. I, McGraw Hill, New York, Toronto and London.

2. Exton H. (1976). Multiple Hypergeometric Functions and Applications, Ellis Horwood Limited, Publishers, Chichester.
3. Mathai A.M. (1992). Jacobians of Matrix Transformations I, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Trivandrum, India.
4. Mathai A.M. (1993). Hypergeometric Functions of Several Matrix Arguments, Centre for Mathematical Sciences, Trivandrum, India.
5. Mathai A.M. (1993). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments, Linear Algebra and its Applications; 183, pp. 201-221.
6. Mathai A.M. (1995). Special Functions of Matrix Arguments-III; Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, India; LXV (IV) pp. 367-393.
7. Srivastava H.M., Karlsson P.W. (1985). Multiple Gaussian Hypergeometric Series. Ellis Horwood Limited, Publishers, Chichester.
8. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhama H.S.(Nov.2001). Matrix Generalizations of Multiple Hypergeometric Functions, # 1818 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
9. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhama H.S.(Mar. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-I. # 1848 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.
10. Upadhyaya Lalit Mohan, Dhama H.S.(Apr. 2002). Appell's and Humbert's Functions of Matrix Arguments-II. # 1853 IMA Preprints Series, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, U.S.A.