

**ASYMPTOTICS OF THE SCATTERING COEFFICIENTS  
FOR A GENERALIZED SCHRÖDINGER EQUATION**

Tuncay Aktosun

Department of Mathematics  
North Dakota State University  
Fargo, ND 58105

and

Martin Klaus

Department of Mathematics  
Virginia Polytechnic Institute and State University  
Blacksburg, VA 24061

**Abstract:** The generalized Schrödinger equation  $d^2\psi/dx^2 + F(k)\psi = [ikP(x) + Q(x)]\psi$  is considered, where  $P$  and  $Q$  are integrable potentials with finite first moments and  $F$  satisfies certain conditions. The behavior of the scattering coefficients near zeros of  $F$  is analyzed. It is shown that in the so-called exceptional case, the values of the scattering coefficients at a zero of  $F$  may be affected by  $P(x)$ . The location of the  $k$ -values in the complex plane where the exceptional case can occur is studied. Some examples are provided to illustrate the theory.

**PACS Numbers:** 03.65.Nk, 03.80.+r

**Mathematics Subject Classification (1991):** 34B25, 34L05, 34L25, 47A40, 81U05

**Keywords:** Scattering theory for 1-D Schrödinger equation, Energy-dependent potential, Asymptotics of the scattering coefficients, Generalized Schrödinger equation, Exceptional case

**Short title:** Asymptotics of the scattering coefficients

## 1. INTRODUCTION

In this paper we consider the generalized Schrödinger equation

$$(1.1) \quad \frac{d^2 \psi(k, x)}{dx^2} + F(k) \psi(k, x) = [ik P(x) + Q(x)] \psi(k, x), \quad x \in \mathbf{R},$$

where the properties of  $F$  will be detailed below. The functions  $P$  and  $Q$  satisfy

$$(1.2) \quad P \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R}), \quad Q \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R}),$$

where  $L^1_1(\mathbf{R})$  is the class of measurable functions  $f$  such that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |f(x)| (1+|x|) < +\infty$ .

For the most part of the paper,  $P$  and  $Q$  need not be real valued; if they are, this will be stated explicitly. In applications,  $k$  may correspond to a wavenumber while  $F(k)$  may represent energy. The coefficient  $P(x)$  may represent the absorptive properties of the medium, and  $Q(x)$  may be a restoring force density or a potential for an external force. Some special cases of (1.1) are:

- (A)  $F(k) = k^2$  with  $P(x) \equiv 0$ ,
- (B)  $F(k) = k^2$  with  $P(x) \not\equiv 0$ ,
- (C)  $F(k) = k^2 + \frac{1}{4\beta^2}$  with  $\beta > 0$ .

Case (A) corresponds to the well-known quantum-mechanical case of the Schrödinger equation on the line with potential  $Q(x)$ . Case (B) has been studied by Jean and Jaulent [JJ72, JJ76a, JJ76b, Ja76], and more recently by Sattinger and Szmigielski [SS95], and by us [AKV98] when  $P$  is real valued. Case (C) has been investigated by Kaup [Ka75] in connection with the inverse scattering transform for an evolution equation (a long-wave water equation resembling the Boussinesque equation), by Tsutsumi [Ts81] and, more recently, under the assumption that  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) = 0$ , by Sattinger and Szmigielski [SS96].

Our interest in (1.1) is motivated by various inverse problems associated with (1.1). In studying such problems, one needs to know the asymptotics of various quantities as the

parameter  $k$  approaches certain special values, in particular as  $k \rightarrow \infty$  or as  $k \rightarrow k_0$ , where  $k_0$  is a zero of  $F$ . In this paper we will only be concerned with the second situation. We will call  $k_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  a *critical* value of (1.1) if  $F(k_0) = 0$ . The quantities whose asymptotics we will study are the transmission and reflection coefficients associated with (1.1). Before we define these quantities we list the assumptions on  $F$  :

(H1) Supposing  $k_0$  is a critical value of (1.1), there exists a set  $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbf{C}$  such that  $F(k)$  is continuous on  $\mathcal{S}$ ,  $F(k) \neq 0$  on  $\mathcal{S} \setminus \{k_0\}$ , and the map  $k \mapsto \mu(k) = \sqrt{F(k)}$  is one-to-one. Here the branch of the square root is such that  $0 \leq \arg \mu < \pi$ , where  $\mu = \mu(k)$ .

(H2) There is a path  $\mathcal{P}(k_0)$  in  $\mathcal{S}$  containing  $k_0$  on which  $\mu$  takes on real nonnegative values.

Note that, by (H1),  $\mathcal{D} = \mu(\mathcal{S})$  is a subset of the closed upper-half complex plane  $\overline{\mathbf{C}^+}$ . (H2) indicates that there is an  $\epsilon > 0$  so that  $[0, \epsilon] \in \mathcal{D}$ . In cases (A) and (B),  $k_0 = 0$  is the only critical value. We may then choose  $\mathcal{S} = \{k : 0 \leq \arg k < \pi\} \cup \{0\}$ , so that  $\mu(k) = k$  and  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{S}$ . For the path  $\mathcal{P}(k_0)$  we may take the interval  $[0, +\infty)$ . In case (C) the critical values are  $k_0 = \pm i/(2\beta)$ . The disk  $\{k : |k - i/(2\beta)| \leq 1/(2\beta)\}$  can then be used as  $\mathcal{S}$  near the critical point  $+i/(2\beta)$  and we have  $\mathcal{D} = \{\mu : |\mu| \leq 1/(2\beta), 0 \leq \arg \mu < \pi\} \cup \{0\}$ . As the path  $\mathcal{P}(k_0)$  we can take the imaginary interval  $i[0, 1/(2\beta)]$ . The modifications for the other critical point are obvious.

For  $k \in \mathcal{S}$ , (1.1) possesses the solutions  $f_l(k, x)$  and  $f_r(k, x)$ , the so-called Jost solutions from the left and from the right, respectively, that are uniquely defined by their spatial asymptotics, namely

$$(1.3) \quad f_l(k, x) = e^{i\mu x}[1 + o(1)], \quad f_l'(k, x) = i\mu e^{i\mu x}[1 + o(1)], \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

$$(1.4) \quad f_r(k, x) = e^{-i\mu x}[1 + o(1)], \quad f_r'(k, x) = -i\mu e^{-i\mu x}[1 + o(1)], \quad x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

where the prime indicates the derivative with respect to the spatial variable  $x$ . For  $k \in \mathcal{P}(k_0)$  the Jost solutions obey

$$(1.5) \quad f_l(k, x) = \frac{1}{T(k)} e^{i\mu x} + \frac{L(k)}{T(k)} e^{-i\mu x} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow -\infty,$$

$$(1.6) \quad f_r(k, x) = \frac{1}{T(k)} e^{-i\mu x} + \frac{R(k)}{T(k)} e^{i\mu x} + o(1), \quad x \rightarrow +\infty,$$

which define the transmission coefficient  $T$  and the reflection coefficients  $R$  from the right and  $L$  from the left, respectively. These quantities will collectively be referred to as scattering coefficients. It is also possible to define the scattering coefficients in terms of Wronskians of the Jost solutions. For example, letting  $[f; g] = fg' - f'g$  denote the Wronskian, from (1.1) and (1.3)-(1.6) we get

$$(1.7) \quad \frac{2i\mu}{T(k)} = [f_r(k, \cdot); f_l(k, \cdot)].$$

In analogy with the usual Schrödinger equation, given a critical value  $k_0$  we will distinguish between two cases: We say that the generic (exceptional) case occurs at  $k = k_0$  if and only if  $f_l(k_0, x)$  and  $f_r(k_0, x)$  are linearly independent (dependent). In the exceptional case, we let  $\gamma$  denote the nonzero constant

$$(1.8) \quad \gamma = \frac{f_l(k_0, x)}{f_r(k_0, x)}.$$

From (1.7) we see that  $k_0$  corresponds to the exceptional case if and only if

$$F(k_0) = 0, \quad \lim_{k \rightarrow k_0} \frac{\mu(k)}{T(k)} = 0.$$

For short, we will say that  $k_0$  is an exceptional value if it corresponds to the exceptional case for (1.1).

The behavior of the scattering coefficients of (1.1) at the critical values  $k_0$  does not seem to have been studied in detail before, except in cases (A) and (B). In these two cases it is known [Fa64,DT79,Kl88,CS89,AKV98] that there are two ways in which  $T(k)$  can behave as  $k \rightarrow 0$ : either  $T(k) = ick + o(k)$  for some real nonzero  $c$ , or  $T(k) = T(0) + o(1)$  with  $T(0) \neq 0$ . The former corresponds to the generic case and the latter corresponds to the exceptional case. In case (C) a detailed investigation of the behavior of the scattering coefficients near  $k_0 = \pm 1/(2\beta)$  does not seem to have been done before. This is one

of our goals in this paper and particular attention will be paid to the exceptional case. In connection with a statement made in Theorem 2.6 of [SS96] regarding case (C) with  $\beta = 1/2$ , we would like to comment that while it is true that for reflectionless potentials only the exceptional case can occur at  $k = \pm i$ , there are also potentials  $P(x)$  and  $Q(x)$ , in particular real ones, which are not reflectionless and for which the exceptional case occurs. This will be discussed in more detail in Section 3.

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we prove our main result concerning the behavior of the scattering coefficients at a critical value (Theorem 2.2) and apply it to cases (A)-(C) (Corollary 2.3). We also present some information about the location of the exceptional  $k$ -values in the complex plane. In Section 3 we consider case (C) in more detail, show that one must not identify the exceptional case with the reflectionless case, and provide four examples illustrating the location of the exceptional  $k$ -values and other aspects of the theory.

## 2. ASYMPTOTICS OF THE SCATTERING COEFFICIENTS

In this section we study the asymptotic behavior of the scattering coefficients as  $k \rightarrow k_0$ , where  $k_0$  is a critical value of (1.1). In doing so we will only be concerned with the leading terms of the asymptotic expansions. Our main result is presented in Theorem 2.2. For its proof, we first need some results about the usual Schrödinger equation.

Consider the pair of Schrödinger equations

$$(2.1) \quad \frac{d^2 \phi_j(\mu, x)}{dx^2} + \mu^2 \phi_j(\mu, x) = V_j(x) \phi_j(\mu, x), \quad j = 1, 2,$$

where  $V_j \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . Here  $\mu$  is allowed to range over all of  $\overline{\mathbf{C}^+}$ ; it is not restricted to  $\mathcal{D}$  defined earlier. Let  $t_j$  denote the transmission coefficient and  $r_j$  and  $l_j$  denote the reflection coefficients from the right and left, respectively, for the potential  $V_j$ . Let  $g_{j;l}(\mu, x)$  and  $g_{j;r}(\mu, x)$  denote the corresponding Jost solutions of (2.1) from the left and right,

respectively. It is known [Fa64,DT79,CS89] that

$$g_{j;l}(-\mu, x) = t_j(\mu) g_{j;r}(\mu, x) - r_j(\mu) g_{j;l}(\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R},$$

$$(2.2) \quad g_{j;r}(-\mu, x) = t_j(\mu) g_{j;l}(\mu, x) - l_j(\mu) g_{j;r}(\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R}.$$

Since  $\mu$  appears as  $\mu^2$  in (2.1),  $g_{j;l}(-\mu, x)$  and  $g_{j;r}(-\mu, x)$  are also solutions of (2.1), and  $g_{j;l}(-\mu, x) = e^{-i\mu x} [1 + o(1)]$  as  $x \rightarrow +\infty$  and  $g_{j;r}(-\mu, x) = e^{i\mu x} [1 + o(1)]$  as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ .

**Proposition 2.1** Suppose that  $V_j \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$  for  $j = 1, 2$ . Then the scattering coefficients of (2.1) satisfy

$$(2.3) \quad \frac{1}{t_2(\mu)} = \frac{1}{t_1(\mu)} + \frac{i}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [V_2(x) - V_1(x)] g_{2;l}(\mu, x) g_{1;r}(\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \overline{\mathbf{C}^+} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$(2.4) \quad \frac{l_2(\mu)}{t_2(\mu)} = \frac{l_1(\mu)}{t_1(\mu)} - \frac{i}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [V_2(x) - V_1(x)] g_{2;l}(\mu, x) g_{1;r}(-\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$(2.5) \quad \frac{1}{t_2(\mu)} = \frac{1}{t_1(\mu)} + \frac{i}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [V_2(x) - V_1(x)] g_{2;r}(\mu, x) g_{1;l}(\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \overline{\mathbf{C}^+} \setminus \{0\},$$

$$(2.6) \quad \frac{r_2(\mu)}{t_2(\mu)} = \frac{r_1(\mu)}{t_1(\mu)} - \frac{i}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx [V_2(x) - V_1(x)] g_{2;r}(\mu, x) g_{1;l}(-\mu, x), \quad \mu \in \mathbf{R} \setminus \{0\}.$$

PROOF: First, let us note that (2.3) and (2.5) are given on p. 329 of [CS89], and some formulas related to (2.4) and (2.6) can be also found there. We will give a different proof which yields both (2.3) and (2.4) simultaneously. The proof of (2.5) and (2.6) is similar and hence will be omitted. By the variation of parameters formula,  $g_{2;l}(\mu, x)$  obeys the integral equation

$$(2.7) \quad g_{2;l}(\mu, x) = g_{1;l}(\mu, x) + \int_x^{\infty} dy \mathcal{G}(\mu; x, y) [V_2(y) - V_1(y)] g_{2;l}(\mu, y),$$

where

$$(2.8) \quad \mathcal{G}(\mu; x, y) = \frac{g_{1;l}(\mu, x) g_{1;r}(\mu, y) - g_{1;r}(\mu, x) g_{1;l}(\mu, y)}{[g_{1;l}(\mu, \cdot); g_{1;r}(\mu, \cdot)]}.$$

Note that the Wronskian in (2.8) is related to the transmission coefficient as

$$(2.9) \quad t_j(\mu) = -\frac{2i\mu}{[g_{j;l}(\mu, \cdot); g_{j;r}(\mu, \cdot)]}.$$

Now (2.3) and (2.4) follow by letting  $x \rightarrow -\infty$  in (2.7) and using (1.4), (1.5), (2.2), and (2.9). ■

In the next theorem, the behavior of the scattering coefficients of (1.1) is analyzed at critical  $k$ -values.

**Theorem 2.2** Suppose  $P, Q \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $F(k)$  satisfies (H1) and (H2). If  $k_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  is a critical value of (1.1), then:

(i) In the generic case we have

$$(2.10) \quad T(k) = -\frac{2i\mu}{[f_l(k_0, \cdot); f_r(k_0, \cdot)]} + o(\mu), \quad k \rightarrow k_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$L(k) = -1 + o(1), \quad R(k) = -1 + o(1), \quad k \rightarrow k_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(k_0).$$

(ii) In the exceptional case, using the constants  $\alpha$  and  $\omega$  defined by

$$\alpha = \lim_{k \rightarrow k_0} \frac{k - k_0}{\mu(k)}, \quad \omega = \gamma^2 + 1 - \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) f_l(k_0, x)^2,$$

we distinguish two subcases: If  $\alpha$  exists and is finite and  $\omega \neq 0$ , then

$$(2.11) \quad T(k) = \frac{2\gamma}{\omega} + o(1), \quad k \rightarrow k_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{S},$$

$$(2.12) \quad L(k) = \frac{2\gamma^2 - \omega}{\omega} + o(1), \quad k \rightarrow k_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(k_0),$$

$$(2.13) \quad R(k) = \frac{2 - \omega}{\omega} + o(1), \quad k \rightarrow k_0 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{P}(k_0).$$

(b) If  $\lim_{k \rightarrow k_0} |(k - k_0)/\mu(k)| = +\infty$  and  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) f_l(k_0, x)^2 \neq 0$ , then

$$T(k_0) = 0, \quad L(k_0) = -1, \quad R(k_0) = -1.$$

In the exceptional case, if  $\alpha$  exists and  $\omega = 0$ , then the scattering coefficients are not continuous at  $k_0$ ; if  $\alpha$  does not exist, then, in general, the scattering coefficients are not continuous at  $k_0$ .

PROOF: In (2.3)-(2.6) we replace  $V_1(x)$  by  $ik_0P(x) + Q(x)$  and  $V_2(x)$  by  $ikP(x) + Q(x)$  and note that because of (1.2) we have  $V_j \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R})$  for  $j = 1, 2$  instead of just  $V_j \in L^1(\mathbf{R})$ . The stronger assumption allows us to take the limit  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  in (2.3)-(2.6). Thanks to Proposition 2.1 we can make full use of the results [Kl88] known in the case  $P(x) \equiv 0$ . For  $g_{1,l}(\mu, x)$  and  $g_{2,r}(\mu, x)$  in (2.3)-(2.6), we substitute  $f_l^{[0]}(\mu, x)$  and  $f_r^{[0]}(\mu, x)$ , respectively, where the latter two are the Jost solutions of

$$(2.14) \quad \frac{d^2\varphi(\mu, x)}{dx^2} + \mu^2 \varphi(\mu, x) = [ik_0P(x) + Q(x)] \varphi(\mu, x).$$

Let  $T^{[0]}(\mu)$ ,  $R^{[0]}(\mu)$ , and  $L^{[0]}(\mu)$  denote the scattering coefficients associated with (2.14).

Then from (2.3) we get

$$(2.15) \quad \frac{1}{T(k)} = \frac{1}{T^{[0]}(\mu)} \left[ 1 - \frac{k - k_0}{2\mu} T^{[0]}(\mu) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) f_r^{[0]}(\mu, x) f_l(k, x) \right], \quad \mu \in \mathcal{D} \setminus \{0\}.$$

When  $P, Q \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R})$ , we have

$$(2.16) \quad |f_r^{[0]}(\mu, x)| \leq C(1 + \max\{0, x\}) e^{(\text{Im } \mu)x}, \quad \mu \in \overline{\mathbf{C}^+},$$

$$(2.17) \quad |f_l(k, x)| \leq C(1 + \max\{0, -x\}) e^{-(\text{Im } \mu)x}, \quad k \in \mathcal{S},$$

where  $C$  is a constant independent of  $x$  and  $k$ . Hence, by the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem, the integral on the right-hand side in (2.15) converges as  $k \rightarrow k_0$ . Now (2.10) follows from (2.9), (2.15), and the fact that in the generic case we have

$$[f_l(k_0, \cdot); f_r(k_0, \cdot)] = [f_l^{[0]}(k_0, \cdot); f_r^{[0]}(k_0, \cdot)] \neq 0.$$

In the exceptional case we obtain (2.11) by using (2.15)-(2.17) along with the fact that

$$(2.18) \quad f_r^{[0]}(0, x) = f_r(k_0, x) = \frac{1}{\gamma} f_l(k_0, x),$$



and (cf. [K188])

$$T^{[0]}(0) = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma^2 + 1},$$

where  $\gamma$  is the constant in (1.8). The statement  $T(k_0) = 0$  in part (b) follows directly from (2.15). Turning to  $L(k)$ , from (2.4) we get

$$(2.19) \quad \frac{L(k)}{T(k)} = \frac{L^{[0]}(\mu)}{T^{[0]}(\mu)} + \frac{k - k_0}{2\mu} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) f_r^{[0]}(-\mu, x) f_l(k, x).$$

Using (2.16) and (2.17) one can show that the integral in (2.19) has a finite limit as  $k \rightarrow k_0$ .

In the generic case, we have  $L^{[0]}(0) = -1$  and

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow k_0} \frac{T(k)}{T^{[0]}(\mu)} = \frac{[f_l^{[0]}(0, \cdot); f_r^{[0]}(0, \cdot)]}{[f_l(k_0, \cdot); f_r(k_0, \cdot)]} = 1,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow k_0} \frac{T(k)}{\mu} = \frac{-2i}{[f_l(k_0, \cdot); f_r(k_0, \cdot)]}.$$

Thus (2.19) implies that  $L(k_0) = -1$ . To prove (2.12) we use (2.18), (2.19), and the fact that in the exceptional case we have

$$L^{[0]}(0) = \frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{\gamma^2 + 1}.$$

The arguments leading to (2.13) and in case (b) are similar. ■

The implications of Theorem 2.2 for the special cases (A)-(C) are as follows.

**Corollary 2.3** Suppose  $P, Q \in L_1^1(\mathbf{R})$  and  $F(k)$  satisfies (H1) and (H2). If  $k_0 \in \mathbf{C}$  is an exceptional value, then:

(i) In case (A), we have  $k_0 = 0$  and

$$(2.20) \quad T(k_0) = \frac{2\gamma}{\gamma^2 + 1}, \quad L(k_0) = \frac{\gamma^2 - 1}{\gamma^2 + 1}, \quad R(k_0) = \frac{1 - \gamma^2}{\gamma^2 + 1}.$$

(ii) In case (B), we have  $k_0 = 0$ ,  $\mu(k) = k$ ,  $\alpha = 1$ , and (2.11)-(2.13) hold.

(iii) In case (C),  $k_0 = \pm i/(2\beta)$  and  $F(k)$  vanishes linearly at  $k_0$ ; hence  $\alpha = 0$ . In this case (2.20) holds.

Next we address the question of where in the complex plane the possible exceptional  $k$ -values can occur. Of course, in order for a  $k$ -value to correspond to the exceptional case, it must first be a critical value, and this depends on  $F(k)$ . In the next proposition, without referring to any specific form of  $F(k)$ , we present some sufficient conditions which ensure that the exceptional  $k$ -values cannot occur off the imaginary axis.

**Proposition 2.4** Assume  $P(x) \not\equiv 0$ ,  $Q(x)$  and  $P(x)$  are real valued, and  $P, Q \in L^1_1(\mathbf{R})$ . If  $k_0$  is an exceptional value but not purely imaginary, then  $\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) |f_l(k_0, x)|^2 = 0$ . If  $Q(x) \geq 0$ , or  $P(x) \leq 0$ , or  $P(x) \geq 0$ , then the exceptional  $k$ -values for (1.1) can occur only on the imaginary axis.

PROOF: Recall that in the exceptional case the Jost solutions of (1.1),  $f_l(k_0, x)$  and  $f_r(k_0, x)$ , are linearly dependent and hence  $f_l(k_0, x)$  remains bounded as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Moreover, since  $F(k_0) = 0$ , one can show (cf. (2.11) of [AKV93]) that  $f'_l(k_0, x) = o(1/x)$  as  $x \rightarrow \pm\infty$ . Thus, from (1.1), after integrating by parts and using

$$\lim_{x \rightarrow \pm\infty} f'_l(k_0, x) f_l(k_0, x)^* = 0,$$

where  $*$  denotes complex conjugation, we obtain

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx |f'_l(k_0, x)|^2 + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx Q(x) |f_l(k_0, x)|^2 = -ik_0 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx P(x) |f_l(k_0, x)|^2.$$

Since the right-hand side has to be real, both assertions follow. ■

### 3. SPECIAL CASE (C) AND EXAMPLES

We first consider case (C) in some more detail and discuss the implications of our results for the work of Sattinger and Szmigielski [SS96]. To establish the connection between the notation used here and that used in [SS96], we note that in [SS96] the special case  $F(k) = k^2 + 1$  was considered with the notation  $E^2 = k^2 + 1$  (i.e.  $E$  in [SS96] corresponds to  $\mu$  here), and a complex uniformization parameter  $z$  was used to express  $E$

and  $k$  as

$$E = \frac{1}{2} \left( z + \frac{1}{z} \right), \quad k = \frac{1}{2} \left( z - \frac{1}{z} \right).$$

Then two sets of solutions of (1.1),  $\psi_{\pm}(x, z)$  and  $\phi_{\pm}(x, z)$ , having specific asymptotic behaviors were defined. We state here only their connection with the Jost solutions of (1.1). We have

$$\psi_+(x, z) = f_l(k, x), \quad \psi_-(x, z) = T(k) f_r(k, x) - R(k) f_l(k, x),$$

$$\phi_+(x, z) = f_r(k, x), \quad \phi_-(x, z) = T(k) f_l(k, x) - L(k) f_r(k, x).$$

These definitions imply that

$$\phi_+(x, z) = a(z) \psi_-(x, z) + b(z) \psi_+(x, z),$$

$$\phi_-(x, z) = c(z) \psi_-(x, z) + d(z) \psi_+(x, z),$$

with

$$a(z) = \frac{1}{T(k)}, \quad b(z) = \frac{R(k)}{T(k)}, \quad c(z) = -\frac{L(k)}{T(k)}, \quad d(z) = \frac{T(k)^2 - L(k) R(k)}{T(k)}.$$

The quantities

$$r_+(z) = \frac{b(z)}{a(z)}, \quad r_-(z) = \frac{c(z)}{d(z)},$$

were called generalized reflection coefficients in [SS96]. In terms of our scattering coefficients we have

$$r_+(z) = R(k), \quad r_-(z) = \frac{L(k)}{L(k) R(k) - T(k)^2}.$$

Now let us apply Theorem 2.2 and Corollary 2.3 to the problem studied in [SS96]. The critical points are  $k = \pm i$ , corresponding to  $z = \pm i$ . In the notation of [SS96], generically one has  $r_{\pm}(i) = r_{\pm}(-i) = -1$ ; on the other hand, in the exceptional case, one has  $r_+(\pm i) = \frac{1 - \gamma_{\pm}^2}{\gamma_{\pm}^2 + 1}$  and  $r_-(\pm i) = \frac{1 - \gamma_{\pm}^2}{\gamma_{\pm}^2 + 1}$ . Here  $\gamma_+$  and  $\gamma_-$  are the constants in (1.8) at the critical points  $i$  and  $-i$ , respectively. Thus, we see that potentials need not necessarily

be reflectionless in order to violate  $r_+(\pm i) = -1$  or  $r_-(\pm i) = -1$ . In fact, in the next example we show that even rather simple potentials may cause nontrivial reflection in the exceptional case. The following examples involve potentials of the form

$$(3.1) \quad P(x) = \begin{cases} b_+, & 0 < x < 1, \\ b_-, & -1 < x < 0, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases} \quad Q(x) = \begin{cases} a_+, & 0 < x < 1, \\ a_-, & -1 < x < 0, \\ 0, & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

where  $a_\pm$  and  $b_\pm$  are parameters.

**Example 3.1** In (3.1) let  $b_+ = 2$ ,  $b_- = b$  with  $b \geq 0$ ,  $a_+ = 1$ ,  $a_- = 0$ , and choose  $F(k) = k^2 + 1$ . We can solve (1.1) and evaluate the scattering coefficients explicitly. The critical points are  $k = \pm i$ . Letting  $k = i(1 - \epsilon)$ , as  $k \rightarrow i$  so that  $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$  through positive values, we obtain

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{2i\mu}{T(k)} = \sqrt{b} \cos 1 \sin \sqrt{b} + \sin 1 \cos b + O(\sqrt{\epsilon}).$$

There are an infinite number of positive  $b$ -values that cause the leading term in (3.2) to vanish, and each such  $b$ -value causes  $k = i$  to yield the exceptional case. The smallest is  $b = 6.7719\bar{4}$ , the next two values are  $b = 36.366\bar{3}$  and  $b = 85.712\bar{7}$  (the overline means that the last digit may be affected by round-off). For  $b = 6.7719\bar{4}$  we get  $T(i) = -0.90174\bar{4}$  and  $L(i) = -0.43216\bar{6}$ ; for  $b = 36.366\bar{3}$  we get  $T(i) = 0.85104\bar{6}$  and  $L(i) = -0.52509\bar{1}$ ; and for  $b = 85.712\bar{7}$  we get  $T(i) = -0.84279\bar{3}$  and  $L(i) = -0.53822\bar{4}$ .

**Example 3.2** In (3.1) let  $b_+ = b_- = b$ ,  $a_+ = a_- = 0$ , and choose  $F(k) = k^2$ . Then we are in the exceptional case for every  $b \geq 0$ . The only critical value is  $k_0 = 0$  and we have  $f_i(k_0, x) = 1$  and  $\gamma = 1$  [cf. (1.8)], and

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{T(k)} = e^{2ik} \left[ \cos(2\sigma) - \frac{2ik + b}{2\sigma} \sin(2\sigma) \right],$$

where  $\sigma = \sqrt{k^2 - ikb}$ . Hence

$$\frac{1}{T(0)} = 1 - b,$$

which is in agreement with (2.11). If  $b = 1$ , then  $\omega = 0$  and  $\alpha = 1$  in Theorem 2.2, and (3.3) gives

$$T(k) = \frac{3i}{2k} + O(1), \quad k \rightarrow 0.$$

This shows that  $T(k)$  can be discontinuous at a critical value.

We conclude with two examples illustrating the location of possible exceptional  $k$ -values; in these examples, unless otherwise indicated,  $F(k)$  is not assumed to have any special form.

**Example 3.3** In (3.1) let  $b_+ = 1$  and  $b_- = a_+ = a_- = -1$ . Setting  $k = k_0$  and  $F(k_0) = 0$  we solve (1.1) to find the Jost solution  $f_l(k_0, x)$  and then impose the condition that  $f_l(k_0, x)$  be bounded as  $x \rightarrow -\infty$ ; that is, we demand that  $f_l'(k_0, -1) = 0$ . This is a necessary condition for  $k_0$  to be an exceptional value for any given function  $F(k)$ . A straightforward calculation shows that the (possibly) exceptional values are given by the solutions of the equation

$$\sqrt{-1 + ik_0} \tanh \sqrt{-1 + ik_0} + \sqrt{-1 - ik_0} \tanh \sqrt{-1 - ik_0} = 0.$$

This equation has infinitely many roots on the imaginary axis located symmetrically about the origin and, as can be seen numerically, one symmetric pair of roots on the real axis. The two real roots are  $k_0 = \pm 1.35\bar{5}$ , and the imaginary roots closest to zero are  $k_0 = \pm 14.13\bar{9}i$ . The corresponding Jost solution  $f_l(k_0, x)$  is given by

$$f_l(k_0, x) = \begin{cases} \cosh[\sqrt{-1 + ik_0}(1 - x)], & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-1 + ik_0}}{\cosh \sqrt{-1 - ik_0}} \cosh[\sqrt{-1 - ik_0}(x + 1)], & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and on each of the intervals  $(-\infty, -1)$  and  $(1, +\infty)$ ,  $f_l(k_0, x)$  is constant and obtained by continuity. This example shows the possibility of real as well as purely imaginary exceptional values. The two imaginary roots above would be critical values for case (C) if  $\beta = 0.03\bar{5}$ . The two real roots are not critical values for any of cases (A)-(C). In accordance with Proposition 2.4, one can verify that  $\int_{-1}^1 dx P(x) |f_l(k_0, x)|^2 = 0$ .

**Example 3.4** In (3.1) let  $b_+ = b_- = 1$ ,  $a_+ = 0$ , and  $a_- = -1$ . Then the (possibly) exceptional values satisfy

$$\sqrt{ik_0} \tanh \sqrt{ik_0} + \sqrt{-1 - ik_0} \tanh \sqrt{-1 - ik_0} = 0.$$

There are again infinitely many purely imaginary roots; there are also complex roots, one pair of which is  $k_0 = \pm 1.1008 + 0.5i$ . The corresponding Jost solution  $f_l(k_0, x)$  is given by

$$f_l(k_0, x) = \begin{cases} \cosh[\sqrt{ik_0}(1-x)], & 0 \leq x \leq 1, \\ \frac{\cosh \sqrt{ik_0}}{\cosh \sqrt{-1 - ik_0}} \cosh[\sqrt{-1 - ik_0}(x+1)], & -1 \leq x \leq 0, \end{cases}$$

and for  $|x| > 1$ ,  $f_l(k_0, x)$  is constant and obtained by continuity. This example shows the possibility of exceptional values that are neither real nor purely imaginary. In case (C) only the purely imaginary roots could be critical values for suitable  $\beta$ .

**Acknowledgments.** The research leading to this article was supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-9501053.

## REFERENCES

- [AKV93] T. Aktosun, M. Klaus, and C. van der Mee, *On the Riemann-Hilbert problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation*, J. Math. Phys. **34**, 2651–2690 (1993).
- [AKV98] T. Aktosun, M. Klaus, and C. van der Mee, *Wave scattering with absorption*, J. Math. Phys., to appear. [The manuscript is available in postscript format at the web site <http://hypatia.math.ndsu.nodak.edu/faculty/aktosun/preprints.html>]
- [CS89] K. Chadan and P. C. Sabatier, *Inverse problems in quantum scattering theory*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlag, New York (1989).
- [DT79] P. Deift and E. Trubowitz, *Inverse scattering on the line*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **32**, 121–251 (1979).

- [Fa64] L. D. Faddeev, *Properties of the S-matrix of the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation*, Amer. Math. Soc. Transl. **2**, 139–166 (1964) [Trudy Mat. Inst. Steklova **73**, 314–336 (1964) (Russian)].
- [Ja76] M. Jaulent, *Inverse scattering problems in absorbing media*, J. Math. Phys. **17**, 1351–1360 (1976).
- [JJ72] M. Jaulent and C. Jean, *The inverse s-wave scattering problem for a class of potentials depending on energy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **28**, 177–220 (1972).
- [JJ76a] M. Jaulent and C. Jean, *The inverse problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an energy-dependent potential. I*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. A **25**, 105–118 (1976).
- [JJ76b] M. Jaulent and C. Jean, *The inverse problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an energy-dependent potential. II*, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré, Sect. A **25**, 119–137 (1976).
- [Ka75] J. Kaup, *A higher-order water-wave equation and the method for solving it*, Progr. Theor. Phys. **54**, 396–408 (1975).
- [Kl88] M. Klaus, *Low-energy behaviour of the scattering matrix for the Schrödinger equation on the line*, Inverse Problems **4**, 505–512 (1988).
- [SS95] D. H. Sattinger and J. Szmigielski, *Energy dependent scattering theory*, Differ. Integral Eqs. **8**, 945–959 (1995).
- [SS96] D. H. Sattinger and J. Szmigielski, *A Riemann-Hilbert problem for an energy dependent Schrödinger operator*, Inverse Problems **12**, 1003–1025 (1996).
- [Ts81] M. Tsutsumi, *On the inverse scattering problem for the one-dimensional Schrödinger equation with an energy dependent potential*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. **83**, 316–350 (1981).