

# Solvability of a nonlinear problem of Kirchhoff Shell

Vladimir Odisharia      Jemal Peradze\*

December 1, 1995

## Abstract

Conditions of existence and uniqueness of a weak solution are proven for a boundary problem for the Mushtari-Donnell-Vlasov system of equations

## 1 Statement of the problem

Let us consider the system of equations, corresponding to deformation of a sloping shell [1]

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_2} + p_1 &= 0, & \frac{\partial T}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial N_2}{\partial x_2} + p_2 &= 0, \\ \frac{\partial^2 M_1}{\partial x_1^2} + 2\frac{\partial^2 H}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} + \frac{\partial^2 M_2}{\partial x_2^2} + k_1 N_1 + k_2 N_2 & & (1) \\ + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} \left( N_1 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} + T \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \right) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_2} \left( T \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} + N_2 \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \right) + q &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} N_i &= \frac{Eh}{1-\nu^2} \left\{ \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} - k_i w + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right)^2 + \nu \left[ \frac{\partial u_j}{\partial x_j} - k_j w + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_j} \right)^2 \right] \right\}, \\ T &= \frac{Eh}{2(1+\nu)} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \right), \quad M_i = -\frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_i^2} + \nu \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_j^2} \right), \\ H &= -\frac{Eh^3}{12(1+\nu)} \frac{\partial^2 w}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2}, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \quad i \neq j, \end{aligned}$$

---

\*Department of Applied Mathematics and Computer Sciences of Tbilisi State University, Tbilisi, R. of Georgia 380 043.

$u_1, u_2, w$ - displacement vector components, are unknown functions of the arguments  $x_1, x_2$ ,  $x = (x_1, x_2) \in \Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  is a domain taken by shell in a plane view,  $p_1 = p_1(x)$ ,  $p_2 = p_2(x)$ ,  $q = q(x)$ - components of external loading on a shell,  $k_1 = k_1(x)$ ,  $k_2 = k_2(x)$  - curvatures of a shell,  $h$ -thickness,  $\nu$ -Poisson's ratio,  $0 < \nu < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $E$ -modulus of elasticity.

Suppose that the shell is fixed on all boundaries

$$u_1|_{\partial\Omega} = u_2|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad w|_{\partial\Omega} = 0, \quad \frac{\partial w}{\partial n}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0. \quad (2)$$

Here  $\partial\Omega$  - boundary of  $\Omega$  domain,  $n$ -external normal to  $\partial\Omega$ .

We say that the system of functions  $(u, w)$ , where  $u = (u_1, u_2) \in (\overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(\Omega))^2$ ,  $w \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^2(\Omega)$ , is a weak solution of problem (1), (2) if it satisfies the equality

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{\Omega} \left[ N_1 \left( \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial x_1} - k_1 \xi + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_1} \right) + N_2 \left( \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial x_2} - k_2 \xi + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_2} \right) \right. \\ & \left. + T \left( \frac{\partial \eta_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial \eta_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial \xi}{\partial x_1} \right) - M_1 \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x_1^2} - 2H \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x_1 \partial x_2} - M_2 \frac{\partial^2 \xi}{\partial x_2^2} \right] dx \\ & = \int_{\Omega} (p_1 \eta_1 + p_2 \eta_2 + q \xi) dx \quad \forall \eta_1, \eta_2 \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^1(\Omega), \quad \xi \in \overset{\circ}{W}_2^2(\Omega). \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

When  $p_1 = p_2 = 0$  system (1) was studied by many authors (see bibliography in [2]). One of the first works are [3] and [4]. Note that the well-known von Karman system is the result of (1). For  $p_1$  and  $p_2$  different from zero the question of existence of solutions of system (1) is considered for the plate ( $k_1 = k_2 = 0$ ) in [5] and for the shell in [6] and [7].

## 2 Statement of Theorem

Let us define and denote norms in spaces  $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^m(\Omega)$ ,  $\overset{\circ}{W}_4^1(\Omega)$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ , in the following way

$$\|v\|_m = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\ell|=m} (\mathcal{D}^{\ell} v)^2 dx \right]^{1/2}, \quad \|v\|_{1,4} = \left[ \int_{\Omega} \sum_{|\ell|=1} (\mathcal{D}^{\ell} v)^4 dx \right]^{1/4},$$

$\ell = (\ell_1, \ell_2)$ .

By  $\|\cdot\|$ ,  $\|\cdot\|_c$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{-m}$ , we will mean norms in spaces  $L_2(\Omega)$ ,  $C(\Omega)$  and  $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^{-m}(\Omega)$ ,  $m = 1, 2$ . For vector  $v = (v_1, v_2)$  and integer  $m$  we denote  $\|v\|_m = (\|v_1\|_m^2 + \|v_2\|_m^2)^{1/2}$ .

We will need the inequalities [8]

$$\|v\|_{1,4} \leq c_1 \|v\|_2, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\|v\| \leq c_2 \|v\|_2, \quad (4.2)$$

which are true for any function  $v$  in the space  $\overset{\circ}{W}_2^2(\Omega)$ . In (4),  $c_1, c_2$  are positive constants that do not depend on the function  $v$ .

**Theorem.** *Let the boundary of  $\Omega$  domain be such that Sobolev's imbedding theorems are true and*

$$p = (p_1, p_2) \in (W_2^{-1}(\Omega))^2, \quad q \in W_2^{-2}(\Omega), \quad k_1, k_2 \in C(\Omega).$$

If for  $t = 0$

$$\|p\|_{-1} \leq \frac{Eh}{c_1^2 [32(3-\nu)(1-\nu)]^{1/2}} \left[ \frac{h^2}{3(1+\nu)} - \frac{c_2^2 \alpha^2}{1-t\alpha(1+\nu)} - \delta \right], \quad (5)$$

where

$$0 < \alpha < (1+\nu)^{-1}, \quad \delta > 0, \quad \alpha = \max_{i=1,2} (\|k_i\|_c),$$

then problem (1), (2) has at least one weak solution.

If for  $t = 1$  inequality (5) is satisfied as well as the following inequality

$$\left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\nu)} \right] \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ 4c_2 \alpha \left( \frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu} \right)^{1/2} \|p\|_{-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{1-\nu}{1+\nu} \right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{-2} \right]^2 + 2\|p\|_{-1}^2 \right\} < \left[ \frac{Eh^3}{12c_1^2(1-\nu^2)} \right]^2, \quad (6)$$

then there exists a unique weak solution.

**Remark.** *For the case  $t = 1$  the question of the best parameters  $\alpha$  and  $\delta$  are not discussed here.*

### 3 Proof of Theorem

#### 3.1 Solvability

Put  $\eta_1 = u_1, \eta_2 = u_2, \xi = 0$  in (3). Taking into account the boundary conditions (2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} I &\equiv \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + 2\nu \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} + \left( \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \right] dx \\ &= -\frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \nu \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 \left( \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} + \nu \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \right) + (1-\nu) \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2} \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \right) - 2w \left[ (k_1 + \nu k_2) \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + (k_2 + \nu k_1) \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right] \right\} dx + \frac{1-\nu^2}{Eh} \int_{\Omega} (p_1 u_1 + p_2 u_2) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

From (2)

$$\int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} dx = \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} dx.$$

Therefore

$$I \geq \frac{1-\nu}{2} \|u\|_1^2.$$

Thus

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \left( \frac{2}{1-\nu} I \right)^{1/2}. \quad (8)$$

From (7), it follows

$$\begin{aligned} I &\leq \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} + \nu \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_2} + \nu \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 + (1-\nu) \left( \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} \right)^2 \right] dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ &\times \left\{ \left( 1 + \frac{1-\nu}{2} \right)^{1/2} \|w\|_{1,4}^2 \right\} + \sqrt{2} \varkappa (1+\nu) \|u\|_1 \|w\| + \frac{1-\nu^2}{Eh} \|p\|_{-1} \|u\|_1. \end{aligned}$$

Using (4) and the inequality  $1 + \nu^2 < 2$ , we find

$$I \leq \frac{c_1^2}{2} (3-\nu)^{1/2} I^{1/2} \|w\|_2^2 + \left[ \sqrt{2} c_2 \varkappa (1+\nu) \|w\|_2 + \frac{1-\nu^2}{Eh} \|p\|_{-1} \right] \|u\|_1.$$

This together with (8) gives an estimate

$$\|u\|_1 \leq \frac{c_1^2}{\sqrt{2}} \left( \frac{3-\nu}{1-\nu} \right)^{1/2} \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{2\sqrt{2} c_2 \varkappa (1+\nu)}{1-\nu} \|w\|_2 + \frac{2(1+\nu)}{Eh} \|p\|_{-1}, \quad (9)$$

which will be needed later.

We now evaluate  $\|w\|_2$ . Combining (3) for  $\eta_1 = 2u_1$ ,  $\eta_2 = 2u_2$ ,  $\xi = w$  and (2) yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}\|w\|_2^2 + \frac{2Eh}{1-\nu^2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \epsilon_1^2 + 2\nu\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_2^2 + \frac{1}{2}k_1(\epsilon_1 + \nu\epsilon_2)w \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1}{2}k_2(\epsilon_2 + \nu\epsilon_1)w + \frac{1-\nu}{2}\gamma^2 \right] dx - \int_{\Omega} (2p_1u_1 + 2p_2u_2 + qw)dx = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

where

$$\epsilon_i = \frac{\partial u_i}{\partial x_i} - k_i w + \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_i} \right)^2, \quad \gamma = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial x_2} + \frac{\partial u_2}{\partial x_1} + \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial w}{\partial x_2}, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

From (10) we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)}\|w\|_2^2 + \frac{2Eh}{1-\nu^2} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ [(1-\sigma(1+\nu^2))\epsilon_1^2 + 2\nu(1-2\sigma)\epsilon_1\epsilon_2 + (1-\sigma(1+\nu^2))\epsilon_2^2] \right. \\ & \left. - \frac{1}{16\sigma}(k_1^2 + k_2^2)w^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{2}\gamma^2 \right\} dx \leq 2\|p\|_{-1}\|u\|_1 + \|q\|_{-2}\|w\|_2 \\ & \forall \sigma > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Let us choose the maximum  $\sigma$  for which the expression in square brackets in (11) is nonnegative, i.e. for which the following is satisfied:

$$1 - \sigma(1 + \nu^2) \geq \nu|1 - 2\sigma|.$$

It is clear that  $\sigma = (1 + \nu)^{-1}$ . Putting this value of  $\sigma$  in (11) and using (4.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Eh}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left[ \frac{h^2}{3} - c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2(1+\nu) \right] \|w\|_2^2 + \frac{2Eh}{1-\nu^2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\nu(1-\nu)}{1+\nu} (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2)^2 \right. \\ & \left. + \frac{1-\nu}{2} \gamma^2 \right] dx \leq 2\|p\|_{-1}\|u\|_1 + \|q\|_{-2}\|w\|_2. \end{aligned}$$

This together with (9) gives

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{Eh}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left[ \frac{h^2}{3} - c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2(1+\nu) \right] - \sqrt{2}c_1^2 \left( \frac{3-\nu}{1-\nu} \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \|p\|_{-1} \right\} \|w\|_2^2 \\ & \leq \left[ \frac{4\sqrt{2}c_2 \mathfrak{a}(1+\nu)}{1-\nu} \|p\|_{-1} + \|q\|_{-2} \right] \|w\|_2 + \frac{4(1+\nu)}{Eh} \|p\|_{-1}^2. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Taking into account the validity of inequality (5) when  $t = 0$  the expression in fig brackets in (12) is not less than  $\frac{1}{4}Eh\delta(1 - \nu)^{-1}$ . Therefore

$$\|w\|_2 \leq c, \quad (13)$$

where positive constant  $c$  expressed by the norms  $\|p\|_{-1}, \|q\|_{-2}$  and parameters  $\varkappa, \nu, \delta, h, E, c_2$ .

Based on estimates (9), (13) and by using the Faedo-Galerkin method [4], [9], we get the solvability of problem (1), (2).

### 3.2 Existence of unique solution

Assume condition (5) holds when  $t = 1$ . Then (5) will hold for  $t = 0$  as well. Thus, problem (1), (2) has a solution when  $t = 1$ . We shall prove that if in addition (5), (6) holds, then the solution is unique.

Suppose the existence of two solutions  $(u^{(\ell)}, w^{(\ell)})$ ,  $\ell = 1, 2$ ,  $u^{(\ell)} = (u_1^{(\ell)}, u_2^{(\ell)})$ . Denote  $\epsilon_i, \gamma, i = 1, 2$ , corresponding to the solution by  $\epsilon_i^{(\ell)}, \gamma^{(\ell)}$ .

Let us write (3) for both solutions when  $\eta_i = u_i^{(1)} - u_i^{(2)}$ ,  $\xi = w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}$ ,  $i = 1, 2$ , and subtract the resulting equalities from each other. A simple calculation yields

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Eh^3}{12(1 - \nu^2)} \|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2^2 + \frac{Eh}{1 - \nu^2} \int_{\Omega} [(\epsilon_1^{(1)} - \epsilon_1^{(2)})^2 + 2\nu(\epsilon_1^{(1)} - \epsilon_1^{(2)})(\epsilon_2^{(1)} - \epsilon_2^{(2)}) \\ & + (\epsilon_2^{(1)} - \epsilon_2^{(2)})^2 + \frac{1 - \nu}{2}(\gamma^{(1)} - \gamma^{(2)})^2] dx + J = 0, \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} J = & \frac{Eh}{2(1 - \nu^2)} \int_{\Omega} \left\{ [(\epsilon_1^{(1)} + \nu\epsilon_2^{(1)}) + (\epsilon_1^{(2)} + \nu\epsilon_2^{(2)})] \left[ \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_1} \right]^2 + [(\epsilon_2^{(1)} + \nu\epsilon_1^{(1)}) \right. \\ & \left. + (\epsilon_2^{(2)} + \nu\epsilon_1^{(2)})] \left[ \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_2} \right]^2 + (1 - \nu)(\gamma^{(1)} + \gamma^{(2)}) \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_1} \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_2} \right\} dx. \end{aligned}$$

For arbitrary  $\alpha > 0$  we infer

$$\begin{aligned} |J| \leq & \frac{Eh}{2(1 - \nu^2)} \left\{ \int_{\Omega} [\alpha(1 - \nu)((\epsilon_1^{(1)} + \nu\epsilon_2^{(1)})^2 + (\epsilon_2^{(1)} + \nu\epsilon_1^{(1)})^2 + (\epsilon_1^{(2)} + \nu\epsilon_2^{(2)})^2 \right. \\ & \left. + (\epsilon_2^{(2)} + \nu\epsilon_1^{(2)})^2) + \frac{(1 - \nu)^2}{2}((\gamma^{(1)})^2 + (\gamma^{(2)})^2)] dx \right\}^{1/2} \\ & \times \left\{ \left[ 2 + \frac{2}{\alpha(1 - \nu)} \right] \int_{\Omega} \left[ \left( \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_1} \right)^4 + \left( \frac{\partial(w^{(1)} - w^{(2)})}{\partial x_2} \right)^4 \right] dx \right\}^{1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

By the use of (4.1), we obtain

$$|J| \leq \frac{Eh}{1-\nu^2} c_1^2 \left\{ \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{\alpha(1-\nu)} \right] (s^{(1)} + s^{(2)}) \right\}^{1/2} \|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2^2, \quad (15)$$

where

$$s^{(\ell)} = \int_{\Omega} \left\{ \frac{\alpha(1-\nu)}{2} [(1+\nu^2)(\epsilon_1^{(\ell)})^2 + 4\nu\epsilon_1^{(\ell)}\epsilon_2^{(\ell)} + (1+\nu^2)(\epsilon_2^{(\ell)})^2] + \left( \frac{1-\nu}{2} \right)^2 (\gamma^{(\ell)})^2 \right\} dx, \quad \ell = 1, 2.$$

Let us determine estimate for  $s^{(\ell)}$ . From (10) and (4.2), it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{Eh}{4(1-\nu^2)} \left( \frac{h^2}{3} - \frac{c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2}{\sigma} \right) \|w^{(\ell)}\|_2^2 + \frac{2Eh}{1-\nu^2} \left\{ \frac{2}{1-\nu} s^{(\ell)} \right. \\ & + \int_{\Omega} [(1 - (\alpha + \sigma)(1 + \nu^2))(\epsilon_1^{(\ell)})^2 + 2\nu(1 - 2\alpha - 2\sigma)\epsilon_1^{(\ell)}\epsilon_2^{(\ell)} \\ & \left. + (1 - (\alpha + \sigma)(1 + \nu^2))(\epsilon_2^{(\ell)})^2] dx \right\} \leq 2\|p\|_{-1} \|u^{(\ell)}\|_1 + \|q\|_{-2} \|w^{(\ell)}\|_2 \\ & \forall \sigma > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

In (16) choose the maximum value of  $\sigma$  for which under integral function is nonnegative, i.e. for which

$$1 - (\alpha + \sigma)(1 + \nu^2) \geq \nu|1 - 2\alpha - 2\sigma|.$$

We obtain that such  $\sigma = (1 + \nu)^{-1} - \alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < (1 + \nu)^{-1}$ . Substituting this expression in (16) and using (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ \frac{Eh}{4(1-\nu)} \left[ \frac{h^2}{3(1+\nu)} - \frac{c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2}{1-\alpha(1+\nu)} - (\delta_1 + \delta_2) \right] - \sqrt{2}c_1^2 \left( \frac{3-\nu}{1-\nu} \right)^{1/2} \|p\|_{-1} \right\} \|w^{(\ell)}\|_2^2 \\ & + \frac{4Eh}{(1-\nu^2)(1-\nu)} s^{(\ell)} \leq \left[ \frac{32c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2 (1+\nu)^2}{\delta_1 Eh(1-\nu)} + \frac{4(1+\nu)}{Eh} \right] \|p\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{\delta_2 Eh} \|q\|_{-2}^2 \\ & \forall \delta_1, \delta_2 > 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

We claim that  $\delta_1 + \delta_2 = \delta$ . Then in power of condition (5) when  $t = 1$  in (17) coefficient at  $\|w^{(\ell)}\|_2^2$  will be nonnegative and therefore

$$s^{(\ell)} \leq \frac{(1-\nu^2)^2}{E^2 h^2} \left\{ \left[ \frac{8c_2^2 \mathfrak{a}^2 (1+\nu)}{\delta_1 (1-\nu)} + 1 \right] \|p\|_{-1}^2 + \frac{(1-\nu)}{4(\delta - \delta_1)(1+\nu)} \|q\|_{-2}^2 \right\}. \quad (18)$$

We optimize the estimate for  $s^{(\ell)}$ . By choosing  $\delta_1 \in (0, \delta)$ , let us get the minimum value of right hand side of (18). Consider that the minimum of the function  $f(z) = az^{-1} + b(c-z)^{-1}$ ,  $a, b > 0$ , on interval  $(0, c)$  is equal to  $c^{-1}(\sqrt{a} + \sqrt{b})^2$ . In result from (18) yields

$$s^{(\ell)} \leq \frac{(1-\nu^2)^2}{E^2 h^2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} [2\sqrt{2}c_2 \mathfrak{a} \left(\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|p\|_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-\nu}{1+\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{-2}]^2 + \|p\|_{-1}^2 \right\},$$

$\ell = 1, 2.$

Using this inequality in (15), we find

$$|J| \leq c_1^2 \left[ 2 + \frac{2}{\alpha(1-\nu)} \right]^{1/2} \left\{ \frac{1}{\delta} \left[ 2\sqrt{2}c_2 \mathfrak{a} \left(\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|p\|_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-\nu}{1+\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{-2} \right]^2 + \|p\|_{-1}^2 \right\}^{1/2} \|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2^2. \quad (19)$$

Combining (14) and (19), gives us

$$\left\{ \frac{Eh^3}{12(1-\nu^2)} - c_1^2 \left( 2 + \frac{2}{\alpha(1-\nu)} \right)^{1/2} \left[ \frac{1}{\delta} \left( 2\sqrt{2}c_2 \mathfrak{a} \left(\frac{1+\nu}{1-\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|p\|_{-1} + \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1-\nu}{1+\nu}\right)^{1/2} \|q\|_{-2} \right)^2 + \|p\|_{-1}^2 \right]^{1/2} \right\} \|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2^2 + \frac{Eh}{1-\nu^2} \int_{\Omega} \left[ (\epsilon_1^{(1)} - \epsilon_1^{(2)})^2 + 2\nu(\epsilon_1^{(1)} - \epsilon_1^{(2)})(\epsilon_2^{(1)} - \epsilon_2^{(2)}) + (\epsilon_2^{(1)} - \epsilon_2^{(2)})^2 + \frac{1-\nu}{2}(\gamma^{(1)} - \gamma^{(2)})^2 \right] dx \leq 0. \quad (20)$$

From the power of condition (6) the coefficient at  $\|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2^2$  in (20) has positive value. Therefore the following can be written

$$\|w^{(1)} - w^{(2)}\|_2 = 0, \quad \|\epsilon_i^{(1)} - \epsilon_i^{(2)}\| = 0, \\ \|\gamma^{(1)} - \gamma^{(2)}\| = 0, \quad i = 1, 2.$$

Now by using easy judgement we come to the relationships  $u^{(1)} = u^{(2)}, w^{(1)} = w^{(2)}$ .

Theorem is proven.

## Acknowledgement

The authors thank Dr. Mary Ann Horn for her careful reading of the manuscript and useful suggestions.



## References

- [1] A.S. Volmir. *Shells in liquid and gas flow*, Nauka, Moscow, 1976.
- [2] I.I. Vorovich. *Mathematical problems of the nonlinear theory of sloping shells*, Nauka, Moscow, 1989.
- [3] I.I. Vorovich. *On existence of solution in nonlinear theory of shells*, Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR, Ser. Mat. 19: 173–186, 1955.
- [4] J.A. Dubinskii. *On solvability of a system of equations for big deflections of plates*, Dokl. Acad. Nauk SSSR, 175: 1026-1029, 1967.
- [5] S.N. Voloshanovskaia, M.M. Karchevskii. *Difference scheme for bending of thin plates*, Zhurn. vychisl. matem. i matem. phis., 17: 183–195, Moscow, 1977.
- [6] S.N. Voloshanovskaia, M.M. Karchevskii. *Difference scheme for the boundary problem on bending of sloping shells*, Issled. po prikl. matem., 32–52, Kazan, 1977.
- [7] J.G. Peradze, V.S. Odisharia. *Difference scheme for the problem of strong bending of a shell*, Proc. Inst. Appl. Math. Tbil. State Univ., 22, 16p, Tbilisi, 1991.
- [8] S.L. Sobolev. *Some usefulness of functional analysis in mathematical physics*, Nauka, Moscow, 1988.
- [9] J.L. Lions. *Quelques methodes de resolution des problems aux limites nonlineaires*, Dunod, Paris, 1969.