



Litigation Review Committee

February 2016

February 11, 2016

3:30 p.m. - 5:00 p.m.

East Committee Room, McNamara Alumni Center

LIT - FEB 2016

1. Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters - Review/Action

Docket Item Summary - Page 3

Resolution - Page 4



BOARD OF REGENTS DOCKET ITEM SUMMARY

Litigation Review

February 11, 2016

AGENDA ITEM: Resolution to Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

Review Review + Action Action Discussion

This is a report required by Board policy.

PRESENTERS: William Donohue, General Counsel

PURPOSE & KEY POINTS

To consider a resolution to conduct a non-public meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to discuss attorney-client privileged matters.

BACKGROUND INFORMATION

The Litigation Review Committee reviews litigation matters and obtains legal advice regarding specific University actions and their legal consequences.



REGENTS OF THE UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

RESOLUTION RELATED TO

Conduct Non-Public Meeting of the Litigation Review Committee to Discuss Attorney-Client Privileged Matters

WHEREAS, based on advice of the General Counsel, the Board of Regents Litigation Review Committee has balanced the purposes served by the Open Meeting Law and by the attorney-client privilege, and determined that there is a need for absolute confidentiality to discuss litigation strategy in particular matters involving the University of Minnesota.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that in accordance with Minn. Stat. § 13D.01, Subd. 3 and 13D.05 Subd. 3(b), a non-public meeting of Litigation Review Committee be held on Thursday, February 11, 2016 at 3:30 p.m. in the East Committee Room, 600 McNamara Alumni Center, for the purpose of discussing attorney-client privileged matters including the following:

- I. *Preeti Kaur Rajpal v. Regents of the university of Minnesota, Aaron L. Friedman*
- II. *University of Minnesota v. AT&T, et al.*
- III. *University of Minnesota v. MD Anderson*
- IV. *Miller, Banford and Wiles v. University of Minnesota*
- V. *Minnesota Alpha Chapter of Sigma Alpha Epsilon, on its own behalf and in its representative capacity for its members v. University of Minnesota*