

## Sponsors

---

### *We thank the following sponsors:*

#### **Platinum**

Bayer Animal Health  
National Pork Board  
Pfizer Animal Health

#### **Silver**

Boehringer Ingelheim Vetmedica, Inc.

#### **Bronze**

Cargill  
Merck Animal Health  
Novartis Animal Health

#### **Copper**

AgStar Financial Services  
Elanco Animal Health  
IDEXX  
Newport Laboratories  
PIC USA  
PRRS CAP

#### **University of Minnesota Institutional Partners**

College of Veterinary Medicine  
University of Minnesota Extension  
College of Food, Agriculture and Natural Resources Sciences

#### **Formatting**

Tina Smith Graphics  
[www.tinasmithgraphics.com](http://www.tinasmithgraphics.com)

#### **CD-ROM**

David Brown  
[www.davidhbrown.us](http://www.davidhbrown.us)

#### **Logo Design**

Ruth Cronje, and Jan Swanson;  
based on the original design by Dr. Robert Dunlop

The University of Minnesota is committed to the policy that all persons shall have equal access to its programs, facilities, and employment without regard to race, color, creed, religion, national origin, sex, age, marital status, disability, public assistance status, or sexual orientation.

# The “Holy Grail” of biosecurity: Achieving sustainable PRRSV freedom in swine-dense regions

S Dee<sup>1</sup>; G Spronk<sup>2</sup>; D Reicks<sup>3</sup>; P Ruen<sup>4</sup>; J Deen<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Swine Disease Eradication Center, University of Minnesota College of Veterinary Medicine, St. Paul, Minnesota; <sup>2</sup>Pipestone Veterinary Clinic, Pipestone, Minnesota; <sup>3</sup>Swine Vet Center, St. Peter, Minnesota; <sup>4</sup>Fairmont Veterinary Clinic, Fairmont, Minnesota

## Introduction

Airborne transport of PRRSV has been documented to occur from 4.7-9.2 km.<sup>1,2</sup> Based on this information, the filtering of incoming air has been proposed as a means to reduce this risk.<sup>3-6</sup> To test this intervention, a study was conducted under controlled field conditions.

## Materials and methods

The study involved 10 treatment herds and 30 control herds and was conducted over a 36-month period (Sep 2008-Aug 2011). Herds were selected utilizing published criteria.<sup>5,6</sup> Specifically, a candidate herd was required to have a breeding herd inventory of 2400 sows or more, needed to be surrounded by four or more growing pig sites within a 4.7 km radius<sup>1</sup> and had to have experienced a minimum of three external PRRSV infections over the past four years despite the use of industry standard biosecurity practices. A summary of characteristics of study herds is provided in Table 1. On a monthly basis, treatment and control herds were assessed for clinical evidence of PRRS and blood/oral fluid samples collected from 30 piglets at weaning and tested by PCR. If positive, the ORF 5 region was sequenced and compared to historical PRRSV isolates.

## Results

Over the 36-month study period, 8 treatment herds remained free of infection; however, 2 herds became

infected due to documented breaches in transport and personnel biosecurity protocols. In contrast, 28 of 30 of control herds were re-infected with new variants. Of these 28 farms, 17 (62%) were infected one time, 7 (25%) were infected twice and 4 (13%) were infected three times. Chi square analysis indicated that treatment herds were significantly less likely to become infected when compared to control herds ( $P = 0.0001$ ).

## Discussion

These results suggest that air filtration is an effective means to reduce the risk of external PRRSV introduction to large breeding herds located in swine dense regions. Studies are currently underway to continue to assess the sustainability of air filtration and to calculate its cost: benefit. If proven efficacious over time, “**Sustainable PRRSV Freedom**” in swine-dense regions will be a reality.

## References

1. Dee et al. (2009). *Vet Res.* 40,1–13.
2. Otake et al. (2010). *Vet Microbiol.* 145,198–208.
3. Pitkin et al. (2009). *Vet Microbiol.* 136, 1–7.
4. Dee et al. (2010). *Virus Res.* 154,177–184.
5. Spronk et al. (2010). *Vet Rec.* 166,758–759.
6. Dee et al. (2010). *Vet Rec.* 167,976–977.

**Table 1:** Characteristics of study herds

<b>Herd</b>	<b>#</b>	<b># infected</b>	<b>BHI</b>	<b># sites/4.7km</b>	<b># infections/4 years</b>
Treatments	10	2	3163	8	5
Controls	30	28	3238	8	5

**Key**

- 1: Herds designated as treatments are filtered while those designated as controls are non-filtered.
- 2: Number of herds in each study group.
- 3: Number of herds in each group which experienced an external PRRSV infection during the 24-month study period.
- 4: Mean breeding herd inventory across study groups.
- 5: Mean number of pig sites located within 4.7 km of the study herds.
- 6: Mean number of PRRSV infections secondary to the introduction of heterologous variants in herds over the four years prior to initiation of the study.

