

AdS/CFT duality, spin chains and 2d effective actions

R. Roiban, A. Tirziu and A. A. Tseytlin

“Asymptotic Bethe ansatz S-matrix and Landau-Lifshitz type effective 2-d actions”, hep-th/0604199

also talks by Belitsky, Korchemsky, and Klebanov

AdS/CFT

$\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM at $N = \infty$

dual to type IIB superstrings in $AdS_5 \times S^5$

Parameters:

$\lambda = g_{YM}^2 N$ related to string tension

$$2\pi T = \frac{R^2}{\alpha'} = \sqrt{\lambda}$$

$$g_s = \frac{\lambda}{4\pi N} \rightarrow 0$$

string energies = dimensions of gauge-invariant operators

$$E(\sqrt{\lambda}, J, m, \dots) = \Delta(\lambda, J, m, \dots)$$

J - global charges of $SO(2, 4) \times SO(6)$:

spins S_1, S_2 ; J_1, J_2, J_3

m - windings, folds, cusps, oscillation numbers, ...

Operators: $\text{Tr}(\Phi_1^{J_1} \Phi_2^{J_2} \Phi_3^{J_3} D_+^{S_1} D_-^{S_2} \dots F_{mn} \dots \Psi \dots)$

Solve SYM/string theory \rightarrow

compute $E = \Delta$ for **any** λ (and J, m)

Solve non-trivial max. susy 4-d CFT = string in curved R-R background

Remarkable well-defined (but hard !) problem of mathematical physics

hope to learn more about less susy theories, e.g., role of integrability and string picture in perturbative / large energy QCD

Perturbative expansions are **opposite**:

$\lambda \gg 1$ in perturbative string theory

$\lambda \ll 1$ in perturbative planar gauge theory

“Constructive” approach:

use perturbative results on both sides and other properties (integrability, susy,...) as guides to guesses of exact answers (Bethe ansatz,...)

Remarkable recent progress:

– “semiclassical” states with **large** quantum numbers ($J \gg 1$)

dual to “long” gauge operators

$E = \Delta$ – same dependence on J, m

coefficients = **interpolating functions** of λ

– connection to spectrum of integrable spin chain

– advances in uncovering of the structure of underlying Bethe ansatz

Particular sector: string states in S^5

e.g., strings moving in S^3 of S^5 – operators built of SYM scalars

$$\Phi_1 = \phi_1 + i\phi_2, \quad \Phi_2 = \phi_3 + i\phi_4$$

dimensions = eigenvalues of spin chain Hamiltonian

“ $SU(2)$ sector”: $\text{Tr}(\Phi^{J_1} \Phi^{J_2}) + \dots, \quad J = J_1 + J_2$

planar 1-loop dilatation operator of $\mathcal{N} = 4$ SYM

= Hamiltonian of **ferromagnetic** Heisenberg $XXX_{1/2}$ spin chain

(Minahan, Zarembo, 2002):

$$H_1 = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \sum_{l=1}^J (I - \vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1})$$

Higher orders (Beisert, Kristjansen, Staudacher, 2003; Beisert, 2004):

$$H_2 = \frac{\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^4} \sum_{l=1}^J (-3 + 4\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1} - \vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+2})$$

H_3 contains $\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+3}$ but also $(\vec{\sigma}_l \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+1})(\vec{\sigma}_{l+2} \cdot \vec{\sigma}_{l+3})$, etc.

“long-range” ferromagnetic spin chain with “multi-spin” interactions

but (at least to 3 loop order) H = effective Hamiltonian for Hubbard model

(Rej, Serban, Staudacher, 2005)

Integrability \rightarrow Exact Bethe ansatz \rightarrow Spectrum ?

Expect spectrum to have qualitatively same structure at any λ :

smooth change with λ (at least for large J_1, J_2)

indeed, remarkable correspondence between string and gauge states

Structure of Spectrum at large J :

1-loop: Heisenberg model $E = J + \lambda E_1 + O(\lambda^2)$

$E_1 = 0$: ferromagnetic vacuum

– BPS operator $\text{Tr } \Phi^J$ (point-like string)

$E_1 \sim \frac{1}{J^2}$: magnons

– BMN states $\text{Tr}([\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] \Phi_2 [\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1] \Phi_2 \dots)$

(“short” fast strings with c.o.m. along S^5 geodesic $J_1 \gg J_2$)

$E_1 \sim \frac{1}{J}$: low-energy spin waves

“Thermodynamic” limit ($J_1 \sim J_2 \gg 1$): long “locally BPS” operators

$\text{Tr}([\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1][\Phi_2 \dots \Phi_2][\Phi_1 \dots \Phi_1][\Phi_2 \dots \Phi_2] \dots)$

– (long fast strings, nearly-null world surface) (Frolov, AT, 2002)

$E_1 \sim 1$: “intermediate” states

– long rotating slow-moving strings $J_2 \gg J_1 \gg 1$

(Gubser, Klebanov, Polyakov, 2002; Beisert, Frolov, Staudacher, AT, 2003; Hofman, Maldacena, 2006; Dorey, 2006)

$E_1 \sim J$: anti-ferromagnetic state (and near-by spinons)

– long slowly-rotating string $J_1 = J_2$ (and fluctuations)

(Roiban, Tirziu, AT, 2006)

Different limits:

perturbative semiclassical string side: $\lambda \gg 1$, $\frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{fixed}$

perturbative gauge side: $\lambda \ll 1$, $J \gg 1$

still, in some cases few leading coefficients match exactly (for BMN and fast strings): susy protection

general pattern: strong-weak coupling interpolation

Example: single-spin (GKP) string at rest

$$E = J + f(\lambda) + O\left(\frac{1}{J}\right)$$

$$f(\lambda \rightarrow 0) = c_1 \lambda + c_2 \lambda^2 + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda \rightarrow \infty) = a_1 \sqrt{\lambda} + a_2 + \frac{a_3}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots$$

$$f(\lambda) = 2\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2}} \quad ?$$

as for two "giant" magnons (Hofman, Maldacena)

Low-energy states: fast 2-spin strings

perturbative string:

classical + quantum $\alpha' \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}$ corrections

first large λ or large J at fixed $\tilde{\lambda} \equiv \frac{\lambda}{J^2}$, then expand in $\tilde{\lambda}$

perturbative SYM:

first small λ , then expand in large J

get same structure and same coefficients at first two orders

(Frolov, AT, 2003; Beisert, Minahan, Staudacher, Zarembo, 2003)

interpolating function of λ starting from “3-loop” order

quantum string expansion near fast strings contains “non-analytic” terms with explicit factors of $\sqrt{\lambda}$ (Beisert, AT, 2005)

$$E = J \left[1 + \tilde{\lambda} \left(a_0 + \frac{a_1}{J} + \dots \right) + \tilde{\lambda}^2 \left(b_0 + \frac{b_1}{J} + \dots \right) + \tilde{\lambda}^3 \left(f(\lambda) + \dots \right) + \dots \right], \quad \tilde{\lambda} \equiv \frac{\lambda}{J^2}$$

interpolating function:

$$f(\lambda \gg 1) = c_0 + \frac{c_1}{\sqrt{\lambda}} + \dots, \quad f(\lambda \ll 1) = d_1 + d_2 \lambda + \dots, \quad c_0 \neq d_1$$

All-order Bethe ansatz

Strong indications of integrability of both string and gauge theory:

expect Bethe ansatz description of spectrum for any λ

$$e^{ip_k J} = \prod_{j \neq k}^M S(p_k, p_j; \lambda)$$

$$S(p_k, p_j; \lambda) = S_1(p_k, p_j; \lambda) e^{i\theta(p_k, p_j; \lambda)}$$

$$S_1 = \frac{u_k - u_j + i}{u_k - u_j - i}.$$

scattering of elementary excitations (magnons) with 1-d momenta p_j and rapidities u_j (Beisert, Dippel, Staudacher, 2004)

$$u_j = \frac{1}{2} \cot \frac{p_j}{2} \sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_j}{2}}$$

dispersion relation justified on supersymmetry grounds

(Beisert, 2005; Hofman, Maldacena, 2006)

S = phase shift due to magnon scattering (Staudacher, 2005)

Find p_j for bound states with $\sum_{k=1}^M p_k = 2\pi m$ (cyclicity of the trace)

Then energies of states:

$$E = \sum_{j=1}^M \left(\sqrt{1 + \frac{\lambda}{\pi^2} \sin^2 \frac{p_j}{2}} - 1 \right).$$

“Asymptotic ansatz” (BDS):

$J \rightarrow \infty$, up to λ^J order: $S = S_1$, $\theta \rightarrow 0$

But to match string theory results need non-trivial phase θ

(Arutyunov, Frolov, Staudacher, 2004)

θ – common to all sectors, structure fixed by symmetries (Beisert, 2005)

$$\theta(p', p; \lambda) = \sum_{r=2}^{\infty} \sum_{s=r+1}^{\infty} c_{rs}(\lambda) \left[q_s(p)q_r(p') - q_s(p')q_r(p) \right]$$

$$q_{r+1}(p) = \frac{2}{r} \sin \frac{rp}{2} \left(\frac{\sqrt{1 + 4\bar{\lambda} \sin^2 \frac{p}{2}} - 1}{\bar{\lambda} \sin \frac{p}{2}} \right)^r, \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2}$$

$$c_{rs}(\lambda) = \bar{\lambda}^{\frac{r+s-1}{2}} \left[\delta_{r,s-1} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}}} a_{rs} + \frac{1}{(\sqrt{\bar{\lambda}})^2} b_{rs} + \dots \right]$$

At small λ expect $c_{rs} \rightarrow \lambda^2 + \dots$

Matching to classical string: $(c_{rs})_{\lambda \rightarrow \infty} \rightarrow \lambda^{\frac{r+s-1}{2}} \delta_{r,s-1}$ (AFS)

$AdS_5 \times S^5$ superstring (Metsaev, AT, 98) \rightarrow 1-loop corrections to spinning string energies (Frolov, AT, 2003)

imply $a_{rs} \neq 0$ (Beisert, AT, 2005) \rightarrow

e.g., presence of interpolating functions in E_{string} starting with “3-loop” order

1-loop string results translate to (Hernandez, Lopez, 2006)

$$a_{rs} = \frac{4}{\pi} \frac{(r-1)(s-1)}{(r-1)^2 - (s-1)^2}$$

Consistent (Arutyunov, Frolov, 2006) with crossing (Janik, 2006)

Outstanding problem: compute θ , i.e. interpolating functions $c_{rs}(\lambda)$

From some basic principles (crossing,...)?

Compute directly from string theory ?

String sigma model: suggests to relate S to scattering matrix of integrable 2d field theory whose fundamental excitations correspond to spin chain magnons (Polchinski, Mann, 2005; Gromov, Kazakov, Sakai, Viera, 2006; Kloze, Zarembo, 2006)

But:

S = scattering of magnons with “non-relativistic” dispersion relation

identify and compute same object on string side

effective S-matrix of “positive-energy” parts of BMN-type string modes (Roiban, Tirziu, AT, 2006)

Key role played by non-relativistic “Landau-Lifshitz” type effective action

Effective field theory approach:

two microscopically consistent theories

– spin chain and superstring –

lower part of the spectrum approximated by low-energy 2d effective actions: slow modes at large J

lead to non-relativistic “Landau-Lifshitz” 2d action

(Kruczenski, 2003; Kruczenski, Ryzhov, AT, 2004)

$\lambda \gg 1$ to $\lambda \ll 1$ interpolation between “string” and “gauge” effective actions and corresponding “spin chains”

Coherent-state action for low-energy excitations of spin chain
(determined by $H =$ dilatation operator)

and “fast-string” limit of string action

\vec{n} – transverse position of string in S^3

or spin coherent state $U^\dagger \vec{\sigma} U = \vec{n}$, $\vec{n}^2 = 1$

Continuum limit:

Classical Landau-Lifshitz equations of motion

$$\partial_t n_i = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{\lambda} \epsilon_{ijk} n_j \partial_\sigma^2 n_k, \quad \tilde{\lambda} \equiv \frac{\lambda}{J^2}$$

describing lower part of spectrum with energies $\sim J \tilde{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{J}$

LL action beyond leading order

effective actions from gauge-theory spin chain and string theory:

$$S = \int dt \int_0^J d\sigma L, \quad \bar{\lambda} = \frac{\lambda}{(2\pi)^2}$$
$$L = \vec{C}(n) \cdot \partial_t \vec{n} - \frac{1}{4} \vec{n} \left(\sqrt{1 - \bar{\lambda} \partial_\sigma^2} - 1 \right) \vec{n} - \frac{3\bar{\lambda}^2}{128} (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^4$$
$$- \frac{\bar{\lambda}^3}{64} \left[-\frac{7}{4} (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^2 (\partial_\sigma^2 \vec{n})^2 + b(\lambda) (\partial_\sigma \vec{n} \partial_\sigma^2 \vec{n})^2 + c(\lambda) (\partial_\sigma \vec{n})^6 \right] + \dots$$

quadratic part is exact: reproduces the BMN dispersion relation for small (“magnon”) fluctuations near BPS vacuum $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$.

$$\text{cf. } \partial_t^2 - \partial_\sigma^2 + m^2 \rightarrow (i\partial_t - \sqrt{m^2 - \partial_\sigma^2})(-i\partial_t - \sqrt{m^2 - \partial_\sigma^2})$$

Orders $\bar{\lambda}$ and $\bar{\lambda}^2$:

agreement between two effective actions \rightarrow explains observed agreement of energies of states, integrable structures, etc.

“3-loop” coefficients in the string and gauge theory expressions are interpolating functions:

$$\lambda \gg 1: \quad b = -\frac{25}{2} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right), \quad c = \frac{13}{16} + O\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\right),$$
$$\lambda \ll 1: \quad b = -\frac{23}{2} + O(\lambda), \quad c = \frac{12}{16} + O(\lambda)$$

Field theory S-matrix for “magnons”

LL action in terms of complex scalar ϕ :

$$n_s = 2\sqrt{1 - z^2} z_s, \quad \phi \equiv z_1 + iz_2,$$

$$S = \int dt \int_0^J dx \left\{ \phi^* [i\partial_t - (\sqrt{1 - \bar{\lambda}\partial_x^2} - 1)]\phi - V(\phi, \phi^*) \right\}$$

$$V = V_4 + V_6 + \dots, \quad V_{2n} \sim \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \bar{\lambda}^k \partial_x^{2k} (\phi^* \phi)^n$$

$$\begin{aligned} V_4 &= \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{4} (\phi^{*2} \phi'^2 + c.c.) \\ &- \frac{\bar{\lambda}^2}{8} \left[\frac{1}{2} |\phi|^2 (\phi'''' \phi^* + c.c.) + 6 |\phi''|^2 |\phi|^2 - 3 |\phi'|^4 \right] + \dots \end{aligned}$$

generalizing 2-particle scattering in LL model (Kloze, Zarembo, 2006)

$$\langle kk' | \hat{S} | pp' \rangle = \langle kk' | T e^{-i \int dt dx V_4} | pp' \rangle.$$

$$\delta(\omega_p + \omega_{p'} - \omega_k - \omega_{k'}) \delta(p + p' - k - k') = K(p, p') \delta_+(p, p', k, k'),$$

$$\delta_+ \equiv \delta(p - k) \delta(p' - k') + \delta(p - k') \delta(p' - k),$$

$$K(p, p') = \frac{e(p) e(p')}{p e(p') - p' e(p)},$$

$$\omega_p = e(p) - 1, \quad e(p) \equiv \sqrt{1 + \bar{\lambda} p^2}$$

Bethe ansatz S-matrix vs LL effective action

$$p \rightarrow 0, \quad u(p) \rightarrow \frac{e(p)}{p} = \frac{1}{p} \sqrt{1 + \bar{\lambda} p^2}$$

$$S_{\text{BDS}} = \frac{u(p') - u(p) + i}{u(p') - u(p) - i} \rightarrow \tilde{S}_{\text{BDS}} = \frac{1 + \frac{ipp'}{pe(p') - p'e(p)}}{1 - \frac{ipp'}{pe(p') - p'e(p)}}$$

Tree level:

$$(\tilde{S}_{\text{BDS}})_{\text{tree}} = \frac{2ipp'}{pe(p') - p'e(p)}$$

Similarly:

$$(\tilde{S}_{\text{AFS}})_{\text{tree}} = \frac{2iF(p, p')}{p e(p') - p' e(p)},$$

$$F(p, p') = pp' + \frac{1}{2}[p e(p') - p' e(p)] \tilde{\theta}_{\text{AFS}}$$

$$= (pp' - \bar{\lambda}^{-1}[e(p) - 1][e(p') - 1]) \left[1 + \frac{1}{4}(\bar{\lambda}pp' - [e(p) - 1][e(p') - 1]) \right]$$

Detailed matching to LL effective field theory S-matrix with corresponding coefficients

In BDS case matching extends to quantum LL S-matrix

AFS S-matrix from string theory:

Comparing spin chain phase shift for magnons near **ferromagnetic** vacuum

should re-organize the string-theory side S-matrix for BMN-type modes into the S-matrix for an effective field theory of the positive-energy modes

classical string action on $R \times S^3$

$$ds^2 = -dt^2 + [d\alpha + C(n)]^2 + d\vec{n}d\vec{n}$$

in “uniform” gauge: $t = \tau$, $\tilde{\alpha} = \frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}}\sigma$, i.e. $p_\alpha = \frac{J}{\sqrt{\lambda}} = \text{const}$
(Kruczenski, Ryzhov, AT, 2004)

$$L = C_t - \sqrt{\left[1 - \frac{1}{4}(\partial_t \vec{n})^2\right] \left[1 + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{4}(\partial_x \vec{n})^2\right] + \frac{\bar{\lambda}}{16}(\partial_t \vec{n} \cdot \partial_x \vec{n})^2} .$$

Expanding near $\vec{n} = (0, 0, 1)$

$$L = i\phi^* \partial_t \phi - \frac{1}{2} \phi^* (\partial_t^2 - \bar{\lambda} \partial_x^2) \phi + \frac{1}{4} \left[\phi^{*2} (\dot{\phi}^2 - \bar{\lambda} \phi'^2) + c.c. \right] \\ + \frac{1}{8} \left(\dot{\phi}^{*2} - \bar{\lambda} \phi'^{*2} \right) \left(\dot{\phi}^2 - \bar{\lambda} \phi'^2 \right) + O(\phi^6)$$

“integrate out” negative-energy modes

Start with relativistic scalar field theory:

$$\partial_t^2 - \partial_x^2 + m^2 = -D_+ D_-$$

$$D_{\pm}(\partial) \equiv i\partial_t \mp e(i\partial_x), \quad e(i\partial_x) \equiv \sqrt{m^2 - \partial_x^2}$$

consider diagrams where only ϕ_+ and ϕ_+^* appear on external lines:

which field theory with 1-st order kinetic term D_- reproduces same

S-matrix: field redefinition

$$\hat{\phi}_{\pm} = \sqrt{D_{\mp}(\partial)} \phi_{\pm}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \hat{\phi}_+^* \left(i\partial_t - \sqrt{m^2 - \partial_x^2} \right) \hat{\phi}_+ \\ &- \frac{V_4(\partial^{(i)}) \hat{\phi}_+^*(z_1) \hat{\phi}_+^*(z_2) \hat{\phi}_+(z_3) \hat{\phi}_+(z_4)}{\sqrt{D_-(\partial^{(1)}) D_-(\partial^{(2)}) D_-(\partial^{(3)}) D_-(\partial^{(4)})}} \\ &+ \text{terms containing } \hat{\phi}_-, \hat{\phi}_-^* . \end{aligned}$$

Result is same as Bethe ansatz AFS one:

$$(S_{\text{string}}^{\text{SU}(2)})_{\text{tree}} = \frac{2iF(p, p')}{pe(p') - p'e(p)}$$

corresponding non-relativistic effective Lagrangian

$$L = \phi^* \left[i\partial_t - (\sqrt{1 - \bar{\lambda}\partial_x^2} - 1) \right] \phi - V_4(\phi) + O(\phi^6),$$

$$V_4 = \frac{1}{4} \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{e(i\partial_x)}} \phi^* \right)^2 \left[\left(\frac{e(i\partial_x) - 1}{\sqrt{e(i\partial_x)}} \phi \right)^2 \right. \right.$$

agrees with expansion of LL action

Some conclusions

- Matching between gauge and string states near and far from BPS limit
- Presence of non-analytic in λ terms in quantum string semiclassical expansion implies non-trivial interpolation functions in Bethe ansatz phase, string energies, LL action
- Landau-Lifshitz type action: low-energy effective action for relevant string/spin chain modes
- S-matrix in Bethe ansatz – effective S-matrix for positive energy part of string modes
- Direct confirmation of AFS phase
- Compute directly quantum string 1-loop S-matrix
- Find/Guess exact form of the phase?!