SENATE MEETING

THURSDAY, APRIL 28, 1966

3:30 P.M.

MURPHY HALL AUDITORIUM

The voting membership of the Senate totals 172 including the President and 171 elected members. For a quorum, a majority of the voting membership (87) must be present. Constitution changes require advance publication and 115 affirmative votes. By-Law changes require 87 affirmative votes. Other actions require only a simple majority of members present and voting. The members of the Administrative Committee are ex officio nonvoting members of the Senate.

All members of the faculty who hold regular appointment as defined in the Regulations Concerning Academic Tenure may be present at Senate meetings and are entitled to speak and to offer motions for Senate action, but may not vote.

Members of standing committees who are not faculty, including student members, may be present at a meeting of the Senate during such time as a report of their committee is under discussion and may participate in such discussion, but shall not have the privilege of making motions or of voting.

A special section will be provided for the seating of such faculty and such members of standing committees.

Provision has been made for the University News Service to send the Senate Docket to the news media in advance of each meeting and to arrange a news conference at the close of each meeting with the vice chairman and others he may designate.

ATTENDANCE RECORD

A roll of elected and ex officio members will be circulated during the meeting. Members will please check their names to indicate their presence. If the list misses you, please stop afterward to check your name. The roll, after adjournment, will be on the rostrum.

An attendance record for nonmembers will also be circulated and will be on the rostrum after the meeting.

As voted by the Senate, a summary of the attendance of members elected for the current academic year will be included in the June minutes.

NOT FOR RELEASE PRIOR TO THE SENATE MEETING

Year 1965-66 No. 5

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

THE SENATE

DOCKET

April 28, 1966

Your Committee on Business and Rules respectfully presents the following matters for consideration:

I. MINUTES OF MARCH 17, 1966
Reported for Action

II. SENATE COMMITTEES FOR 1965-66
Reported for Action

The President reports additional appointments as follows:

Reserve Officers' Training Corps: James M. Pierce, student, to replace Charles A. Taylor, student.

Administrative Committee: Add Stanley Sahlstrom, Director, University of Minnesota Technical Institute, Crookston.

III. NON-SENATE COMMITTEES AND BOARDS FOR 1965-66
Reported for Information

The President reports the following additions in committee membership:

ALL-UNIVERSITY COMMITTEES

University of Minnesota Staff Family Assistance Fund Committee: William L. Nunn, chairman, Gerard W. Frawley, James H. Hammill, Ethel Harrington, John C. Kidneigh, William T. S. Thorp, C. Arthur Williams.

IV. REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE
Reported for Information

1. *Proposed Budget Plan for 1966-67.* A special meeting of the Administrative Committee was called for the afternoon of Monday, March 21, 1966, for the primary purpose of considering the principles for the preparation of the 1966-67 general operations and maintenance budget of the University. A statement of budget data and a schedule of certain salary floors and fixed rates were distributed. The President, with the assistance of Vice President Lunden and his associates, elicited consideration of the facts and possibilities of budget preparation. He reported an earlier discussion with the Faculty Consultative Committee and noted an impending meeting with the Senate Committee on Faculty Welfare and the Group Insurance and Retirement Committee. He hoped to obtain approval of the principles of budgeting from the Regents at their April 15 meeting.

There was discussion of the available new resources for the year ahead, particularly those from Legislative appropriations and from the tuition of anticipated additional students. This brought about reference to the fast-changing enrollment prospects and to the procedures applicable to limitation of undergraduate attendance. The extent to which the Legislature had met our requests for faculty salary improvement funds and the degree to which improvement might be effected in both direct salary and fringe benefits (such as insurance and the retirement provision) were also topics of discussion.

Few changes were proposed in the faculty salary floors, but it was recommended that the minimum rate for instructors and research fellows be increased and that consistent increases be made in the compensation of teaching associate I and II, teaching assistant I and II, and research assistant. It was proposed that the class of teaching assistant III be eliminated. No change was recommended in the floor for assistant professor. There were suggestions from the administration concerning the extent to which funds derived from the indirect costs of research may be embedded in the printed budget and the extent to which full-time academic positions may be added on the basis of funds from contracts and grants. No change from existing policy was indicated.

Since the 1965 Legislature provided only 50 per cent of the funds necessary to finance step increases for merit in the civil service, it was hoped that in the 1966-67 year merit increases can be granted with the approval of the Regents, subject to the following conditions: (a) that departments delay filling open civil service positions at least 1 month after July 1, 1966, if at all possible; (b) that merit increases for eligible employees be on the basis of merit in fact and that marginal employees not be recommended for increases. There will be a relatively small amount of new money for supplies, expense, and equipment.

A consensus was reached, and there was agreement with the principles and proposals evolved. It was expected that completed budgets will need to be submitted by the deans no later than May 9.

2. *Recommendations of the Committee on University Honors.* Dean Morse, chairman of the Committee on University Honors, presented a nomination for the Outstanding Achievement Award and one for the Alumni Service Award. After discussion, approval was voted separately on these as recommendations to the Regents for action.

R. E. Summers, Secretary

V. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND RULES

Reported for Action

1. On the recommendation of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics, the following change in By-Laws is proposed.

PROPOSED CHANGE IN BY-LAWS, ARTICLE III, SECTION 7
(Additions underlined. Deletions in brackets)

7. Intercollegiate Athletics

a. There shall be a standing Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics composed of 17 voting members, with the [exception] exceptions noted in a(2) and d below, as follows:

(1) [Eleven faculty members, including the University conference representative] Nine faculty members from the Twin Cities Campus, including the University faculty representative, who are to be appointed by the President [of the University], subject to the approval of the Senate. [At least nine of these shall be from the Minneapolis-St. Paul Campuses.]

(2) One faculty member each from the Duluth and Morris Campuses with power to vote only on matters considered under subsection b(1).

[(2)] (3) Two alumni members to be [nominated] recommended by the board of directors of the Minnesota Alumni Association and appointed by the President of the University, subject to the approval of the Senate.

[(3)] (4) Two students, to be recommended by the student government and appointed by the President of the University, subject to the approval of the Senate.

[(4)] (5) Two members ex-officio, consisting of the vice president, business administration, and the director of intercollegiate athletics.

[b. The committee is responsible, under the authority of the Senate, for all matters of policy pertaining to intercollegiate athletics, and for all matters of eligibility of student athletes, of scheduling intercollegiate contests, of awards, or policies concerning tickets to intercollegiate contests, and of the pricing of such tickets.]

b. The committee is responsible under authority of the Senate:

(1) For all matters of broad institutional policy pertaining to intercollegiate athletics in accordance with the provisions of Section 2, Article III of the Senate Constitution.

(2) For all policy matters, and for supervision and implementation of policy, on intercollegiate athletics on the Twin Cities Campus, including all matters of eligibility of student athletes, scheduling of intercollegiate contests, awards, policies concerning tickets to intercollegiate contests, and pricing of such tickets.

Specifically excluded from the committee's responsibilities are the approval of budgets and recommendations pertaining to appointment of the director of intercollegiate athletics, coaches, and administrative staff.

c. The control and supervision of the sale of tickets, the depositing of funds, the care of funds, the financial reporting of games, and the accounting of [all] athletic funds shall be vested in the office of the vice president, business administration.

d. Faculty control over intercollegiate athletics shall be exercised on each campus in accordance with the principles set forth in subsection b(2) above and implemented by a committee in which appointed members of the faculty are in the majority. Any conference faculty representative shall serve as a member of such a committee on each campus. The director of intercollegiate athletics on each campus shall serve as executive secretary of the local committee and be entitled to vote on all matters excepting [matters of] eligibility of student athletes. He shall be in general charge of and responsible for the detailed administration of intercollegiate athletics on his campus, subject to the supervision and approval of the [Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics] local committee.

e. Each campus is entitled to separate membership in intercollegiate athletic associations and conferences and hence to a separate vote without influence of the other campuses. Because of the autonomy of the athletic programs of the separate campuses, the relations between athletic associations or conferences and their individual members on one campus shall have no effect on members on other campuses of the University.

2. It is recommended that the action of the Senate of November 17, 1949, regarding the intercollegiate athletic program of the Duluth Campus be rescinded.

E. D. MONACHESI, Chairman

VI. REPORT OF THE TENURE ADVISORY COMMITTEE

Reported for Action

Proposed are two additions to the interpretations section of the *Regulations Concerning Faculty Tenure,* and the replacement of the present section 7 by a new section 7. The Senate is requested to make a recommendation to the Regents for approval.

The proposed new interpretations aimed at the resolution of certain questions which have been raised are as follows:

1. An appointment in the University, whether involving tenure or not, is a single and indivisible contract not subject to change or variation except by mutual agreement of the faculty member and the University. For instance, a faculty member on a full-time appointment is not free to reduce his appointment unilaterally to a percentage of full time, nor is the University free to do so without his consent except upon expiration of a non-tenure appointment and pursuant to the notice requirements. Similarly, an individual is not free to abandon unilaterally one segment of his commitment; if he is on a joint appointment in two academic units, he cannot unilaterally abandon his assignment in one academic unit and undertake a full assignment in the other without the consent of the University, just as the University cannot make such a change without his consent during the period of his appointment. Neither may a faculty member, without the consent of the University, insist on serving for only part of an academic year in order to accept a position elsewhere. Just as the University must honor a faculty member's appointment for its entire period, so a faculty member who does not voluntarily terminate his appointment before the beginning of the academic year is subject to an implied obligation to complete the full academic year for which he was appointed unless the University consents to a shorter period.

2. A faculty member may accrue credit toward tenure under sections 5 and 6 when on assignment to serve the University in an off-campus location. The faculty member would be considered on assignment to serve the University when serving on a University-sponsored project off campus, for example, an institutional co-operative development program with a foreign university. On the other hand, he would not receive credit toward tenure when on leave to serve another institution or agency, or to do graduate or other study aimed at professional advancement.

The present section 7 of the *Regulations Concerning Faculty Tenure* deals with the completion of graduate studies by instructors, and provides a mechanism for the extension of appointments beyond the maximum period of service at that rank (7 years) in order to enable the student to complete his graduate studies. There are presently ambiguities with respect to the handling of questions relating to the tenure rights of these individuals. Under the existing regulations such individuals can hold regular appointments and acquire credit toward tenure if their appointments are for two-thirds time or more. Section 7 then becomes applicable when the individual concerned has held the appointment for 6 years, and is beginning the seventh year, and has not completed the requirements for the degree. It is possible, however, under item 6, Interpretations and Procedures (of the same regulations), for an instructor to be transferred to a nonregular appointment anytime during the first 6 years at that rank, and thus circumvent the procedures outlined under section 7 of the regulations. This leads in practice to differential treatment of instructors who are also graduate students in that if the transfer to a nonregular position is elected the individual concerned can hold such an appointment for an indefinite period of time, whereas if the petitioning procedure under section 7 is followed, there is a specific limit on the number of years an individual can hold appointment as an instructor. This ambiguity in the regulations is implicit in them but has only created difficulty in the administration of the regulations as there has come about a change in the nature of the instructor appointment. It seems likely that when the regulations were first formulated the number of graduate students holding appointments as instructor were few in number and that the position of instructor was then the "first rung" on the academic ladder, in a sense in which it no longer is. Most departments now use the rank of instructor to employ advanced graduate students whom they are generally not intending to seek to retain as permanent members of the department. Present section 7 follows:

Completion of Graduate Studies by Instructors. An Instructor who is pursuing graduate studies either here or elsewhere is expected to make normal progress toward his degree or other objectives. It is the duty of each dean and department chairman or other appropriate administrative officer to keep themselves informed concerning the progress being made in graduate work by each Instructor under their supervision.

Any Instructor who, at the beginning of his last year at that rank, foresees that he will not be able to complete his work for a graduate degree during that year, may petition the dean of his college for re-employment beyond that year to enable him to complete his graduate studies. Each such petition shall be considered by a committee composed of the dean of the college concerned, the chairman of the department, if any, the chairman of the Judicial Committee of the Senate, and the Dean of the Graduate School. If the committee agrees unanimously that the case is meritorius, it shall so report in writing to the President. Thereupon the dean of the college concerned may, at his discretion, give the Instructor an appointment for the following year or part thereof at the non-regular rank of Instructor BX or Instructor AX. There shall be not more than three extensions of employment at a non-regular rank to permit any person to complete his graduate studies, and the total extension shall in no case exceed three academic years. In no case shall any extensions be construed to create any moral or other claim to indefinite tenure, nor are additional tenure credits acquired during this period of special service.

It is recommended that the present section 7 of the regulations be replaced with the following:

Graduate Studies by Instructors. Instructors who are pursuing graduate studies may hold only nonregular appointments. Instructors who are not pursuing graduate studies may hold regular appointments, subject to the regulations outlined in section 6. Should an Instructor on a regular appointment elect to pursue graduate studies, transfer to a nonregular appointment for the period of graduate study is required. As indicated in section 3 (c), such a transfer would not impair any rights which had been acquired prior to the transfer to the nonregular position.

WILLIAM G. SHEPHERD, Chairman

VII. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON STUDENT AFFAIRS

Reported for Action

During the process of recognition of a student organization, the Committee on Student Affairs requires the group seeking recognition to submit a list of officers and a membership list. The Student Activities Bureau subsequently renews these requests each year and group membership lists are kept on file at the bureau. During this academic year a discussion arose over release of information from these lists. In response to queries from the Minnesota Student Association, the dean of students described the administrative procedure used by the Office of the Dean of Students in releasing information about students, and the discretionary policy under which final decisions on release of information about students are made by the dean of students.

After a review of the matter at its meeting of March 4 the committee adopted the following resolution:

"The Senate Committee on Student Affairs expresses its disapproval with the current Office of the Dean of Students' policy regarding the release of student information as it is interpreted to apply to group membership. The Committee on Student Affairs finds the present policy to be ineffective as a guarantee that the fact of group membership will not be released to persons who may misconstrue the meaning of such membership.

"PRINCIPLE: The Committee on Student Affairs feels that the student is in the best position to judge to whom information regarding group membership should be released. At a minimum, no group membership information should be released if the student wishes such information withheld. Such a minimal policy is necessary to uphold the philosophy of the Committee on Student Affairs that group activities are positively beneficial to the educational process and should be stimulated by all reasonable means. The Committee on Student Affairs strongly urges the immediate implementation of this principle by the Office of the Dean of Students and asks that this principle be affirmed by the Senate as a declaration of faculty-student sentiment."

EDWIN EMERY, Chairman

(There will be a pause in the proceedings to permit the seating of the non-Senate members of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics for the discussion of their report.)

VIII. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON INTERCOLLEGIATE ATHLETICS

Reported for Information

1. Approved Schedule

BASKETBALL 1966-67

December 1	Kansas State—at Manhattan
3	Houston
5	Iowa State—at Ames
6	Drake
17	Ohio University
20	Marquette University—at Milwaukee
27-28-29	Far West Classic—at Portland
January 3	Loyola
7	Ohio State
9	Indiana—at Bloomington
14	Purdue
21	Ohio State—at Columbus
28	Purdue—at Lafayette
February 4	Indiana
11	Illinois
14	Wisconsin—at Madison
18	Michigan State—at East Lansing
21	Iowa
25	Michigan
27	Illinois—at Urbana
March 4	Northwestern—at Evanston
6	Michigan State

2. Approved Correction in Schedule

WRESTLING 1965-66

March 19	State College of Iowa (add Southwest Missouri and Southern Illinois)—at Cedar Falls

A. L. VAUGHAN, Chairman

(There will be a pause in the proceedings to permit the withdrawal of non-Senate committee members.)

IX. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON FACULTY WELFARE

1. Reported for Action

Faculty Travel Expenses to Professional Meetings

On June 3, 1965, this committee reported to the Senate that it had urged the Association of Land Grant Colleges, the Association of American Colleges, the American Association of Universities, and the American Association of University Professors to prepare statements of policy on reimbursement of faculty travel expense to professional meetings. These associations were provided with a copy of the policy statement emphasizing the importance of this benefit which was adopted by this Senate on December 10, 1964. The committee believed that such a statement should prove helpful to public university administrators in requesting funds for this purpose.

Although all of the associations contacted gave the matter serious consideration and acknowledged the importance of this fringe benefit, none was willing to issue a policy statement for a variety of reasons. Basically the associations argue that (1) faculty travel should not be accorded preferential treatment over other expense items, (2) priorities as to expense items vary among institutions, and (3) such a statement would probably not produce any additional funds for state universities.

Nevertheless, this item remains one of major faculty concern. The committee believes that the best approach at the present time is for the University to increase its "supplies, expense, and equipment" request to include some allowance for faculty travel. It makes this recommendation with the specific understanding that it does not view this addition to the supplies, expense, and equipment budget as an alternative to any part of the salary improvements whcih are so sorely needed in the current competitive environment.

Although it is too early to suggest firm guidelines for the distribution of these funds, the preliminary thinking of the committee may be of some assistance in making the legislative request. The committee believes that the University should with these funds pay part of the expenses incurred by a faculty member attending one domestic professional meeting each year. The University should pay a specified proportion of the expenses in excess of some stated amount. This formula would indicate to the Legislature that the University intends to require the faculty member to make some investment in the trip. It would also enable the fund to support more long-distance trips. The committee further believes that in distributing these funds preference should be given to those faculty members without alternative travel funds. Although the committee agrees that the initial request for legislative support should be modest, it believes that a reasonable long-range goal is an average amount of $100 per full-time faculty member.

The Senate is requested at this time to endorse only the suggestion that the University increase its supplies, expense, and equipment budget to include additional funds for faculty travel.

2. Reported for Information

Waiver of Tuition and Tuition Exchange Plans

At its December 10, 1964 meeting the Senate accepted the recommendation of this committee that it indicate to the President its strong interest in having the University participate in a reciprocal tuition plan with other state universities. Under this plan participating institutions would agree that children of faculty members at any of these institutions would always be treated as residents for the purpose of determining tuition and fees.

President Wilson has explored this concept with other members of the Big Ten and with the University of California. Although a few of these universities expressed initial interest in such a program, only the State University of Iowa has indicated any continuing interest in an immediate program. The committee has urged the President to continue his negotiations with the State University of Iowa in the belief that if these two universities start such a plan, others will be attracted at some later date. The committee has also discovered that five of the six state universities in New England already have a reciprocal tuition plan.

C. A. WILLIAMS, JR., Chairman

X. FACULTY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE MEMBERSHIP

Reported for Information

The clerk of the Senate reports that the following have been duly elected to the Faculty Consultative Committee for the terms indicated, beginning July 1, 1966:

3-year term (1966-69), David K. Berninghausen, William P. Martin
1-year term (1966-67), Alexander C. Hodson

XI. NEW BUSINESS

XII. NECROLOGY

ARNOLD M. FOKER
1886-1965

Arnold M. Foker, 78, assistant professor emeritus of the Northwest School and Experiment Station, University of Minnesota, Crookston, died on February 18, 1965.

Mr. Foker was born May 17, 1886 in Minneapolis. He taught at the Warren, Minnesota, High School from 1907 to 1909. After leaving the Warren High School, he accepted a position in the Alexandria, Minnesota, high school where he taught industrial arts for eight years. In 1917, he accepted the position as instructor at the Northwest School of Agriculture and Experiment Station. A few years later, he became head of the department of agricultural engineering and the superintendent of buildings and grounds, positions which he held until his retirement in June 1954.

Survivors include his wife, Emma, of 813 Thorndale Avenue, Crookston; daughters, Mrs. William (Dorothy) Montague of Crookston and Mrs. Arne (Helen) Rydland of Minneapolis; son, Robert (Bud) of Appleton, Wisconsin; thirteen grandchildren; brother, O. C. Foker of Minneapolis, and sister, Mrs. Rollin Tyler of Rio Vista, California.

THOMAS M. McCALL
1887-1965

Thomas M. McCall, 77, former superintendent and professor emeritus of the Northwest School and Experiment Station, University of Minnesota, Crookston, died on March 21, 1965.

Mr. McCall was born December 25, 1887 at McCallsburg, Iowa. In 1910 he was graduated from the Iowa State College where he majored in horticulture and forestry. After serving at his alma mater briefly as an assistant in entomology and instructor in horticulture, he joined the staff at the Northwest School and Experiment Station, Crookston, in 1911. He was on sabbatical leave in 1929-30 to return to Iowa State College, Ames, to earn his master of science degree.

In May 1937, Mr. McCall was named superintendent of the Northwest School and Experiment Station, a post he held until his retirement in June 1956. McCall Hall, a dormitory on the school campus, was named in his honor.

Survivors include his wife, Blanche, of 224 South Minnesota, Crookston; daughter, Mrs. Allen (Barbara) Hughes, San Diego, California; sons, Donald, North Hollywood, California, and Robert, New Shrewsbury, New Jersey; and six grandchildren. A sister, Mrs. G. R. Bishop of Runnells, Iowa, also survives.

TRUE EDWARD PETTENGILL
1904-1966

True Edward Pettingill, University recorder and clerk of the Senate since 1941, died February 24, 1966, following a short illness. He is survived by his wife, Lucile, a son, Robert P. of St. Louis, Missouri, and two grandchildren.

Mr. Pettingill was born November 4, 1904, in Detroit, Michigan. He received his bachelor of arts degree from the College of the City of Detroit (now Wayne University) in 1926, and his master of science degree from the University of Minnesota in 1930. He did additional graduate work at the University of Detroit and the University of Michigan and from 1926 to 1928 was an instructor in mathematics at the University of Detroit's College of Engineering.

He first came to the University of Minnesota in 1928-1929 as an administrative fellow in the Registrar's Office, followed by 1 year as research assistant at the University of Michigan's Bureau of Appointments and Occupational Information. Named assistant registrar at the University of Minnesota in 1930, Mr. Pettingill continued in that capacity until 1941, when he became recorder. He was also acting director of admissions and records from 1941 to 1945.

He became clerk of the University Senate on October 16, 1941, and served extensively on numerous Senate and other University committees.

He was a member of Phi Delta Kappa and an officer and member of several committees of the American Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officers (AACRAO). He was a member and at one time president of the Upper Midwest Association of Collegiate Registrars and Admissions Officers and was an associate editor for *College and University*, the AACRAO quarterly publication.

His deep personal commitment to helping students remained steadfast, even while his administrative duties increased and the University enrollment swelled. The fast-changing and complex responsibilities of the recorder's work provided a challenge which he zealously accepted.

Through his special competence and devotion to duty, his close relationship with officers of other colleges and universities, and his particular interest in serving the students of this University, True E. Pettengill made a unique place for himself in Minnesota higher education.

THE UNIVERSITY SENATE

DOCKET SUPPLEMENT - APRIL 28, 1966

I. Report of the Committee on Student Affairs

Material supplemental to the report of the Committee printed in the docket:

1. OFFICE OF THE DEAN OF STUDENTS
University of Minnesota

September 13, 1965

MEMORANDUM

To: Vice President Stanley J. Wenberg

From: E. G. Williamson

Re: Proposed Policy on Release of Student Information

Administrative Procedures
Used by ODS in Releasing Information
About Students

The following administrative procedures have been drafted by the Office of the Dean of Students concerning requests for release of information about students:

1) The Dean of Students, in consultation with his professional staff, shall have the authority to make decisions concerning the release of information about students available in the various bureaus of his office.

2) Consultations between staff within the Office of the Dean of Students and other University and staff members when pertinent to University objectives or interests are indicated.

3) Other colleges and universities, governmental agencies, parents, and prospective employers are recognized as having rights to information about University students. However, the release of such information shall be determined by a member of the professional staff.

4) Unpublished information about former students from records more than four years after his last registration in the University shall not be disclosed.

5) Information about currently registered or former students may be disclosed upon proper authorization.

6) Decisions of the Dean of Students made relative to the release of information are subject to review by the Vice President of Educational Relationships and Development.

ADMINISTRATIVE
APPROVAL _____ DATE _____
Vice President for Educational
Relationships and Development

(Over)

2. Mr. Walt Bachman, President
Minnesota Student Association
213 Coffman Union
University of Minnesota

Dear Walt:

As one who survived the (evil) McCarthy era, I understand the apprehensions that you and others have expressed about the possible distorted use of student records. Professionally and personally I have committed myself and my staff to safeguard information we collect about students to protect them from irresponsible use of such information.

But you recognize that public participation in activities is a privilege granted to students with few restrictions. The University is thus involved in a kind of sponsorship of these activities.

I hold that the mere request by a student that we do not release information concerning his public participation in University activities, without reason or supporting justification for the request, disregards and minimizes the University's public responsibilities. The University must cooperate with the legitimate agencies within our society, whether they be other educational institutions, government agencies, or prospective employers. Therefore, the decision to withhold information needs to be tempered by an understanding of the many obligations of the University, as well as in the interests of the student involved. Additional factors entering into the judgment concerning whether to release student information is the nature and competence of the inquiring agency and the purpose for which the information would be used.

Moreover, an additional factor must enter into our decision whether to release information. Sometimes we are invaded by those students and non-students who take advantage of the freedoms of activities and speech that our students enjoy on campus. The University cannot be continuously exploited without regard to its public responsibilities.

In light of all these aspects of an admittedly perplexing problem of individual freedom and societal responsibility, I am willing to appraise any written requests from individual students that certain information about them not be released by my office. But the request must be supported by relevant and valid reasons. With these relevant in hand, my staff will evaluate each request in light of the University's responsibility to report information in response to legitimate inquiries.

If in my appraisal of relevant and supporting information I do not judge the student's reasons are sufficient to justify the withholding of activity information to legitimate agencies, I will inform the student and he may appeal my decision to my superiors.

I understand that not all MSA Assembly members have had an opportunity to read the administrative approved policy stating explicit guidelines on the basis of release of information about students. I am attaching a copy for each member of the Assembly.

Cordially yours,
/s/
E. G. Williamson
Dean of Students

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

THE SENATE

DOCKET SUPPLEMENT NO. 2

April 28, 1966

I. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND RULES

Reported for Action

Consistent with the establishment of an additional college in the University, the following change in By-Laws is proposed:

PROPOSED CHANGE IN BY-LAWS, ARTICLE I, SECTION 1

Correct name of Mayo Graduate School of Medicine and add the College of Biological Sciences:

.....(13) Technology, (14) Mayo Foundation, (15) Morris, and (16) Biological Sciences.

E. D. Monachesi, Chairman