

# SENATE MEETING

THURSDAY, MARCH 9, 1961

3:30 P.M.

## MURPHY HALL AUDITORIUM

The membership of the Senate totals 170 including 130 elected members and 40 ex officio members (32 Administrative Committee and 8 Faculty Consultative Committee). For a quorum, a majority of the membership (86) must be present. Constitution changes require advance publication and 114 affirmative votes. By-Law changes require 86 affirmative votes. Other actions require only a simple majority of members present and voting.

Faculty members entitled to vote for Senate members may be present at Senate meetings but shall not be entitled to vote or make motions. Such faculty may, at their request and with the approval of the Senate, be given the privilege to speak on matters under consideration in which they have an interest.

Members of standing committees who are not members of the Senate, including student members, may be present at a meeting of the Senate during such time as a report of their committee is under discussion and may participate in such discussion, but shall not have the privilege of making motions or of voting.

A special section will be provided for the seating of such faculty and such members of standing committees.

### ATTENDANCE RECORD

A roll of elected and ex officio members will be circulated during the meeting. Members will please check their names to indicate their presence. If the list misses you please stop afterward to check your name. The roll, after adjournment, will be on the rostrum.

An attendance record for nonmembers will also be circulated and will be on the rostrum after the meeting.

*As voted by the Senate, a summary of the attendance of members elected for the current academic year will be included in the June minutes.*

Year 1960-61

No. 5

## UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA THE SENATE DOCKET

March 9, 1961

Your Committee on Business and Rules respectfully presents the following matters for consideration:

### I. MINUTES OF FEBRUARY 2, 1961

Reported for Action

### II. SENATE COMMITTEES FOR 1960-61

Reported for Action

The President reports the following additional appointment:  
*Student Scholastic Standing:* Richard Fitzgerald to replace Edward Bade.

### III. NONSENATE COMMITTEES AND BOARDS FOR 1960-61

Reported for Information

The President reports the following additional appointment:  
*Memorial Fund Committee, University of Minnesota:* Mrs. H. L. Hayes to replace Mrs. F. W. Hansen.

### IV. STUDENT ORGANIZATION STAFF REPRESENTATIVES OR ADVISERS FOR 1960-61

Reported for Information

The President reports the following additional appointment:  
*Minnesota Student Association, Staff Representatives:* Clarke A. Chambers to replace Kenneth E. Clark.

### V. REPORT OF THE ADMINISTRATIVE COMMITTEE

Reported for Information

1. *Recommendations of the Committee on University Honors.* The Administrative Committee voted its approval of naming a group of rooms in the medical research area the "Ralph T. Knight Anesthesiology Research Laboratory." This is the laboratory for which the Regents had authorized solicitation of funds.

There was discussion of the approvals which are necessary, in relation to the manner in which a building or building area is designated, and it was remarked that the naming of buildings does not necessarily come before the Administrative Committee. The Regents may take direct action, on recommendation of the Committee on University Honors. Consensus in the Administrative Committee nonetheless favored discussion in this committee because of substantive benefits to be derived. It was suggested that the deans should keep their faculties apprised of the values of procedures set up and of the gains in public relations which result from carrying recommendations through the normal committee channels.

Another recommendation of the Committee on University Honors was the awarding of an outstanding achievement award. It was moved, seconded, and voted to approve granting of this award.

2. *Co-ordination in Representations Made to the Minnesota Congressional Delegation.* Members of the University staff many times have reason to contact the Minnesota Congressional Delegation for a variety of purposes. President Wilson suggested that he would be grateful if there could be some co-ordination of requests and that requests for funds, especially, would be expedited if this were done. Discussion indicated that such a procedure would frequently broaden the approach made to our representatives in Washington and that the impact of our requests could sometimes be increased, as through presidential endorsement. It was agreed that this co-ordination should be effected through Vice President Wenberg.

3. *Policy on the Holding of Classes During Inauguration Ceremonies.* Because of the ceremonies for the inauguration of President Wilson, there will not be the usual Charter Day Convocation, fourth hour, on February 23, 1961. The University Senate (in its meeting of February 2, 1961) had therefore voted to amend the University Calendar so as not to provide for the excusal of classes on that day. It was understood in the Administrative Committee that all classes would meet as usual on February 23, 1961. Certain announcements were made concerning the inauguration and it was asked that members of the staff beyond those participating in the procession and ceremonies be encouraged to attend.

4. *Study of the University Calendar.* A member of the committee remarked that the President had earlier suggested the desirability of studying possible advantages of a special trimester calendar and asked what steps should be undertaken to implement calendar revision. Various individuals and existing campus agencies were named as possibly being helpful. The President might have in mind to appoint a special study committee. The educational and curricular implications of a major calendar change are of primary importance, and discussion in the several different faculties should relate to any plan or proposal, it was remarked, since facilitation of staff work and maximum utilization of instructional facilities are the purposes. Bearing in mind the points brought out in this meeting, Vice President Willey will discuss with the President the campus agencies which might be called on to brief this body or to initiate proposals or plans for investigation and discussion.

5. *Progress Report on Legislative Hearings.* The President reported briefly on the subcommittee hearings that have been scheduled and discussed Legislative attitudes and questions as he had encountered them.

R. E. SUMMERS, Secretary

### VI. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON BUSINESS AND RULES

1. Reported for Information

1. *Earlier Docket.* In response to a request from Professor Lippincott, the call date for docket material has been set by the Business and Rules Committee 2 days earlier than in the past to permit faculty receipt of the docket on the Friday before the meeting instead of on Monday. Co-operation in observing the earlier deadline for docket copy is urgently requested.

2. *Supplemental Docket and New Business.* Attention is called to item (6), page 9 of the *Constitution and By-Laws*, which reads as follows:

(6) (b) Items of new business presented at a Senate meeting by individual members shall be referred to this committee for consideration and presentation at the next regular meeting of the Senate, but such business may be considered and voted on at the meeting at which it is introduced by a majority vote of the members of the Senate present and voting on a proposal for immediate consideration.

It was voted by the Business and Rules Committee that this section should be activated beginning at the next Senate meeting.

3. *AAUP Recommendations.* It is the opinion of the committee that the AAUP resolutions 1, 2, and 3 (*Senate Minutes*, November 3, 1960) are adequately provided for in the constitutional changes being proposed by the Business and Rules Committee and/or the Committee on Senate Committees. The committee considers the AAUP resolution 4 to be unnecessary and unwise.

## 2. Reported for Consideration

*Proposed Change in Composition of the Senate*

The following proposal is submitted for consideration. It will be placed on the docket for action at the next meeting. The proposal provides for a change in the composition of the Senate from elected representatives to membership that would include all professors and associate professors with elected representatives only from the assistant professor and instructor group. The proposed amendments to accomplish this change follow:

I. The Senate Constitution, Article III, Section 1, reads as follows:  
Article III. University Senate

## 1. Composition

The University Senate shall be composed of (a) elected representatives of the faculties of the various institutes, colleges, schools of collegiate rank, and the Mayo Foundation, and (b) the members of the University Administrative Committee and the Faculty Consultative Committee, who shall serve ex officio as regular members of the Senate. Each member of the Senate shall represent the faculty and the University as a whole, as well as his own unit.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article III. University Senate

## 1. Composition

The University Senate shall be composed of the President, Vice Presidents, deans, professors, and associate professors; elected representatives of other ranks of the various institutes, colleges, and schools of collegiate rank as specified in Article III, Section 4; and such other members of the University staff as the Senate may elect.

II. The Senate Constitution, Article III, Section 4, reads as follows:  
Article III. University Senate

## 4. Election of Senate Members

(a) The elected members of the Senate shall be chosen by secret ballot by the faculties of the several institutes, colleges, or schools of collegiate rank as follows: The regular members of each faculty who are professors or associate professors shall jointly elect from their rank (professors and associate professors) one Senate member for each ten of their regular members or fraction of that number holding such rank. The regular members of each faculty who are assistant professors (including research associates) or instructors (including research fellows) shall jointly elect from their ranks one Senate member for each forty of their regular members or fraction of that number holding such rank. The provisions hereof shall not apply to the election of the representatives of the Mayo Foundation.

(b) For the purpose of electing representatives to the Senate, the members of each faculty holding the rank of instructor (including research fellow) and above shall be eligible to vote, providing that they hold regular appointment as defined in the Regulations Concerning Academic Tenure (as revised and re-adopted by the Regents July 11, 1952). The provisions hereof shall not apply to the election of the representatives of the Mayo Foundation.

(c) The Mayo Foundation shall be entitled to four elected representatives. Three of them shall be elected by faculty members who are professors or associate professors, and one by faculty members who are assistant professors (including research associates) and instructors (including research fellows). Each group shall elect as its representatives persons belonging to it. All representatives shall be elected by secret ballot.

(d) The Committee on Business and Rules shall determine the status and faculty membership of any academic staff member not regularly attached to an existing faculty for purposes of voting for members of and serving as a representative in the Senate.

(e) Annual elections to the Senate shall be held no later than the 20th day of October. Faculty members elected to the Senate shall serve for one year and/or until their successors shall be elected and qualified. They shall be eligible for re-election.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article III. University Senate

## 4. Elected Senate Members

(a) The elected members of the Senate shall be chosen by secret ballot by the faculties of the several institutes, colleges, or schools of collegiate rank as follows: The members of each faculty who are assistant professors (including research associates), instructors (including research fellows), shall jointly elect from their ranks one Senate member for each forty of their members or fraction of that number holding such rank.

(b) Annual elections of Senate members shall be held no later than three weeks before the first fall meeting of the Senate. Faculty members elected to the Senate shall serve for one year and/or until their successors shall be elected and qualified.

III. The Senate Constitution, Article III, Section 6, reads as follows:  
Article III. University Senate

## 6. Senate Agenda and Minutes

The agenda of each Senate meeting shall be distributed in advance to all Senate members and to all faculty members entitled to vote for Senate members. The minutes of Senate meetings shall also be distributed to all such Senate and faculty members. Matters under Senate jurisdiction, including proposed amendments to this constitution or by-laws, may be submitted by any Senate committee or Senate member or petition signed by any twenty voting members of the faculties, to the Committee on Business and Rules for placing on the agenda of the Senate.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article III. University Senate

## 6. Senate Agenda and Minutes

The agenda of each Senate meeting shall be distributed in advance to all faculty members. The minutes of Senate meetings shall also be distributed to all faculty members. Matters under Senate jurisdiction, including proposed amendments to this constitution or by-laws, may be submitted by any Senate committee or Senate member to the Committee on Business and Rules for placing on the agenda of the Senate.

IV. The Senate Constitution, Article III, Section 7, reads as follows:  
Article III. University Senate

## 7. Senate Meetings—Call—Quorum

The Senate shall hold regular meetings at least twice in each quarter of the academic year, at a time and place determined by the President. Special meetings of the Senate may be held upon the call of the President or upon the written request of ten members of the Senate or of twenty voting members of the faculties. At any regular or special meeting of the Senate, a majority of the membership of the Senate shall constitute a quorum. Faculty members entitled to vote for Senate members may be present at Senate meetings but shall not be entitled to vote or make motions. Such faculty may, at their request and with the approval of the Senate, be given the privilege to speak on matters under consideration in which they have an interest.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article III. University Senate

## 7. Senate Meetings—Call—Quorum

The Senate shall hold regular meetings at least twice in each quarter of the academic year, at a time and place determined by the President. Special meetings of the Senate may be held upon the call of the President or upon the written request of ten members of the Senate or of twenty voting members of the faculties. At any regular or special meeting of the Senate, seventy-five members shall constitute a quorum. Faculty members entitled to vote for Senate members may be present at Senate meetings, but shall not be entitled to vote or make motions. Such faculty may, at their request and with the approval of the Senate, be given the privilege to speak on matters under consideration in which they have an interest.

V. The Senate Constitution, Article V, Section 2, reads as follows:  
Article V. Special Standing Committees of the Senate

## 2. Faculty Consultative Committee—Composition

There shall be a Faculty Consultative Committee as a standing committee of the Senate. This committee shall be composed of seven elected members, and if no member is elected from either the St. Paul Campus or the Duluth Campus, the elected members shall appoint one for each of the foregoing not represented by an elected member. No person shall be either an elected or appointed member who is not a qualified voter for Senate members at the time of his election or appointment or who is a member of the University Administrative Committee. Elected members shall serve for terms of three years. Appointed members shall serve for terms of one year. Only those faculty members who hold regular appointment at the rank of professor or associate professor may be nominated for membership on or may vote for members of the Faculty Consultative Committee. The Committee shall represent the faculty at large and not individual institutes, colleges, schools, or departments of the University.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article V. Special Standing Committees of the Senate

## 2. Faculty Consultative Committee—Composition

There shall be a Faculty Consultative Committee as a standing committee of the Senate. This committee shall be composed of seven elected members, and if no member is elected from either the St. Paul Campus or the Duluth Campus, the elected members shall appoint one for each of the foregoing not represented by an elected member. No person shall be either an elected or appointed member who is not a Senate member at the time of his election or appointment or who is a member of the University Administrative Committee. Elected members shall serve for terms of three years. Appointed members shall serve for terms of one year. Only those faculty members who hold regular appointments at the rank of professor or associate professor may be nominated for membership on or may vote for members of the Faculty Consultative Committee. The Committee shall represent the faculty at large and not individual institutes, colleges, schools, or departments of the University.

VI. The Senate Constitution, Article IX, Section 1, reads as follows:  
Article IX. Amending Procedure

## 1. Adoption of Amendments

Amendments to this constitution shall be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of all members of the Senate, at a regular or special meeting, provided the proposed amendment has been submitted, in writing, to each member of the Senate and to each faculty member entitled to vote for members of the Senate at least ten days prior to the date of the vote on the approval of the proposed amendment. Such amendments shall then be submitted in writing to those entitled to vote for members of the Senate and unless disapproved by at least one-third of such members within thirty days by written vote, shall be submitted to the Regents for approval.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

## Article IX. Amending Procedure

## 1. Adoption of Amendments

Amendments to this constitution shall be approved by a two-thirds majority vote of all members of the Senate present at a regular or special meeting, provided the proposed amendment has been submitted, in writing, to each member of the Senate and to each faculty member entitled to vote for members of the Senate at least ten days prior to the date of the vote on the approval of the proposed amendment. Such amendments shall then be submitted in writing to all members of the Senate and, unless disapproved by at least one-third of such members within thirty days by written vote, shall be submitted to the Regents for approval.

VII. The Senate Constitution, Article XI, reads as follows:  
Article XI. Adoption of By-Laws

The Senate may enact, amend, or repeal by-laws by majority vote of all members of the Senate.

PROPOSED, That this article be amended to read:

## Article XI. Adoption of By-Laws

The Senate may enact, amend, or repeal by-laws by a two-thirds vote of all members of the Senate present at a regular or special meeting.

VIII. The Senate By-Laws, Article I, reads as follows:  
Article I. Senate Membership, Elections, and Officers

1. For the purpose of electing members to the Senate, qualified faculty members shall vote as members within each of the following units of the University: (1) Agriculture, (2) Business Administration, (3) Veterinary Medicine, (4) Dentistry, (5) Duluth, (6) Education, (7) Extension Division, (8) General College, (9) Law, (10) Medical Sciences, (11) Pharmacy, (12) Physical Education for Men, (14) Science, Literature, and the Arts, (15) Technology, and (16) Mayo Foundation.

2. For the purpose of direct representation of the instructional and research interests of the Graduate School, the Executive Committee of the Graduate School shall designate each year from among the faculty members elected to the Senate as provided in Article III, Section 4, of the Constitution of the Senate, seven such members to serve as representatives of the Graduate School in the Senate, concurrently with their representation of the unit from which they have been elected.

3. (a) Each fall before October 10, the Clerk of the Senate shall prepare for each unit listed in Section 1 of this Article a list of all persons in that unit who, as of September 30, are entitled to vote for Senate members, and shall send the appropriate number of copies thereof to the administrative head of each unit. This list shall indicate the rank of each person named thereon so far as necessary to indicate his voting group within his unit.

(b) Between October 10 and October 20, each unit listed in Section 1 of this article shall conduct elections for Senate members. In each unit one group

consisting of professors and associate professors shall choose the representatives to which it is entitled. The other group, consisting of assistant professors (including research associates) and instructors (including research fellows) shall choose the representatives to which it is entitled. Each unit shall establish its own procedures for conducting its elections. The results of the elections shall be mailed to the Clerk of the Senate not later than October 23.

- (c) The names of all Senate members shall then be listed in the agenda of the first Senate meeting of the fall quarter and shall be distributed, as provided in Article III, Section 6, of the Constitution of the Senate, not later than November 5.
4. Vacancies in the Senate caused by death, resignation, or separation from the University may be filled, at the option of the faculty of the administrative unit, by special election on the part of the relevant group within the faculty concerned, or by designation on the part of the members of the Senate currently representing that faculty.
  5. Any person eligible to vote for members of the Senate under the provisions of Section 4 of Article III of the Senate Constitution, but not attached to any voting unit designated in Section 1 of this Article, may apply in writing to the Senate Committee on Business and Rules for a determination of his status for voting for members of the Senate. It shall be the duty of the Committee on Business and Rules to classify such a staff member with one of the voting units specified in Article I, Section 1, of these by-laws in view of factors which the Committee on Business and Rules considers to be relevant to such classification.
  6. The Senate shall review the provisions of Article III, Section 4 (a), of the Constitution of the Senate at the end of five years following the effective date of the Constitution, in order to determine whether changes should be made in the formula utilized in the election of members of the Senate.
  7. The Clerk of the Senate shall be the custodian of its records, shall circulate the agenda and minutes of Senate meetings as directed by the Committee on Business and Rules, and shall perform such additional functions as shall be assigned to him in the by-laws or by the President.
  8. It shall be a function of the Clerk of the Senate to obtain after convenient intervals but at least once annually from the University Office of Insurance and Retirement or other official sources a complete list of those faculty members of any professorial rank who held tenure, whether they were active or retired, who have died during the preceding interval. The Clerk of the Senate shall request the departments or units of the University in which the deceased faculty members served to prepare suitable memorial statements and send them to their respective deans to be forwarded by the deans to the Clerk of the Senate. At convenient intervals the Clerk shall include such memorials in the agenda of the Senate for adoption by it, and shall supply copies of the same to the President.

PROPOSED, That this article be amended to read as follows:

- Article I. Senate Membership, Elections, and Officers
1. For the purpose of electing elected members to the Senate, qualified faculty members shall vote as members within each of the following units of the University: (1) Agriculture, (2) Business Administration, (3) Veterinary Medicine, (4) Dentistry, (5) Duluth, (6) Education, (7) Extension Division, (8) General College, (9) Law, (10) Medical Sciences, (11) Pharmacy, (12) Physical Education for Men, (13) Science, Literature, and the Arts, and R.O.T.C., (14) Technology, (15) Mayo Foundation, and (16) Morris.
  2. (a) Each fall at least five weeks before the first regular meeting of the Senate, the dean or administrative head of each unit listed in Article I, Section 1, shall prepare a list of all persons in that unit who are entitled to vote for elected members of the Senate, and shall inform them of the election procedure.
    - (b) Prior to the third week before the first meeting of the Senate for the fall quarter, each unit listed in Article I, Section 1, shall conduct election for selected Senate members. Each unit shall establish its own procedures for conducting the elections. The results of the elections shall be submitted immediately to the Clerk of the Senate.
    - (c) The names of all elected Senate members shall then be listed in the agenda of the first Senate meeting of the fall quarter.
  3. Vacancies in the elected membership of the Senate caused by death, resignation, or separation from the University may be filled, at the option of the faculty of the administrative unit, by special election on the part of the relevant group within the faculty concerned, or by designation on the part of the members of the Senate currently representing that faculty.
  4. The Clerk of the Senate shall be the custodian of its records, shall circulate the agenda and minutes of Senate meetings as directed by the Committee on Business and Rules, and shall perform such additional functions as shall be assigned to him in the by-laws or by the President.
  5. It shall be a function of the Clerk of the Senate to obtain after convenient intervals but at least once annually from the University Office of Insurance and Retirement or other official sources a complete list of those faculty members of any professorial rank, whether they were active or retired, who have died during the preceding interval. The Clerk of the Senate shall request the departments or units of the University in which the deceased faculty members served to prepare suitable memorial statements and send them to their respective deans to be forwarded by the deans to the Clerk of the Senate. At convenient intervals the Clerk shall include such memorials in the agenda of the Senate for adoption by it, and shall supply copies of the same to the President.

IX. PROPOSED, That the following Senate action be rescinded:

Voted that the Clerk of the Senate include in the June minutes a summary of the attendance of members elected for the current academic year. (*Senate Minutes*, March 12, 1959).

ELIO D. MONACHESI, Chairman

#### VII. REPORT OF THE FACULTY CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE

##### Reported for Information

The Faculty Consultative Committee has elected Herbert G. Heneman, Jr., to fill the unexpired term of Walter Heller.

W. M. MEYERS, Chairman

(There will be a pause in the proceedings to permit the seating of the non-Senate members of the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics for the discussion of its report.)

#### VIII. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON INTERCOLLEGIATE

##### ATHLETICS

##### 1. Reported for Information

##### 1. Approved Schedules

##### Baseball 1961

March 20*	University of Texas at Austin
March 20*	Saint Mary's University at San Antonio
March 21*	University of Texas at Austin
March 21*	Fort Sam Houston at San Antonio
March 22	Texas A. & M. University at College Station
March 23	Texas A. & M. University at College Station
March 24	University of Houston at Houston
March 25	University of Houston at Houston
March 31	Iowa State University at Ames, 2 games
April 1	Iowa State University at Ames, 2 games
April 7	South Dakota State University, 2 games
April 8	Luther College, 2 games
April 14	Iowa Teacher's College at Cedar Falls
April 15	Iowa Teacher's College at Cedar Falls, 2 games
April 21	University of Michigan at Ann Arbor
April 22	Michigan State University at East Lansing, 2 games
April 28	Indiana University
April 29	Ohio State University, 2 games
May 5	Northwestern University at Evanston
May 6	University of Wisconsin at Madison, 2 games
May 12	Purdue University
May 13	University of Illinois, 2 games
May 19	State University of Iowa at Iowa City
May 20	State University of Iowa at Iowa City, 2 games

\* On March 20 and 21 the squad will be split.

##### Tennis 1961

March 19	Athens Tennis Club at Athens, Georgia*
March 20	University of Georgia at Athens, Georgia
March 21	Presbyterian College at Clinton, S.C.
March 22	Presbyterian College at Clinton, S.C.
March 23	Clemson College at Clemson, S.C.
April 8	Iowa State University at Ames
April 12	Carleton College
April 15	Alumni
April 21, 22	University of Illinois, Ohio State University, and University of Wisconsin, all at Urbana
April 28, 29	State University of Iowa, Purdue University, and University of Notre Dame, all at Iowa City
May 5, 6	Northwestern University, Michigan State University, and State University of Iowa, all at Evanston
May 12, 13	Indiana University, State University of Iowa, and University of Michigan
May 18, 19, 20	Conference matches at East Lansing
June 19 to 25	N.C.A.A. matches at Ames

\* Exhibition, participating as unattached players.

##### 2. Participation in the Rose Bowl Game, January 2, 1961

Pursuant to action of the Senate on November 22, 1960, the University accepted an invitation from the Athletic Association of Western Universities to play against the University of Washington in the Rose Bowl football game. The members of the Senate Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics were invited to attend, as representatives of the University, the activities associated with the Tournament of Roses. The committee reports the following brief summary of pertinent observations.

**Organization.** The President appointed an *ad hoc* committee under the chairmanship of Vice President Wenberg to co-ordinate matters concerned with the University's participation in the Tournament of Roses. The committee sought and received assistance from many individuals whose competence in certain areas was most helpful.

Inasmuch as no contract was in existence when the invitation to participate was extended and accepted, an agreement which covered the details of participation in the game was subsequently executed. The terms of this agreement were patterned essentially after those covered in contracts between participating organizations in previous years.

**Tickets.** Ticket allocation to the University of Minnesota as the visiting team and to the conference schools was 16,783. Of this number, 12,122 were available for sale in response to applications received. Allocations were made by the ticket subcommittee through assignment of priority groups. University students and staff eligible to purchase season athletic tickets were given first priorities with the privilege of purchasing tickets at half the public sales price. All applications (3,851) from these two groups were accepted but 35.9 per cent of the reservations were either not claimed in Los Angeles or confiscated because of lack of identification of the claimants. Among other priority groups the following percentages of applications were filled: benefactors, 100 per cent; "M" men, 64.4 per cent; passengers on the alumni train, 100 per cent; other alumni, 24.3 per cent; public, 41.8 per cent. (A total of 5,930 orders could not be filled.)

Mr. Ryman, business manager of the Athletic Department and his staff accomplished a tremendous job on short notice under difficult conditions.

**Student Rose Bowl Train.** The Transportation Committee under the chairmanship of Mr. E. L. Haislet and a subcommittee on Student Transportation headed by Dean E. G. Williamson, with the co-operation of the Minnesota Student Association, arranged for a student train. Cost per student for round-trip railroad fare, hotel accommodations in the Biltmore and Alexandria Hotels in Los Angeles and for necessary transportation to Los Angeles was \$159.25. There were 534 students involved in part or all of the trip. Several sightseeing trips were made available to students, many of whom thus had an opportunity to see places of interest which would not have been visited otherwise.

Dean Williamson reported that it was the consensus of staff advisers on the train that in general student behavior was very good and that the trip was a success.

*Band.* The band traveled on a special band train. They were housed at Occidental College in Los Angeles where dining facilities and a practice field were available. The band marched in the Tournament of Roses Parade; it played before, after, and at halftime of the game in splendid performances which received much favorable comment.

*The Football Squad.* The team members left Minneapolis on December 17 and returned on January 5, traveling by air both ways. They were quartered in the Huntington Hotel in Pasadena and practiced at Los Angeles Junior College. Opportunities were provided for sightseeing and entertainment of the squad consistent with the requirements of preparation for the game. From several sources it was reported to members of this committee that the squad conducted themselves in exemplary fashion, bringing much credit to themselves and to the University.

The committee could find no evidence that the events leading to participation in the Rose Bowl game had an adverse effect on the scholastic work of the members of the football squad during the fall quarter of 1960. Three grades of F and 6 grades of I were reported for some 60 men who practiced regularly during the football season.

*The Football Game.* The game was played under ideal weather conditions before about 98,000 spectators. The management of the game and the conduct of the spectators were excellent in every respect. A splendid team from the University of Washington won the game after a hard-fought contest, 17 to 7. There were no injuries among the players.

*Cost of Participation.* Upon inquiry it has been reported that (1) as of February 13 the final figures on the University's share from gate receipts and on all expenses were not available; (2) a careful estimate reveals that expenditures and anticipated income will be closely matched.

*General Comment.* The committee has been greatly impressed with the high caliber and excellence of all aspects of the Tournament of Roses. This event is sponsored by the Tournament of Roses Association, a civic nonprofit organization whose sole objective is the management of the annual Tournament of Roses. While a long-established and successful event of the magnitude of the Tournament of Roses attracts many visitors and brings much revenue into the area, evidence of commercial exploitation of the event is certainly not overt, nor can the sponsors of the event be charged with exploitation of the participants in the game for commercial purposes. If, on other grounds, participation of an educational institution in the Rose Bowl game can be justified, the participants need not apologize for their support of this event or have reservations about its caliber, as it is now operated.

## 2. Reported for Consideration

This section of the report is submitted for consideration in order to afford the members of the Senate and their constituent faculty members ample time and opportunity for discussion and deliberation of the issues involved. The committee suggests that action on its recommendation could be appropriately taken at the meeting of April 27, 1961. In the meantime the members of the committee would be glad to discuss this matter with members of the Senate or faculties individually or in groups.

### *Participation of Members of the Intercollegiate Conference (Big Ten) in the Rose Bowl Game*

1. *Present Status.* Participation by Big Ten Universities in the Rose Bowl game is still permissible under Regulation II, Section 1, of the Conference Regulations which provides:

"Post-season competition in all sports is prohibited. Seasons in all sports, unless otherwise limited in these Regulations, shall close with the N.C.A.A. championship meet in each sport or Olympic trials, if later. *Nothing herein shall apply to the Rose Bowl game.*" (Italics added.)

The attempt last year, by Minnesota and 4 other universities, to have the permissive sentence deleted, failed by a 5 to 5 vote at the conference meeting on May 20, 1960, following consideration by all member universities under the White Resolution procedure. Each faculty representative received and is still under binding instructions as to his vote on this issue, and any further motion to delete this sentence from Regulation II will thus fail and not be subject to reconsideration unless and until 1 of the 5 schools that voted against deletion and in favor of participation changes the instructions to its faculty representative. The positions of the schools on this issue were canvassed by the Minnesota faculty representative last December (1960) pursuant to the Senate action of November 22, 1960 ". . . to instruct the Committee on Intercollegiate Athletics to continue to strive, as in the past, for removal of the permissive clause. . ." Each faculty representative stated that the position of his institution was firm and not likely to change in the immediate future. Consequently, Rose Bowl participation will remain permissible for all Big Ten schools for an indeterminate period.

The same 5 to 5 deadlock exists on the issue of whether a new inter-conference contract should be made with the Athletic Association of Western Universities governing Rose Bowl participation. Thus, unless one of the schools that voted against the contract changes its instructions to its faculty representative on this issue, a motion to authorize such contract will fail and not be reviewable by the schools under the White Resolution procedure.

2. *Implications.* As matters now stand, participation by conference members in the Rose Bowl game may continue for several years, without contract, and without restriction or regulatory supervision by the conference. It has been ascertained that a majority of the faculty representatives consider the present regulations highly undesirable and potentially detrimental to the purposes of the conference. Your committee shares this view. It has therefore carefully considered the advantages and disadvantages to the over-all educational and athletic objectives of the University which could accrue through either continued maintenance of our past opposition to a Rose Bowl contract or through a departure from this attitude.

Under the present conditions, the only practical *advantage of continued opposition to participation* in the Rose Bowl lies in the possibility that in the future one of the conference members which is now in favor of participation, may change its attitude and instruct its faculty representative to vote for removal of the permissive sentence. There is no assurance that this will come about or that Minnesota's continued opposition would promote it. It may be recalled that in March 1960 the faculty representatives voted 6 to 4 against the permissive sentence but that subsequent change in instructions by a conference member produced the current deadlock.

Moreover, so long as participation is permissible Minnesota's opposition would not preclude the possibility that our team would be invited and become a participant again; it would not preclude participation by other members of the conference; it would not lead to the abandonment of bowl games in general nor would it lessen the pressures for big-time football and for winning teams. More significantly, our opposition would make no tangible contribution to the improvement of educational standards, facilities, or achievements of the University; we find no evidence that our past participation, through sharing of gate receipts or as a contestant, had handicapped the University in progress toward this goal.

In contrast, your committee recognizes severe *disadvantages in participation without a contract.* Each member institution will be forced, when invited, to make an individual decision, perhaps repeatedly and in successive years, without concerted action by the conference or protection of a contractual agreement. These conditions were originally not anticipated or desired by the conference. Much more serious, in the view of the committee, is the impact which a continued stalemate on the Rose Bowl issue could have on unity within the conference and perhaps even on its existence. A cleavage within the conference could jeopardize the adoption of various proposals, for which Minnesota has been a leading proponent, designed, for instance, to increase and up-date the scholastic requirements for eligibility and for awards of financial aid to student-athletes; it could weaken our efforts to effect, through unified action of the conference, greater integration of educational and athletic aspects of intercollegiate athletic programs; it could make it more difficult to obtain consent for removal or modification of regulations which we believe to be unsound or obsolete. Through the years, much progress has been made in these directions by concerted action in the conference. For example, eligibility for the second and third years of competition has been made contingent on qualitative and quantitative progress toward a degree. To continue the development of sound programs of intercollegiate athletics in the conference, which conform to the dignity and mission of its members, the maintenance of the integrity of the conference and of unity within it are of paramount importance.

In this context it is proper to point out that the conference members share many interests other than those concerned with intercollegiate athletics. They serve as a focal point for studies on educational objectives and costs, enrollment trends, standards for admission, curriculums, and staff salaries, to mention a few, and for the promotion of common goals in education. There is no doubt that through co-operation the conference members have aided each other in attainment of individual and joint objectives. We cannot afford to lose the advantages of concerted action in athletic affairs.

In summary, the committee has concluded that under the present circumstances the disadvantages of permissive participation in the Rose Bowl without contract greatly outweigh any advantage which it can foresee from Minnesota's continued objection against a contract. Moreover, we believe that there is no merit in maintaining our past position, for the sake of consistency, in view of the disadvantages cited above. We conclude, therefore, that it is in the best interests of the University and the conference if Minnesota, through a firm commitment, now expresses its support for a contract as indicated below in our recommendation.

The *advantages of an interconference contract*, negotiated in terms essentially similar to those in the last expired contract, would be substantial. Under those terms the conference agreed to designate annually a conference team as its representative in the Rose Bowl game; no member was permitted to participate in successive years, nor was it under compulsion to accept the designation. The last contract was negotiated on a continuing basis, but subject to cancellation on 2 years' notice. To preclude a recurrence of the present situation, the committee believes that if a contract can be negotiated, the permissive sentence of Regulation II, Section 1 should then be amended by the conference, so that it will be in effect only so long as a contract is in force.

Under these conditions, if future developments should demonstrate that participation in the Rose Bowl is clearly not in the best interests of the conference, the contract and the permissive provision could be terminated simultaneously by majority vote in the conference.

The action which the committee recommends to the Senate, if adopted and successfully implemented by the conference, will dispose of the ob-



jectionable aspects of the present situation cited above. It would still permit our future opposition to Rose Bowl participation, if compelling evidence of harmful effects should develop.

The committee also recognizes and desires to emphasize that Minnesota's recent participation in the Rose Bowl game has aroused much favorable comment throughout the state, that it has received the support of large segments of the citizen-constituency whose interest in the University cannot be ignored if we wish to achieve our educational objectives. Moreover, the student body, through the Minnesota Student Association, have expressed themselves formally in favor of participation in the Rose Bowl game and for a revision of past policy of the University Senate in this matter. They contend "that participation in such an event in no way compromises the educational goals of an institution." This committee is convinced that scholastic distinction and athletic excellence are compatible, as demonstrated many times, here and elsewhere.

In the light of our experience with events leading to and including participation in the Rose Bowl game, and inasmuch as participation may remain permissible for some years, your committee submits the following recommendation.

#### RECOMMENDATION

That, while Rose Bowl participation remains permissible under Conference Regulations, the Senate approve and authorize the Minnesota faculty representative to vote for an interconference Rose Bowl contract between the Intercollegiate Conference and the Athletic Association of Western Universities if one can be obtained on terms satisfactory to the conference and substantially similar to the terms in the former contract with the former Pacific Coast Conference, that is continuing but terminable by either conference on 2 years' notice; on condition that if and when such contract is made and in force the last sentence of Regulation II, Section 1, of the Conference Regulations shall provide substantially as follows: "Nothing herein shall apply to the Rose Bowl so long as a contract with the Athletic Association of Western Universities remains in force."

M. O. SCHULTZE, Chairman

(There will be a pause in the proceedings to permit the withdrawal of non-Senate committee members.)

### IX. REPORT OF THE COMMITTEE ON SENATE COMMITTEES

#### 1. Reported for Action

##### Proposed Faculty Welfare Committee

Proposed that a standing Committee on Faculty Welfare be established by amending the By-Laws to add the following section to Article III:

#### 18. Faculty Welfare

There shall be a standing Committee on Faculty Welfare. It shall consist of not less than seven members, and shall include a representative of the President's office. Its function shall be to consider and review policies and procedures in the University which may concern the personal and professional welfare of the faculty.

#### 2. Reported for Consideration

##### Proposed Procedures for Appointment of Senate Committees

The following proposal is submitted for consideration. It will be placed on the docket for action at the next meeting. The proposal provides for an elected Committee on Senate Committees with the added responsibility to nominate faculty candidates for appointment to standing committees of the Senate. To accomplish this, the following amendments to the Constitution and By-Laws are proposed:

### I. The Senate Constitution, Article IV, Section 1, reads as follows:

#### Article IV. Composition of Senate Committees

##### 1. Appointment of Committee Members

All members of standing or special committees of the Senate shall be appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate, except as provided for in Article V, Section 2, of this constitution. Membership in the Senate shall not be prerequisite to such appointment.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

#### Article IV. Composition of Senate Committees

##### 1. Appointment of Committee Members

All members of standing or special committees of the Senate shall be appointed by the President and confirmed by the Senate, except as provided for in Article V, Sections 2 and 4, of this constitution. Membership in the Senate shall not be prerequisite to such appointment.

### II. The Senate Constitution, Article V, Section 4, reads as follows:

#### Article V. Special Standing Committees of the Senate

##### 4. Committee on Senate Committees

There shall be a standing Committee on Senate Committees consisting of five members. It shall review the number and scope of standing committees of the Senate and shall make appropriate recommendations thereon to the Senate but shall not have the power to appoint or recommend appointments to committees.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

#### Article V. Special Standing Committees of the Senate

##### 4. Committee on Senate Committees

There shall be a standing Committee on Senate Committees consisting of six elected members. It shall review the number and scope of standing committees of the Senate, shall make appropriate recommendations thereon to the Senate, and shall recommend to the President faculty candidates for appointment to standing committees of the Senate.

### III. The Senate By-Laws, Article III, Section 11, reads as follows:

#### Article III. Creation, Composition, and Functions of Standing Committees

##### 11. Senate Committees

There shall be a standing committee of the Senate which shall be known as the Committee on Senate Committees, with such membership and responsibilities as are set forth in Article V, Section 4, of the Constitution of the Senate.

PROPOSED, That this section be amended to read:

#### Article III. Creation, Composition, and Functions of Standing Committees

##### 11. Senate Committees

There shall be a standing Committee on Senate Committees, as provided in Article V, Section 4, of the Constitution of the Senate, elected by the Senate according to the following rules:

A. The Faculty Consultative Committee, in consultation with the President, shall nominate and certify as available twice as many candidates for the Committee on Senate Committees as are to be elected each year. These candidates shall be announced in the *Senate Docket* for the last regular Senate meeting of the academic year. Additional nominations, certified as available, may be made by the petition of 12 Senators, provided that the petition is in the hands of the Clerk of the Senate the day before the Senate meeting. At the last regular Senate meeting of the academic year, the Senate shall elect by secret ballot one-third of the members of the Committee on Senate Committees, those elected to serve for 3 years. In the case of a tie, the Clerk of the Senate shall choose the successful candidate by lot.\*

B. From the members of the Committee on Senate Committees, the President shall appoint a chairman to serve from July 1 to June 30, who may serve successive terms.

Duties. The Committee on Senate Committees shall review the number and scope of the standing committees of the Senate and shall make appropriate recommendations thereon to the Senate. It shall assist the President in his appointment of committees by furnishing him with a slate of twice the number of faculty members to be appointed to standing committees as are specified in the By-Laws for each standing committee, giving due consideration to geographical representation from the various collegiate campuses of the University when this is appropriate, to the number of Senate committees the faculty member is already serving, to the principle of rotation of such assignments, to the recommendations of the respective committee chairmen, and to availability to serve if appointed.

\* For the year 196—-196—, the same procedure will be followed with 12 candidates nominated and certified as available. The two receiving the highest number of votes shall serve for 3 years, the next two for 2 years, and the following two for 1 year. This footnote shall have no effect after the first election.

HORACE T. MORSE, Chairman

### X. REGENTS ACTION ON TENURE PROPOSAL

#### Reported for Information

The Board of Regents at its meeting on January 13, 1961, took the following action:

On the recommendation of the President, voted to amend Section 12 (b) of *Regulations Concerning Faculty Tenure* as follows:

#### Section 12 (b) of the code did read as follows:

Sec. 12 (b). *Suspension during proceedings.* Sole power to suspend rests with the President. The suspension may be with or without pay as the President determines to be in the best interests of the University. A person under suspension without pay pending proceedings for removal for cause continues to hold such rights as University insurance, retirement, hospitalization, and the like, unimpaired until the Board of Regents has made final disposition of his case. A suspension without pay, insofar as such special rights are concerned, is regarded as a leave of absence without salary, unless the person suspended is subsequently reinstated.

This should be amended by inserting the following sentence after the sentence which ends with "interests of the University."

Prior to making a decision with respect to such suspension, the President shall consult with an *ad hoc* committee of academic personnel of his own choosing, including at least one member of the Faculty Consultative Committee.

L. R. LUNDEN, Secretary

### XI. NEW BUSINESS

#### XII. NECROLOGY

#### ASHER N. CHRISTENSEN

1903-1961

Asher Norman Christensen, professor of political science, died in Minneapolis on January 19, 1961. He is survived by his wife, Allison.

Professor Christensen was born in Little Falls, Minnesota, on July 11, 1903. He received his B.A. degree from the University of Minnesota in 1925, and served as assistant registrar from 1925 to 1930. His graduate work was done at the University of Chicago in 1930-31, at the University of Madrid in 1931-32 where he was a Carnegie Fellow in International Law, and at the University of Minnesota in 1933-35. He began his 26 years of service on the faculty of his alma mater as an instructor in political science in 1935. His major fields of interest were American government and politics, social legislation, and Latin-American governments and international relations. His large introductory lecture course in American Government brought him into contact with hundreds of undergraduate students each year. He was co-editor of a widely used book of readings on the American governmental system entitled, "The People, Politics, and the Politician" (1941). In the Latin-American field he was one of the nation's leading and pioneering authorities. He edited a book of readings on *The Evolution of Latin American Governments* (1951), and contributed two chapters to a volume on *Government and Politics in Latin America* (1958). For 10 years he edited the "Government" section of the *Handbook of Latin American Studies*. He gained a first-hand knowledge of Latin America through serving as a visiting professor at the Universidad Nacional del Litoral in Argentina in 1942, as cultural attaché in the U.S. Embassy in Buenos Aires from 1942 to 1945, as visiting professor at the Universidad Central in Caracas, Venezuela, in 1947, and as a lecturer in other Central and South American universities. He was a frequent lecturer at American colleges and universities in this field. He was a professor at the Salzburg Seminar in American Studies in 1952, 1955, and 1957, and was Fulbright professor at the University College of Wales at Aberystwyth in 1954-55.

On the campus, Professor Christensen probably will be best remembered for his outstanding capacity as a teacher and as a friend and counselor of students. He was awarded a citation during SLA Week in 1958 as a "distinguished teacher." He was also known, respected, and loved by a wide circle of colleagues and friends in both the academic and civil service staffs of the University.

His influence as a teacher and scholar extended far beyond the campus. He was a favorite speaker before civic, church, and alumni groups and as a lecturer on both radio and television. He taught for many years in the evening class and correspondence study programs of the General Extension Division.

Professor Christensen will live long in the hearts and minds of all who were privileged to know and work with him.

WILLIAM FINDLAY GEDDES  
1896-1961

William Findlay Geddes, professor of agricultural biochemistry and head of the Department of Agricultural Biochemistry, died on January 7, 1961, in Mexico City where he had gone for a short vacation. He is survived by his wife, May, three daughters and a son.

Professor Geddes was born on August 18, 1896, in the small community of Manotick, Ontario, Canada. His early years were spent on his father's farms in Manotick and Kinburn, Ontario. He qualified for a B.S.A. degree at the University of Toronto in 1918 and then worked as chemist in a munitions factory in Quebec. In 1919 he joined the staff of the University of Manitoba as assistant professor of agricultural chemistry and rose through the ranks to become head of the department in 1925. During his association with the University of Manitoba he received, while on leaves of absence, the M.A. degree from the University of Toronto in 1925, and the M.S. and Ph.D. degrees from the University of Minnesota in 1928 and 1929, respectively. Leaving the University of Manitoba in 1933, he was appointed chief chemist, Grain Research Laboratory, Board of Grain Commissioners for Canada, in Winnipeg, which position he held until 1938 when he became professor of agricultural biochemistry at the University of Minnesota. Since 1944 he served as head of the Department of Agricultural Biochemistry.

All of Professor Geddes' activities were characterized by a deep scientific curiosity, by the great energy and unsparing drive which he devoted to his work, and by an intense, warm interest in people. Through these traits he achieved early and world-wide recognition for his professional work and made a large number of friends throughout the globe.

He was recognized as one of the outstanding authorities on cereal chemistry and food technology on which he published about 200 scientific papers and technical reports and many chapters in books. In recognition of his work he received many honors, among them the Coronation Medal of King George VI, in 1936; the Thomas Burr Osborne Medal in 1950, from the American Association of Cereal Chemists; and the Nicholas Appert Award in 1958 from the Institute of Food Technologists "for pre-eminence in and outstanding contributions to Food Technology." He was selected by the Chicago Section of the American Chemical Society in 1947 as one of the "Ten Ablest Agricultural and Food Chemists." Among the many contributions which he made to his profession were service as president of the American Association of Cereal Chemists, 1938-39; as editor of the journal, *Cereal Chemistry*, 1943 to 1961; as member of committees on Food Technology, on Food Protection, and on Cereals of the National Research Council (Washington); and as member of Advisory Boards on Quartermaster Problems. He was a member of many professional societies. He was invited to attend and speak at national and international meetings on cereal chemistry and food technology, the latest involving a journey to Glasgow, Scotland, in September 1960.

Professor Geddes gave distinguished service to the University of Minnesota as a teacher, adviser of many graduate students, administrator and member of many committees, and through his research and his contacts with industry and professional men throughout the world.

His untimely death is a grievous loss to the University, to his colleagues, to his profession, and to his many friends on whom he made an indelible impression.

I. DOCKET CORRECTION

Please delete item IV on the original DOCKET. Professor Chambers has asked to be excused from this assignment. No replacement has yet been named.

II. NON-SENATE COMMITTEES AND BOARDS FOR 1960-61  
Reported for Information

The President reports an additional appointment and change as follows:

Single-Quarter Leave Committee: John Neter  
Staff Welfare Fund Committee: Change in chairman from C. J. Watson to  
Will V. Myers

III. REPORT OF THE AD HOC COMMITTEE ON CONSOLIDATED FUND DRIVE

At its June 2, 1960 meeting the Senate approved for 1960-61 a consolidated drive for the United Fund and Voluntary Agencies on the Minneapolis Campus. The voluntary agencies for which contributions were to be sought, in addition to the United Fund, were American Cancer Society (Minneapolis Division), Minnesota Heart Association and National Foundation. The fund was conducted on that basis, except that the National Foundation chose not to participate.

In approving the proposal for 1960-61 the Senate authorized the President to appoint an ad hoc committee to study the operation of the fund drive, to consider the suggestions for inclusion of additional agencies invited on the pledge form, and to recommend to the Senate a proposed plan for handling the fund drive for 1961-62. President Wilson appointed the committee named below, and the committee added as consultants Dean Robert B. Howard, Dean Horace T. Morse, William O. Cook, the chairman of the consolidated drive in 1960 and Professor S. H. Popper, the associate chairman, who will be chairman of the 1961 drive.

RECOMMENDATIONS

After studying the operation of the 1960-61 consolidated drive the committee unanimously recommends to the Senate that the 1961-62 drive be handled in the same fashion in respect to the consolidated nature of the drive. The principal points are these:

1. There will be a single collection drive for University staff to be designated the Consolidated Drive for United Fund and Voluntary Agencies on the Minneapolis campus.
2. By a single solicitation, University staff members on the Minneapolis campus will be invited to make separate gifts or pledges to the United Fund for Hennepin County, and to the American Cancer Society (Minnesota Division) and Minnesota Heart Association, neither of which participate in the United Fund.
3. The United Fund and the two voluntary health agencies will be invited to supply reasonably limited information for distribution to the staff in connection with the drive.
4. The United Fund pledge will appear at the top of the pledge form to be followed by spaces for gifts or pledges to the two voluntary agencies "in addition" to the United Fund pledge, in the same manner as during 1960-61.

5. Following the space for pledges, the form will contain a space in which the staff member will be invited to suggest that consideration be given to inclusion of another agency or agencies in the single collection drive in future years.

6. Voluntary use of payroll deduction for payment will be available for gifts to the voluntary agencies as well as to the United Fund.

7. Another ad hoc committee will be appointed to consider the suggestions for inclusion of other agencies and to recommend to the Senate not later than the March 1962 meeting a proposed plan for handling the collection drive for 1962-63.

#### EXPLANATION OF RECOMMENDATIONS

The committee found general satisfaction with the consolidated drive as it was handled in 1960-61 and sees no reason for making any change.

The financial results were satisfactory. The total amount raised was \$60,762, distributed as follows:

United Fund	\$48,588
American Cancer Society (Minn. Div.)	6,564
Minnesota Heart Association	5,608

This compares with a total of \$47,050 raised in 1959-60 in the Community Chest and Red Cross drives. Approximately 3,000 staff members made contributions.

The suggestions for addition of other agencies were so few as indicate insufficient interest to justify the inclusion of any other voluntary agencies for the coming year. No other agency was suggested by as high as 1% of the contributors. We have, therefore, not recommended that any other agency be included in the drive for next year.

Sherwood O. Berg  
Bruce Drawford, Jr.  
Don G. Mosser  
William L. Nunn  
Frank F. Pieper  
Stanley J. Wenberg  
William B. Lockhart, Chairman