



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
TWIN CITIES

All University Senate Consultative Committee
151F Chemical Engineering
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455
Telephone (612) 373-2295

ALL UNIVERSITY SENATE CONSULTATIVE COMMITTEE
Thursday, May 6, 1982
1:00 - 3:00 p.m.
Room 608 Campus Club

AGENDA

1. Fix agenda
2. Minutes of April 29 (to be sent in advance if possible)
3. Report of the Chair
4. Report of the Student Chair
5. Committee reports
6. Old business
 - a. Committee on Committees will bring their Senate motions
 - b. Subcommittee on financial emergency
7. New business
- 2:30 a. Discussion with Vice President Keller on how undergraduate education, particularly the liberal education "floor" requirements for undergraduate degrees, will be overseen if the Committee on Liberal Education is disestablished.
8. Adjourn



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
TWIN CITIES

All University Senate Consultative Committee
220 Biological Sciences Center
1445 Gortner Avenue
St. Paul, Minnesota 55108
Telephone (612)373-3226

ALL-UNIVERSITY SENATE CONSULTATIVE MEETING
May 6, 1982
1:00 p.m.

The meeting of the All-University Senate Consultative Committee was convened by Chairman Douglas Pratt in Room 608, Coffman Union. Other members present: Robert Brasted, Nancy Brecht, Marcia Eaton, Dawn Fleming, John Howe, Dennis Kronebusch, Dave Lenander, Rick Linden, Marvin Mattson, Paul Quie, Donald Spring, Patricia Swan, Kit Wiseman. Visitors included Vice President Keller, Pam Coyle (Daily), and Maureen Smith.

1. Fix agenda-

The agenda was fixed as written.

2. Minutes of April 29-

The minutes were approved as written.

3. Report of the Chair-

Distributed- Report from the Budget Executive on the Library School with cover letter from Vice President Keller to Professor Pratt

Draft of the SCC Annual Report to the Senate

The results of the unit consultation survey were sent out 2 or 3 weeks ago. The responses of unit heads will be distributed. Responses from Management, Pharmacy, and Library have already been received.

The proposed policy revisions from the Committee on Human Subjects in Research has been forwarded to the Research Committee. The SCC will examine any changes recommended by the Research Committee, before it is brought before the Senate.

Robert Holt has expressed his continuing concern over the divestment policy submitted to the Finance Vice President and thence to the Regents by the Social Concerns Committee. He may make a motion on the floor of the Senate that the policy resolution currently under consideration by the Regents, which in fact differs from the resolution from Social Concerns, be submitted to the Committee on Faculty Affairs, since the investments covered by the policy may eventually include faculty retirement investments. The SCC may want to consider its own position on this subject.

4. Report of the Student Chair-

The Students' Annual Report has been submitted to Marilee Ward's office.

Kit Wiseman has written a letter to President Magrath protesting the absence of a student representative in the interviews of the final candidates for Vice President of Health Sciences.

Election of Twin Cities Campus Consultative Committee members is taking place today. Next week there will be a reception with the President.

5. Committee Reports-

a. Finance- (Swan) The Finance Committee's annual report will be submitted fall quarter. Professor Swan intends to propose that the Finance Committee submit a third interim report to the Senate. This report will first be directed to the SCC. The following are some items included in that report:

1. A summary of the oral report given at the last Senate meeting.
2. A summary of the '82-'83 budget plan which includes the total figures of the O & M budget and increases caused by the two salary increase plans implemented between this year's official budget and next year's official budget.
3. Work left to do- Complete an analysis of the relationships between college reductions and program priority statements and note any trends.
4. Report to the Senate that there are now four task forces created as a result of inter-college issues (on programs in composition, social work, special counseling for students, and information science).

6. Old Business-

a. Committee on Committee's motion to the Senate regarding the Committee on Liberal Education- Mr. Benson's amendments have been incorporated into the present form of the recommendation. The Committee asked for an indication from the SCC as to whether or not the SCC would endorse the motion.

Professor Howe recommended endorsement of the motion on the basis that it represented a constructive reallocation of tasks. Dave Lenander said he would rather not have the SCC take a position until a broader discussion of undergraduate education at the University of Minnesota could take place on the Senate floor. Professor Eaton said that though she could support the motion, she had questions about the comments which accompanied the motion. Professor Howe responded that approval would be only of the motion, not the comments. Professor Spring indicated his endorsement of the motion, explaining that the SCC originally gave this task of studying the future of CLE to the Committee on Committees and SCEP after having already agreed upon the ideas now specified in the amendment. Professor Swan suggested putting on record: 1. that the SCC will initiate conversation with the Vice President on the direction and development of Liberal Arts Education and 2. the SCC will request that the SCEP highlight aspects of liberal arts and undergraduate education in its annual report to the Senate. Dave Lenander suggested that when the motion is presented, Professor Pratt express some of the SCC's concerns about the subject. Professor Howe suggested as a friendly amendment that the SCC call upon SCEP to 1. remain sensitive to its mandate concerning undergraduate liberal arts issues and to its role as policy maker and 2. report to the Senate on policy issues.

After further discussion the original motion was combined with the friendly amendment to read: The SCC endorses the amendment to the Senate Constitution as proposed by the Committee on Committees and requests that the Senate Committee on Educational Policy report to the Senate during the coming year concerning ways in which it is incorporating into its domain issues regarding liberal arts and phases of undergraduate education which were formerly addressed by the Committee on Liberal Education. The motion carried without dissent.

b. Civil Service representation on Senate committees- will not be dealt with at the next Senate meeting because of complications arising from the fact that some civil service employees belong to collective bargaining units. The item has been withdrawn from the May 20 agenda.

c. Subcommittee on financial emergency- (Eaton) The subcommittee has decided to take its "imperfect" document to the Senate as a working document. Further work is needed to ensure that the language of the document is legally unambiguous.

7. New Business-

a. Annual Report to the Senate- (Pratt) Professor Pratt asked for any additions or changes to the annual report. After some discussion a motion was made to submit the report for information at the May 20 Senate meeting. The motion was carried without dissent.

b. Discussion with Vice President Keller about CLE- Professor Pratt outlined the nature of the amendment proposed by the Committee on Committees, reported SCC's endorsement of the amendment, and invited Vice President Keller to comment. Vice President Keller said that he agreed in principle to the SCC's endorsement of the Committee on Committee's motion. His only hesitation was in regard to the separation of the functions of policy making and implementation, which carried an inherent danger of one body forming policies which the other found to be unworkable. This danger, however, will be mitigated by the formation of a new position in the Vice President's office- an additional Assistant Vice President for Academic Affairs. This person will direct the Center for Educational Development, working in close touch with the Vice President for Student Affairs and the University College. Using both organizations (CED and University College) the Assistant Vice President will be able to both review the current curriculum and oversee experimentation with new curriculum. Professor Pratt asked what the relationship would be between the new Assistant Vice President and SCEP. Vice President Keller replied that the Asst. Vice President would confer with SCEP over policy issues. Professor Swan asked if that relationship with SCEP is made explicit in the Assistant Vice President's job description. Keller said he was not certain that it was, but agreed it would be good to have it explicitly stated. Professor Brasted asked who would be responsible for feeding information and recommendations to the Assistant Vice President. Keller suggested that a working subcommittee from SCEP could be assigned that function but welcomed other suggestions.

The meeting was adjourned at 3:00 p.m.

Respectfully submitted,

Allen Helmstetter