**TOTAL POSITIVITY AND THE STABILITY
OF INTERNAL WAVES IN STRATIFIED
FLUIDS OF FINITE DEPTH**

By

J.P. Albert

and

J.L. Bona

IMA Preprint Series # 553

August 1989

TOTAL POSITIVITY AND THE STABILITY OF INTERNAL WAVES IN STRATIFIED FLUIDS OF FINITE DEPTH^{*}

J.P. Albert[#] and J.L. Bona[†]

Solitary internal waves in stratified fluids of finite depth are investigated. Using a general criterion for the stability of travelling-wave solutions of one-dimensional, nonlinear long-wave models and ideas from the theory of totally positive operators, the stability of the solitary-wave solutions of the intermediate long-wave equation is demonstrated.

In the process of carrying out this program of research, new general results are obtained that bear upon the stability of solitary-wave solutions of a class of model equations of Korteweg-de Vries-type that govern approximately the evolution of small-amplitude long waves.

^{*} The research leading to this paper was partially supported by the National Science Foundation. The work was completed while both authors were in residence at the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications at the University of Minnesota.

[#] Department of Mathematics, University of Oklahoma, Norman, OK 73019.

[†] Department of Mathematics and the Applied Research Laboratory, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, PA 16802.

1. Introduction

The mathematically exact, nonlinear stability theory of solitary-wave solutions of model equations for long waves began with the appearance of Benjamin's paper on the Korteweg-de Vries and the Regularized Long-Wave equations in 1972. Benjamin's theory, which was influenced by old work of Boussinesq (1871, 1872, 1877) and modern ideas of Arnol'd, (1965, 1966) has seen terrific development and refinement in recent years. Not only have the proofs been simplified and the basic result sharpened, but also the range of applicability broadened. The present paper contributes mainly to the second theme of the elucidation of Benjamin's ideas by using the sharpest available set of abstract conditions that are known to imply stability together with a novel argument that relies upon the theory of totally positive operators to extend the class of model equations which are known to possess stable solitary waves.

The original observations of surface solitary waves in a channel by Scott-Russell (1845) left little doubt of their stability and persistence. Similar conclusions are warranted regarding internal solitary waves based on laboratory observations (Walker 1973) and to some extent various field studies (cf. Apel, Byrne, Proni & Charnell 1975, Farmer & Smith 1978, Hunkins & Fliegel 1978, Osborne & Burch 1980 and Sandstrom & Elliott 1984). However, it has come to light recently in the work of Bona, Souganidis and Strauss (1987) that solitary-wave solutions of model equations of Korteweg-de Vries type for small-amplitude, long waves that feature a balance between nonlinearity and dispersion need not be stable. Moreover, in some instances numerical simulations indicate that the instability manifests itself by the formation of a singularity in the solution (Bona, Dougalis & Karakashian, 1986, 1989). Because the alternative is known to occur, there is added interest in further studies regarding stability.

The particular physical situation to which the results to be derived presently apply is the propagation of internal waves in certain density-stratified fluids. Consider an incompressible, inviscid fluid trapped between two horizontal planes lying a positive distance h apart. Let x and z denote the horizontal coordinates and y the vertical coordinate in a standard Cartesian

frame. At rest, the fluid is supposed to possess a stable density stratification $\rho = \rho(y)$ which depends only upon the vertical coordinate. The effects of diffusion are ignored, this being a sensibly accurate assumption on the time scales of the typical wave motions to be considered. Because of the stratification, this system can support waves, and interest will be focussed on irrotational motions that propagate in the direction of the positive x -axis and which are independent of the other horizontal coordinate z . In this situation, the governing equations are the two-dimensional Euler equations together with the stipulation that the vertical velocity component is zero at the top and bottom boundaries.

In the configuration just described, solitary waves are known to exist under a variety of reasonable assumptions about the underlying density distribution ρ , and in both the case of a rigid upper boundary and where the upper boundary is free (see Amick 1984, Amick & Turner 1986, 1989, Benjamin 1966, Bona, Bose & Turner 1983, Bona & Sachs 1989, Turner 1981).

However, a stability theory based on the Euler equations is not yet available, even in this two-dimensional situation. Indeed, as remarked in Section 6 of Bona & Sachs (1989) the ideas that come to the fore in the present paper and its direct precursors appear inadequate to treat the question of stability of solitary-wave solutions of the Euler equations.

In certain special circumstances the Euler equations may be simplified on the basis of a rational approximation procedure, and model evolution equations derived. These model equations also possess travelling-wave solutions that approximate rather well a solitary-wave solution of the Euler equations in the regime of the model's formal validity. Depending upon the parameters of the problem, the appropriate model equation may be the Korteweg-de Vries equation (the KdV equation, see Benney 1966), the Benjamin-Ono equation (BO equation, see Benjamin, 1967), or one of the one-parameter family of Intermediate Long-Wave equations (ILW equation, see Joseph 1977 and Kubota, Ko & Dobbs 1978). For a lucid recounting of the parameter regimes that lead to the various models the reader may consult Redekopp (1983). It is

well understood that the ILW equations converge formally to the KdV or BO equation in certain extreme limits. The mathematical theory showing that this is so is contained in Albert, Bona & Henry (1987) and Abdelouhab, Bona, Felland & Saut (1989). The solitary-wave solutions of KdV are known to be stable (Benjamin 1972, Bona 1975) as are those of the BO equation (Bennett *et al.* 1983). Using these facts and their general theory of stability of solitary waves, Albert *et al.* (1987) demonstrated that solitary-wave solutions of the ILW equation are stable at least in the parameter range where the ILW dispersion relation is close to that of the KdV equation, or, alternatively, close to that of the BO equation. This theory left unanswered the question of whether or not solitary-wave solutions of all amplitudes for the entire range of ILW equations are stable, though considering the results in hand, it did seem safe to so conjecture. It is our purpose here to settle this issue.

The plan of the paper is as follows. The next section is devoted to briefly describing the notation that will be in force and to making a few preliminary remarks regarding general properties of equations such as the ILW evolution equations. In Section 3 we recall the existing theory of necessary conditions for stability of solitary waves. Section 4 is devoted to reducing most of the necessary conditions for stability specified by the theory to forms which are easily verified in the case of solitary-wave solutions of the ILW equation. In the process, methods from the theory of total positivity are brought to bear (see Karlin 1964, 1968). This sets the stage for the verification of the conditions for stability in Section 5, thus leading to the principal conclusion of the paper.

2. Notation and Preliminary Remarks

The notation in force throughout will be that which is currently standard in the theory of partial differential equations. In general, if B is any Banach space, the norm on B will be denoted $\| \cdot \|_B$, and if B is also a Hilbert space, the inner product of two elements $f, g \in B$ will be denoted $\langle f, g \rangle_B$. However, for Ω an open subset of Euclidean space, the norm in the L_2 -based Sobolev space $H^s(\Omega)$ will be abbreviated to simply $\| \cdot \|_s$. Similarly, the norm on the standard space $L_p(\Omega)$ will be written $\| \cdot \|_p$. Note that $L_2(\Omega)$ has two abbreviated notations in this scheme. (Usually, the underlying spatial domain to which these function classes are referred is the real line $\mathbf{R}$. When no specification is made, $\Omega = \mathbf{R}$ will be understood.) The inner product in $L_2(\Omega)$ of two functions f and g is written (f, g) .

The equations considered in this paper are of the form

$$(2.1) \quad u_t + u_x + (f(u))_x - (Mu)_x = 0$$

where $f: \mathbf{R} \rightarrow \mathbf{R}$ and M is defined as a Fourier multiplier operator by

$$\widehat{(Mg)}(k) = \alpha(k)\hat{g}(k)$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{R}$. (Here and in the sequel, circumflexes will be used to indicate Fourier transforms.) The symbol $\alpha(k)$ of M is assumed to be a measurable function satisfying the conditions

$$(2.2) \quad a|k|^{m_1} \leq \alpha(k) \leq b(1+|k|)^{m_2}$$

for all $k \in \mathbf{R}$, where $1 \leq m_1 \leq m_2$ and $a, b > 0$. The assumptions made here on the function f will be the same as those made in Bona *et al.* (1987). Namely, f is assumed to lie in $C^2(\mathbf{R})$ and in case $m_1 = 1$, the additional assumption is made that f and its first two derivatives grow at most like a polynomial at infinity. That is to say, there exists $r \in \mathbf{R}$ such that $|f(x)| \leq (1+|x|)^r$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, with similar estimates holding for f' and f'' . Without loss of generality it may be presumed that $f(0) = f'(0) = 0$.

Primary interest will be attached to the pure initial-value problem in which a solution $u = u(x, t)$ of (2.1) is sought for $(x, t) \in \mathbf{R} \times [0, T]$ such that it has a specified initial value $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. Here, the parameter T is positive, and if u extends as a solution of (2.1) to arbitrarily large values of t , we say it is a *global* solution. Otherwise, we refer to the solution as *local*.

In discussing the properties of equation (2.1), the linear space X composed of those $g \in L_2$ for which

$$\|g\|_X = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (1+\alpha(k)) |\hat{g}(k)|^2 dk \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty$$

arises naturally. Its dual X^* may be realized as the space of all tempered distributions T whose Fourier transform $\hat{T}$ is given by a measurable function for which

$$\|T\|_{X^*} = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{|\hat{T}(k)|^2}{1+\alpha(k)} dk \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} < +\infty.$$

The pairing between X and X^* will find use below; if $f \in X$ and $T \in X^*$, then we will write

$$\langle T, f \rangle \quad \text{for} \quad T(f).$$

Notice that if T happens to be given by an L_2 -function g , then as follows immediately from the concrete representation of X^* mentioned above and Plancherel's theorem, $\langle f, g \rangle = (f, g)$, the L_2 -inner product.

The local well-posedness of the initial-value problem for various forms of (2.1) has been studied by Kato (1983), Iorio (1986) and by Abdelouhab *et al.* (1988). For the purposes of this paper, the following result will suffice.

THEOREM 1. Suppose $s > 3/2$, let M be as described above with (2.2) in force, and assume that $f \in C^{s+1}(\mathbf{R})$. For each $u_0 \in H^s$ there exists $T^* > 0$ depending only on $\|u_0\|_s$ such that (2.1) has a unique solution u in $C([0, T^*]; H^s)$ with $u(\cdot, 0) = u_0$. For any $T < T^*$, the map that associates to u_0 in H^s the unique solution u in $C([0, T]; H^s)$ is continuous. For all $T < T^*$ and j such that $s - j(m_2 + 1) > -\frac{1}{2} - m_2$, it follows that $\partial_t^j u$ lies in $C([0, T]; H^{s-j(m_2+1)})$. Moreover, for $T < T^*$ the map that associates the solution u to the initial data u_0 is continuous from H^s to $\bigcap_j C^j([0, T]; H^{s-j(m_2+1)})$, where the intersection is taken over those j for which $s - j(m_2 + 1) > -\frac{1}{2} - m_2$.

Remark. In many special circumstances the initial-value problem for (2.1) is globally well posed, meaning that for each u_0 one may choose $T^* = +\infty$. A definitive theory for when this is so is not yet available, but the papers of Albert, Bona & Folland (1988) and Kato (1983) contain suggestive sufficient conditions which the numerical simulations of Bona *et al.* (1986) indicate are sharp.

3. Sufficient Conditions for Stability

In this section conditions are introduced that imply the stability of solitary-wave solutions of the model equation (2.1). These will be used in Sections 4 and 5 to prove stability of solitary-wave solutions of the ILW equation. The material in this section is not new, having appeared in various forms in the works of several authors (see Albert *et al.* 1987, Bona *et al.* 1987, Grillakis, Shatah & Strauss 1987 and Weinstein 1983, 1985, 1986). Consequently, the present discussion will be limited to stating a convenient form for the basic stability theorem, and providing some commentary about the proof.

To begin, define a *solitary-wave solution* of (2.1) to be a travelling wave of the form $u(x,t) = \varphi(x-Ct)$, where $\varphi \in X$, C is a positive real number larger than one, and the solitary-wave profile $\varphi = \varphi_C$ is then a solution of the equation

$$(3.1a) \quad M\varphi' + [(C-1)-f'(\varphi)]\varphi' = 0.$$

Integrating (3.1a) once, and imposing zero boundary conditions at infinity, we see that φ also satisfies the equation

$$(3.1b) \quad M\varphi + (C-1)\varphi + f(\varphi) = 0.$$

Originally, one interprets (3.1) to hold in the sense of distributions, but provided f is a C^∞ function, a simple boot-strap argument shows that if $\varphi \in X$ is a distributional solution of (3.1b), then in fact $\varphi \in H^\infty$ (φ and all its derivatives lie in L_2) and so φ is a classical solution of (3.1). Even if f has finite regularity, say $f \in C^k$ where $k \geq 1$, it is still inferred that φ lies at least in $H^{m_1+k}_1$.

It is typically the case, and will be assumed here, that (3.1) has a solution $\varphi = \varphi_C$ for each value of $C > 1$ and that the correspondence $C \mapsto \varphi_C$ is a C^1 map from $(1, \infty)$ into L_2 . (The latter assumption is not strictly necessary in what follows, but it is quite convenient and appears to obtain in many interesting cases.) For general results on the existence of solutions of (3.1), the reader may consult Benjamin, Bona & Bose (1988) or Weinstein (1987).

In studying the stability of a solitary wave $\varphi = \varphi_C$, one considers the associated linear operator L defined by

$$(3.2) \quad Lg(x) = Mg(x) + [(C-1)-f'(\varphi(x))]g(x).$$

As explained in Albert *et al.* (1987, Proposition 1), L is a self-adjoint, closed, unbounded operator on L_2 whose continuous spectrum is the interval $[(C-1), \infty)$, and the remainder of its spectrum is a finite number of isolated eigenvalues located on the real axis to the left of $C-1$. In particular, (3.1a) shows that 0 is an eigenvalue of L with eigenfunction φ' .

For any $r \in \mathbf{R}$ and any function whose domain is $\mathbf{R}$, define $\tau_r(\varphi)$ to be the translation of φ by r , namely

$$\tau_r \varphi(x) = \varphi(x+r).$$

Following Bona *et al.* (1987), for any $\eta > 0$ define the set U_η by

$$U_\eta = \{y: y \in X \text{ and } \inf_{r \in \mathbf{R}} \|y - \tau_r \varphi\|_X < \eta\}.$$

Then φ is said to be a *stable* solitary wave if for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $\delta > 0$ such that for $u_0 \in U_\delta \cap H^s$ ($s > 3/2$), the solution u of (2.1) with $u(x,0) = u_0$ is global and satisfies $u(\cdot, t) \in U_\varepsilon$ for all $t > 0$.

THEOREM 2. Fix $C_0 > 1$ and suppose that $\varphi = \varphi_{C_0}$ is a solitary-wave solution of (2.1) possessing the properties

- (P1) L has a simple, negative eigenvalue λ ,
- (P2) L has no negative eigenvalue other than λ ,
- (P3) the eigenvalue 0 of L is simple, and
- (P4) $\frac{d}{dC} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\varphi_C)^2 dx \right) > 0$ at $C = C_0$.

Suppose also that for some $s \geq 2$ the initial-value problem

$$\begin{aligned} u_t + u_x + f(u)_x - Mu_x &= 0, & \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R}, \quad t \geq 0, \\ u(x,0) &= u_0(x), & \text{for } x \in \mathbf{R} \end{aligned}$$

is globally well-posed in the sense of Theorem 1, at least for initial data u_0 lying simultaneously in H^s and in some neighborhood in X of φ . Then φ is stable.

Remarks. The conclusion of Theorem 2 remains valid if (P4) is replaced by the

condition

$$(P4)' \quad \text{for any } \psi \in X, \text{ if } L\psi = \varphi, \text{ then } (\psi, \varphi) < 0.$$

A simple computation involving differentiating equation (3.1) with respect to the variable C shows that conditions (P3) and (P4) together imply $(P4)'$. Condition $(P4)'$ is of interest in a situation where one does not have a family of solitary waves $\{\varphi_C\}$ depending smoothly on C .

The notion of stability enunciated above entails that solutions of (2.1) which are global in time emanate from initial data sufficiently near to the stable solitary wave in question. While this property has been assumed in the statement of Theorem 2, it is often the case that local existence theories such as that presented in Theorem 1 can be applied iteratively to extend interesting classes of solutions smoothly to arbitrarily large times by use of additional, *a priori* bounds, thus providing a global existence theory. Even in case such bounds are not available respective to solutions corresponding to general initial data, the stability theory itself may provide them for solutions that initially resemble a solitary wave. An example wherein exactly this situation obtains is for the Boussinesq-type equations studied by Bona & Sachs (1988).

Proofs of the assertions in Theorem 2 (and the accompanying Remark) may be found in the papers of Weinstein (1983, 1985, 1986). For a concise proof via a slightly different approach, the reader may consult Section 5 of Bona *et al.* (1987). However, there is a step missing in the exposition contained therein, and the opportunity is taken here to repair this omission.

In Lemma 5.1 of Bona *et al.* (1987), it is shown that if $y \in X$ is non-zero and satisfies $(y, \varphi) = (y, \varphi') = 0$, then (under the assumptions of Theorem 2 above) one has $\langle Ly, y \rangle > 0$. However, the proof of Theorem 2 given in the last-quoted reference actually requires a

somewhat stronger result, which is stated and proved now.

LEMMA 3. Suppose properties (P1)-(P4) (or (P4)') hold for φ . Let η be defined by

$$\eta = \inf \left\{ \langle Ly, y \rangle : y \in X, \quad \langle y, \varphi \rangle = \langle y, \varphi' \rangle = 0, \text{ and } \langle y, y \rangle = 1 \right\}.$$

Then the constant η is strictly positive.

Proof. In light of Lemma 5.1 of Bona *et al.* (1987), it suffices to prove that $\eta \neq 0$. To accomplish this it will be supposed that $\eta = 0$, with a view to obtaining a contradiction.

Let $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of functions in X such that $\langle g_n, \varphi \rangle = \langle g_n, \varphi' \rangle = 0$, $\langle g_n, g_n \rangle = 1$, for all n , and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Lg_n, g_n \rangle = 0$. It follows from the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \langle Mg_n, g_n \rangle &= \langle Lg_n, g_n \rangle - (C_0 - 1) \langle g_n, g_n \rangle \\ &\quad + \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\varphi(x)) (g_n(x))^2 dx \\ &\leq \langle Lg_n, g_n \rangle + \sup_{0 \leq s \leq |\varphi|_{\infty}} |f'(s)| \end{aligned}$$

that the sequence $\left\{ \langle Mg_n, g_n \rangle \right\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded as n ranges over the positive integers. Hence

$\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is bounded in X , and so some subsequence of $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ must converge weakly in X to a function g_* . We will continue to write $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ even though reference will henceforth be to the subsequence. In fact, in the argument to follow, several further subsequences will be extracted, all of which will be written as simply $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ rather than with some more definite relabelling of the indices.

Because of condition (2.2) on the symbol α of the operator M , X is continuously imbedded in $H^{1/2}$, and hence in L_p for all finite $p \geq 2$. It follows that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges weakly to g_* in both L_2 and L_4 , and in particular, $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \cup \{g_*\}$ is a bounded set in L_4 . Since $H^{1/2}((-k,k))$ is compactly imbedded in $L_2((-k,k))$ for any finite, positive value of k , and since a sequence that is strongly convergent to a function in $L_2((-k,k))$ has a subsequence that is convergent almost everywhere to that function, a Cantor diagonalization argument leads to a subsequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ that converges to g_* in $L_{2,loc}(\mathbf{R})$ and pointwise almost everywhere in $\mathbf{R}$. In consequence of the fact that $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in L_4 , it follows that $\{g_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is bounded in L_2 and hence passing to a further subsequence allows us to assume that $\{g_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges to some L_2 -function G , say, weakly in L_2 . It follows that $\{g_n^2\}_{n=1}^\infty$ converges in the sense of Schwartz distributions $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R})$ to G . But, if $\psi \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R})$ with support contained in the interval $[a,b]$, say, then Hölder's inequality implies that

$$\left| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [g_n^2(x) - g_*^2(x)] \psi(x) dx \right| \leq \|g_n - g_*\|_{L_2([a,b])} \|\psi\|_{L_4} (\|g_n\|_{L_4} + \|g_*\|_{L_4}).$$

The second factor on the right-hand side is a constant, the third factor is bounded independently of n , and the first factor tends to zero as n tends to infinity. Thus it is concluded that $g_n^2 \rightarrow g_*^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in $\mathcal{D}'(\mathbf{R})$, whence $G = g_*^2$. Thus by successive extraction of subsequences, we are left with a subsequence $\{g_{n_k}\}_{k=1}^\infty$ of the original sequence $\{g_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ for which

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} (\varphi, g_{n_k}) = (\varphi, g_*),$$

$$0 = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle \varphi', g_{n_k} \rangle = \langle \varphi', g_* \rangle,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle f'(\varphi), g_{n_k}^2 \rangle = \langle f'(\varphi), g_*^2 \rangle,$$

and by the lower semi-continuity of the norm with respect to weak convergence,

$$\langle Mg_*, g_* \rangle \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Mg_{n_k}, g_{n_k} \rangle$$

and

$$\|g_*\|_{L_2}^2 = \langle g_*, g_* \rangle \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle g_{n_k}, g_{n_k} \rangle = 1.$$

Combining the above relations, there results

$$\langle Lg_*, g_* \rangle \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \langle Lg_n, g_n \rangle = 0.$$

Furthermore, since $\langle Mg, g \rangle \geq 0$ for all $g \in X$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Lg_{n_k}, g_{n_k} \rangle \\ &\geq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \langle Mg_{n_k}, g_{n_k} \rangle + (C_0 - 1) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\varphi(x)) g_*^2(x) dx \\ &\geq (C_0 - 1) - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f'(\varphi(x)) g_*^2(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Since $C_0 - 1 > 0$, the last inequality shows that $g_* \neq 0$. Therefore, one may define

$f_* = g_*/\|g_*\|_0$, thereby obtaining a function satisfying $\langle f_*, \varphi \rangle = \langle f_*, \varphi' \rangle = 0$, $\langle f_*, f_* \rangle = 1$, and $\langle Lf_*, f_* \rangle = \frac{1}{\|g_*\|_0^2} \langle Lg_*, g_* \rangle \leq 0$. But Lemma 5.1 of Bona *et al.* shows that such a function cannot

exist. This contradiction means that η must be non-zero, and the proof of the Lemma is now complete. $\square$

4. Spectral Analysis of The Operator L .

In practice it may be quite difficult to determine explicitly the spectrum of the operator L associated with a given solitary wave φ . Therefore it is desirable to find general conditions on φ , M , and f which imply that the conditions in the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied. In Albert *et al.* (1987) it was shown that property (P1) is a consequence of positivity conditions on the operator M and the solitary wave φ . This result is restated below as Theorem 4. The main result of this section is Theorem 5, which shows that property (P3) is a consequence of a "second order" positivity condition on the solitary wave φ to be spelled out presently.

For any $\mu > 0$, define the function $K_\mu(x)$ by the formula $\widehat{(K_\mu)}(k) = (\mu + \alpha(k))^{-1}$. Notice that, as a consequence of the assumption $\alpha(k) \geq a|k|^{m_1}$ with $m_1 \geq 1$, $K_\mu(x)$ is well-defined as a function in L_2 .

THEOREM 4. Suppose $K_\mu(x) > 0$ for all $\mu > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$, and suppose $\varphi(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$. Then property (P1) holds for L .

Next, for a given solitary-wave solution $\varphi \in X$ satisfying (3.1), define a function $K(x)$ by

$$K(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-ixz} f'(\varphi(z)) dz.$$

As was noted in section 3, φ is at least a member of H^3 and hence $\varphi \in L_\infty$. Because $f \in C^2$ and $f'(0) = 0$, one can infer the existence of a constant A such that $|f'(\varphi(x))| \leq A|\varphi(x)|$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$. It follows that $f'(\varphi) \in L_2$ (in fact, further argument shows $f'(\varphi)$ to lie in H^1), and so

$K(x)$ is well-defined as a function in L_2 .

In the remainder of this section, $\bar{x} = (x_1, x_2)$ and $\bar{y} = (y_1, y_2)$ will be used to connote elements of $\mathbf{R}^2$. Let $\Delta = \{\bar{x} \in \mathbf{R}^2 : x_1 < x_2\}$, and define $K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})$ for $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Delta$ by

$$K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = K(x_1 - y_1)K(x_2 - y_2) - K(x_1 - y_2)K(x_2 - y_1).$$

THEOREM 5. Suppose that α and φ are even functions, that

- (i) $K(x) > 0$ and $\hat{\varphi}(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbf{R}$, and that
- (ii) $K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) > 0$ for all $\bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Delta$.

Then property (P3) holds for L .

Remark. It is also true that the conclusion of Theorem 5 holds if (ii) is replaced by the weaker condition

$$(ii)' \quad \begin{cases} K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) \geq 0 & \text{for } \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Delta, \text{ and} \\ K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) > 0 & \text{if } \bar{x}, \bar{y} \in \Delta, x_2 > y_1, \text{ and } x_1 < y_2. \end{cases}$$

This fact would be useful in applying Theorem 5 to the Benjamin-Ono solitary wave, say, for which (ii)' holds but (ii) is false.

Proof. It is required to show that the equation

$$(4.1) \quad Mh + (C-1)h - f'(\varphi)h = 0$$

has a one-dimensional solution space in L_2 . After an application of the Fourier transform and division by the function $m(x) = \alpha(x) + (C-1)$ (where x now represents the variable in Fourier transform space), (4.1) may be rewritten in the form

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x,y)g(y)dy = g(x)$$

where $g(x) = \hat{h}(x)$ and $G(x,y) = K(x-y)/m(x)$. Therefore our goal may be restated as showing that $\lambda = 1$ is a simple eigenvalue for the operator S acting on L_2 defined by

$$Sg(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} G(x,y)g(y)dy.$$

To study the spectrum of S , use will be made of the theory of totally positive operators as developed by Gantmacher and Krein (1960) and Karlin (1964). Of crucial importance will be the operator S_2 defined on $L_2(\Delta)$ by

$$S_2 g(\bar{x}) = \int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} G_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) g(\bar{y}) d\bar{y},$$

where

$$G_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y}) = \frac{K_2(\bar{x}, \bar{y})}{m(x_1)m(x_2)},$$

and K_2 is as defined earlier. The key identity relating the operators S and S_2 is stated in the

following Lemma, whose proof is elementary.

LEMMA 6. For any two functions $f_1, f_2 \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$, define $f_1 \wedge f_2$ on $L^2(\Delta)$ by

$$(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x_1, x_2) = f_1(x_1)f_2(x_2) - f_1(x_2)f_2(x_1).$$

Then

$$S_2(f_1 \wedge f_2) = Sf_1 \wedge Sf_2.$$

The spectral analysis of S and S_2 necessary to complete the proof of Theorem 5 is presented in the next four Lemmas. Define the Hilbert space W to be the set of all functions $g \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$ for which

$$\|g\|_W = \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |g(x)|^2 m(x) dx \right]^{1/2} < \infty$$

with the inner product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_W = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} g(x)h(x)m(x)dx,$$

and let Z be the corresponding Hilbert space of functions $g \in L_2(\Delta)$ such that

$$\|g\|_Z = \left[\int_{\Delta} \int_{\Delta} |g(x)|^2 m(x_1)m(x_2)dx \right]^{1/2} < \infty$$

with the inner product

$$\langle g, h \rangle_Z = \int_{\Delta} \int g(x) h(x) m(x_1) m(x_2) dx.$$

LEMMA 7. Suppose $g \in L_2(\mathbf{R})$ is an eigenfunction of S for a non-zero eigenvalue γ . Then the function g lies in W and $g(x)$ is a continuous function of $x \in \mathbf{R}$.

Proof. It will be shown that Sg is continuous and that $S^2g = S(Sg) \in W$; since $g = (1/\gamma)Sg = (1/\gamma^2)S^2g$, this will suffice to prove the Lemma. For brevity of notation let $\mu(x) = 1/m(x)$. One then has

$$\begin{aligned} |Sg(x) - Sg(x')| &\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |G(x, y) - G(x', y)| |g(y)| dy \\ &\leq \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x-y)\mu(x) - K(x'-y)\mu(x')|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} \|g\|_2 \\ &\leq \left\{ \mu(x) \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |K(x-y) - K(x'-y)|^2 dy \right)^{1/2} + |\mu(x) - \mu(x')| \|K\|_2 \right\} \|g\|_2, \end{aligned}$$

and the expression on the right-hand side of this inequality tends to zero as $x \rightarrow x'$, so demonstrating that $Sg(x)$ is continuous.

The proof that $\|S^2g\|_W$ is finite, although somewhat complicated by the relatively weak decay to zero of $\mu(x)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$, nevertheless proceeds by straightforward applications of Minkowski's integral inequality, Hölder's inequality, and Young's convolution inequality. The following sequence of estimates establishes the desired result.

$$\|S^2g\|_W = \left\| \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K(\cdot - z) \mu(\cdot) K(z - w) \mu(z) g(w) dw dz \right\|_W$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \|K(\bullet-z)\mu(\bullet)\|_W K(z-w)\mu(z)|g(w)|dw dz \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left[\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (K(y-z))^2 \mu(y) dy \right]^{1/2} K(z-w)\mu(z)|g(w)|dw dz \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (K^2 * \mu)^{1/2}(z) \mu(z)^{3/8} K(z-w) \mu(z)^{5/8} dz \right\} |g(w)|dw \\
&\leq \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left\{ \left| K^2 * \mu \right|_2^{1/2} \left| \mu^{3/2} \right|_1^{1/4} [(K^2 * \mu^{5/4})(w)]^{1/2} \right\} |g(w)|dw \\
&\leq \left| K^2 * \mu \right|_2^{1/2} \left| \mu^{3/2} \right|_1^{1/4} \left| K^2 * \mu^{5/4} \right|_1^{1/2} \|g\|_2 \\
&\leq \left| K^2 \right|_1 \left| \mu \right|_2^{1/2} \left| \mu^{3/2} \right|_1^{1/4} \left| \mu^{5/4} \right|_1^{1/2} \|g\|_2
\end{aligned}$$

Since $|\mu(y)| \leq b(1+|y|)^{-1}$ for some $b > 0$, all the quantities in the final expression in the preceding inequality are finite. $\square$

LEMMA 8. The restriction of S to W is a compact, self-adjoint operator on W and the restriction of S_2 to Z is a compact self-adjoint operator on Z .

Proof. If W is viewed as the weighted L_2 space $L_2(dv(x))$, where $dv(x)$ is the positive measure on $\mathbf{R}$ given by $dv(x) = m(x)dx$, then the action of S on an element g of W may be expressed as

$$Sg(x) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{K(x-y)}{m(x)} g(y) dy = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{G}(x,y) g(y) dv(y),$$

where $\tilde{G}(x,y) = K(x-y)/(m(x)m(y))$ satisfies $\tilde{G}(x,y) = \tilde{G}(y,x)$. The assertions of the Lemma concerning S will then follow immediately from the theory of Hilbert-Schmidt operators once

it is shown that $\tilde{G}(x,y) \in L_2(dv \times dv)$, a fact that follows at once from the inequality

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (\tilde{G}(x,y))^2 dv(x) dv(y) &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} K^2(x-y) \mu(y) dy \right) \mu(x) dx \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} (K^2 * \mu)(x) \mu(x) dx \leq \|K^2 * \mu\|_2 \|\mu\|_2 \\ &\leq \|K^2\|_1 \|\mu\|_2^2 < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

A similar estimate shows S_2 to be a Hilbert-Schmidt operator on Z . The lemma is thus established. $\square$

A consequence of Lemma 7 is that to prove Theorem 5, it suffices to show that $\lambda = 1$ is a simple eigenvalue for S viewed as an operator on W . Therefore in the spectral analysis of S that follows, the space upon which S will be considered to act will always be W . By Lemma 8 and the spectral theorem for compact, self-adjoint operators on a Hilbert space, W has an orthonormal basis $\{\psi_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ consisting of eigenvectors for S which correspond to real eigenvalues $\{\lambda_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$ whose only possible accumulation point is zero. Number the (not necessarily distinct) eigenvalues of S in such a way that $|\lambda_0| \geq |\lambda_1| \geq |\lambda_2| \geq \dots \geq 0$. Similarly, denote the eigenvalues of S_2 acting on Z by $\{\mu_i\}_{i=0}^{\infty}$, where $|\mu_0| \geq |\mu_1| \geq \dots \geq 0$.

LEMMA 9. (a) The eigenvalue λ_0 of S is positive, simple, and has a strictly positive eigenfunction $\psi_0(x)$. Furthermore, one has $|\lambda_1| < \lambda_0$. Let M_0 be the one-dimensional subspace of W spanned by ψ_0 . Then there exists a projection P of W onto M_0 such that $S = \lambda_0 P + Q$ where the spectral radius of Q is $|\lambda_1|$. In addition, one has $\lambda_0^{-n} S^n \rightarrow P$ as

$n \rightarrow \infty$, in the strong operator topology.

(b) The statements in part (a) are all valid if S is replaced by S_2 , the eigenvalues λ_0, λ_1 are replaced by μ_0, μ_1 , and P, M_0 , and Q are replaced by the appropriate operators and subspaces of Z .

Remark. The assertions of this lemma turn around ideas associated with the names Perron, Frobenius, Jentzsch, Krein and Rutman (see Zerner 1987 for a nice exposition). The fact that λ_0 is positive, simple with an everywhere positive eigenfunction Ψ_0 , and that $\lambda_0 > |\lambda_1|$ may be found in Theorem 1 of the last-quoted reference, though the setting there is considerably more general. For the reader's convenience, we will briefly outline the simple considerations that serve to establish these results in the particular case that comes to the fore here.

Proof. The eigenvalue λ_0 of S having the largest absolute value is determined by the elementary formula

$$\lambda_0 = \pm \sup_{\|g\|_W=1} \left| \langle Sg, g \rangle_W \right|.$$

Let Ψ be any eigenfunction of S corresponding to the eigenvalue λ_0 and suppose $\|\Psi\|_W = 1$. By Lemma 7 Ψ is continuous and so, because the kernel G of S is everywhere positive, if Ψ takes both positive and negative values then $S(|\Psi|) > |S(\Psi)| = |\lambda_0| |\Psi|$. But then $\langle S(|\Psi|), |\Psi| \rangle > |\lambda_0|$, a contradiction. Thus we may take it that any eigenfunction ψ associated to the eigenvalue λ_0 is one-signed, and in particular that there is an eigenfunction Ψ_0 which is non-negative. But

since $\lambda_0 \Psi_0 = S(\Psi_0)$, it follows firstly that $\lambda_0 > 0$, and then that $\Psi_0(x) > 0$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Such a Ψ_0 cannot be orthogonal to any non-trivial, one-signed function in W , and so λ_0 is a simple eigenvalue.

To check that $|\lambda_1| < \lambda_0$, suppose the contrary and let Ψ_1 be an eigenfunction for λ_1 . Then as before $S(|\Psi_1|) \geq |S(\Psi_1)| = \lambda_0 |\Psi_1|$, and so the relation $\langle (S - \lambda_0)(|\Psi_1|), \Psi_0 \rangle_W = \langle |\Psi_1|, (S - \lambda_0)\Psi_0 \rangle_W = 0$ and the fact that $\Psi_0(x) > 0$ for all x implies that $(S - \lambda_0)(|\Psi_1|) = 0$. Hence $|\Psi_1|$ is a constant multiple of Ψ_0 , which in turn implies Ψ_1 to be of one sign. But then it is again impossible for Ψ_0 and Ψ_1 to be orthogonal in W .

The decomposition of S into the form $S = \lambda_0 P + Q$, where P is the orthogonal projection on M_0 , $PQ = QP = 0$, and $\text{spectrum}(Q) = \text{spectrum}(P) - \{\lambda_0\}$, is a standard result from the spectral theory of self-adjoint operators (see Kato 1984, ch. V.3.5). In the case at hand, one has that the spectral radius of Q , being the supremum of the absolute values of the numbers in the spectrum of Q , is $|\lambda_1|$, which is strictly less than λ_0 . In consequence of this observation, it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{S^n}{\lambda_0^n} - P \right\| &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{(\lambda_0 P)^n + Q^n}{\lambda_0^n} - P \right\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\| \frac{Q^n}{\lambda_0^n} \right\| \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{|\lambda_1|^n}{\lambda_0^n} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

where triple bars have been used to represent the strong norm on the space of bounded operators on W . This completes the proof of the assertions in part (a) of the Lemma. Since the arguments given in the above proof clearly apply just as well to S_2 as to S , there is no need to

say more concerning part (b). $\square$

LEMMA 10. In the notation introduced prior to the statement of Lemma 9, the identity $\mu_0 = \lambda_0 \lambda_1$ holds.

Proof. Notice that $\lambda_0 \lambda_1$ is an eigenvalue of S_2 with eigenfunction $\psi_0 \wedge \psi_1$. Hence, by Lemma 9 part (b), to prove Lemma 10 it suffices to show that $|\lambda_0 \lambda_1| \geq \mu_0$. Suppose on the contrary that $|\lambda_1| < (\mu_0/\lambda_0)$. Let P be as in Lemma 9 and write $W = M_0 \oplus N$, where $M_0 = \text{Range } P = \text{span } \{\psi_0\}$ and $N = \text{Ker } P$. Notice that the restriction of S to N has spectral radius $|\lambda_1|$. Let f_1, f_2 be arbitrary elements of W , and write $f_1 = \eta_1 \psi_0 + \omega_1$, $f_2 = \eta_2 \psi_0 + \omega_2$, where $\eta_1, \eta_2 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\omega_1, \omega_2 \in N$. Then one finds that for any positive integer n ,

$$\begin{aligned} (S_2/\mu_0)^n(f_1 \wedge f_2)(x_1, x_2) &= \\ &= \eta_1[\varphi_0(x_1)(S/\beta)^n\psi_2(x_2) - \varphi_0(x_2)(S/\beta)^n\psi_2(x_1)] \\ &+ \eta_2[\varphi_0(x_2)(S/\beta)^n\psi_1(x_1) - \varphi_0(x_1)(S/\beta)^n\psi_1(x_2)] \\ &+ (S/\lambda_0)^n\psi_1(x_1)(S/\beta)^n\psi_2(x_2) \\ &- (S/\lambda_0)^n\psi_1(x_2)(S/\beta)^n\psi_2(x_1) \end{aligned}$$

where $\beta = (\mu_0/\lambda_0) > |\lambda_1|$. Since $(S/\lambda_0)^n$ converges strongly as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and β is strictly greater than the spectral radius of the restriction of S to N , each term on the right-hand side of the preceding equality tends to zero as $n \rightarrow \infty$. But the set of all $f_1 \wedge f_2$ with $f_1, f_2 \in W$ is dense in Z , and so the preceding computation shows that $(S_2/\mu_0)^n g \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for any

$g \in Z$. This then contradicts Lemma 9 part (b), which asserts that $(S_2/\mu_0)^n$ converges strongly to a non-zero projection operator. The proof of the Lemma is therefore complete. $\square$

The next step in the proof of Theorem 5 is to show that the eigenvalue λ_1 of S is simple. Let ψ_1 be an eigenfunction for λ_1 , and write $\psi_1 = \psi_1^e + \psi_1^o$, where ψ_1^e and ψ_1^o are the even and odd parts of ψ_1 (that is, $\psi_1^e(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1(x) + \psi_1(-x))$ and $\psi_1^o(x) = \frac{1}{2}(\psi_1(x) - \psi_1(-x))$). Both ψ_1^e and ψ_1^o are also eigenfunctions for λ_1 , as follows from the fact that S sends even functions to even functions and odd functions to odd functions in W . Furthermore, $\psi_1^e \wedge \psi_0$ is an eigenfunction of S_2 with eigenvalue $\lambda_1 \lambda_0 = \mu_0$. Hence, by Lemma 9 part (b), $\psi_1^e \wedge \psi_0$ is either identically 0 on Δ or does not vanish at all on Δ . Since $(\psi_1^e \wedge \psi_0)(x_1, x_2) = \psi_1^e(x_1)\psi_0(x_2) - \psi_1^e(x_2)\psi_0(x_1)$ for $x_1 < x_2$, it follows that if $\psi_1^e \neq 0$ on $\mathbf{R}$, then ψ_1^e can have at most one zero on $\mathbf{R}$. Then since ψ_1^e is even and continuous (by Lemma 7), this implies that either $\psi_1^e \equiv 0$ or else ψ_1^e does not vanish on $\mathbf{R}$ except possibly at 0, and in any case it would then be of one sign everywhere else. But the latter possibility is excluded by the fact that ψ_1^e and ψ_0 , being eigenfunctions of S for distinct eigenvalues, must satisfy $\langle \psi_1^e, \psi_0 \rangle_W = 0$. Therefore, $\psi_1^e \equiv 0$ and so ψ_1 is odd. Again applying Lemma 9 part (b) to the eigenfunction $\psi_1 \wedge \psi_0$ for the eigenvalue $\mu_0 = \lambda_0 \lambda_1$, one concludes that ψ_1 can have at most one zero, and since ψ_1 is odd, this zero must be at the origin.

The function ψ_1 in the preceding paragraph was an arbitrary eigenfunction for λ_1 , and consequently what has actually been shown is that any eigenfunction for λ_1 must be an odd function which vanishes only at $x = 0$. But clearly no two such functions can be orthogonal in W . Therefore λ_1 must be a simple eigenvalue of S .

To complete the proof of Theorem 5, then, it remains only to show that $\lambda_1 = 1$. Now equation (3.1) shows that φ' is an eigenfunction of L for the eigenvalue 0, and so $\widehat{\varphi}'$ is an eigenfunction of S for the eigenvalue 1. But $\widehat{\varphi}$ is, by assumption, a positive even function on $\mathbf{R}$, and therefore $\widehat{\varphi}'(x) = -ix\widehat{\varphi}(x)$ is an odd function on $\mathbf{R}$ which vanishes only at $x = 0$. Since ψ_1 is also odd and vanishes only at $x = 0$, one must have that $\langle \psi_1, \widehat{\varphi}' \rangle_W \neq 0$. It follows that ψ_1 and φ' cannot be eigenfunctions of S for distinct eigenvalues. This proves that λ_1 must equal 1. $\square$

The final result of this section is a lemma which is useful for verifying condition (ii) of the preceding theorem. It is an instance of more general results detailed in (Karlin, 1968).

LEMMA 11. Suppose K is twice differentiable on $\mathbf{R}$ and satisfies $K(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$ and $\frac{d^2}{dx^2}(\log K(x)) < 0$ for $x \neq 0$. Then $K_2(x, y) > 0$ for all $x, y \in \Delta$.

Proof. The hypotheses imply that $K'(x)/K(x)$ is strictly decreasing on $\mathbf{R}$. Supposing $x = (x_1, x_2)$ and $y = (y_1, y_2)$ are in Δ , then for any $x \in \mathbf{R}$ one has

$$(4.2) \quad \frac{K'(x-y_1)}{K(x-y_1)} < \frac{K'(x-y_2)}{K(x-y_2)}.$$

The mean-value theorem applied in the variable x allows the conclusion that

$$K(x_1-y_1)K(x_2-y_2) - K(x_1-y_2)K(x_2-y_1)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= K(x_1-y_1)K(x_2-y_1)\left(\frac{K(x_2-y_2)}{K(x_2-y_1)} - \frac{K(x_1-y_2)}{K(x_1-y_1)}\right) \\
&= K(x_1-y_1)K(x_1-y_2)(x_2-x_1)\frac{d}{dx}\left(\frac{K(x-y_2)}{K(x-y_1)}\right)\Bigg|_{x=\eta} \\
&= K(x_1-y_1)K(x_1-y_2)(x_2-x_1)\left[\frac{K(\eta-y_1)K'(\eta-y_2)-K(\eta-y_2)K'(\eta-y_1)}{(K(\eta-y_1))^2}\right]
\end{aligned}$$

for some η with $x_1 < \eta < x_2$. But the term in square brackets is positive by (4.2), and so the

Lemma is established. $\square$

5. The ILW Equation

The theory developed in the preceding two sections will now be applied to the ILW equation

$$(5.1) \quad u_t + u_x + uu_x - (Mu)_x = 0,$$

where $f(u) = \frac{1}{2}u^2$ and M is the Fourier multiplier operator with symbol

$$\alpha(k) = \alpha_H(k) = k \coth kH - \frac{1}{H}$$

with $H > 0$ a fixed constant. Equation (5.1) is derived, for example, by Kubota, Ko & Dobbs (1978) as a model equation for long, weakly nonlinear internal gravity waves in a stratified fluid of finite depth. The parameter H , which is related to the depth of the fluid, is allowed to take any positive value, so that (5.1) actually represents a one-parameter family of equations.

Joseph (1977) found that for any $C > 1$ and $H > 0$, equation (5.1) has the solitary-wave solution $u(x,t) = \varphi(x-Ct)$, where φ is given by

$$(5.2) \quad \varphi(y) = \frac{b}{\cosh^2(ay) + (b^2/16a^2)\sinh^2(ay)}.$$

Here $a \in (0, \pi/2H)$ and $b \in (0, \infty)$ are determined uniquely in terms of C and H by the equations

$$(5.3) \quad \begin{cases} 1 - \frac{aH}{\tan aH} + aH \tan aH = (C-1)H \\ aH \tan aH = bH/4. \end{cases}$$

The goal of this section is a proof of the stability of Joseph's solitary waves for all values of $C > 1$ and $H > 0$. Of the four conditions which must be verified in order to prove stability using Theorem 2, (P1) and (P3) yield easily to the techniques of section 4, while (P4) is verified by an elementary computation. The remaining condition (P2) will be handled by perturbation theory as in Albert *et al.* (1987), together with a continuity argument.

It is appropriate here to mention an alternate method for verifying that solitary waves satisfy condition (P2), which is due to Weinstein (1987). Weinstein shows that (P2) holds for those solitary-wave solutions of (2.1) which are minimizers of a certain nonlinear functional on X . Unfortunately, there is a technical difficulty involved in applying this elegant approach to the problem under discussion here. Briefly put, the difficulty arises from the fact that it has not yet been proved that the solitary-wave solutions of ILW which minimize Weinstein's functional are the same as those given by Joseph's explicit formulas (5.2) and (5.3), although the available evidence certainly suggests that this is in fact the case. While the technique used below for verifying (P2) is special to the ILW equations, it does have the advantage of circumventing this difficulty.

THEOREM 12. For any $C > 1$ and $H > 0$, the solitary wave defined in (5.2), (5.3) is stable (in the sense of Theorem 2).

Remark. The well-posedness properties of ILW are studied in Abdelouhab *et al.* (1989), where it is shown that the quantity T^* defined in Theorem 1 is equal to infinity for all initial data that lies in H^s for an $s > \frac{3}{2}$.

Proof. It suffices to show that conditions (P1)-(P4) of Theorem 2 are satisfied for the operator L associated with the solitary wave (5.2). As was observed in Albert *et al.* (1987), a change of variables shows that (P1)-(P4) hold for L with $b = b_0$ and $H = H_0$ if and only if they hold with $b = b_1$ and $H = H_1$ whenever $b_0 H_0 = b_1 H_1$. Therefore, it suffices to verify (P1)-(P4) for a fixed value of b , with H allowed to take arbitrary positive values. For convenience, choose $b = 4$, in which case (5.2) may be rewritten as

$$(5.4) \quad \varphi(y) = \left(\frac{8a^2}{a^2+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\cosh(2ay) + \cos \delta} \right)$$

where

$$\cos \delta = \frac{a^2 - 1}{a^2 + 1}$$

and δ lies in the range $(0, \pi)$.

Property (P1) is verified for ILW solitary waves by an application of Theorem 4. The

inequality $K_\mu(x) > 0$ for all $\mu > 0$ and $x \in \mathbf{R}$ was shown to be valid for the ILW equation in Albert *et al.* (1987, p. 364) using a residue calculation.

To prove property (P3) holds, one uses Theorem 5. From (5.4) and a table of Fourier transforms it is found that

$$K(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x) = \left(\frac{4a}{a^2+1} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\sin \delta} \right) \left(\frac{\sinh\left(\frac{\delta}{2a} x\right)}{\sinh\left(\frac{\pi}{2a} x\right)} \right).$$

Thus $K(x) > 0$ for $x \in \mathbf{R}$, and it is readily verified that $\frac{d^2}{dx^2} (\log K(x)) < 0$ for $x \neq 0$. The validity of (P3) then follows from Theorem 5 and Lemma 11.

To verify property (P2), let E be the set given by

$$E = \{\omega > 0: (P2) \text{ is false for } H = \omega \text{ and } b = 4\}.$$

It is intended to show that the assumption $E \neq \emptyset$ leads to a contradiction.

Assume $E \neq \emptyset$, so that $\omega_0 = \inf E$ exists. As shown in Albert *et al.* (1987) by use of the perturbation theory of operators, (P2) holds for all sufficiently small values of the quantity bH . Therefore $\omega_0 > 0$. For any $\omega > 0$, let φ_ω be the solitary wave given by (5.4) and (5.3) with $b = 4$ and $H = \omega$, and let L_ω denote the operator associated with φ_ω as in (3.2). By definition of ω_0 , there exists a sequence $\{\omega_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$ converging to ω_0 from above and

eigenvalues α_i of L_{ω_i} such that $\alpha_i \in (\lambda_i, 0)$, where λ_i is the least eigenvalue of L_{ω_i} .

As explained in Albert *et al.* (1987), the spectrum $\sigma(L_\omega)$ of L_ω depends continuously on ω , in the following sense. Fix $\omega = \tilde{\omega}$ and let Γ be any contour in the complex plane, disjoint from $\sigma(L_{\tilde{\omega}})$ and surrounding a set of eigenvalues of $L_{\tilde{\omega}}$ with total multiplicity m . Then, for ω sufficiently near to $\tilde{\omega}$, Γ also surrounds a set of eigenvalues of L_ω with total multiplicity m .

In particular, if λ_0 is the least eigenvalue of L_{ω_0} , one has that $\lambda_i \rightarrow \lambda_0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. Therefore, by passing to a subsequence if necessary, one may assume that there exists $\alpha_0 \in [\lambda_0, 0]$ such that $\alpha_i \rightarrow \alpha_0$.

It will now be argued that each of the three possibilities $\alpha_0 = \lambda_0$, $\alpha_0 = 0$, and $\alpha_0 \in (\lambda_0, 0)$ leads to a contradiction. If $\alpha_0 = \lambda_0$, draw a contour Γ in $\mathbb{C}$ such that λ_0 is the only eigenvalue of L_{ω_0} enclosed by Γ . Since λ_0 is simple, it follows that when i is large, L_{ω_i} has only one (simple) eigenvalue enclosed by Γ . But this contradicts the fact that both λ_i and α_i are enclosed by Γ for large values of i . In case $\alpha_0 = 0$, a contradiction may be reached by the same argument. (Notice that at this stage of the proof, crucial use is made of the fact, established above, that (P3) holds for L_ω for all $\omega > 0$.)

Finally, if $\lambda_0 < \alpha_0 < 0$, draw a contour Γ_1 , enclosing only the eigenvalue λ_0 of L_{ω_0} and a contour Γ_2 enclosing only the eigenvalue α_0 of L_{ω_0} so that Γ_1 and Γ_2 have disjoint interiors and do not intersect the non-negative real axis. Since $\sigma(L_\omega)$ varies continuously, there exists a value of ω less than ω_0 for which Γ_1 and Γ_2 each enclose a (negative) eigenvalue of L_ω . But then $\omega \in E$, contradicting the definition of ω_0 .

These contradictions show that necessarily $E = \emptyset$, and hence (P2) is seen to be true for $b = 4$ and all $H > 0$.

To complete the proof of the Theorem, it remains only to show that (P4) holds for all $C > 1$ and $H > 0$. As remarked above, it is enough to show that (P4) holds for a fixed value of H and arbitrary values of $C > 1$.

Setting $H = 1$, one sees from (5.3) that a ranges from 0 to $\pi/2$ as C ranges from 1 to ∞ , and that

$$\frac{dC}{da} = \frac{4a - \sin 4a}{(\sin 2a)^2} > 0$$

for all $a > 0$. By the chain rule,

$$\frac{d}{da} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_C^2(x) dx \right\} = \frac{d}{dC} \left\{ \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_C^2(x) dx \right\} \frac{dC}{da},$$

and thus it suffices to check that the left-hand side of the last equation is positive for all $a \in \left(0, \frac{1}{2}\pi\right)$.

From (5.3), one has $b = 4a \tan a$, and so (5.2) gives

$$\varphi_C(x) = \frac{4a \tan a}{\cosh^2(ax) + \tan^2 a \sinh^2(ax)}.$$

An explicit integration then shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{da} \left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_C^2(x) dx \right) &= \frac{d}{da} \left(16a \left(1 - \frac{2a}{\tan a} \right) \right) \\ &= \frac{16}{\sin^2 a} \left[\sin^2(2a) - 2a \sin 4a + 4a^2 \right], \end{aligned}$$

which is positive for all $a > 0$. Thus (P4) is verified, and the proof of Theorem 12 is complete. □

References

1. L. Abdelouhab, J.L. Bona, M. Felland and J.-C. Saut, *Non-local models for nonlinear, dispersive waves* (to appear in *Physica D*).
2. J. P. Albert, J.L. Bona and D. Henry, *Sufficient conditions for stability of solitary-wave solutions of model equations for long waves* (*Physica D*, Vol. 24, 1987, p. 343).
3. J.P. Albert, J.L. Bona and M. Felland, *A criterion for the formation of singularities for the generalized Korteweg-de Vries equation* (*Mat. Apl. e Comp.*, Vol. 7, 1988, p. 3).
4. C.J. Amick, *Semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problems on an infinite strip with an application to stratified fluids* (*Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa*, Vol. 11, 1984, p. 441).
5. C.J. Amick and R.E.L. Turner, *A global theory of internal solitary waves in two-fluid systems* (*Trans. American Math. Soc.*, Vol. 298, 1986, p. 431).
6. J.R. Apel, H.M. Byrne, J.R. Proni and R.L. Charnell, *Observations of oceanic internal and surface waves from the Earth resources technology satellite* (*J. Geophys. Res.* Vol. 80, 1975, p. 865).
7. V.I. Arnol'd, *Conditions for nonlinear stability of stationary plane curvilinear flows of an ideal fluid* (*Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, Vol. 162, 1965, p. 975; translated into English in *Soviet Math. Dokl.*, Vol. 6, p. 773).
8. V.I. Arnol'd, *Sur un principe variationnel pour les écoulements stationnaires des liquides parfaits et ses applications aux problèmes de stabilité non linéaires* (*J. Mécanique*, Vol. 5, 1966, p. 29).
9. T.B. Benjamin, *Internal waves of finite amplitude and permanent form* (*J. Fluid Mech.*, Vol. 25, 1966, p. 241).
10. T.B. Benjamin, *Internal waves of permanent form in fluids of great depth* (*J. Fluid Mech.*, Vol. 29, 1967, p. 559).
11. T.B. Benjamin, *The stability of solitary waves* (*Proc. Royal Soc. London A*, Vol. 328, 1972, p. 153).
12. T.B. Benjamin, J.L. Bona and D.K. Bose, *Solitary-wave solutions of non-linear problems* (to appear in *Phil. Trans. Royal Soc. London A*).
13. D. Bennett, J.L. Bona, R. Brown, S. Stansfield and J. Stroughair, *The stability of*

- internal waves (Math. Proc. Cambridge Phil. Soc., Vol. 94, 1983, p. 351).*
14. D.J. Benney, *Long non-linear waves in fluid flows (J. Math. Phys., Vol. 45, 1966, p. 52).*
 15. J.L. Bona, *On the stability theory of solitary waves (Proc. Royal Soc. London A, Vol. 344, 1975, p. 363).*
 16. J.L. Bona, D.K. Bose and R.E.L. Turner, *Finite amplitude steady waves in stratified fluids, (J. Math. Pures Appl., Vol. 62, 1983, p. 289).*
 17. J.L. Bona, V. Dougalis and O.A. Karakashian, *Fully discrete Galerkin methods for the Korteweg-de Vries equation (Comp. & Math. with Applications, Vol. 12A, 1986, p. 859).*
 18. J.L. Bona and R.L. Sachs, *Global existence of smooth solutions and stability of solitary waves for a generalized Boussinesq equation (Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 118, 1988, p. 15).*
 19. J.L. Bona and R.L. Sachs, *The existence of internal solitary waves in a two-fluid system near the KdV limit (to appear in Geophys. & Astro. Fluid Dynamics).*
 20. J.L. Bona, P.E. Souganidis and W.A. Strauss, *Stability and instability of solitary waves of Korteweg-de Vries type (Proc. Royal Soc. London A, Vol. 411, 1987, p. 395).*
 21. D. Farmer and J.D. Smith, *Nonlinear internal waves in a fjord (In Hydrodynamics of Estuaries and Fjords, J. Nihoue, ed., Elsevier, New York, 1978).*
 22. F. Gantmacher and M. Krein, *Oszillationsmatrizen, oszillationskerne und kleine schwingungen mechanischer systeme, Berlin, Akademie-Verlag, 1960.*
 23. M. Grillakis, J. Shatah and W.A. Strauss, *Stability theory of solitary waves in the presence of symmetry (J. Funct. Anal., Vol. 74, 1987, p. 160).*
 24. K. Hunkin and M. Fliegel, *Internal undular surges in Seneca lake; a natural occurrence of solitons (J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 78, 1973, p. 539).*
 25. R. Iorio, *On the Cauchy problem for the Benjamin-Ono equation (Commun. Partial Diff'l Eqns., Vol. 11, 1986, p. 1031).*
 26. R. Joseph, *Solitary waves in a finite depth fluid (J. Physics A, Vol. 10, 1977, p. L225).*
 27. S. Karlin, *The existence of eigenvalues for integral operators (Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., Vol. 113, 1964, p. 1).*

28. S. Karlin, *Total Positivity*, Stanford, CA, Stanford Univ. Press, 1968.
29. T. Kato, *On the Cauchy problem for the (generalized) Korteweg-de Vries equation (Studies in Appl. Math., Advances in Math. Supplementary Studies, Vol. 8, 1983, Academic Press, New York, p. 93).*
30. T. Kato, *Perturbation theory for linear operators*, 2nd edition, Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1984.
31. T. Kubota, D. Ko and L. Dobbs, *Weakly-nonlinear long internal gravity waves in stratified fluids of finite depth (J. Hydrodynamics, Vol. 12, 1978, p. 157).*
32. A.R. Osborne and J.L. Burch, *Internal solitons in the Andaman Sea (Science, Vol. 208, 1980, p. 451).*
33. L.G. Redekopp, *Nonlinear waves in geophysics: long internal waves (In Fluid Dynamics in Astrophysics and Geophysics, N. Lebovitz, ed., American Math. Soc., Providence, 1983).*
34. H. Sandstrom and J.A. Elliott, *Internal tide and solitons on the Scotian shelf: a nutrient pump at work (J. Geophys. Res., Vol. 189, 1984, p. 6415).*
35. R.E.L. Turner, *Internal waves in fluids with rapidly varying density (Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa, Vol. 8, 1981, p. 13).*
36. L.R. Walker, *Interfacial solitary waves in a two-fluid medium (Phys. of Fluids, Vol. 16, 1973, p. 1796).*
37. M. Weinstein, *Nonlinear Schrödinger equations and sharp interpolation estimates (Commun. Math. Phys., Vol. 87, 1983, p. 567).*
38. M. Weinstein, *Modulational stability of ground states of nonlinear Schrödinger equations (SIAM J. Math. Anal., Vol. 16, 1985, p. 472).*
39. M. Weinstein, *Lyapunov stability of ground states of nonlinear dispersive evolution equations (Commun. Pure Appl. Math., Vol. 39, 1986, p. 51).*
40. M. Weinstein, *Existence and dynamic stability of solitary-wave solutions of equations arising in long wave propagation (Commun. Partial Diff'l Eqns., Vol. 12, 1987, p. 1133).*
41. K. Yosida, *Functional Analysis*, 4th ed., Berlin, Springer-Verlag, 1970.

42. M. Zerner, *Quelques propriétés spectrales des operateurs positifs* (*J. Funct. Anal.*, Vol. 72, 1987, p. 381).

#	Author/s	Title
495	Lucas Hsu, Niky Kamran and Peter J. Olver,	Equivalence of Higher Order Lagrangians II. The Cartan Form for Particle Lagrangians
496	D.J. Kaup and Peter J. Olver,	Quantization of BiHamiltonian Systems
497	Metin Arik, Fahrünisa Neyzi, Yavuz Nutku, Peter J. Olver and John M. Verosky	Multi-Hamiltonian Structure of the Born-Infeld Equation
498	David H. Wagner,	Detonation Waves and Deflagration Waves in the One Dimensional ZND Model for High Mach Number Combustion
499	Jerrold R. Griggs and Daniel J. Kleitman,	Minimum Cutsets for an Element of a Boolean Lattice
500	Dieter Jungnickel,	On Affine Difference Sets
501	Pierre Leroux,	Reduced Matrices and q-log Concavity Properties of q-Stirling Numbers
502	A. Narain and Y. Kizilyalli,	The Flow of Pure Vapor Undergoing Film Condensation Between Parallel Plates
503	Donald A. French,	On the Convergence of Finite Element Approximations of a Relaxed Variational Problem
504	Yisong Yang,	Computation, Dimensionality, and Zero Dissipation Limit of the Ginzburg-Landau Wave Equation
505	Jürgen Sprekels,	One-Dimensional Thermomechanical Phase Transitions with Non-Convex Potentials of Ginzburg-Landau Type
506	Yisong Yang,	A Note On Nonabelian Vortices
507	Yisong Yang,	On the Abelian Higgs Models with Sources
508	Chjan. C. Lim,	Existence of Kam Tori in the Phase Space of Vortex Systems
509	John Weiss,	Bäcklund Transformations and the Painlevé Property
510	Pu Fu-cho and D.H. Sattinger,	The Yang-Baxter Equation for Integrable Systems
511	E. Bruce Pitman and David G. Schaeffer,	Instability and Ill-Posedness in Granular Flow
512	Brian A. Coomes,	Polynomial Flows on C^n *
513	Bernardo Cockburn, Suchung Hou and Chi-Wang Shu,	The Runge-Kutta Local Projection Discontinuous Galerkin Finite Element Method for Conservation Laws IV: The Multidimensional Case
514	Peter J. Olver,	Invariant Theory, Equivalence Problems, and the Calculus of Variations
515	Daniel D. Joseph and Thomas S. Lundgren with an appendix by R. Jackson and D.A. Saville,	Ensemble Averaged and Mixture Theory Equations
516	P. Singh, Ph. Caussignac, A. Fortes, D.D. Joseph and T. Lundgren,	Stability of Periodic Arrays of Cylinders Across the Stream by Direct Simulation
517	Daniel D. Joseph,	Generalization of the Foscolo-Gibilario Analysis of Dynamic Waves
518	A. Narain and D.D. Joseph,	Note on the Balance of Energy at a Phase Change Interface
519	Daniel D. Joseph,	Remarks on inertial radii, persistent normal stresses, secondary motions, and non-elastic extensional viscosities
520	D. D. Joseph,	Mathematical Problems Associated with the Elasticity of Liquids
521	Henry C. Simpson and Scott J. Spector,	Some Necessary Conditions at an Internal Boundary for Minimizers in Finite Elasticity
522	Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee,	On the 0-1 Maximization of Positive Definite Quadratic Forms
523	Fu-Cho Pu and D.H. Sattinger,	The Yang-Baxter Equations and Differential Identities
524	Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich,	A Hyperbolic Inverse Problem Arising in the Evolution of Combustion Aerosol
525	E.G. Kalnins, Raphael D. Levine and Willard Miller, Jr.,	Conformal Symmetries and Generalized Recurrences for Heat and Schrödinger Equations in One Spatial Dimension
526	Wang Jinghua and Gerald Warnecke,	On Entropy Consistency of Large Time Step Godunov and Glimm Schemes
527	C. Guillopé and J.C. Saut,	Existence Results for the Flow of Viscoelastic Fluids with a Differential Constitutive Law
528	H.L. Bodlaender, P. Gritzmann, V. Klee and J. Van Leeuwen	Computational Complexity of Norm-Maximization
529	Li Ta-tsien (Li Da-qian) and Yu Xin,	Life-Span of Classical Solutions to Fully Nonlinear Wave Equations
530	Jong-Shenq Guo,	A Variational Inequality Associated with a Lubrication Problem
531	Jong-Shenq Guo,	On the Semilinear Elliptic Equation $\Delta w - \frac{1}{2}y \cdot \nabla w + \lambda w - w^{-\beta} = 0$ in R^n
532	Andrew E. Yagle,	Inversion of the Bloch transform in magnetic resonance imaging using asymmetric two-component inverse scattering

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
533	Bei Hu,	A Fiber Tapering Problem
534	Peter J. Olver,	Canonical Variables for Bi-Hamiltonian Systems
535	Michael Renardy,	A Well-Posed Boundary Value Problem for Supercritical Flow of Viscoelastic Fluids of Maxwell Type
536	Michael Renardy,	Ill-Posedness Resulting from Slip As a Possible Explanation of Melt Fracture
537	Michael Renardy,	Compatibility Conditions at Corners Between Walls and Inflow Boundaries for Fluids of Maxwell Type
538	Rolf Rees,	The Spectrum of Restricted Resolvable Designs with $r = 2$
539	D. Lewis and J.C. Simo,	Nonlinear stability of rotating pseudo-rigid bodies
540	Robert Hardt and David Kinderlehrer,	Variational Principles with Linear Growth
541	San Yih Lin and Yisong Yang,	Computation of Superconductivity in Thin Films
542	A. Narain,	Pressure Driven Flow of Pure Vapor Undergoing Laminar Film Condensation Between Parallel Plates
543	P.J. Vassiliou,	On Local Equivalence for Vector Field Systems
544	Brian A. Coomes,	On Conditions Sufficient for Injectivity of Maps
545	Yanchun Zhao,	A Class of Global Smooth Solutions of the One Dimensional Gas Dynamics System
546	H. Holden, L. Holden and N.H. Risebro,	Some Qualitative Properties of 2×2 Systems of Conservation Laws of Mixed Type
547	M. Slemrod,	Dynamics of Measured Valued Solutions to a Backward-Forward Heat Equation
548	Avner Friedman and Jürgen Sprekels,	Steady States of Austenitic-Martensitic-Domains in the Ginzburg-Landau Theory of Shape Memory Alloys
549	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu,	Degenerate Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations in a Bounded Domain
550	E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr., and M.V. Tratnik,	Families of Orthogonal and Biorthogonal Polynomials on the N-Sphere
551	Heinrich Freistühler,	On Compact Linear Degeneracy
552	Matthew Witten,	Quantifying the Concepts of Rate and Acceleration/Deceleration of Aging
553	J.P. Albert and J.L. Bona,	Total Positivity and the Stability of Internal Waves in Stratified Fluids of Finite Depth
554	Brian Coomes and Victor Zurkowski,	Linearization of Polynomial Flows and Spectra of Derivations
555	Yuriko Renardy,	A Couette-Poiseuille Flow of Two Fluids in a Channel
556	Michael Renardy,	Short wave instabilities resulting from memory slip
557	Daniel D. Joseph and Michael Renardy,	Stokes' first problem for linear viscoelastic fluids with finite memory
558	Xiaxi Ding,	Superlinear Conservation Law with Viscosity
559	J.L. Ericksen,	Liquid Crystals with Variable Degree of Orientation
560	F. Robert Ore, Jr. and Xinfu Chen,	Electro-Optic Modulation in an Arbitrary Cross-Section Waveguide
561	M.V. Tratnik,	Multivariable biorthogonal continuous-discrete Wilson and Racah polynomials
562	Yisong Yang,	Existence of Solutions for a Generalized Yang-Mills Theory
563	Peter Gritzmann, Laurent Habsieger and Victor Klee,	Good and Bad Radii of Convex Polygons
564	Martin Golubitsky, Martin Krupa and Chjan. C. Lim,	Time-Reversibility and Particle Sedimentation
565	G. Yin,	Recent Progress in Parallel Stochastic Approximations
566	G. Yin,	On H-Valued SA: Finite Dimensional Approximations
567	Chien-Cheng Chang,	Accurate Evaluation of the Effect of Diffusion and Conductivity in Certain Equations
568	Chien-Cheng Chang and Ruey-Ling Chern,	The Effect of Viscous Diffusion in Discrete Vortex Dynamics for Slightly Viscous Flows
569	Li Ta-Tsien (Li Da-qian) and Zhao Yan-Chun,	Global Existence of Classical Solutions to the Typical Free Boundary Problem for General Quasilinear Hyperbolic Systems and its Applications
570	Thierry Cazenave and Fred B. Weissler,	The Structure of Solutions to the Pseudo-Conformally Invariant Nonlinear Schrödinger Equation
571	Marshall Slemrod and Athanasios E. Tzavaras,	A Limiting Viscosity Approach for the Riemann Problem in Isentropic Gas Dynamics
572	Richard D. James and Scott J. Spector,	The Formation of Filamentary Voids in Solids
573	P.J. Vassiliou,	On the Geometry of Semi-Linear Hyperbolic Partial Differential Equations in the Plane Integrable by the Method of Darboux
574	Jerome V. Moloney and Alan C. Newell,	Nonlinear Optics
575	Keti Tenenblat,	A Note on Solutions for the Intrinsic Generalized Wave and Sine-Gordon Equations
576	P. Szmolyan,	Heteroclinic Orbits in Singularly Perturbed Differential Equations
577	Wenxiong Liu,	A Parabolic System Arising In Film Development