**A PROOF OF THE MACDONALD-MORRIS
ROOT SYSTEM CONJECTURE FOR F_4**

By

F.G. Garvan

IMA Preprint Series # 370

December 1987

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

514 Vincent Hall
206 Church Street S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

A PROOF OF THE MACDONALD-MORRIS ROOT SYSTEM CONJECTURE FOR F_4

F.G. GARVAN†

Abstract. We give a proof of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture for F_4 that draws on ideas from Zeilberger's recent proof of the $G_2^\vee$ case and Kadell's proof of the q - BC_n case. Our proof depends on much computer computation. As in Zeilberger's proof the problem is reduced to solving a system of linear equations. A FORTRAN program generated the equations which were solved using the computer algebra package MAPLE.

1. Introduction.

The Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture for F_4 is

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.1) \quad C.T. \quad & \prod_{1 \leq i < j \leq 4} (1 - x_i x_j)^a (1 - x_i^{-1} x_j^{-1})^a (1 - \frac{x_i}{x_j})^a (1 - \frac{x_j}{x_i})^a \\
 & \cdot \prod_{i=1}^4 (1 - x_i^2)^b (1 - x_i^{-2})^b \prod_{r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4 = \pm 1} (1 - x_1^{r_1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4})^b \\
 & = \frac{(6a + 6b)!(4a + 4b)!(2a + 6b)!(4a + 2b)!(2a + 4b)!(4b)!(3a)!}{(5a + 6b)!(3a + 5b)!(3a + 4b)!(3a + 2b)!(2a + 3b)!(a + 3b)!(2a + b)!} \\
 & \cdot \frac{(3b)!(2a)!(2b)!}{(a + 2b)!(a + b)!a!a!b!b!} = f(a, b) .
 \end{aligned}$$

Here $C.T.$ means constant term of the Laurent polynomial in $x_1, x_1^{-1}, \dots, x_4, x_4^{-1}$. We refer to the reader to Macdonald [10] for more general statements of the root system conjectures. The A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n, BC_n and G_2 cases of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjectures have been proved. The conjectures have remained open for the F_4, E_6, E_7, E_8 cases. The best proof of the A_n case is due to Good [6]. Macdonald [10] noticed that the BC_n case (and hence the B_n, C_n , and D_n cases) follow from Selberg's [12] integral. The G_2 case was proved independently by Habsieger [7] and Zeilberger [13]. There are q -analogs of these conjectures. We refer the reader to Macdonald [10] and Askey [2] for more details. Recently, Zeilberger [14] has proved the $G_2^\vee$ case and Kadell [9] has proved the q - BC_n case.

The goal of this paper is to prove the F_4 case, namely (1.1). Kadell's paper [9, §2] also contains a new proof of the ($q = 1$) BC_n case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture that avoids integrals. This new proof is analogous to Aomoto's [1] proof of Selberg's integral in the following sense: It involves adding extra factors to the Laurent

†*Current Address*: I.M.A., University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota, 55455.

polynomial as opposed to adding extra factors to the integrand of Selberg's integral and Aomoto's integration by parts is replaced by the fact that the derivative of a Laurent polynomial has no residue. We extend Kadell's proof to the F_4 case but another idea is needed. The extra idea comes from Zeilberger's [14] proof of the $G_2^\vee$ case of the q -analog of Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture. As in Zeilberger [14] the problem is reduced to finding and solving a system of linear equations whose unknowns are constant terms of certain Laurent polynomials. These equations are generated with the aid of a FORTRAN program. Finally the equations are solved using the computer algebra package MAPLE.

After some preliminaries in §2 an idea of the proof is given in §3. The results behind the FORTRAN program that generates the desired equations are given in §§4-6. The proof is completed in §7.

We have been able to verify the results of this paper by another method. Recently we [5] have found a new proof of the G_2 case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture which is solely in terms of integrals. Our proof was motivated by some conjectures of Askey [2], that have to do with adding roots to the G_2 case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture, and is analogous to Aomoto's [1] proof of the Selberg's integral. We have been able to extend our integral proof of the G_2 case to the F_4 case. However, this other proof involves finding equations between certain integrals and converting these into equations involving constant terms. The proof then proceeds as usual by solving a system of linear equations. We have omitted this other proof, finding the approach of working with Laurent polynomials rather than with integrals more straightforward.

In §8 we give some other results that involve adding extra factors to the F_4 case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture. The results are analogous to Askey's [2] conjectures for G_2 . Although many of these results can be written as products of factorials we are unable to generalize them to all root systems. These other results may indicate that a simpler proof of the F_4 case is possible.

All computer programs used in this paper are available from the author on request. Some preliminary calculations were done using REDUCE at the University of Wisconsin, Madison. The final FORTRAN and MAPLE programs were run on an APOLLO DN-5800 at the I.M.A., University of Minnesota, Minneapolis.

2. Some Preliminaries.

In this section we prove some properties of the root system F_4 that will be needed later. We assume that the reader is familiar with the basics of root systems and their Weyl groups. See Bourbaki [3], Carter [4] and Humphreys [8] for treatments of root systems and Weyl groups.

Let $\{e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4\}$ be the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$. The roots of F_4 are usually written as

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} &\pm e_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4), \quad \pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 4), \\ &\quad \frac{1}{2} (\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4). \end{aligned}$$

See, for example Bourbaki [3, p. 272]. We call this set of roots $\Phi^{(1)}(F_4)$. It is clear that the long roots of F_4 are isomorphic to D_4 . In this paper we shall use two other ways of writing the roots of F_4 .

Firstly, we rewrite the roots of F_4 in a way that makes it clear that the short roots of F_4 are isomorphic to D_4 . Let $\Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$ be the set of vectors:

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} &\pm 2e_i \quad (1 \leq i \leq 4), \quad \pm e_i \pm e_j \quad (1 \leq i < j \leq 4), \\ &(\pm e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4). \end{aligned}$$

$\Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$ and $\Phi^{(1)}(F_4)$ are isomorphic as root systems. The isomorphism is given by

$$(2.3) \quad A : \Phi^{(1)}(F_4) \longrightarrow \Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$$

where A is the transformation with matrix

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the standard basis of $\mathbb{R}^4$. This is a root system isomorphism since $A^t A = 2I$. As an immediate consequence we have

LEMMA 2.5. Short roots of $F_4 \cong D_4$.

Secondly, we may write the roots of F_4 (as given in (2.2)) as $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combinations of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ where

$$(2.6) \quad \alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, \alpha_2 = e_3 + e_4, \alpha_3 = e_3 - e_4, \alpha_4 = e_2 - e_3.$$

These $\mathbf{Z}$ -linear combination are given Appendix A. The α_i come from the Dynkin diagram for D_4 which is given below in Figure 1.

From the symmetry of the Dynkin diagram we see that any permutation of $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \alpha_3$ leaves the root system of D_4 invariant. It is interesting to note that any such permutation also leaves the root system of F_4 invariant. Let $\pi \in S_3$ and suppose $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^4 k_i \alpha_i \in \Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$ where $k_i \in \mathbf{Z}$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$). Then we define

$$(2.7) \quad \pi\alpha = k_1 \alpha_{\pi(1)} + k_2 \alpha_{\pi(2)} + k_3 \alpha_{\pi(3)} + k_4 \alpha_4.$$

We have

Figure 1 Dynkin diagram for D_4

LEMMA 2.8. For $\pi \in S_3$, $\pi(\Phi^{(2)}(F_4)) = \Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$.

For a root system R we denote its Weyl group by $W(R)$. We need a nice way to code the elements of $W(F_4)$. By Bourbaki [3, p. 257] $W(D_4)$ consists of all signed permutations with an even number of signs, that act on the coordinates e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 . Let H denote the set of all signed permutations that act on the coordinates e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 . For $\alpha \in R$ we denote by w_α the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to α . Since $w_{2e_i} \in W(F_4)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) we have

$$(2.9) \quad W(D_4) \subset H \subset W(F_4).$$

We introduce some notation to describe the elements of H . We denote the permutations on the coordinates e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4 using the usual cycle notation. We define the sign changes as follows: For $1 \leq i \leq 4$ let s_i denote the transformation given by

$$(2.10) \quad s_i : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4 \quad e_i \longmapsto -e_i \quad \text{and} \quad e_j \longmapsto e_j \quad (j \neq i).$$

For example,

$$s_1(34) (e_1 + e_2 + 2e_3 - e_4) = -e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + 2e_4.$$

LEMMA 2.11. Every $w \in W(F_4)$ can be written

$$w = (\tau\sigma)^k h$$

where $k = 0, 1, 2$ $h \in H$ and $\tau = w_{2e_4}$ and $\sigma = w_{e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4}$.

Proof. $|H| = 2^4 \cdot 4! = 2^7 \cdot 3$
 $|W(F_4)| = 2^7 \cdot 3^2$ (Bourbaki [3, p.273 (X)]).

The result follows since $\tau\sigma \notin H$ and $(\tau\sigma)^3 = I$. $\square$

Let,

(2.12)

$$\overline{C} = \{(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \in \mathbb{R} : \begin{aligned} &x_1 \geq x_2 \geq x_3 \geq x_4 \geq 0, \\ &x_2 + x_3 + x_4 \geq x_1, \ x_1 + x_4 \geq x_2 + x_3 \}. \end{aligned}$$

LEMMA 2.13. For every $x \in \mathbb{R}^4$ there is a unique $\tilde{v} \in \overline{C}$ such that x can be transformed into $\tilde{v}$ by some element of $\tilde{W}(F_4)$.

Proof. From Bourbaki [3, p. 272 (II)] the following vectors form a base for F_4 :

(2.14)

$$e_2 - e_3, \ e_3 - e_4, \ e_4, \ \frac{1}{2}(e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4),$$

with the roots written in the usual way (i.e: as elements of $\Phi^{(1)}(F_4)$). In our representation of F_4 (i.e: as elements of $\Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$) this corresponds to

(2.15)

$$e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4, \ -e_1 + e_2 - e_3 - e_4, \ e_1 + e_4, \ -e_1 + e_3.$$

By applying the relevant signed permutation we find that the following vectors also form a base for F_4 :

(2.16)

$$-e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4, \ e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4, \ e_3 - e_4, \ -e_3 + e_2,$$

by Carter [4, Theorem 2.2.4]. The closure of the chamber, C , corresponding to this base is given in (2.12). The result follows from Carter [4, Proposition 2.3.4]. $\square$

Let,

(2.17)

$$\text{funch} : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \overline{C} \quad \text{funch}(\tilde{x}) = \tilde{v}$$

with $\tilde{x}, \tilde{v}$ as in Lemma (2.13) above. For $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^4 k_i e_i$ ($k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) we let

(2.18)

$$x^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^4 x_i^{k_i}.$$

The elements w of the Weyl group act on monomials by

(2.19)

$$w(x^\alpha) = x^{w(\alpha)},$$

and by linearity on Laurent polynomials that are linear combinations of the x^α .

Let

(2.20)

$$F(\tilde{x}; a, b) = \prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^{(2)}(F_4)} (1 - x^\alpha)^{k_\alpha}$$

where

$$k_\alpha = \begin{cases} a, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a short root,} \\ b, & \text{if } \alpha \text{ is a long root.} \end{cases}$$

We note that $F(\tilde{x}; a, b)$ is the Laurent polynomial on the left hand side of (1.1).

LEMMA 2.21. For $w \in W(F_4)$,

$$C.T. x^\alpha F = C.T. x^{w(\alpha)} F$$

where F is defined in (2.20).

Proof. The result follows from the fact that F is symmetric with respect to the Weyl group and w does not change the constant term. $\square$

3. The idea of the proof.

Let,

$$(3.1) \quad f'(a, b) = C.T. F(x; a, b)$$

where F is defined in (2.20). Our goal is to prove that $f'(a, b) = f(a, b)$ for all $a, b \geq 0$. The idea is to proceed by induction on a . That is, we want to prove that

$$(3.2) \quad \frac{f'(a+1, b)}{f'(a, b)} = \frac{f(a+1, b)}{f(a, b)}.$$

This will be enough because the case $a = 0$ is already known since

$$(3.3) \quad \text{Long roots of } F_4 \cong D_4.$$

The flavor of our proof is similar to Zeilberger's [14] proof of the $G_2^\vee$ case of the q -version of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture. Let L be the lattice generated by α ($\alpha \in F_4$). Now,

$$\begin{aligned} (3.4) \quad f'(a+1, b) &= C.T. F(x; a+1, b) \\ &= C.T. \prod_{\alpha \in \text{short } F_4} (1 - x^\alpha) F(x; a, b) \\ &= C.T. \prod_{\alpha \in D_4} (1 - x^\alpha) F(x; a, b) \quad (\text{by Lemma (2.5)}) \\ &= C.T. \sum_{\alpha \in L'} a_\alpha x^\alpha F(x; a, b) \quad (\text{for some } L' \subset L) \\ &= C.T. \sum_{\alpha \in L'} a_\alpha x^{\text{funch}(\alpha)} F(x; a, b) \quad (\text{by Lemma (2.13), (2.17) and Lemma (2.21)}) \\ &= C.T. \sum_{\alpha \in S} a'_\alpha x^\alpha F(x; a, b) \end{aligned}$$

for some finite subset S of $\overline{C}$, which is defined in (2.12). $\prod_{\alpha \in D_4} (1 - x^\alpha)$ was multiplied at utilizing a FORTRAN program, $\text{funch}(\alpha)$ was calculated for each monomial x^α that arose in each stage of the multiplication. The 37 vectors that arose, $v(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$), are listed in Appendix B. $S = \{v(i) : 1 \leq i \leq 37\}$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \sum_{\alpha \in S} a'_\alpha x^\alpha = 192x^{v(1)} - 768x^{v(2)} + \cdots + 192x^{v(37)}.$$

The complete list of coefficients in (3.5) is given in Appendix B. Let,

$$(3.6) \quad an(i) = C.T. \ x^{v(i)} F(\tilde{x}; a, b) \quad (1 \leq i \leq 37).$$

The problem is to get $an(i)$ ($2 \leq i \leq 37$) in terms of $an(1) = C.T. \ x^{v(1)} F(\tilde{x}; a, b) = C.T. \ F(\tilde{x}; a, b) = f'(a, b)$. Once we have done this (3.2) should follow from (3.4) and (3.5).

Hence we need to find 36 independent equations in the unknowns $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$). Our goal is to write a FORTRAN program that will generate equations. The input of this program is a 4-tuple $\tilde{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and the output will be either a homogeneous linear equation in the $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$) or an error message, which says such an equation is not possible. It will turn out that when $\tilde{k} = v(i)$ ($2 \leq i \leq 37$) that the corresponding outputs will be the required 36 independent equations in the $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$). See §6 for more details. We describe how we came by this program in 4 steps:

STEP 1: Use

$$(3.7) \quad C.T. \ x_1 \frac{\partial}{\partial x_1} x_1^{k_1} x_2^{k_2} x_3^{k_3} x_4^{k_4} F(\tilde{x}; a, b) = 0 .$$

As noted before this was idea was used by Kadell [9] in his proof of the q - BC_n case. This gives rise to an equation involving constant terms of rational functions in x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 times F .

STEP 2: Use the Weyl group to reduce the number of types of terms arising in STEP 1.

STEP 3: Use the Weyl group to write the constant terms that arise in STEP 2 as constant terms of Laurent polynomials times F .

STEP 4: Use the Weyl group to write the constant terms that arise in STEP 3 in terms of the $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$), if possible.

In this way, for certain $\tilde{k}$, (3.7) can be written as a linear homogeneous equation in the $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$).

4. Steps 1 and 2: An Equation involving constant terms with denominators.

In this section we describe the first two steps, mentioned in §3, that are needed in turning the equation (3.7) into an equation involving the $an(i)$. (3.7) is

$$(4.1) \quad 0 = C.T. \left\{ \left(k_1 + a \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\frac{-x_1 x_j}{1 - x_1 x_j} + \frac{x_1^{-1} x_j^{-1}}{1 - x_1^{-1} x_j^{-1}} - \frac{x_1/x_j}{1 - x_1/x_j} + \frac{x_j/x_1}{1 - x_j/x_1} \right) \right. \right. \\ \left. + b \left(\frac{-2x_1^2}{1 - x_1^2} + \frac{2x_1^{-2}}{1 - x_1^{-2}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + b \sum_{r_2, r_3, r_4 = \pm 1} \left(\frac{-x_1 x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}}{1 - x_1 x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}} + \frac{x_1^{-1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}}{1 - x_1^{-1} x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}} \right) \right) \\ \left. \cdot x^{\tilde{k}} F(\tilde{x}; a, b) \right\},$$

where $\tilde{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4)$. Hence,

$$(4.2) \quad C.T. \cdot k_1 x^{\tilde{k}} F \\ = C.T. \left(a \sum_{j=2}^4 \left(\frac{1 + x_1 x_j}{1 - x_1 x_j} + \frac{1 + \frac{x_1}{x_j}}{1 - \frac{x_1}{x_j}} \right) \right. \\ \left. + 2b \frac{(1 + x_1^2)}{(1 - x_1^2)} \right. \\ \left. + b \sum_{r_2, r_3, r_4 = \pm 1} \frac{1 + x_1 x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}}{1 - x_1 x_2^{r_2} x_3^{r_3} x_4^{r_4}} \right) \cdot x^{\tilde{k}} F.$$

This completes STEP 1.

In STEP 2 we reduce the number of different denominators appearing in STEP 1 to two (one for each root length). The reason this can be done is that each term on the right hand side of (4.2) is of the form $\frac{1 + x^\alpha}{1 - x^\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in F_4$, and so can be converted to one of two types by using the fact that the Weyl group acts transitively on roots of equal length and by using Lemma (2.21). Hence for each $\alpha \in F_4$ we need to find a $w \in W(F_4)$ such that

$$w(\alpha) = \begin{cases} e_1 - e_3, & \alpha \text{ short,} \\ e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4, & \alpha \text{ long.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that for α of the form $e_i \pm e_j$, $e_1 \pm e_2 \pm e_3 \pm e_4$ we may take $w \in H$, the set of signed permutations (defined in §2), and w is easy to calculate. All that remains is to find

a $w \in H$ such that $w(2e_1) = e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4$. Let SYM denote the reflection through the hyperplane orthogonal to $e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4$ then

$$2e_1 \xrightarrow{(13)} 2e_3 \xrightarrow{SYM} e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4 \xrightarrow{s_4} e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4.$$

Hence we find that (4.2) may be written as

$$(4.3) \quad 0 = C.T. \left\{ k_1 x_{\sim}^k + a \frac{(x_1 + x_3)}{(x_1 - x_3)} (x_{\sim}^k + (23)x_{\sim}^k + (34)x_{\sim}^k + s_3 x_{\sim}^k + (23)s_2 x_{\sim}^k + (34)s_4 x_{\sim}^k) + b \frac{(x_2 + x_1 x_3 x_4)}{(x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2)} (2 s_4 SYM (13)x_{\sim}^k + s_2 x_{\sim}^k + s_4 s_2 x_{\sim}^k + s_2 s_3 x_{\sim}^k + s_2 s_3 s_4 x_{\sim}^k + x_{\sim}^k + s_4 x_{\sim}^k + s_3 x_{\sim}^k + s_3 s_4 x_{\sim}^k) \right\} F(x; a, b).$$

5. STEP 3: Getting rid of denominators.

The constant term expressions that arise in STEP 2 can be written as either

$$(5.1) \quad C.T. \frac{p_1(x_{\sim})}{x_1 - x_3} F(x; a, b)$$

or

$$(5.2) \quad C.T. \frac{p_2(x_{\sim})}{x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2} F(x; a, b),$$

where $p_i(x_{\sim})$ ($i = 1, 2$) are Laurent polynomials. In this section we show how each of these expressions can be written in the form

$$C.T. p(x_{\sim}) F(x; a, b),$$

for some Laurent polynomial $p(x_{\sim})$, and how such an expression can be computed.

(5.1) is easy to handle. Since $F(x; a, b)$ is symmetric in x_1, x_3 we have

$$(5.3) \quad C.T. \frac{p_1(x_{\sim})}{x_1 - x_3} F(x; a, b) = \frac{1}{2} C.T. \left(\frac{p_1(x_{\sim}) - (13)p_1(x_{\sim})}{x_1 - x_3} \right) F(x; a, b)$$

and it is clear that $\frac{p_1(\tilde{x}) - (13)p_1(x)}{x_1 - x_3}$ is a polynomial.

Before we can handle (5.2) we need to define an algorithm, FUN, whose input is a vector given in terms of the e_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) and whose output is the same vector given in terms of the α_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$), defined in (2.6). $\text{FUN} : \mathbb{R}^4 \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^4$ is a linear transformation whose matrix is

$$(5.4) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 1 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & \frac{1}{2} & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -\frac{1}{2} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with respect to the bases $\{e_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}, \{\alpha_i : 1 \leq i \leq 4\}$. The inverse of FUN is UNFUN with matrix

$$(5.5) \quad \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

For $\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^4 \ell_i \alpha_i$ ($\ell_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) we let

$$(5.6) \quad y^\alpha = \prod_{i=1}^4 y_i^{\ell_i}.$$

FUN acts on monomials by

$$(5.7) \quad \text{FUN}(x^\alpha) = y^{\text{FUN}(\alpha)},$$

and by linearity on Laurent polynomials that are linear combinations of the x^α . For example,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{FUN}\left(\frac{x_1 x_3 x_4}{x_2}\right) &= \text{FUN}(x^{e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4}) \\ &= y^{\text{FUN}(e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4)} \\ &= y^{\alpha_1 + \alpha_2} \\ &= y_1 y_2. \end{aligned}$$

Alternatively, we could describe the action of FUN as replacing x_1 by y_1 , x_2 by $\sqrt{y_1 y_2 y_3 y_4}$, x_3 by $\sqrt{y_1 y_2 y_3 / y_4}$ and x_4 by $y_1 y_2$.

From Lemma (2.8) it follows that

$$(5.8) \quad F'_{\sim}(y; a, b) = \text{FUN} (F_{\sim}(x; a, b))$$

is symmetric in y_1, y_2, y_3 . Now we can handle (5.2).

$$(5.9) \quad \begin{aligned} & C.T. \frac{p_2(\tilde{x})}{x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2} F_{\sim}(x; a, b) \\ &= C.T. \frac{((12)p_2(\tilde{x}))}{x_2 x_3 x_4 - x_1} F_{\sim}(x; a, b) \quad (\text{by Lemma (2.21)}) \\ &= C.T. \left(\frac{x_1^{-1}(12)p_2(\tilde{x})}{x^{\alpha_2 - \alpha_1} - 1} \right) F_{\sim}(x; a, b) \\ &= C.T. \frac{q(\tilde{y})}{y_2 - y_1} F'_{\sim}(y; a, b) \quad (\text{by applying FUN}) \end{aligned}$$

where $q(\tilde{y})$ is the Laurent polynomial

$$(5.10) \quad q(\tilde{y}) = y_1 \text{FUN} (x_1^{-1}(12)p_2(\tilde{x})).$$

Hence,

$$(5.11) \quad \begin{aligned} & C.T. \frac{p_2(\tilde{x})}{x_1 x_3 x_4 - x_2} F_{\sim}(x; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} C.T. \left(\frac{q(\tilde{y}) - (12)q(\tilde{y})}{y_2 - y_1} \right) F'_{\sim}(y; a, b) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} C.T. \text{UNFUN} \left(\frac{q(\tilde{y}) - (12)q(\tilde{y})}{y_2 - y_1} \right) F'_{\sim}(x; a, b) \end{aligned}$$

and $\text{UNFUN} \left(\frac{q(\tilde{y}) - (12)q(\tilde{y})}{y_2 - y_1} \right)$ is a Laurent polynomial in x_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) as required.

We note that $\frac{p_1(\tilde{x}) - (13)p_1(\tilde{x})}{x_1 - x_3}$ and similarly $\text{UNFUN} \left(\frac{q(\tilde{y}) - (12)q(\tilde{y})}{y_2 - y_1} \right)$ can be easily computed by observing that if $p_1(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{\tilde{a}} c_{\tilde{a}} \tilde{x}^{\tilde{a}} = \sum_{\tilde{a}} c_{\tilde{a}} x_1^{a_1} x_2^{a_2} x_3^{a_3} x_4^{a_4}$ then

$$(5.12) \quad \frac{p_1(\tilde{x}) - (13)p_1(\tilde{x})}{x_1 - x_3} = \sum_{\tilde{a}} \text{sgn} (a_1 - a_3) c_{\tilde{a}} x_2^{a_2} x_4^{a_4} \sum_{\ell=0}^{|a_1 - a_3| - 1} x_1^{\min(a_1, a_3) + \ell} x_3^{\max(a_1, a_3) - \ell - 1}.$$

6. STEP 4: Obtaining equations in the $an(i)$.

In this section we describe the final step needed in converting the constant term equation, (3.7), into an equation involving the $an(i)$. An examination of STEPS 1-4 will then yield an algorithm whose input is a 4-tuple $\tilde{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and whose output is an equation involving the $an(i)$, or an error message.

By STEP 3 we can write the constant term equation (3.7), in terms of constant terms of certain Laurent polynomials times $F(\tilde{x}; a, b)$. In STEP 4 we would like to find such expressions in terms of the $an(i)$. To do this we use funch , defined in (2.17). Suppose we are given such an expression, say $p(\tilde{x}) F(\tilde{x}; a, b)$, where

$$(6.1) \quad p(\tilde{x}) = \sum_{\alpha \in L'} a_\alpha x^\alpha \quad (\text{for some finite set } L').$$

Then,

$$(6.2) \quad \begin{aligned} C.T. \ p(\tilde{x}) F(\tilde{x}; a, b) &= C.T. \sum_{\alpha \in L'} a_\alpha x^{\text{funch}(\alpha)} F(\tilde{x}; a, b) && (\text{by Lemma (2.13), (2.17) and Lemma (2.20)}) \\ &= C.T. \sum_{\alpha \in S} a'_\alpha x^\alpha F(\tilde{x}; a, b) && (\text{where } S \subseteq \text{funch}(L') \subseteq \overline{C} \text{ defined in (2.12)}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{37} a'_{v(i)} an(i) && (\text{where } an(i) \text{ is defined in (3.6).}) \end{aligned}$$

Note that here we are assuming $S \subseteq \{v(i)\}_{i=1}^{37}$ which may not necessarily be the case. However, for all values of the input $\tilde{k}$, that we use, this condition is satisfied. We leave it to the reader to write a subroutine that will do the reduction described in (6.2). This subroutine should check whether $S \subseteq \{v(i)\}_{i=1}^{37}$. If this condition is not satisfied the output of the subroutine should be some error message.

7. STEP 5: Generating the equations and completing the proof.

In the previous section we noted that STEPS 1-4 yield an algorithm whose input is a 4-tuple $\tilde{k} = (k_1, k_2, k_3, k_4) \in \mathbb{N}^4$ and whose output is an equation involving the $an(i)$. We have written a FORTRAN program that incorporates this algorithm. We leave it to the reader to use equation (4.3) and STEPS 3 and 4 to write such a program. We have found that the set of inputs $\tilde{k} = v(i)$ ($2 \leq i \leq 37$), given in Appendix B, yield a system of 36 independent equations in the $an(i)$, as required. In fact a certain sequence of such inputs will yield a certain sequence of equations that can be solved easily using an algebra

package like MAPLE. Our sequences have the following form:

INPUT	OUTPUT
$\tilde{k}_1 = v(2)$	$an(2) = \frac{-a}{(5a + 6b + 1)} an(1)$
$\tilde{k}_2 = v(3)$	$an(3) = \frac{-1}{(3a + 5b + 1)} \{b an(1) + 3a an(2)\}$
$\tilde{k}_3 = v(4)$	$an(4) = \frac{-1}{(3a + 4b + 1)} \{2(a + b)an(2) + a an(3)\}$
$\tilde{k}_4 = v(5)$	$an(5) = \frac{-1}{(5a + 6b + 2)} \{(a + 2b)an(2) + 2a an(3) + 4(a + b) an(4)\}$
$\tilde{k}_5 = v(7)$	$an(7) = \frac{-1}{(5a + 6b + 2)} \{a an(1) + 2a an(2) + 6b an(3) + 4a an(4)\}$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$\tilde{k}_{36} = v(37)$	$an(37) = \frac{-1}{(6a + 8b + 6)} \{a an(4) + 2a an(6) + \dots + a an(36)\}$

We note that each input $v(i_0)$ produces an equation whose left hand side is $an(i_0)$. Each equation in the output is a linear equation in the $an(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$) and the $an(i)$ that appear on the right hand side occur as left hand sides of equations that appear earlier in the output sequence. Below we give the complete sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{k}_1 &= v(2), & \tilde{k}_2 &= v(3), & \tilde{k}_3 &= v(4), & \tilde{k}_4 &= v(5), & \tilde{k}_5 &= v(7), \\
\tilde{k}_6 &= v(8), & \tilde{k}_7 &= v(6), & \tilde{k}_8 &= v(11), & \tilde{k}_9 &= v(14), & \tilde{k}_{10} &= v(9), \\
\tilde{k}_{11} &= v(10), & \tilde{k}_{12} &= v(12), & \tilde{k}_{13} &= v(13), & \tilde{k}_{14} &= v(15), & \tilde{k}_{15} &= v(16), \\
\tilde{k}_{16} &= v(17), & \tilde{k}_{17} &= v(18), & \tilde{k}_{18} &= v(19), & \tilde{k}_{19} &= v(20), & \tilde{k}_{20} &= v(21), \\
\tilde{k}_{21} &= v(22), & \tilde{k}_{22} &= v(23), & \tilde{k}_{23} &= v(24), & \tilde{k}_{24} &= v(25), & \tilde{k}_{25} &= v(29), \\
\tilde{k}_{26} &= v(26), & \tilde{k}_{27} &= v(27), & \tilde{k}_{28} &= v(28), & \tilde{k}_{29} &= v(30), & \tilde{k}_{30} &= v(31), \\
\tilde{k}_{31} &= v(32), & \tilde{k}_{32} &= v(33), & \tilde{k}_{33} &= v(34), & \tilde{k}_{34} &= v(35), & \tilde{k}_{35} &= v(36), \\
\tilde{k}_{36} &= v(37).
\end{aligned}$$

We can now complete the proof. From (3.4) and (3.5) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
(7.1) \quad f'(a+1, b) &= 192 \, an(1) - 768 \, an(2) + \dots + 192 \, an(37) \\
&\quad \text{(a complete list of the coefficients is given in Appendix B)} \\
&= 18432 \frac{(3a+2)(3a+1)(2a+1)(6a+6b+5)(4a+4b+3)}{(3a+4b+3)(3a+5b+3)(5a+6b+5)(2a+3b+1)(3a+5b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(2a+6b+1)(4a+2b+3)(4a+4b+1)(6a+6b+1)(2a+4b+1)}{(5a+6b+3)(5a+6b+4)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+1)(5a+6b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+2b+1)(2a+2b+1)^2 \, an(1)}{(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(2a+3b+2)} \quad (\text{via MAPLE}) \\
&= \frac{f(a+1, b)}{f(a, b)} f'(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

which is (3.2) as required.

8. Other results.

In this section we give other results that have to do with adding roots to the F_4 case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture. Recently we [5] have found a new proof of the G_2 case of the Macdonald-Morris root system conjecture that is solely in terms of integrals. Our proof was motivated by some conjectures of Askey [2], that have to do with

adding roots to the G_2 case, and is analogous to Aomoto's [1] proof of Selberg's integral. We have been able to extend our integral-type proof, mentioned above, to the F_4 case. This proof involves converting equations involving integrals into equations analogous to (4.3) given in STEP 3 and then the proof is completed by proceeding as in STEPS 4 and 5. The proof given in this paper is more straightforward and direct.

We consider sets of the form $S = T \cup -T$ where T is a subset of the short roots of F_4 (i.e: D_4). We call two such subsets S_1 and S_2 *equivalent* if there is a $w \in W(F_4)$ such that $S_2 = w(S_1)$. This defines an equivalence relation on such sets. By utilizing a FORTRAN program we have found all the equivalence classes:

$\frac{ S }{2}$	# of equivalence classes	representative of each equivalence class
1	1	$\pm\{\beta_1\}$
2	2	$\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_4\}$
3	4	$\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \pm\{\beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_5\}$
4	6	$\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_5\}$ $\pm\{\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_5, \beta_6\}, \pm\{\beta_4, \beta_8, \beta_9, \beta_{10}\}$
5	7	$\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}$ $\pm\{\beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_8\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_7, \beta_8\},$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_4, \beta_8, \beta_9, \beta_{10}\}$
6	9	$\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_7\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_8\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_6, \beta_8\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_7, \beta_8\}, \pm\{\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_7, \beta_8\}$ $\pm\{\beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_6, \beta_7, \beta_8, \beta_9\}, \pm\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_4, \beta_8, \beta_9, \beta_{10}\}$ $\pm\{\beta_1, \beta_4, \beta_5, \beta_8, \beta_9, \beta_{10}\}$

Here the β_k are given in Appendix A. The results for $6 < \frac{|S|}{2} < 12$ follow easily from the results in the table by taking complements. We denote

$$(8.1) \quad [x^\alpha] = (1 - x^\alpha)(1 - x^{-\alpha}).$$

We have calculated

$$(8.2) \quad C.T. \quad \prod_{\alpha \in T} [x^\alpha] F(x; a, b)$$

for all possible subsets T of the short roots of F_4 such that $T \cap -T = \phi$. By Lemma (2.21) it is enough to consider only those T where $S = T \cup -T$ is a representative of an equivalence

class. The same FORTRAN program, that calculated $f'(a+1, b)$ in terms of the $an(i)$ (see (3.4), (3.5)), was used to calculate (8.2) in terms of the $an(i)$ and hence as a product of a rational function of a and b , and $f(a, b)$. To our surprise many of these rational functions factored completely into linear functions. In fact, for each $1 \leq k \leq 11$ there exists at least one T with $|T| = k$ such that the expression (8.2) factors completely into linear factors. Most of these linear factors seem to *glue* onto $f(a, b)$ to become factorials. Askey [2] observed a similar phenomenon in G_2 . We do not see how to generalize these results to all root systems. At the very least our results seem to indicate that an easier non-computer proof of F_4 may be possible. The results that only involve linear factors are given below.

For $1 \leq i_j \leq 12$ we define

$$(8.3) \quad [i_1, i_2, \dots, i_k] = \prod_{j=1}^k [\beta_{i_j}].$$

$$(8.4) \quad C.T.[1]F = 2 \frac{(6a + 6b + 1)}{(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b),$$

$$(8.5) \quad C.T.[1, 2]F = 4 \frac{(4a + 4b + 1)(6a + 6b + 1)}{(3a + 5b + 1)(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b),$$

$$(8.6) \quad C.T.[1, 4]F = 2 \frac{(4a + 4b + 1)(6a + 6b + 1)(5a + 10b + 2)}{(3a + 4b + 1)(3a + 5b + 1)(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b),$$

$$(8.7) \quad C.T.[1, 2, 3]F = 8 \frac{(4a + 2b + 1)(4a + 4b + 1)(6a + 6b + 1)}{(3a + 4b + 1)(3a + 5b + 1)(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b),$$

$$(8.8) \quad C.T.[1, 4, 5]F = 12 \frac{(4a + 4b + 1)(4a + 2b + 1)(2a + 6b + 1)(6a + 6b + 1)}{(5a + 6b + 2)(3a + 4b + 1)(3a + 5b + 1)(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b),$$

$$(8.9) \quad \begin{aligned} C.T.[1, 2, 4, 5]F \\ = 8 \frac{(2a + 6b + 1)(4a + 2b + 1)(4a + 4b + 1)(7a + 8b + 3)}{(2a + 3b + 1)(5a + 6b + 2)(3a + 4b + 1)(3a + 5b + 1)} \\ \cdot \frac{(6a + 6b + 1)}{(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

$$(8.10) \quad \begin{aligned} C.T.[4, 8, 9, 10]F \\ = 32 \frac{(3a + 1)(6a + 6b + 1)(4a + 4b + 1)(4a + 2b + 1)}{(5a + 6b + 2)(3a + 4b + 1)(3a + 5b + 1)(5a + 6b + 1)} f(a, b), \end{aligned}$$

(8.11)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 4, 8, 9, 10]F \\
&= 48 \frac{(3a+1)(2a+2b+1)(4a+2b+1)(6a+6b+1)}{(5a+6b+3)(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(7a+12b+4)}{(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.12)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 2, 4, 5, 6, 8]F \\
&= 288 \frac{(3a+1)(6a+6b+1)(2a+6b+1)(2a+2b+1)}{(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(2a+4b+1)(4a+2b+1)}{(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.13)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 2, 7, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 384 \frac{(3a+1)(2a+2b+1)(2a+4b+1)(2a+6b+1)(5a+5b+3)}{(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(6a+6b+1)(4a+2b+1)}{(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.14)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 11, 12]F \\
&= 1152 \frac{(3a+2)(3a+1)(4a+4b+3)(2a+2b+1)(4a+6b+3)}{(5a+6b+4)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(4a+2b+1)(6a+6b+1)(4a+2b+3)}{(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.15)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 384 \frac{(3a+1)(4a+2b+1)(2a+4b+1)(4a+4b+3)(2a+2b+1)}{(5a+6b+4)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(6a+6b+1)(2a+6b+1)(4a+4b+1)(13a+10b+7)}{(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.16)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[2, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 768 \frac{(3a+1)(6a+6b+1)(2a+6b+1)(4a+2b+1)(4a+4b+3)}{(5a+6b+4)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(2a+4b+1)(2a+2b+1)(7a+4b+4)}{(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.17)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[1, 3, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 2304 \frac{(3a+1)(2a+4b+1)(6a+6b+5)(4a+4b+3)(2a+6b+1)}{(5a+6b+4)(3a+5b+3)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(6a+6b+1)(4a+2b+1)(2a+2b+1)^2}{(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.18)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 768 \frac{(3a+1)(4a+2b+1)(2a+6b+1)(6a+6b+1)(6a+6b+5)}{(5a+6b+4)(3a+5b+3)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(4a+4b+3)(2a+4b+1)(2a+2b+1)(7a+4b+4)}{(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.19)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 2304 \frac{(3a+2)(3a+1)(6a+5+6b)(4a+4b+3)(6a+6b+1)}{(5a+6b+5)(5a+6b+4)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(2a+6b+1)(2a+2b+1)(4a+2b+3)(4a+2b+1)}{(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.20)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 2304 \frac{(3a+1)(2a+4b+1)(6a+6b+5)(4a+2b+1)(2a+6b+1)}{(2a+3b+2)(5a+6b+4)(3a+5b+3)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(6a+6b+1)(4a+4b+1)(4a+2b+3)(4a+4b+3)(2a+2b+1)^2}{(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{f(a, b)}{(5a+6b+1)},
\end{aligned}$$

(8.21)

$$\begin{aligned}
& C.T.[3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12]F \\
&= 4608 \frac{(3a+2)(3a+1)(6a+6b+1)(4a+4b+1)(2a+6b+1)}{(5a+6b+5)(5a+6b+4)(3a+5b+3)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+2b+1)(2a+4b+1)(6a+6b+5)(4a+2b+3)(2a+2b+1)}{(3a+5b+2)(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(3a+5b+1)} \\
&\quad \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+3)}{(5a+6b+1)} f(a, b),
\end{aligned}$$

(8.22)

$C.T.[1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11]F$

$$= 3072 \frac{(3a+2)(3a+1)(6a+6b+5)(2a+4b+1)(6a+6b+1)}{(3a+5b+1)(5a+6b+1)(3a+4b+2)(5a+6b+3)(3a+5b+2)} \\ \cdot \frac{(4a+4b+1)(4a+2b+1)(2a+6b+1)(4a+4b+3)(4a+2b+3)}{(2a+3b+1)(5a+6b+2)(3a+4b+1)(5a+6b+5)(5a+6b+4)} \\ \cdot \frac{(2a+2b+1)^2(7a+9b+7)}{(3a+5b+3)(2a+3b+2)(3a+4b+3)} f(a, b).$$

Acknowledgements.

I would like to thank the following: Richard Askey for encouraging me to tackle F_4 , Kevin Kadell for pointing out the approach of working with Laurent polynomials rather than with integrals and Dennis Stanton for suggesting many improvements and for helping me with Figure 1. It should be pointed out that Kevin Kadell has obtained independently some of the results of this paper. In particular he has calculated the values of $an(2)$ and $an(3)$ given in the table in §7.

APPENDIX A

We write the roots of $\Phi^{(2)}(F_4)$ as $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combinations of α_i ($1 \leq i \leq 4$) defined in (2.6).

$$\begin{aligned} \beta_1 &= \alpha_1 = e_1 - e_2, & \beta_5 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_4 = e_1 - e_3, & \beta_4 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 = e_1 + e_4, \\ \beta_2 &= \alpha_2 = e_3 + e_4, & \beta_6 &= \alpha_2 + \alpha_4 = e_2 + e_4, & \beta_{10} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = e_1 - e_4, \\ \beta_3 &= \alpha_3 = e_3 - e_4, & \beta_7 &= \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = e_2 - e_4, & \beta_{11} &= \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = e_2 + e_3, \\ \beta_4 &= \alpha_4 = e_2 - e_3, & \beta_8 &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + \alpha_4 = e_1 + e_3, & \beta_{12} &= \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = e_1 + e_2, \end{aligned}$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 = e_1 - e_2 + e_3 + e_4, \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_2 = e_1 - e_2 - e_3 - e_4,$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 = e_1 - e_2 + e_3 - e_4, \quad \alpha_1 - \alpha_3 = e_1 - e_2 - e_3 + e_4,$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 = 2e_3, \quad \alpha_2 - \alpha_3 = 2e_4,$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_4 = e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4, \quad 2\alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = 2e_1,$$

$$\alpha_1 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = e_1 + e_2 - e_3 + e_4, \quad \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 + e_4,$$

$$\alpha_2 + \alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = 2e_2, \quad \alpha_1 + \alpha_2 + 2\alpha_3 + 2\alpha_4 = e_1 + e_2 + e_3 - e_4.$$

APPENDIX B

The vectors $v(i)$ ($1 \leq i \leq 37$) that appear in (3.5) are listed below.

$$\begin{array}{lll}
v(1) = (0, 0, 0, 0) & v(14) = (2, 2, 2, 2) & v(27) = (5, 3, 3, 1) \\
v(2) = (1, 1, 0, 0) & v(15) = (4, 2, 2, 0) & v(28) = (5, 4, 1, 0) \\
v(3) = (1, 1, 1, 1) & v(16) = (4, 4, 0, 0) & v(29) = (4, 4, 2, 2) \\
v(4) = (2, 1, 1, 0) & v(17) = (3, 3, 2, 2) & v(30) = (5, 4, 2, 1) \\
v(5) = (2, 2, 1, 1) & v(18) = (4, 2, 2, 2) & v(31) = (6, 2, 2, 2) \\
v(6) = (3, 2, 1, 0) & v(19) = (4, 3, 2, 1) & v(32) = (6, 3, 2, 1) \\
v(7) = (2, 2, 0, 0) & v(20) = (4, 4, 1, 1) & v(33) = (6, 3, 3, 0) \\
v(8) = (3, 1, 1, 1) & v(21) = (5, 2, 2, 1) & v(34) = (5, 5, 0, 0) \\
v(9) = (3, 2, 2, 1) & v(22) = (5, 3, 1, 1) & v(35) = (5, 5, 1, 1) \\
v(10) = (3, 3, 1, 1) & v(23) = (5, 3, 2, 0) & v(36) = (6, 4, 1, 1) \\
v(11) = (3, 3, 0, 0) & v(24) = (3, 3, 3, 3) & v(37) = (6, 4, 2, 0) \\
v(12) = (4, 2, 1, 1) & v(25) = (4, 3, 3, 2) & \\
v(13) = (4, 3, 1, 0) & v(26) = (5, 3, 2, 2) &
\end{array}$$

The complete version of (3.5) is given below.

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{\alpha \in S} a'_\alpha x^\alpha &= 192x^{v(1)} - 768x^{v(2)} + 576x^{v(3)} + 960x^{v(4)} - 1152x^{v(5)} \\
&+ 2688x^{v(6)} - 576x^{v(7)} - 1152x^{v(8)} - 576x^{v(10)} - 576x^{v(11)} \\
&- 1152x^{v(12)} + 1152x^{v(13)} + 576x^{v(14)} - 192x^{v(15)} - 192x^{v(16)} \\
&- 1152x^{v(17)} + 2304x^{v(18)} - 1152x^{v(21)} - 1152x^{v(22)} + 1152x^{v(23)} \\
&+ 576x^{v(24)} - 1728x^{v(25)} + 2304x^{v(26)} - 1152x^{v(27)} + 384x^{v(28)} \\
&+ 576x^{v(29)} - 1152x^{v(30)} - 576x^{v(31)} + 1152x^{v(32)} - 192x^{v(33)} \\
&- 192x^{v(34)} + 576x^{v(35)} - 576x^{v(36)} + 192x^{v(37)}.
\end{aligned}$$

REFERENCES

- [1] K. AOMOTO, *Jacobi polynomials associated with Selberg's integral*, SIAM J. Math. Anal.
- [2] R. ASKEY, *Integration and Computers*, to appear in the proceedings of a computer algebra conference edited by D. and G. Chudnowsky.
- [3] N. BOURBAKI, *Groupes et algèbres de Lie*, Chap 4-6, Hermann, Paris, 1968.
- [4] R.W. CARTER, *Simple Groups of Lie Type*, Wiley, London and New York, 1972.
- [5] F.G. GARVAN, *A beta integral associated with the root system G_2* , submitted.
- [6] I.J. GOOD, *Short proof a conjecture of Dyson*, J. Math. Phys. 11 (1970), 1884.
- [7] L. HABSIEGER, *La q -conjecture de Macdonald-Morris pur G_2* , C.R. Acad. Sci., 303 (1986), 211-213.
- [8] J.H. HUMPHREYS, *Introduction to Lie Algebras and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972.
- [9] K.W.J. KADELL, *A proof of the q -Macdonald-Morris conjecture for BC_n* , preprint.
- [10] I.G. MACDONALD, *Some conjectures for root systems and finite reflection groups*, SIAM J. of Math. Anal., 13 (1982), 988-1007.
- [11] W.G. MORRIS, *Constant Term Identities for Finite and Affine Root Systems*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Wisconsin-Madison, 1982.
- [12] A. SELBERG, *Bemerkninger om et multipliet integral*, Norske Mat. Tidsskr, 26 (1944), 71-78.
- [13] D. ZEILBERGER, *A proof of the G_2 case of Macdonald's root system-Dyson conjecture*, SIAM J. Math. Anal. (to appear).
- [14] D. ZEILBERGER, *A unified approach to Macdonald's root-system conjectures*, preprint.

Recent IMA Preprints
Title

#	Author/s	Title
280	R. Duran,	On the Approximation of Miscible Displacement in Porous Media by a Method of Characteristics Combined with a Mixed Method
281	H. Aixiang,	The Convergence for Nodal Expansion Method Functional Integrals
282	V. Twersky,	Dispersive Bulk Parameters for Coherent Propagation in Correlated Random Distributions
283	F. den Hollander,	Mixing Properties for Random Walk in Random Scenery
284	H. R. Jauslin,	Nondifferentiable Potentials for Nonequilibrium Steady States
285	K. Meyer, G. R. Sell,	Homoclinic Orbits and Bernoulli Bundles in Almost Periodic Systems
286	J. Douglas, Jr., Y. Yuan,	Finite Difference Methods for the Transient Behavior of a Semiconductor Device
287	Li Kaitai, Yan Ningning,	The Extrapolation for Boundary Finite Elements
288	R. Durrett, R. Schonmann,	Stochastic Growth Models
289	D. Kinderlehrer,	Remarks about Equilibrium Configurations of Crystals
290	D. G. Aronson, J. L. Vazquez,	Eventual C^∞ -Regularity and Concavity for Flows in One-Dimensional Porous Media
291	L. R. Scott, J. M. Boyle, B. Bagheri,	Distributed Data Structures for Scientific Computation
292	J. Douglas, Jr., P. J. Paes Leme, T. Arbogast, T. Schmitt,	Simulation of Flow in Naturally Fractured Petroleum Reservoirs
293	D. G. Aronson, L. A. Cafarelli,	Optimal Regularity for One-Dimensional Porous Medium Flow
294	Haim Brezis,	Liquid Crystals and Energy Estimates for S^2 -Valued Maps
295	T. Arbogast,	Analysis of the Simulation of Single Phase Flow through a Naturally Fractured Reservoir
296	H. Yinnian, L. Kaitai,	The Coupling Method of Finite Elements and Boundary Elements for Radiation Problems
297	T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, L. Vazquez, F. B. Weissler,	Nonlinear Effects in Wave Equation with a Cubic Restoring Force
298	M. Chipot, F. B. Weissler,	Some Blow-Up Results for a Nonlinear Parabolic Equation with a Gradient Term
299	L. Kaitai,	Perturbation Solutions of Simple and Double Bifurcation Problems for Navier-Stokes Equations
300	C. Zhangxin, L. Kaitai,	The Convergence on the Multigrid Algorithm for Navier-Stokes Equations
301	A. Gerardi, G. Nappo,	Martingale Approach for Modeling DNA Synthesis
302	D. N. Arnold, L. Ridgway, M. Vogelius,	Regular Inversion of the Divergence Operator with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions on a Polygon Divergence Operator with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions on a Polygon
303	R. G. Duran,	Error Analysis in L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for Mixed Definite Element Methods for Linear and Quasi-Linear Elliptic Problems
304	R. Nochetto, C. Verdi,	An Efficient Linear Scheme to Approximate Parabolic Free Free Boundary Problems: Error Estimates and Implementation
305	K. A. Pericak-Spector, S. J. Spector,	Nonuniqueness for a Hyperbolic System: Cavitation in Nonlinear Elastodynamics
306	E. G. Kalnins, W. Miller, Jr.,	q-Series and Orthogonal Polynomials Associate with Barnes' First Lemma
307	D. N. Arnold, R. S. Falk,	A Uniformly Accurate Finite Element Method for Mindlin-Reissner Plate
308	Chi-Wang Shu,	TVD Properties of a Class of Modified Eno Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws
309	E. Dikow, U. Hornung,	A Random Boundary Value Problem Modeling Spatial Variability in Porous Media Flow
310	J. K. Hale,	Compact Attractors and Singular Perturbations
311	A. Bourgeat, B. Cockburn,	The TVD-Projection Method for Solving Implicit Numeric Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws: A Numerical Study of a Simple Case
312	B. Muller, A. Rizzi,	Navier-Stokes Computation of Transonic Vortices over a Round Leading Edge Delta Wing
313	J. Thomas Beale,	On the Accuracy of Vortex Methods at Large Times
314	P. Le Talle, A. Lotfi,	Decomposition Methods for Adherence Problems in Finite Elasticity
315	J. Douglas, Jr., J. E. Santos,	Approximation of Waves in Composite Media
316	T. Arbogast,	The Double Porosity Model for Single Phase Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
317	T. Arbogast, J. Douglas, Jr., J. E. Santos,	Two-Phase Immiscible Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
318	J. Douglas, Jr., Y. Yirang,	Numerical Simulation of Immiscible Flow in Porous Media Based on Combining the Method of Characteristics with Finite Element Procedures
319	R. Duran, R. H. Nochetto, J. Wang,	Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for Finite Element Approximations of the Stokes Problem in 2-D
320	A. Greven,	A Phase Transition for a System of Branching Random Walks in a Random Environment
321	J. M. Harrison, R. J. Williams,	Brownian Models of Open Queueing Networks with Homogeneous Customer Populations
322	Ana Bela Cruzeiro,	Solutions ET mesures invariantes pour des equations d'evolution Stochastiques du type Navier-Stokes
323	Salah-Eldin A. Mohammed,	The Lyapunov Spectrum and Stable Manifolds for Stochastic Linear Delay Equations
324	Bao Gia Nguyen,	Typical Cluster Size for 2-DIM Percolation Processes (Revised)
325	R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, F.-H. Lin,	Stable Defects of Minimizers of Constrained Variational Principles
326	M. Chipot, D. Kinderlehrer,	Equilibrium Configurations of Crystals

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
327	Kiyosi Itô,	Malliavin's C^∞ functionals of a centered Gaussian system
328	T. Funaki,	Derivation of the hydrodynamical equation for one-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau model
329	Y. Masaya,	Schauder Expansion by some Quadratic Base Function
330	F. Brezzi, J. Douglas, Jr.,	Stabilized Mixed Methods for the Stokes Problem
331	J. Mallet-Paret, G. R. Sell,	Inertial Manifolds for Reaction Diffusion Equations in Higher Space Dimensions
332	San-Yih Lin, M. Luskin,	Relaxation Methods for Liquid Crystal Problems
333	H. F. Weinberger,	Some Remarks on Invariant Sets for Systems
334	E. Miersemann, H. D. Mittelmann,	On the Continuation for Variational Inequalities Depending on an Eigenvalue Parameter
335	J. Hulshof, N. Wolanski,	Monotone Flows in N-Dimensional Partially Saturated Porous Media: Lipschitz Continuity of the Interface
336	B. J. Lucier,	Regularity Through Approximation for Scalar Conservation Laws
337	B. Sturmfels,	Totally Positive Matrices and Cyclic Polytopes
338	R. G. Duran, R. H. Nochetto,	Pointwise Accuracy of a Stable Petrov-Galerkin Approximation to Stokes Problem
339	L. Gastaldi,	Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for General Mixed Finite Element Approximations to Second Order Elliptic Equations
340	L. Hurwicz, H. F. Weinberger,	A Necessary Condition for Decentralizability and an Application to Intemporal Allocation
341	G. Chavent, B. Cockburn,	The Local Projection P^0P^1 -Discontinuous-Galerkin-Finite Element Method for Scalar Conservation Laws
342	I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, P.-L. Lions,	Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and State-Constraints Problems
343	B. Sturmfels, N. White,	Gröbner Bases and Invariant Theory
344	J. L. Vazquez,	C^∞ -Regularity of Solutions and Interfaces of the Porous Medium Equation
345	C. Beattie, W. M. Greenlee,	Improved Convergence Rates for Intermediate Problems
346	H. D. Mittelmann,	Continuation Methods for Parameter-Dependent Boundary Value Problems
347	M. Chipot, G. Michaille,	Uniqueness Results and Monotonicity Properties for Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Variational Inequalities
348	Avner Friedman, Bei Hu	The Stefan Problem for a Hyperbolic Heat Equation
349	Michel Chipot, Mitchell Luskin	Existence of Solutions to the Elastohydrodynamical Equations for Magnetic Recording Systems
350	R.H. Nochetto, C. Verdi,	The Combined Use of a Nonlinear Chernoff Formula with a Regularization Procedure for Two-Phase Stefan Problems
351	Gonzalo R. Mendieta	Two Hyperfinite Constructions of the Brownian Bridge
352	Victor Klee, Peter Kleinschmidt	Geometry of the Gass-Saaty Parametric Cost LP Algorithm
353	Joseph O'Rourke	Finding A Shortest Ladder Path: A Special Case
354	J. Gretenkort, P. Kleinschmidt, Bernd Sturmfels,	On the Existence of Certain Smooth Toric Varieties
355	You-lan Zhu	On Stability & Convergence of Difference Schemes for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Initial-Boundary-Value Problems
356	Hamid Bellout, Avner Friedman	Blow-Up Estimates for Nonlinear Hyperbolic Heat Equation
357	P. Gritzman, M. Lassak	Helly-Test for the Minimal Width of Convex Bodies
358	K.R. Meyer, G.R. Sell	Melnikov Transforms, Bernoulli Bundles, and Almost Periodic Perturbations
359	J.-P. Puel, A. Raoult	Buckling for an Elastoplastic Plate with An Increment Constitutive Relation
360	F.G. Garvan	A Beta Integral Associated with the Root System G_2
361	L. Chihara, D. Stanton	Zeros of Generalized Krawtchouk Polynomials
362	Hisashi Okamoto	$O(2)$ -Equivariant Bifurcation Equations with Two Modes Interaction
363	Joseph O'Rourke, Catherine Chevon	On the Development of Closed Convex Curves on 3-Polytopes
364	Weinan E	Analysis of Spectral Methods for Burgers' Equation
365	Weinan E	Analysis of Fourier Methods for Navier-Stokes Equation
366	Paul Lemke	A Counterexample to a Conjecture of Abbott
367	Peter Gritzmann	A Characterization of all Loglinear Inequalities for Three Quermassintegrals of Convex Bodies
368	David Kinderlehrer	Phase transitions in crystals: towards the analysis of microstructure
369	David Kraines, Vivian Kraines	Pavlov and the Prisoner's Dilemma
370	F.G. Garvan	A Proof of the MacDonald-Morris Root System Conjecture for F_4
371	Neil L. White	Cayley Factorization
372	Bernd Sturmfels	Applications of Final Polynomials and Final Syzygies
373	Avner Friedman, Michael Vogelius	Identification of Small Inhomogeneities of Extreme Conductivity by Boundary Measurements: A Continuous Dependence Result
374	Jan Kratochvíl, Mirko Krivánek	On the Computational Complexity of Codes in Graphs
375	Thomas I. Seidman	The Transient Semiconductor Problem with Generation Terms, II

Recent IMA Preprints (Continued)

#	Author/s	Title
327	Kiyosi Itô, Malliavin's C^∞ functionals of a centered Gaussian system	
328	T. Funaki, Derivation of the hydrodynamical equation for one-dimensional Ginzburg-Landau model	
329	Y. Masaya, Schauder Expansion by some Quadratic Base Function	
330	F. Brezzi, J. Douglas, Jr., Stabilized Mixed Methods for the Stokes Problem	
331	J. Mallet-Paret, G. R. Sell, Inertial Manifolds for Reaction Diffusion Equations in Higher Space Dimensions	
332	San-Yih Lin, M. Luskin, Relaxation Methods for Liquid Crystal Problems	
333	H. F. Weinberger, Some Remarks on Invariant Sets for Systems	
334	E. Miersemann, H. D. Mittelmann, On the Continuation for Variational Inequalities Depending on an Eigenvalue Parameter	
335	J. Hulshof, N. Wolanski, Monotone Flows in N-Dimensional Partially Saturated Porous Media: Lipschitz Continuity of the Interface	
336	B. J. Lucier, Regularity Through Approximation for Scalar Conservation Laws	
337	B. Sturmfels, Totally Positive Matrices and Cyclic Polytopes	
338	R. G. Duran, R. H. Nochetto, Pointwise Accuracy of a Stable Petrov-Galerkin Approximation to Stokes Problem	
339	L. Gastaldi, Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for General Mixed Finite Element Approximations to Second Order Elliptic Equations	
340	L. Hurwicz, H. F. Weinberger, A Necessary Condition for Decentralizability and an Application to Intemporal Allocation	
341	G. Chavent, B. Cockburn, The Local Projection P^0P^1 -Discontinuous-Galerkin-Finite Element Method for Scalar Conservation Laws	
342	I. Capuzzo-Dolcetta, P.-L. Lions, Hamilton-Jacobi Equations and State-Constraints Problems	
343	B. Sturmfels, N. White, Gröbner Bases and Invariant Theory	
344	J. L. Vazquez, C^∞ -Regularity of Solutions and Interfaces of the Porous Medium Equation	
345	C. Beattie, W. M. Greenlee, Improved Convergence Rates for Intermediate Problems	
346	H. D. Mittelmann, Continuation Methods for Parameter-Dependent Boundary Value Problems	
347	M. Chipot, G. Michaille, Uniqueness Results and Monotonicity Properties for Strongly Nonlinear Elliptic Variational Inequalities	
348	Avner Friedman, Bei Hu The Stefan Problem for a Hyperbolic Heat Equation	
349	Michel Chipot, Mitchell Luskin Existence of Solutions to the Elastohydrodynamical Equations for Magnetic Recording Systems	
350	R.H. Nochetto, C. Verdi, The Combined Use of a Nonlinear Chernoff Formula with a Regularization Procedure for Two-Phase Stefan Problems	
351	Gonzalo R. Mendieta Two Hyperfinite Constructions of the Brownian Bridge	
352	Victor Klee, Peter Kleinschmidt Geometry of the Gass-Saaty Parametric Cost LP Algorithm	
353	Joseph O'Rourke Finding A Shortest Ladder Path: A Special Case	
354	J. Gretenkort, P. Kleinschmidt, Bernd Sturmfels, On the Existence of Certain Smooth Toric Varieties	
355	You-lan Zhu On Stability & Convergence of Difference Schemes for Quasilinear Hyperbolic Initial-Boundary-Value Problems	
356	Hamid Bellout, Avner Friedman Blow-Up Estimates for Nonlinear Hyperbolic Heat Equation	
357	P. Gritzman, M. Lassak Helly-Test for the Minimal Width of Convex Bodies	
358	K.R. Meyer, G.R. Sell Melnikov Transforms, Bernoulli Bundles, and Almost Periodic Perturbations	
359	J.-P. Puel, A. Raoult Buckling for an Elastoplastic Plate with An Increment Constitutive Relation	
360	F.G. Garvan A Beta Integral Associated with the Root System G_2	
361	L. Chihara, D. Stanton Zeros of Generalized Krawtchouk Polynomials	
362	Hisashi Okamoto $O(2)$ -Equivariant Bifurcation Equations with Two Modes Interaction	
363	Joseph O'Rourke, Catherine Schevon On the Development of Closed Convex Curves on 3-Polytopes	
364	Weinan E Analysis of Spectral Methods for Burgers' Equation	
365	Weinan E Analysis of Fourier Methods for Navier-Stokes Equation	
366	Paul Lemke A Counterexample to a Conjecture of Abbott	
367	Peter Gritzmann A Characterization of all Loglinear Inequalities for Three Quermassintegrals of Convex Bodies	
368	David Kinderlehrer Phase transitions in crystals: towards the analysis of microstructure	
369	David Kraines, Vivian Kraines Pavlov and the Prisoner's Dilemma	
370	F.G. Garvan A Proof of the MacDonald-Morris Root System Conjecture for F_4	
371	Neil L. White, Tim McMillan Cayley Factorization	
372	Bernd Sturmfels Applications of Final Polynomials and Final Syzygies	
373	Avner Friedman, Michael Vogelius Identification of Small Inhomogeneities of Extreme Conductivity by Boundary Measurements: A Continuous Dependence Result	
374	Jan Kratochvíl, Mirko Křivánek On the Computational Complexity of Codes in Graphs	
375	Thomas I. Seidman The Transient Semiconductor Problem with Generation Terms, II	