

THE KAM THEORY OF SYSTEMS  
WITH SHORT RANGE INTERACTIONS, II

BY

C. EUGENE WAYNE

IMA Preprint Series #36  
August 1983

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS  
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA

514 Vincent Hall  
206 Church Street SE.  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

THE KAM THEORY OF SYSTEMS  
WITH SHORT RANGE INTERACTIONS, II

by

C. Eugene Wayne  
Institute for Mathematics and its Applications  
University of Minnesota  
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

## Abstract

The proof of the results on the KAM theory of systems with short range interactions, stated in [4] is completed. Estimates on the decay of the interactions generated by the iterative procedure in the KAM theorem are proved, as well as the modification of the theorems of [1-3] needed for our results.

## 1. Introduction

In a previous paper [4], hereafter referred to as I, we presented results on a KAM theory of systems with short range interactions. The proofs of those theorems are completed here. In referring to results from I, we shall precede the equation or theorem number by I, e.g., (I.11) refers to equation (1.1) of I, and Theorem I.1.1 to Theorem 1.1 of the same work. For a general introduction to the problem, and references to previous work in the literature, the reader should see I.

## 2. Decay Estimates

A sequence of lemmas is proved which we combine in section three to prove Proposition I.5.1. In section 2.A we examine derivatives of the "high frequency" part of the interactions,  $f^k[\geq]$ . In section 2.B we study the decay of second derivatives of the functions  $\underline{z}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ , and in section 2.C we look at derivatives of  $\underline{\Xi}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ .

### 2.A

Lemma 2.1. On  $W(\beta_k, \xi_k - \delta, V_k)$  one has

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| \leq 2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{\beta_k (3/2)^k} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \quad (2.1a)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_i \partial I_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| \leq D_9 \epsilon_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \quad (2.1b)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_i \partial I_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| \leq D_{10} \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \quad (2.1c)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| \leq \epsilon_{k+1}, \quad (2.1d)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_i}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| \leq \epsilon_{k+1} \rho_{k+1}, \quad (2.1e)$$

$$\text{with } D_9 = 2^3 (2 \rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{\beta_k} \left[ (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{\beta_k L_k} + e^{-(\delta - 2 \ln 2) M_k} \right]$$

$$\text{and } D_{10} = 2^3 (2 \rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{2\beta_k} \left[ (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{\beta_k L_k} + e^{-(\delta - 2 \ln 2) M_k} \right].$$

Proof: The proof is similar to Lemma I.5.2. By the definition of  $f^k[\geq]$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_i}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| &\leq \sup \sum_{\underline{\nu} \notin \mathbb{X}_k} \left| f_{\underline{\nu}}^k(\underline{I})(i\nu_i) \underline{z}^{\underline{\nu}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{L=L_k+1}^N \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} (\epsilon_{0^{\rho_0}}^{-1}) (\epsilon_{0^{\rho_0}}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)L} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad + \sum_{L=1}^{L_k} \sum_{M=M_k+1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} \epsilon_{k^{\rho_k}} e^{-\delta M}.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

The last inequality estimated the number of vectors  $\underline{\nu}$  with  $|\underline{\nu}| = M$  and  $d(\text{supp } \underline{\nu}) = L$  by  $2^L 2^{2M} 2^{L_N}$  and used (I.2.9a) and (I.2.6) to estimate  $f_{\underline{\nu}}^k(\underline{I})$  in the first and second terms of (2.2) respectively. Summing the geometric series in (2.2) and using (I.3.31) bound the r.h.s. of (2.2) by  $\epsilon_{k+1} \rho_{k+1}$ , proving (2.1e).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| &\leq \sup \sum_{\underline{\nu} \in \mathbb{X}_k} \left| \frac{\partial f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I_j}(\underline{I}) \underline{z}^{\underline{\nu}} \right| \\
&\leq 2 \sum_{L=L_k+1}^N \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} \epsilon_{0^{\rho_0}} \rho_k^{-1} (\epsilon_{0^{\rho_0}}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)L} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad + 2 \sum_{L=1}^{L_k} \sum_{M=M_k+1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} \epsilon_k e^{-\delta M} \\
&\leq \epsilon_{k+1},
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

where we combined (I.2.9a) and (I.2.6) with a dimensional estimate to bound the derivative with respect to  $I_j$ , then summed the geometric series and used (I.3.31).

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^{2, k[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j} (\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| &\leq \sup \sum_{\underline{\nu} \in \mathbb{X}_k} \left| f_{\underline{\nu}}^k(\underline{I})(-\nu_i \nu_j) \underline{z}^{\underline{\nu}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{L=|i-j|}^N \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \epsilon_{0\rho_0} (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)L} M^2 N 2^L 2^{2M} e^{-\delta M} \quad (2.4) \\
&\leq 2\epsilon_{0\rho_0} (2^4 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)|i-j|} ,
\end{aligned}$$

where (I.2.9a) and the fact that every nonzero term on the r. h. s. of the first inequality has  $i, j \in \text{supp } \underline{\nu}$ . Inequality (2.1a) follows by combining (2.4) with (2.1e) and a dimensional estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^{2, k[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_i \partial I_j} (\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \right| &\leq \sup \sum_{\underline{\nu} \in \mathbb{X}_k} \left| \frac{\partial f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I_j} (\underline{I})(i\nu_i) \underline{z}^{\underline{\nu}} \right| \\
&\leq \sum_{L=L_k+1}^N \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} 2^L 2^{2M} N \cdot M \cdot \epsilon_0 (2\rho_{0k}^{-1})^{\beta_k} (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad \times (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\beta_k)(1-\eta_k)|i-j|} \quad (2.5) \\
&+ \sum_{L=1}^{L_k} \sum_{M=M_k+1}^{\infty} 2^L 2^{2M} \cdot N \cdot M \cdot \epsilon_0 (2\rho_{0k}^{-1})^{\beta_k} (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad \times (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\beta_k)(1-\eta_k)|i-j|} , \\
&\leq 2^3 \epsilon_0 (2\rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{\beta_k} (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\beta_k)(1-\eta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (2^4 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L_k} + e^{-(\delta-2 \ln 2)M_k} \right\} .
\end{aligned}$$

In the second line we combined the estimates on  $\frac{\partial f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I_j}$  from (I.2.9a) and (I.2.9b) with the observation that all nonvanishing terms on the r. h. s. of the first inequality have  $i \in \text{supp } \underline{\nu}$ . The last expression results from summing the geometrical series

under the assumption that  $2(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k} < 2^{-1}$ , which follows from (I.1.15).

This proves (2.1b).

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial_{\mathbf{I}}^{2,k}[\geq]}{\partial I_i \partial I_j}(\underline{\mathbf{I}}, \underline{\mathbf{z}}) \right| &\leq \sum_{L=L_k+1}^N \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{2\beta_k} \\
&\quad \times (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad + \sum_{L=1}^{\infty} \sum_{M=M_k+1}^{\infty} 2^{2M} 2^{L_N} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{2\beta_k} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L} e^{-\delta M} \\
&\quad \times (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\leq 2^3 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\rho_k^{-1} \rho_0)^{2\beta_k} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\quad \times \left\{ (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)\beta_k L_k} + e^{-(\delta - 2 \ln 2M_k)} \right\}, \tag{2.6}
\end{aligned}$$

where we again combined (I.2.6a) and (I.2.6b) with dimensional estimates to control the factors of  $\frac{\partial_{\mathbf{I}}^{2,k}}{\partial I_i \partial I_j}(\underline{\mathbf{I}})$ , appearing in  $\frac{\partial_{\mathbf{I}}^{2,k}[\geq]}{\partial I_i \partial I_j}$ .

## 2.B

**Lemma 2.2:** On  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/8, \xi_k^{-3\delta}, V_k)$

$$\sup \left| z_i^{-1} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial I_j'}(\underline{\mathbf{I}}', \underline{\mathbf{z}}') \right| \leq D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \tag{2.7a}$$

$$\sup \left| z_n^{-1} z_j' \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial I_i' \partial z_j'}(\underline{\mathbf{I}}', \underline{\mathbf{z}}') \right| \leq D_{12} \rho_0^{-1} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \tag{2.7b}$$

$$\sup \left| z_n^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial I_i' \partial I_j'}(\underline{\mathbf{I}}', \underline{\mathbf{z}}') \right| \leq D_{13} \rho_0^{-2} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}, \tag{2.7c}$$



where  $D_{11} = 2^{10} N^4 e^{3L_k} \epsilon_0 \rho_0 C^2 \left[ (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(3/2)^k - 1} \rho_k^{-1} E_k \right]^{\beta_k}$ ,

$$D_{12} = \left[ 2^5 (2 + D_2) D_{11} + (1 + D_2) (2^4 D_{11} N + 2^4 \rho_0 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) + 2^9 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_0 \epsilon_0 E_k C^2 D_{11} N^3 e^{3L_k} \right]$$

and  $D_{13} = 2^4 \left[ 2(2^2 + D_2)^2 N^2 D_{11}^2 + (2^2 + D_2) 2^2 D_{11} \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_0 \right.$   
 $\left. + 2^3 \epsilon_0 C \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_0 D_{11}^2 N^3 e^{2L_k} \left[ \rho_k \rho_0^{-1} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(3/2)^k - 1} \right]^{\beta_k} \right].$

Proof: By (I.3.4)

$$z_i^{-1} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = z_i^{-1} \frac{\partial}{\partial I'_j} \left( z'_i \exp \left[ -i \frac{\partial \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right] \right), \quad (2.8)$$

on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k - 3\delta, V_k)$ , where we evaluate the second argument of  $\frac{\partial \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i}$  at  $\underline{z}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = \underline{z}' \exp(i \underline{\Delta}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}'))$ . Applying the chain rule, the l. h. s. of (2.8) becomes

$$-i \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_j \partial I'_i} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i \underline{\Delta}}) - i \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi_m} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i \underline{\Delta}}) \left( -i z_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right). \quad (2.9)$$

Define vectors  $\underline{u}$  and  $\underline{v}$  with components

$$u_n = - \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_j \partial I'_n} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i \underline{\Delta}}) \quad \text{and} \quad v_n = z_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}'),$$

and a matrix  $\hat{M}$  with elements  $\hat{M}_{ij} = - \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i \underline{\Delta}})$ . Then (2.8) and (2.9) may be rewritten as

$$(\mathbb{1} - \hat{M}) \underline{v} = \underline{u}, \quad (2.10)$$

so that

$$z_i^{-1} \frac{\partial z_i}{\partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = v_i = \sum_{m=1}^N \left[ (\mathbb{1} - M)^{-1} \right]_{im} u_m, \quad (2.11)$$

if the r. h. s. of (2.11) exists. By Lemma I.4.4,

$$(\mathbb{1} - \widehat{M})_{mn}^{-1} = \sum_{\Omega: m \rightarrow n} \Lambda_{mn}^{-1} \left( \prod_{\ell=1}^N \Lambda_{\ell\ell}^{-n(\ell, \Omega)} \right) \left( \prod_{s \in \Omega} M_s \right), \quad (2.12)$$

where  $\Lambda_{\ell\ell} = 1 + \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_\ell}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta})$  and  $M_s = M_{(i,j)} = (\delta_{ij} - 1) \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_j \partial I'_i}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta})$ ,

with  $(i, j)$  the endpoints of the steps. Expression (2.12) was estimated in Lemma I.4.3 where we found

$$\sup \left| (\mathbb{1} - \widehat{M})_{mn}^{-1} \right| \leq 2^3 (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |m-n|, \quad (2.13)$$

and the supremum runs over  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k - 3\delta, V_k)$ . Combining (I.3.9) with a dimensional estimate on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k - 2\delta, V_k)$  bounds  $u_n$  by

$$\sup |u_n| \leq 2^7 \epsilon_k E_k \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} C^2 N e^{3L_k}. \quad (2.14)$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_j \partial I'_n}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta}) \right| &= \sup \left| \sum_{\substack{\underline{\nu} \in \mathbb{X}_k \\ \underline{\nu} \neq \underline{0}}} \left\{ \frac{\partial^2 f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I'_n \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') (i \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{\nu}) \rangle)^{-1} \right. \right. \\ &\quad - \frac{\partial f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') \left( i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') \nu_\ell \right) (i \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{\nu}) \rangle)^{-2} \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{\partial f_{\underline{\nu}}^k}{\partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') \left( i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_n}(\underline{I}') \nu_n \right) (i \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{\nu}) \rangle)^{-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f_{\underline{\nu}}^k(\underline{I}') \left( i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_n}(\underline{I}') \nu_\ell \right) \left( i \sum_{m=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I'_m \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') \nu_m \right) (i \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{\nu}) \rangle)^{-3} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - f_{\underline{\nu}}^k(\underline{I}') \left( i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I'_m \partial I'_n \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}') \nu_\ell \right) (i \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{\nu}) \rangle)^{-2} \right\} \underline{z}^{\underline{\nu}} \Big|. \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

Using (I.2.6), (I.2.8) and (I.2.9) we can extract a factor of  $(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)|i-j|}$  from each of these terms. If we then use our standard estimate to bound the sum over  $\nu$ , we find, after a little algebra and using (I.1.15),

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_j \partial \Gamma'_n} (\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z} e^{i\Delta}) \right| \leq 2^5 \epsilon_0 C^2 N^3 e^{3L_k (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)|i-j|}}, \quad (2.16)$$

for  $(\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}') \in W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k^{-3\delta}, V_k)$ . Combining (2.14) and (2.16) gives

$$\sup |u_n| = \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_j \partial \Gamma'_n} \right| \leq 2^{-3} N^{-1} D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|j-n|}, \quad (2.17)$$

where  $D_{11} = 2^{10} N^4 e^{3L_k (\epsilon_0 \rho_0 C^2) ((\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(3/2)^k - 1} \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} E_k)^{\beta_k}}$ . Using (2.13) and (2.17) to extract a factor of  $D_{11} N^{-1} \rho_0^{-1} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}$  from each of the  $N$  terms in (2.11) gives (2.7a).

Applying the chain rule to  $z_n(\Gamma', z') = z'_n \exp(i\Delta(\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}'))$ , and using the fact that  $\Delta(\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}') = -\frac{\partial \Phi^k}{\partial \underline{\Gamma}'}(\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta})$  on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/2, \xi_k^{-3\delta}, V_k)$  we find

$$z_n^{-1} z'_j \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial \Gamma'_i \partial z'_j} (\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}') = \tilde{u}_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_n \partial \phi'_\ell} (\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta}) \left( z_\ell^{-1} z'_j \frac{\partial^2 z_\ell}{\partial \Gamma'_i \partial z'_j} (\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}') \right)$$

with  $\tilde{u}$  the vector whose components are

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{u}_n &= -i\delta_{nj} \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_j \partial \Gamma'_i} - \delta_{nj} \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_j \partial \phi'_\ell} \cdot \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial \Gamma'_i} \right) - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_n \partial \Gamma'_i \partial \phi'_\ell} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi'_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \\ &+ \left( \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_n \partial \phi'_\ell} \cdot \frac{\partial \phi'_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left( i \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \Gamma'_n \partial \Gamma'_i} + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi'_m \partial \Gamma'_n} \left( z_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \Gamma'_i} \right) \right) \\ &+ i \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi'_\ell \partial \phi'_m \partial \Gamma'_n} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi'_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left( z_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial \Gamma'_i} \right) + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi'_\ell \partial \Gamma'_n} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi'_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial \Gamma'_i} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.19)$$

If we let  $\hat{M}$  be the matrix defined following (2.9) and  $\tilde{v}$  the vector with components  $\tilde{v}_n = z_n^{-1} z'_j \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial \Gamma'_i \partial z'_j} (\underline{\Gamma}', \underline{z}')$ , (2.18) can be rewritten as

$$(\mathbb{1} - \hat{M})\tilde{y} = \tilde{u} \quad (2.20)$$

or

$$\tilde{v}_n = \sum_{m=1}^N (\mathbb{1} - \hat{M})_{nm}^{-1} \tilde{u}_m \quad (2.21)$$

By combining (2.16), (2.7a), (I.5.24), and Lemmas I.4.1 and I.4.4 with dimensional estimates on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k^{-3\ell}, V_k)$  we can once again pull a factor of

$(2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}$  from each term in the expression for  $\tilde{u}_n$ . Combining these bounds gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup |\tilde{u}_n| \leq & \left[ 2^6 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} E_k C^2 N^3 e^{3L_k} \epsilon_0^2 D_{11} + 2^4 (2+D_2) D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} + 2^3 D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} \right. \\ & \left. + (1+D_2) (2^4 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} + 2^4 N D_{11} \rho_0^{-1}) \right] (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.22)$$

By (2.13) and (I.1.15) we have

$$\sup_n \sum_n \left| (\mathbb{1} - \hat{M})_{mn}^{-1} \right| \leq 2^3 + 2^4 (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{1/8} \leq 2^4, \quad (2.23)$$

for all  $m = 1, \dots, N$  which when combined with (2.21) and (2.22) yields (2.7b).

(Once again, the suprema in (2.21) - (2.23) run over  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/4, \xi_k^{-3\delta}, V_k)$ .)

Finally, use the chain rule to write

$$z_n^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = \hat{u}_n - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n} \cdot \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right), \quad (2.24)$$

where  $\hat{u}$  is the vector with components

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{u}_n &= \left( -i \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_n \partial I'_j} - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right) \left( -i \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_n \partial I'_i} - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right) \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n \partial I'_j} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right) - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n \partial I'_i} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) - i \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_n \partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_m \partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n} \right) \left( z_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \\
&\quad + i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial I'_n} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.25}$$

The arguments of the functions in (2.25) have been omitted to save space. Defining the matrix  $\hat{M}$  as we did following (2.9), and the vector  $\hat{v}$  by  $\hat{v}_n = z_n^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_n}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$  we can rewrite (2.24) as

$$(\mathbf{1} - \hat{M})\hat{v} = \hat{u} \tag{2.26}$$

so that

$$\hat{v}_n = \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left[ (\mathbf{1} - \hat{M})^{-1} \right]_{n\ell} \hat{u}_\ell . \tag{2.27}$$

From  $\hat{u}_\ell$  we extract a factor of  $(2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}$  by combining (2.17), (2.7a), (I.4.1), (I.4.30), and dimensional estimates on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k/8, \xi_k^{-3\delta}, V_k)$ . These estimate give

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup |\hat{u}_\ell| &\leq \left\{ (2^2 + D_2)^2 N^2 D_{11}^2 \rho_0^{-2} + (2^2 + D_2)^2 D_{11}^2 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_0^{-1} \right. \\
&\quad \left. + (2 + D_2) N D_{11}^2 \rho_0^{-1} + 2^3 \epsilon_0 C N^3 D_{11}^2 \tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_0^{-1} e^{2L_k} \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[ \rho_k \rho_0^{-1} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(3/2)^k - 1} \right]^{\beta_k} \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} .
\end{aligned} \tag{2.28}$$

Combining (2.28) with (2.23) and (2.27) yields (2.7c).

## 2.C

Lemma 2.3. On  $W(\rho_{k+1}, \xi_{k+1}, V_k)$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| \leq D_{14} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-m|, \quad (2.29a)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| \leq D_{15} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|, \quad (2.29b)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| \leq \rho_0^{-1} D_{16} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|, \quad (2.29c)$$

where  $D_{14} = \left[ D_2 + 2^2 \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^2 e^{2L_k} \left[ (\rho_k \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (3/2)^{k-1} \right]^{\beta_k} D_{11} \right],$

$$D_{15} = \left[ 2^{10} \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^3 e^{2L_k} D_{11} + 2^2 (2^4 D_{11} + D_{12}) \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^2 e^{2L_k} + 2^{12} \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^2 e^{3L_k} \right],$$

and  $D_{16} = \left[ 2^6 (2 + D_2) D_{11} N + (D_{11}^2 + D_{13}) (2^6 \epsilon_k \rho_k \rho_0^{-1} \text{CN}^2 e^{2L_k}) + \right.$   
 $\left. + 2^6 \epsilon_0 \text{CD}_{11}^2 N^2 e^{2L_k} \left[ (\rho_k \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (3/2)^{k-1} \right]^{\beta_k} \right].$

Proof: By (I.3.15),  $\Xi_m(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = \frac{\partial \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_m}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta})$ . Thus the chain rule gives

$$\frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi_m}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta}) + \sum_{j=1}^N \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_m}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}' e^{i\Delta}) \left( -iz_j^{-1} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial I'_i}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right). \quad (2.30)$$

Inequality (I.4.1) bounds the first of these terms by  $D_2 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-m|$ .

(If  $i = m$  this bound follows from (I.3.7) and a dimensional estimate.) Bound the

factor of  $\frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_j \partial \phi_m}$  using (I.4.30) and the factor of  $z_j^{-1} \frac{\partial z_j}{\partial I'_i}$  with (2.7a). Combining

these estimates we have

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right| \leq \left[ D_2 + N \cdot \left( 2^2 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^0 \text{CN} e^{2L_k} \left[ (\rho_k \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(3/2)^k - 1} \right]^{\beta_k} \right) D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} \right] \times (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-m|, \quad (2.31)$$

from which (2.29a) follows. Applying the chain rule to  $\frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial I'_j}$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') &= -i \sum_{\ell, n=1}^M \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m \partial \phi_n} \right) \left( z'_i z'_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial z'_i} \right) \left( z_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I'_j} \right) \\ &\quad - \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m} \right) \left\{ \left( z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \left( z'_i z'_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial z'_i} \right) - z'_i z'_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_\ell}{\partial z'_i \partial I'_j} \right\} \\ &\quad + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m \partial I'_j} \right) \left( z'_i z'_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial z'_i} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.32)$$

Lemma 2.2, Lemma 4.3, (I.5.25), (I.5.33), and (I.5.35) allow us to extract from each of these terms a factor of  $(2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|$ , bounding (2.30) by

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial I'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| &\leq \left\{ 2^{10} \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^3 e^{2L_k} D_{11} + 2^{12} \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^2 e^{3L_k} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^2 (2^4 D_{11} + D_{12}) \epsilon_0 \text{CN}^2 e^{2L_k} \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|, \end{aligned} \quad (2.33)$$

verifying (2.29b). Similarly

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} (I', z') &= \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j \partial \phi_m} \right) - i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m \partial I'_j} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_\ell \\ z_\ell & \partial I'_i \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - i \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m \partial I'_i} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_\ell \\ z_\ell & \partial I'_j \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad - \sum_{\ell, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m \partial \phi_n} \right) \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_\ell \\ z_\ell & \partial I'_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_n \\ z_n & \partial I'_j \end{pmatrix} \\
&\quad + i \sum_{i=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Phi^k}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m} \right) \left[ \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_\ell \\ z_\ell & \partial I'_i \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} -1 & \partial z_\ell \\ z_\ell & \partial I'_j \end{pmatrix} - z_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right].
\end{aligned} \tag{2.34}$$

Once again we use Lemma 2.2, (I.4.30), (I.3.7), (2.17) and dimensional estimates on  $W(\mathcal{P}_k/8, \xi_k^{-4\delta}, V_k)$  to bound each of the terms on the r.h.s. of (2.32) resulting in

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} (I', z') \right| &\leq \left\{ 2N^{-1} D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} + 2^5 (2 + D_2) D_{11} N \rho_0^{-1} + 2^6 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1} C D_{11}^2 N^2 e^{2L_k} \right. \\
&\quad \times \left[ (\rho_k \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (3/2)^{k-1} \right]^{\beta_k} + \\
&\quad \left. + (D_{11}^2 + D_{13}) (2^6 \epsilon_k \rho_k \rho_0^{-2} C N^2 e^{2L_k}) \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|,
\end{aligned} \tag{2.35}$$

which yields (2.29b).



### 3. The Proof of Proposition I.5.1

In the present section we complete the proof of Proposition I.5.1. The procedure consists of applying the chain rule to the expressions for the derivatives of  $f^I(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ ,  $f^{II}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ , and  $f^{III}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ , and then using the decay estimates of the previous section, and of sections 4 and 5 of I to bound the factors that arise.

#### 3.A. Derivatives of $f^I(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ .

Applying the chain rule to (I.3.23) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 f^I}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') &= \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t ds \left\{ \sum_{\ell, m, n, p=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^4 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n \partial I_p} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_p}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i} \Xi_m + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \Xi_\ell \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \Xi_m + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_j} \Xi_\ell \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left[ \Xi_m \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) + \Xi_\ell \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) \right] \left. \right\}. \tag{3.1}
\end{aligned}$$

We have omitted (and will continue to do so) the arguments of the functions on the r. h. s. of (3.1) to save space. They are in each case equal to the argument of the corresponding factor in (I.3.23). Bound each term on the r. h. s. of (3.1), taking care to extract from each one a factor of  $(2^8 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}$ . Throughout this section we will assume that  $i \neq j$ , since in that case the bounds of (I.5.1) follow from (I.3.27)–(I.3.31) and dimensional estimates. Use (I.3.7) and (I.3.14) to bound the factors  $\Xi_\ell$  and  $\Xi_m$  in the first term, (2.8) and a

dimensional estimate on  $W(\rho_{k+1}, \xi_{k+1}, V_k)$  to control  $\left( \frac{\partial^2 h}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n \partial I_p} \right)$ , and Lemma I.4.5 to bound the factors of  $\frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi_j^!}$  and  $\frac{\partial \Xi_p}{\partial \phi_i^!}$ . Bounding the number of terms in the sum over  $\ell, m, n, p$  by  $N^4$  gives an estimate on this first term of

$$\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1} N^4 \cdot 2(\epsilon_{0D_3})^2 (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})^2 (2+N) (2^2 \epsilon_{k\rho_k} C_N e^{2L_k})^2 (2^4 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \quad (3.2)$$

In like fashion the second term is bounded, using (I.3.14), (I.2.8) and Lemma I.5.4, by

$$\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1} N^3 \cdot (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (2+N) (\epsilon_{0D_8}) (2^2 \epsilon_{k\rho_k} C_N e^{2L_k})^2 (2^8 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \quad (3.3)$$

The third and fourth terms may each be bounded by

$$\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1} N^3 (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (2+N) (\epsilon_{0D_3})^2 (2^3 \epsilon_{k\rho_k} C_N e^{2L_k}) (2^4 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \quad (3.4)$$

Finally, the last term is bounded by

$$\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1} N^2 (2+N) \left[ 2(2^2 \epsilon_{k\rho_k} C_N e^{2L_k}) (\epsilon_{0D_8}) + 2(\epsilon_{0D_3})^2 \right] (2^8 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \quad (3.5)$$

Combining these estimates we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial \phi_i^! \partial \phi_j^!} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| &\leq \left\{ 2^7 (2+N) N^8 D_3^2 (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^2 (\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_k)^2 \epsilon_k^2 C^2 e^{4L_k} \right. \\ &\quad + 2^7 (2+N) (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1}) N^7 D_8 (\rho_0 \tilde{\rho}_k)^{-1} \rho_k^2 \epsilon_k^2 C^2 e^{4L_k} + 2^5 (2+N) N^2 D_3^2 (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^2 \\ &\quad \quad \quad \times (\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_k) \epsilon_k C e^{2L_k} \\ &\quad \left. + 2(2+N) (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1}) N \left[ 2^3 \epsilon_{k\rho_k} C e^{2L_k} N \rho_0^{-1} D_8 + 2(\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1}) D_3^2 \right] \right\} \\ &\quad \times (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}) (2^8 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \quad (3.6) \end{aligned}$$

Throughout this section suprema will be taken over  $W(\rho_{k+1}, \xi_{k+1}, V_k)$ . Some algebra, and (I.1.15) imply that the quantity in braces is bounded by  $(1/3)$ . Also, (I.1.15) implies

$$\begin{aligned} (2^8 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} &\leq (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} \left(1 - (3/32) \ln(3/2) / \ln N\right) \\ &\leq (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})} \end{aligned} \quad (3.7)$$

These two observations yield the  $m = I$  case of (I.5.1a).

Applying the chain rule again we find

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') &= \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t ds \left\{ \sum_{\ell, m, n, p=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^4 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_m \partial I'_n \partial I'_p} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \times \left( \delta_{p,i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_p}{\partial I'_i} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \\ &+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_m \partial I'_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \\ &+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_m \partial I'_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \Xi_m + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_m \partial I'_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,i} + s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \Xi_m + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_j} \right] \\ &+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I'_\ell \partial I'_m} \right) \left[ \Xi_m \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} + \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_j} + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right] \left. \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

The only bound we need (in addition to those used to bound (3.1)) is (2.29). One then finds that the first term is bounded by

$$N^4 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})^2 (2+N) (\epsilon_0 D_3) (1+D_{14}) (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k C N e^{2L_k})^2 (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|. \quad (3.9)$$

The second term we bound by

$$N^3 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (2+N) D_{15} (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k C N e^{2L_k})^2 (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|. \quad (3.10)$$

The third and fourth terms we control with

$$N^3 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (2+N) (1+D_{14}) (2\epsilon_0 D_3) (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k C N e^{2L_k}) (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|, \quad (3.11)$$

and the fifth term is bounded by

$$N^2 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2+N) \left[ 2(2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k C N e^{2L_k}) D_{15} + 2D_{14} (\epsilon_0 D_3) \right] (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|. \quad (3.12)$$

Combining (3.9) - (3.12) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f}{\partial I_i \partial \phi_j} (I', \underline{z}') \right| &\leq \left\{ 2^8 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_k C)^2 (\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_k)^2 N^7 D_3 (1+D_{14}) e^{4L_k} \right. \\ &\quad + 2^6 (\epsilon_k C)^2 (\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_k) (\rho_k \rho_0^{-1}) N^6 D_{15} e^{4L_k} + 2^6 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_k C) (\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1} \rho_k) N^6 D_3 (1+D_{14}) e^{2L_k} \\ &\quad \left. + 2^5 D_{15} (\epsilon_k \rho_0^{-1}) (\rho_k C) N^6 e^{3L_k} + 2^2 N^3 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1} D_3 D_{14} \right\} \\ &\quad \times \epsilon_0 (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Once again (I. 1.15) implies the quantity in braces is bounded by  $(1/3)$  and

$$(2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} \leq (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})}, \text{ verifying (5.1b) for } m = I.$$

Again using the chain rule we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}^I}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} (I', \underline{z}') &= \int_0^1 dt \int_0^t ds \left\{ \sum_{\ell, m, n, p=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^4 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n \partial I_p} \right) \left( \delta_{n,i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \times \left. \left( \delta_{p,j} + \frac{\partial \Xi_p}{\partial I'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \right. \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( s \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell \Xi_m \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,j} + s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \Xi_m + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^3 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,i} + s \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \Xi_m + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 h^k}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left[ \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \Xi_m + \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} + \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \Xi_\ell \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right] \left. \right\}. \tag{3.14}
\end{aligned}$$

Each of these terms may be controlled with the decay estimates of  $I$ , and the previous section, yielding

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \mathbf{f}^I}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} (I', \underline{z}') \right| &\leq \left\{ 2N^5 (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})^2 (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k})^2 (1+D_{14})^2 \right. \\
&+ N^3 (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (\rho_0^{-1} D_{16}) (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k})^2 + 2N^4 (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1}) (1+D_{14}) (2^3 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k}) D_{14} \\
&+ N \left[ 2^3 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k} N \rho_0^{-1} D_{16} + 2D_{14}^2 \right] \left. \right\} (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\leq (1/3) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})|i-j|}. \tag{3.15}
\end{aligned}$$

The remainder of the theorem is proved in like fashion. We bounded

$\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Pi}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right|$  in section 5 of I. Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \Pi}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') &= \int_0^1 dt \left\{ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right. \right. \\
&+ \left. \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi'_n \partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( -iz_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \left( t \frac{\partial \Xi}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \Xi_\ell \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left[ \left( t \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j \partial \phi'_i} \right) \Xi_m + \left( t \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial \phi'_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi'_n \partial \phi'_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( -iz_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \\
&\quad \times \left( \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \Xi_\ell \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi'_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \Xi_\ell \left( z_m^{-1} z'_i \frac{\partial^2 z_m}{\partial z'_i \partial I'_j} \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. - z'_i z_m^{-2} \left( \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \left( \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial z'_i} \right) \right) \right] \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( \delta_{m,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi'_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_k}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \\
&+ \left. \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j \partial \phi'_i} \right) \right\}
\end{aligned} \tag{3.16}$$

Extracting from each of these terms a factor of  $(2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|}$  we bound (3.16) by

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 \Pi}{\partial \phi_i' \partial I_j'} \right| &\leq \left\{ N^3 \left[ (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})(1+D_{14}) + (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})2^3 D_{11} \right] (\epsilon_0 D_3) \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k}) \right. \\
&\quad + N^2 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) \left[ (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k}) + \epsilon_0 D_3 D_{14} \right] \\
&\quad + N^3 \left[ (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0)(1+D_{14}) + (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 D_{11}) \right] (2^5 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k}) \\
&\quad + N^3 (\epsilon_0) \left[ 2^3 D_{14} + (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CNe}^{2L_k})(2^3 \rho_0^{-1} D_{11} + D_{12} \rho_0^{-1}) \right] \\
&\quad + N^2 \left[ (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})(1+D_{14}) + \epsilon_0 D_{11} \rho_0^{-1} \right] (\epsilon_0 D_3) \\
&\quad \left. + N \epsilon_{k+1} D_{15} \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\leq (1/3) \epsilon_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})|i-j|}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.17}$$

Next one has

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 \Pi}{\partial I_i' \partial I_j'} (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') &= \int_0^1 dt \left\{ \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m \partial I_n} \right) \left( \delta_{n,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I_j'} \right) \right. \right. \\
&\quad \left. \left. + \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi_n \partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( -iz_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I_j'} \right) \right] \left( \delta_{m,i} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I_i'} \right) \Xi_\ell \right. \\
&\quad \left. + \sum_{\ell, m, n=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_n \partial \phi_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \delta_{n,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_n}{\partial I_j'} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^3 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi_n \partial \phi_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( -iz_n^{-1} \frac{\partial z_n}{\partial I_i'} \right) \right] \right. \\
&\quad \left. \times \left[ \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I_i'} \right) \Xi_\ell \right] \right.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.18}$$

(cont'd)

(3.18 cont'd)

$$\begin{aligned}
& + \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left[ \left( t \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell + \left( t \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \\
& + \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left[ \left( -iz_m^{-2} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_i} \cdot \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} - iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_m}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) \Xi_\ell \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \left. + \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \\
& + \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( \delta_{m,j} + t \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial \phi_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right) \\
& + \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial f^{k[\leq]}}{\partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) ,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{II}}}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right| & \leq \left\{ N^3 \left[ (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})(1+D_{14}) + (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})D_{11} \right] \right. \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times (1+D_{14})(2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CN} e^{2L_k}) \\
& + N^3 \left[ (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})\epsilon_0(1+D_{14}) + (2\tilde{\rho}_k^{-1})(\epsilon_0 D_{11}) \right] \rho_0^{-1} D_{11} (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CN} e^{2L_k}) \\
& + N^2 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) \left[ (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CN} e^{2L_k})(\rho_0^{-1} D_{16}) + D_{14}^2 \right] \\
& + N \epsilon_0 \left[ (2^2 \epsilon_k \rho_k \text{CN} e^{2L_k})(D_{11} \rho_0^{-2} + D_{13} \rho_0^{-2}) + D_{11} D_{14} \rho_0^{-1} \right] \\
& \left. + N^2 \left[ (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})(1+D_{14}) + (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})D_{11} \right] D_{14} + N \epsilon_{k+1} \rho_0^{-1} D_{16} \right\} \\
& \qquad \qquad \qquad \times (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0) \binom{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)}{k}^{|i-j|} \\
& \leq (1/3)(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) \binom{(1-\eta_{k+1})}{k+1}^{|i-j|} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.19}$$



Finally, for the sake of completeness, we write out the expressions for the derivatives of  $f^{\text{III}}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}')$ , and the bounds on these quantities. The reason for providing these details is that it is then easy to verify that the bound of (I.1.15) is qualitatively sufficient to prove Proposition I.5.1. The only difficulty comes in the large amount of algebra necessary to compute the constants  $B, \dots, \gamma$  appearing in that expression, and that we omit

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j}(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) &= \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_m \partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial I_m \partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_m \partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right) \left( \frac{\partial \phi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \\
&+ \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial f^{\text{k}[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) \right]
\end{aligned} \tag{3.20}$$

with  $\left( \frac{\partial \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i} \right)$  and  $\left( \frac{\partial^2 \phi_m}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right)$  the functions defined in I. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial \phi'_i \partial \phi'_j}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \right| &\leq \left\{ N^2 [(\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1}) \epsilon_{0D_3} + \epsilon_0 2^3 D_9] \epsilon_{0D_3} \right. \\
&+ N^2 \left[ \epsilon_{0D_9 D_3}^2 + 2^8 \epsilon_{0\rho_0} (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{\beta_k (3/2)^k} \right] 2^3 + N \left[ \epsilon_{k+1 0 D_8} + \epsilon_{k+1 \rho_{k+1} D_7} \right] \left. \right\} \\
&\quad \times (2^8 \epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)|i-j|} \\
&\leq (1/3) (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}) (\epsilon_{0\rho_0}^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})|i-j|} .
\end{aligned} \tag{3.21}$$

Next,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} (I', z') &= \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( \delta_{m,i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_\ell \partial \phi_m} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left( \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_m \partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( \delta_{m,i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_m \partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_i} \right) \right] \left( \frac{\partial \phi_\ell}{\partial \phi'_j} \right) \\ &+ \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_\ell} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( iz_{j,z_\ell}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_\ell}{\partial I'_i \partial z'_j} - iz_{j,z_\ell}^{-2} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \cdot \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial z'_j} \right) \right], \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial I'_i \partial \phi'_j} \right| &\leq \left\{ N^2 \left[ (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) D_{10} (1 + D_{14}) + (\epsilon_0 D_9) (\rho_0^{-1} D_{11}) \right] \epsilon_0 D_3 \right. \\ &+ N^2 \left[ (\epsilon_0 D_9) (1 + D_{14}) + 2^4 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{\beta_k (3/2)^k} \rho_0^{-1} D_{11} \right] 2^3 \\ &+ N \left[ \epsilon_{k+1} D_{15} + \epsilon_{k+1} \rho_{k+1} (D_{12} \rho_0^{-1} + 2^3 D_{11} \rho_0^{-1}) \right] \left. \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \\ &\leq (1/3) \epsilon_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})} |i-j|. \end{aligned} \quad (3.23)$$

Finally,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} (I', z') &= \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_\ell \partial I_m} \right) \left( \delta_{m,j} + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_\ell \partial \phi_m} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \left( \delta_{\ell,i} + \frac{\partial \Xi_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right) \end{aligned} \quad (3.24)$$

$$+ \sum_{\ell, m=1}^N \left[ \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial I_m \partial \phi_\ell} \right) \left( \delta_{m,j} + \frac{\partial \Xi_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial^2 f^k[\geq]}{\partial \phi_\ell \partial \phi_m} \right) \left( -iz_m^{-1} \frac{\partial z_m}{\partial I'_j} \right) \right] \left( -iz_\ell^{-1} \frac{\partial z_\ell}{\partial I'_i} \right)$$

(cont'd)

(3.24 cont'd)

$$+ \sum_{\ell=1}^N \left( \frac{\partial f^{k[\geq]}}{\partial I_{\ell}} \right) \left( \frac{\partial^2 \Xi_{\ell}}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right) + \left( \frac{\partial f^{k[\geq]}}{\partial \phi_{\ell}} \right) \left( iz_i^{-2} \frac{\partial z_{\ell}}{\partial I'_i} \cdot \frac{\partial z_{\ell}}{\partial I'_j} - iz_{\ell}^{-1} \frac{\partial^2 z_{\ell}}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sup \left| \frac{\partial^2 f^{\text{III}}}{\partial I'_i \partial I'_j} \right| &\leq \left\{ N^2 (1 + D_{14}) \left[ (D_{10} \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) D_{14} + \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1} D_9 D_{11} \right] \right. \\ &+ N^2 \left[ \epsilon_0 D_9 (1 + D_{14}) + 2^4 \epsilon_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{\beta_k (3/2)^k} D_{11} \right] \rho_0^{-1} D_{11} \\ &+ N \left[ \epsilon_{k+1} \rho_0^{-1} D_{16} + \epsilon_{k+1} \rho_{k+1} (\rho_0^{-2} D_{13} + \rho_0^{-2} D_{11}^2) \right] \left. \right\} (2^4 \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_k)(1-\beta_k)} |i-j| \\ &\leq (1/3) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1-\eta_{k+1})} |i-j|. \end{aligned} \tag{3.25}$$

#### 4. The Proof of Theorem 1.2

Large parts of the proof of Theorem 1.2 are identical to the proofs of the main theorems in [1] and [2]. We don't repeat those parts here but instead emphasize the differences. The idea is to construct an infinite sequence of canonical transformations, which at each stage reduce the size of the perturbation of the Hamiltonian, resulting finally in an integrable system. Consider the Hamiltonian  $H \circ C(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \equiv H^0(\underline{I}, \underline{z}) = h^0(\underline{I}) + f^0(\underline{I}, \underline{z})$  constructed in Theorem I.1.1. If

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \underline{I}} \right| + \hat{\rho}_0^{-1} \left| \frac{\partial f^0}{\partial \phi} \right| \right\} \leq \hat{\epsilon}_0, \quad (4.1)$$

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial h^0}{\partial \underline{I}} \right| \leq \hat{E}_0, \quad (4.2)$$

$$\sup \left| \left( \frac{\partial h^0}{\partial \underline{I} \partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq \hat{\eta}_0, \quad (4.3)$$

and

$$\frac{\partial^2 \hat{h}^0}{\partial I_i \partial I_j}(\underline{I}) \equiv \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_i^0}{\partial I_j}(\underline{I}) = \delta_{ij} (1 + \hat{\chi}_i^0(\underline{I})) + (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_i^0}{\partial I_j}(\underline{I}), \quad (4.4)$$

then (I.1.16) - (I.1.20) insure that we can take  $\hat{\epsilon}_0 = \rho_0 (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^N$ ,  $\hat{E}_0 = 2E_0$ , and

$\hat{\rho}_0 = \rho_{k_0}$ , where  $k_0 = \lceil (\ln N)(\ln 3/2)^{-1} \rceil + 1$ , and  $\rho_{k_0}$  is defined inductively by

(I.2.1). Here, the quantities with no hats (e.g.,  $\epsilon_0, \rho_0$ ) refer to the Hamiltonian

$H(\underline{I}, \underline{z})$  of I. Also,  $\sup |\hat{\chi}_i^0(\underline{I})| \leq (2^2 N)^{-1}$  and  $\sup \left| (1 - \delta_{ij}) \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}_i^0}{\partial I_j} \right| \leq (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}) (\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})^{(1/8)|i-j|}$ ,

and all suprema are evaluated over the region  $W(\hat{\rho}_0, \hat{\xi}_0; \hat{V})$  where  $\hat{V} = V^{k_0^{-1}}$ . From the proof of Theorem I.1.1 we see we may pick  $\hat{\xi}_0 = 1$ . Define inductively the

sequence of parameters

$$\hat{\delta}_j = \hat{\xi}_0 / 16(1+j^2)$$

$$\hat{C}_k = (1+k^2) \hat{C}_0$$

$$\hat{\xi}_{k+1} = \hat{\xi}_k - 4\hat{\delta}_k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{E}_{k+1} &= \hat{E}_k + \hat{\epsilon}_k \\
\hat{\eta}_{k+1} &= \hat{\eta}_k (1 + 4N^2 \hat{\eta}_k \hat{\epsilon}_k \hat{\rho}_k^{-1}) \\
\hat{\rho}_{k+1} &= \hat{\rho}_k / (2^8 N^2 \hat{E}_k \hat{C}_k \hat{\delta}_k^{-(N+1)}) \left[ \ln(\hat{\epsilon}_k \hat{C}_k \hat{\delta}_k^N)^{-1} \right]^{N+1} \\
\hat{\epsilon}_{k+1} &= (\hat{\epsilon}_k \hat{C}_k)^{(3/2)^k} \hat{E}_k (\hat{E}_k \hat{C}_k) \hat{M}_k^{N+1} \hat{\delta}_k^{-(N+1)} \\
\hat{M}_k &= 2 \hat{\delta}_k^{-1} \ln(\hat{\epsilon}_k \hat{C}_k \hat{\delta}_k^N)^{-1} ,
\end{aligned} \tag{4.5}$$

with  $\hat{C}_0$  a constant to be determined later. Defining  $\tilde{\rho}_k = \hat{\rho}_k (2^4 N \hat{C}_k \hat{E}_k \hat{M}_k^{N+1})^{-1}$ , we define a sequence of regions  $\hat{V} \supset \hat{V}_0 \supset \hat{V}_1 \dots$ . Set

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1}) &= \left\{ \underline{I} \mid \underline{I} \in \hat{V}^{k-1}; \left| \langle \underline{\omega}^k(\underline{I}), \underline{\nu} \rangle \right| < \hat{C}_k |\underline{\nu}|^N \right. \\
&\quad \left. \text{for some } \underline{\nu} \text{ with } 0 < |\underline{\nu}| < M_k \right\} .
\end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

(By convention take  $\hat{V}_{-1} = \hat{V}$ .) Then

$$\bar{\hat{V}}_k \equiv \left\{ \underline{I} \mid \underline{I} \in \hat{V}_{k-1}; \text{dist}(\underline{I}, \partial \hat{V}_{k-1}) \geq \tilde{\rho}_k / 2 \right\} \setminus \hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1}) ,$$

and  $\hat{V}_k \equiv \bigcup_{\underline{I} \in \bar{\hat{V}}_k} S(\underline{I}, \tilde{\rho}_k / 2)$ .

Following [1] or [2] one constructs canonical transformations

$$\begin{aligned}
\hat{C}^k &: (\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \longrightarrow (\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \\
\tilde{\hat{C}}^k &: (\underline{I}, \underline{z}) \longrightarrow (\underline{I}', \underline{z}')
\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

where both transformations are defined on  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k / 2, \hat{\xi}_k - 2\hat{\delta}_k; \hat{V}^k)$  and map  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k / 4, \hat{\xi}_i - 3\hat{\delta}_k; \hat{V}^k)$  into  $W(\tilde{\rho}_k / 2, \hat{\xi}_k - 2\hat{\delta}_k; \hat{V}_k)$ . Defining

$$H^k(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') = \hat{H}^{k-1} \circ \hat{C}^{k-1}(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \equiv \hat{h}^k(\underline{I}') + \hat{f}^k(\underline{I}', \underline{z}') \tag{4.8}$$

one has

$$\sup \left| \frac{\partial \hat{h}^k}{\partial \underline{I}} \right| \leq \hat{E}_k \quad (4.9)$$

$$\sup \left| \left( \frac{\partial^2 \hat{h}^k}{\partial \underline{I} \partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq \eta_k \quad (4.10)$$

and

$$\sup \left\{ \left| \frac{\partial \hat{f}^k}{\partial \underline{I}} \right| + \hat{\rho}_k^{-1} \left| \frac{\partial \hat{f}^k}{\partial \underline{\phi}} \right| \right\} \leq \hat{\epsilon}_k . \quad (4.11)$$

The conditions which guarantee that the iterative procedure of (4.7) - (4.11) may be arbitrarily repeated can be combined in the single inequality

$$\hat{\epsilon}_0 < \hat{C}_0^{-1} \hat{B}_2 \hat{\eta}_0^{-2} (\hat{\rho}_0 \hat{E}_0^{-1})^2 (\hat{E}_0 \hat{C}_0)^{-16} \hat{g}'(N) , \quad (4.12)$$

where  $\hat{g}'(N) = (N!)^{-4} N^{-10N} e^{-152N}$  and  $\hat{B}_2 = e^{-220}$  (This is essentially inequality (3.58) of [2] and we do not repeat its derivation.) Given this sequence of canonical transformations we must estimate the value of  $\hat{C}_0$  which insures that not too much phase space gets thrown away. We will need the fact that the integrable part of the Hamiltonians constructed above is given by

$$\hat{h}^{k+1}(\underline{I}) = \hat{h}^0(\underline{I}) + \sum_{j=0}^k \hat{f}_0^j(\underline{I}) . \quad (4.13)$$

As in I note that

$$\text{vol}(\hat{V}^{k-1} \setminus \hat{V}^k) \leq \text{vol} \hat{B} + \text{vol} \hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1}) \quad (4.14)$$

with

$$\hat{B} = \left\{ \underline{I} \mid \underline{I} \in \hat{V}^{k-1} \text{ and } \text{dist}(\underline{I}, \partial \hat{V}^{k-1}) \leq \hat{\rho}_k / 2 \right\} . \quad (4.15)$$

As in section 6 of I,

$$\text{vol} \hat{B} \leq \left[ 1 - (1 + \tilde{\rho}_k / \hat{\rho}_k)^N \right] \text{vol} \hat{V} . \quad (4.16)$$

It is easy to show that  $\hat{\rho}_k^{\tilde{\times}}/\hat{\rho}_k \leq 2^{-4}(1+k^2)^{-1}N^{-1}2^{-N}(\hat{E}_0\hat{C}_0)^{-1}$ . Thus,

$$\text{vol } \hat{B} \leq 2^{-2}2^{-N}(1+k^2)^{-1}(\hat{E}_0\hat{C}_0)^{-1} \text{vol } \hat{V}. \quad (4.17)$$

Since  $\hat{\omega}^k(\underline{I})$  is single-valued (a fact we verify below),

$$\text{vol}(\hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1})) = \int_{\hat{\omega}^k(\hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1}))} \left| \det \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}^k}{\partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} \right| d\underline{\omega}. \quad (4.18)$$

Bound  $\left| \det \left( \frac{\partial \hat{\omega}^k}{\partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} \right|$  by  $2^3$  using (I.6.8) – (I.6.10), with  $B_1 = (1/2)$ . Since

$$\hat{\omega}^k(\underline{I}) = \hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}) + \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}), \text{ verifying that the matrix } \mathbb{D} \text{ defined there has}$$

diagonal entries bounded by  $B_1 N^{-1} + 2 \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1}$ , and off diagonal entries bounded by  $(\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1})N^{-1}$  is an easy exercise. Definitions (4.5) and inequality (4.12) imply

$\hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1} \leq (\hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{C}_0)^{(1/16)(3/2)^j}$ , and we then bound the sum over  $j$  by a geometric series in  $(\hat{\epsilon}_0 \hat{C}_0)$ . The single-valuedness of  $\hat{\omega}^k$  follows by noting that (I.6.7) implies

$|\hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}') - \hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I})| \geq (1/2)|\underline{I} - \underline{I}'|$ , while Appendix H of [2] guarantees that

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}') - \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}) \right| \leq 3|\underline{I} - \underline{I}'| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1} \leq (1/4)|\underline{I} - \underline{I}'|.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} |\hat{\omega}^k(\underline{I}') - \hat{\omega}^k(\underline{I})| &\geq |\hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}) - \hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}')| - \left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}') - \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}) \right| \\ &\geq (1/4)|\underline{I}' - \underline{I}|. \end{aligned} \quad (4.19)$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{vol}(\hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1})) &\leq 2^3 \int_{\hat{\omega}^k(\hat{R}(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1}))} d\omega \\
&\leq 2^3 \sum_{\underline{\nu} \neq \underline{0}} \int_{\substack{|\langle \omega, \underline{\nu} \rangle| < \hat{C}_k^{-1} |\underline{\nu}| \\ \|\omega - \omega^k(\underline{0})\| \leq r(1+\tau)}} d\omega
\end{aligned} \tag{4.20}$$

This estimate follows by noting that (I.6.13) implies  $\|\hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}') - \hat{\omega}^0(\underline{0})\| \leq (1+\eta)r$  for all  $\underline{I} \in \hat{V}$ . By the estimate of [2] used just above

$$\left| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}) - \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{0}) \right| \leq 3|\underline{I}| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1}. \text{ For any vector } \underline{x}, \|\underline{x}\| \leq |\underline{x}| \leq N\|\underline{x}\|,$$

so for any  $\underline{I} \in R(k, \hat{h}^k, \hat{V}_{k-1})$

$$\|\hat{\omega}^k(\underline{I}) - \hat{\omega}^k(\underline{0})\| \leq \|\hat{\omega}^0(\underline{I}) - \hat{\omega}^0(\underline{0})\| + \left\| \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{I}) - \frac{\partial \hat{f}_0^j}{\partial \underline{I}}(\underline{0}) \right\| \leq (1+\tau)r, \tag{4.21}$$

$$\text{with } \tau = \eta + 3N \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1} = (2N)^{-1} + 6\epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1} N + N \sum_{j=0}^{k-1} \hat{\epsilon}_j \hat{\rho}_j^{-1}.$$

A simple geometrical argument gives

$$\int_{\substack{|\langle \omega, \underline{\nu} \rangle| \leq \hat{C}_k |\underline{\nu}| \\ \|\omega - \omega^k(\underline{0})\| \leq r(1+\tau)}} d\omega \leq \hat{C}_k^{-1} \pi^{(N-1)/2} [r(1+\tau)]^{N-1} [\Gamma(1+(N-1)/2)]^{-1} \times |\underline{\nu}|^{-(N+1)} \tag{4.22}$$

Also,  $\text{vol } \hat{V} \geq (1-\lambda)\text{vol } V = (1-\lambda)\pi^{N/2} r^N / \Gamma(1+N/2)$ , and  $\Gamma(1+N/2) / \Gamma(1+(N-1)/2) \leq 2^2 [(N/4)+1]^{1/2}$ , so

$$\sum_{\underline{\nu} \neq \underline{0}} \int_{\substack{|\langle \omega, \underline{\nu} \rangle| \leq \hat{C}_k |\underline{\nu}| \\ \|\omega - \omega^k(\underline{0})\| \leq r(1+\tau)}} d\omega \leq 2^N (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1+k^2)^{-1} N^{1/2} (\rho_0 \hat{C}_0)^{-1} \text{vol } \hat{V}, \tag{4.23}$$



where the last inequality used the fact that  $\rho_0 < r$ . Combining (4.16), (4.20) and (4.23) we find

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \text{vol}(\hat{V}_{k-1} \setminus \hat{V}_k) &\leq \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left\{ 2^{-(N+1)} (1+k^2)^{-1} (\hat{E}_0 \hat{C}_0)^{-1} \right. \\ &\quad \left. + 2^{3+N} (1-\lambda)^{-1} (1+k^2)^{-1} N^{1/2} (\rho_0 \hat{C}_0)^{-1} \right\} \text{vol} \hat{V} \\ &\leq \hat{\lambda} \text{vol} \hat{V}, \end{aligned} \quad (4.24)$$

provided  $\hat{C}_0^{-1} = 2^{5+N} (1-\lambda)^{-1} N^{1/2} (\rho_0 \hat{\lambda})^{-1}$ .

Finally we estimate the parameter  $\hat{\eta}_0$ . Writing  $\left( \frac{\partial^{2\hat{\lambda}_0}}{\partial \underline{I} \partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} = (\hat{\mathbb{D}} - \hat{\mathbb{M}})^{-1}$ , where  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$  is a diagonal matrix and  $\hat{\mathbb{M}}$  a purely off diagonal one, (I.1.18) - (I.1.20) allow one to estimate the elements of  $\hat{\mathbb{D}}$  and  $\hat{\mathbb{M}}$  by  $|\hat{D}_{ii}| > (1/2)$ , and  $|\hat{M}_{ij}| < \epsilon_0 \rho_0^{-1}$  respectively. Using this information it is easy to bound  $\sup \left| \left( \frac{\partial^{2\hat{\lambda}_0}}{\partial \underline{I} \partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1}_{ij} \right|$  by  $2^2$  by estimating the Neuman series for  $(\hat{\mathbb{D}} - \hat{\mathbb{M}})^{-1}_{ij}$ . Thus,

$$\sup \left| \left( \frac{\partial^{2\hat{\lambda}_0}}{\partial \underline{I} \partial \underline{I}} \right)^{-1} \right| \leq 2^2 N^2 \equiv \hat{\eta}_0. \quad (4.25)$$

Inserting the expressions for  $\hat{\eta}_0$  and  $\hat{C}_0$  into (4.12), the inequality which allows arbitrarily many canonical transformations to be performed becomes

$$\hat{\epsilon}_0 < \rho_0 B_3 (1-\lambda)^{17} (\rho_0 \hat{E}_0)^{-1} (\rho_0 \hat{E}_0)^{-1} \lambda^{16} \hat{g}(N) \quad (4.26)$$

with  $B_3 = e^{-283}$ , and  $\hat{g}(N) = (N!)^{-4} N^{-10N} e^{-185N}$ . This yields inequality (I.1.21). Given that one may iterate the canonical transformation arbitrarily often while losing arbitrarily little phase space, the remainder of the statements in Theorem 1.2 follow word-for-word from [1] or [2] and we don't repeat the proofs here.

### References

- [1] Chierchia, L. and Gallavotti, G.: *Il Nuovo Cimento*, 67B, p. 277 (1982).
- [2] Gallavotti, G.: "Perturbation Theory for Classical Hamiltonian Systems." Preprint; in print in the series "Progress in Physics, PPhI" in the collection of papers on rigorous results on the renormalization group methods edited by J. Fröhlich.
- [3] Pöschel, J.: *Comm. Pure and Appl. Math.*, 35, p. 653 (1982).
- [4] Wayne, C.E.: "The KAM Theory of Systems with Short Range Interactions, I." Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, Preprint Series No. (1983).