STABLE DEFECTS OF MINIMIZERS OF CONSTRAINED VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES

By

ROBERT HARDT

DAVID KINDERLEHRER

FANG-HUA LIN

IMA Preprint Series # 325

May 1987

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
514 Vincent Hall
206 Church Street S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

#	Author(s)	Title	Recent IMA Preprints (continued)	#	Author(s)	Title
203	G. Buttazzo, G. Dal Maso and U. Mosco,	A Deviation Theorem for Capacities with Respect to a Radon Measure	241	Harry Kesten,	Scaling Relations for 2D-Percolation	
204	S. Cowin, M. Mehrabadi,	On the Identification of Material Symmetry for Anisotropic Elastic Materials	242	A. Leizorowicz,	Infinite Horizon Optimization for Markov Process with Finite States Spaces	
205	R.W.R. Darling,	Constructing Nonhomeomorphic Stochastic Flows.	243	Louis H.Y. Chen,	The Rate of Convergence in A Central Limit Theorem for Dependent Random Variables with Arbitrary Index Set	
206	M. Chipot,	On the Reynolds Lubrication Equation	244	G. Kallianpur,	Stochastic Differential Equations in Duals of Nuclear Space with some Applications	
207	R.V. Kohn and G.W. Milton,	On Bounding the Effective Conductivity of Anisotropic Composites	245	Tzau-Shuh Chang,	Yunshong Chow and Yuh-Jia Lee, Evaluation of Certain Functional Integrals	
208	I.J. Bakelmann,	Notes Concerning the Torsion of Hardening Rods and its N-Dimensional Generalizations	246	L. Karp and M. Pinsky,	The First Eigenvalue of a Small Geodesic Ball in a Riemannian Manifold	
209	I.J. Bakelmann,	The Boundary Value Problems for Non-Linear Elliptic Equations	247	Chi-Sing Men,	Towards An Acoustoelastic Theory for Measurement of Residual Stress	
210	Guanglu Gong & Mingping Qian,	On the Large Deviation Functions of Markov Chains	248	Andreas Stoll,	Invariance Principles for Brownian Intersection	
211	Arle Leizorowicz,	Control Problems with Random and Progressively Known Targets	249	R.W.R. Darling,	Rate of Growth of the Coalescent Set in a Coalescing Stochastic Flow	
212	R.W.R. Darling,	Ergodicity of a Measure-Valued Markov Chain Induced by Random Transformations	250	R. Cohen, R. Herdt, D. Kinderlehrer, S.Y. Lin, M. Luskin,	Minimum Energy for Liquid Crystals: Computational Results	
213	G. Gong, M. Qian & Zhongxin Zhao,	Killed Diffusions and its Conditioning the Overaking Criterion	251	Suzanne M. Lenhart,	Viscosity Solutions for Weakly Coupled Systems of First Order PDEs	
214	Arle Leizorowicz,	Controlling Diffusion Processes on Infinite Horizon with the Overaking Criterion	252	M. Cranston, E. Fabes, Z. Zhao,	Condition Gauge and Potential Theory for the Schrödinger Operator	
215	Millard Beatty,	The Poisson Function of Finite Elasticity	253	H. Brezis, J.M. Coron, E.H. Llob,	Harmonic Maps with Defects	
216	David Terman,	Traveling Wave Solutions Arising From a Combustion Model	254	A. Carverhill,	Flows of Stochastic Dynamical Systems: Nontriviality of the Lyapunov Spectrum	
217	Yuh-Jia Lee,	Sharp Inequalities and Regularity of Heat Semi-Group on Infinite Dimensional Spaces	255	A. Carverhill,	Conditioning A 'Lifted' Stochastic System in a Product Case	
218	D. Stroock,	Lecture Notes	256	R.J. Williams,	Local Time and Excursions of Reflected Brownian Motion	
219	Claudio Canuto,	Spectral Methods and Maximum Principle	257	H. Follmer, S. Orey,	Large Deviations for the Empirical Field of a Gibbs Measure	
220	Thomas O'Brien,	A Two Parameter Family of Pension Contribution Functions and Stochastic Optimization	258	A. Leizorowicz,	Characterization of Optimal Trajectories On an Infinite Horizon	
221	Takeyuki Hida,	Analysis of Brownian Functionals	259	Y.Giga, T. Miyakawa, H. Osada,	Two Dimensional Navier Stokes Flow with Measures As Initial Vorticity	
222	Leonid Harvitz,	On Informational Decentralization and Efficiency of Resource Allocation Mechanisms	260	M. Chipot, V. Oikar,	Sur Une Propriete Des Fonctions Propres De L'Operateur De Laplace Beltrami	
223	E.B. Fabes and D.W. Stroock,	A New Proof of Moser's Parabolic Harnack Inequality via the Old Ideas of Nash	261	V. Perez-Abreu,	Decompositions of Semimartingales On Duals of Countably Nuclear Spaces	
224	Miguel Marata,	Structure of Positive Solution to $(-\Delta + V)u = 0$ in R^n	262	J.M. Ball,	Does Rank-One Convexity Imply Quasiconvexity	
225	Paul Dupuis,	Large Deviations Analysis of Reflected Diffusions and Constrained Stochastic Approximation Algorithms in Convex Sets	263	B. Cockburn,	The Quasi-Monotone Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws. Part I	
226	F. Bernis,	Existence Results for Doubly Nonlinear Higher Order Parabolic Equations on Unbounded Domains.	264	K.A. Perleak-Spector,	On Radially Symmetric Simple Waves in Elasticity	
227	S. Orey and S. Peilkan,	Large Deviations Principles for Stationary Processes	265	P.N. Shivakumar, Chi-Sing Men, Simon W. Robkin,	Modelling of the Heart and Pericardium at End-Diastole	
228	R. Gallier and S. Hildebrandt,	Boundary Configurations Spanning Continua of Minimal Surfaces.	266	Jose-Luis Menaldi,	Probabilistic View of Estimates for Finite Difference Methods	
229	J. Barter, G. Dal Maso, U. Mosco,	Stopping Times and T-Convergence.	267	Robert Herdt, Harold Rosenberg,	Open Book Structures and Unicity of Minimal Submanifolds	
230	Juho Bocallier,	Self-Similar Solutions, Having Jumps and Intervals of Constancy of a Diffusion-heat Conduction Equation	268	Bernardo Cockburn,	The Quasi-Monotone Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws	
231	R. Herdt, D. Kinderlehrer & F.-H. Lin,	A Remark About the Stability of Smooth Equilibrium Configurations of Static Liquid Crystal	269	H.R. Jauslin, W. Zimmermann,	High Dynamics of a Model for an Ac Josephson Junction	
232	M. Chipot and M. Luskin,	The Compressible Reynolds Lubrication Equation	270	A.K. Kapila,	Effect in Superfluid	
233	J.H. Maddocks,	A Model for Disclinations in Nematic Liquid Crystal	271	J.C. Taylor,	Do Minimal Solutions of Heat Equations Characterize Diffusions?	
234	C. Fofas, G.R. Sell and R. Temam,	Inertial Manifolds for Nonlinear Evolutionary Equations	272	J.C. Taylor,	The Minimal Eigenfunctions Characterize the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck Process	
235	P.-L. Chow,	Expectation Functionals Associated with Some Stochastic Evolution	273	Chi-Sing Men, Quan-Xin Sun,	On the Significance of Normal Stress Effects in the Flow of Glaciers	
236	Giuseppe Buttazzo,	Reinforcement by a Thin Layer with Oscillating Thickness	274	Omar Hijab,	On Partially Observed Control of Markov Processes	
237	W.H. Fleming, S.J. Shen and M.M. Soner,	On Existence of the Dominant Eigenfunction and its Application to the Large Deviation Properties of an Ergodic Markov Process	275	Lawrence Gray,	The Behavior of Processes with Statistical Mechanical Properties	
238	R. Jensen and P.E. Souganidis,	A Regularity Result for Viscosity Solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi Equations in one Space Dimension	276	R. Herdt, D. Kinderlehrer, M. Luskin,	Remarks About the Mathematical Theory of Liquid Crystals	
239	B. Boczwar-Karakci, J.L. Bona and D.L. Cohen,	Interaction of Shallow-Water Waves and Bottom Topography				
240	F. Colonius and W. Klemann,	Infinite Time Optimal Control and Periodicity Dirichlet Problems				

- Recent IMA Preprints (continued)
#	Author(s)	Title	#	Author(s)	Title
277	Cockburn, B.	The Quasi-Monotone Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws Part III	312	Bernhard Müller, Arthur Rizzl	Navier-Stokes Computation of Transonic Vortices Over a Round Leading Edge Delta Wing
278	M. Chipot, T. Sideris	On the Abelian Higgs Model	313	J. Thomas Beale	On the Accuracy of Vortex Methods at Large Times
279	C. Fofas, B. Nisiclenko, G.R.Sell, R. Temam	Inertial Manifolds for the Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation and an Estimate of their Lowest Dimension	314	P. Le Tallec, A. Lottfi	Decomposition Methods for Adherence Problems in Finite Elasticity
280	R. Duran	On the Approximation of Miscible Displacement in Porous Media by a Method of Characteristics Combined with a Mixed Method	315	Jim Douglas, Juan E. Santos	Approximation of Waves in Composite Media
281	M. Axleng, Zhang Bo	The Convergence for Modal Expansion Method	316	Tod Arbogast	The Double Porosity Model for Single Phase Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
282	V. Tverzky	Dispersive Bulk Parameters for Coherent Propagation in Correlated Random Distributions	317	Tod Arbogast, Jim Douglas, Jr., Juan E. Santos	Two-Phase Immiscible Flow in Naturally Fractured Reservoirs
283	W.Th.F. den Hollander	Mixing Properties for Random Walk in Random Scenery	318	Jim Douglas, Jr., Yuan Yirang	Numerical Simulation of Immiscible Flow in Porous Media Based on Combining the Method of Characteristics with Finite Element Procedures
284	H.R. Jauslin	Nondifferentiable Potentials for Nonequilibrium Steady States	319	R. Duran, R.H. Nochetto, J. Wang	Sharp Maximum Norm Error Estimates for Finite Element Approximations of the Stokes Problem in 2-D
285	K. Meyer, G.R.Sell	Homoclinic Orbits and Bernoulli Bundles in Almost Periodic Systems	320	Andreas Greven	A Phase Transition for a System of Branching Random Walks in a Random Environment
286	J. Douglas, Jr., Y. Yuan	Finite Difference Methods for the Transient Behavior of a Semiconductor Device	321	J.M. Harrison, R.J. Williams	Brownian Models of Open Queuing Networks with Homogeneous Customer Populations
287	Li Kaitai, Yan Ningning	The Extrapolation for Boundary Finite Elements	322	Ana Bela Cruzeiro	Solutions ET Mesures Invariantes Pour Des Equations d'Evolution Stochastiques Du Type Navier-Stokes
288	R. Durrott, R.H. Schonmann	Stochastic Growth Models	323	Salah-Eldin A. Mohammed	The Lyapunov Spectrum and Stable Manifolds for Stochastic Linear Delay Equations
289	David Kinderlehrer	Remarks about Equilibrium Configurations of Crystals	324	Bao Gla Nguyen	Typical Cluster Size for 2-DIM Percolation Processes (Revised)
290	D.G. Aronson, J.L. Vazquez	Eventual C^∞ -Regularity and Concavity for Flows in One-Dimensional Porous Media	325	Robert Hardt, David Kinderlehrer, Fanghua Lin	Stable Defects of Minimizers of Constrained Variational Principles
291	L.R. Scott, J.M. Boyle, B. Bagheri	Distributed Data Structures for Scientific Computation	326	Michel Chipot, David Kinderlehrer	Equilibrium Configurations of Crystals
292	J. Douglas, Jr., P.J.Paes Leme, T. Arbogast, T. Schmitt	Simulation of Flow in Naturally Fractured Petroleum Reservoirs			
293	D.G. Aronson, L.A. Caffarelli	Optimal Regularity for One-Dimensional Porous Medium Flow			
294	Haim Brezis	Liquid Crystals and Energy Estimates for S^2 -Valued Maps			
295	Todd Arbogast	Analysis of the Simulation of Single Phase Flow Through a Naturally Fractured Reservoir			
296	He Yiminian, Li Kaitai	The Coupling Method of Finite Elements and Boundary Elements for Radiation Problems			
297	T. Cazenave, A. Haraux, L. Vazquez, F.B. Weissler	Nonlinear Effects in the Wave Equation with a Cubic Restoring Force			
298	M. Chipot, F.B. Weissler	Some Blow Up Results for a Nonlinear Parabolic Parabolic Equation with a Gradient Term			
299	Li Kaitai	Perturbation Solutions of Simple and Double Bifurcation Problems for Navier-Stokes Equations			
300	Chen Zhengxin, Li Kaitai	The Convergence on the Multigrid Algorithm for Navier-Stokes Equations			
301	A. Gerardi, G. Nappo	Martingale Approach for Modeling DNA Synthesis			
302	D.N. Arnold, L. Ridgway Scott, Michael Vogelius	Regular Inversion of the Divergence Operator with Dirichlet Boundary Conditions on a Polygon			
303	Duran, Ricardo G.	Error Analysis in L^p , $1 \leq p \leq \infty$, for Mixed Definite Element Methods for Linear and Quasi-Linear Elliptic Problems			
304	Nochetto, Ricardo H., Verdi, Claudio	An Efficient Linear Scheme to Approximate Parabolic Free Boundary Problems: Error Estimates and Implementation			
305	K.A. Perlick-Spector, Scott J. Spector	Nonuniqueness for a Hyperbolic System: Cavitation in Nonlinear Elastodynamics			
306	E.G. Kohn, Willard Miller, Jr.	q-Series and Orthogonal Polynomials Associated with Barnes' First Lemma			
307	D.N. Arnold, Richard S. Falk	A Uniformly Accurate Finite Element Method for the Mindlin-Reissner Plate			
308	Chi-Wang Shu	TVD Properties of a Class of Modified Eno Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws			
309	Edmund Dikow, Ulrich Horning	A Random Boundary Value Problem Modeling Spatial Variability in Porous Media Flow			
310	Jack K. Hale	Compact Attractors and Singular Perturbations			
311	Boerger, A. Cockburn, B.	The TVD-Projection Method for Solving Implicit Numerical Schemes for Scalar Conservation Laws: A Numerical Study of a Simple Case			

Institute for Mathematics and its Applications,

3 JOB NUMBER

[illegible]

STABLE DEFECTS OF MINIMIZERS OF CONSTRAINED VARIATIONAL PRINCIPLES

Robert Hardt, David Kinderlehrer, and Fang-Hua Lin

1. Introduction

The objective of this note is to establish energy and density bounds for minimizers of certain constrained variational problems. As a consequence, we are able to provide limitations for the topological degree of such mappings. The prototype of these questions arose in our study of liquid crystals [HKL₁].

Let $W(A,u)$ be a smooth function of 3×3 matrices A and three-vectors u for which

$$\Lambda^{-1} |\nabla u|^2 \leq W(\nabla u, u) \leq \Lambda |\nabla u|^2 \quad \text{for some } \Lambda > 0, \quad (1.1)$$

whenever $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$, where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a bounded domain. Suppose that u is a W -minimizer in the sense that $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfies

$$\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla u, u) dx \leq \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla v, v) dx \quad \text{when } v = u \text{ on } \partial\Omega \\ \text{for } v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2) \quad (1.2)$$

A conclusion of [HKL₁] in the case of a liquid crystal integrand is that u is Hölder continuous, in fact smooth, in a neighborhood of any point $a \in \Omega$ where the normalized energy

$$\mathbb{E}_{r,a}(u) = \frac{1}{8\pi r} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 dx, \quad r > 0,$$

is sufficiently small and, in particular, in a neighborhood of any point a where

$$\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} \mathbb{E}_{r,a}(u) = 0.$$

In this case

$$W(\nabla v, v) = \frac{1}{2} \kappa_1 (\operatorname{div} v)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_2 (v \cdot \operatorname{curl} v)^2 + \frac{1}{2} \kappa_3 |v \wedge \operatorname{curl} v|^2 + \frac{1}{2} \alpha [\operatorname{tr}(\nabla v)^2 - (\operatorname{div} v)^2] \quad (1.3)$$

where each $\kappa_i > 0$ and we may, by [HKL₁, 1.2], choose $\alpha = \min\{\kappa_1, \kappa_2, \kappa_3\}$ without loss of generality. The argument leading to partial regularity extends to general smooth W satisfying (1.1) without serious alteration provided that the blow-up functional [HKL₁, 2.2], [Lu] is elliptic.

Thus, the set of singularities of u in Ω is precisely

$$Z_u = \{a \in \Omega : \Theta(a) > 0\} \quad \text{where}$$

$$\Theta(a) = \limsup_{r \downarrow 0} \frac{1}{8\pi r} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \quad .$$

Since $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ it is immediate that Z_u has one dimensional Hausdorff measure $\mathcal{H}^1(Z_u) = 0$.

The first conclusion of the present note is an *energy density bound*:

If u is a W -minimizer, then

$$\Theta(a) \leq M \quad \text{where } M \text{ depends only on } \Lambda \quad .$$

A second conclusion is an *interior energy bound*:

If u is a W -minimizer and K is a compact subset of Ω , then

$$\int_K |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C \quad \text{where } C \text{ depends only on } K \text{ and } \Lambda \quad .$$

Thus the set of W -minimizers is bounded in $H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$. We shall, by imposing a convexity condition on W , prove the stronger statement that the set of W -minimizers is compact $H_{loc}^1(\Omega)$ (in the topology induced by the norm.) More precisely, if (u_j) is a sequence of W -minimizers with, say,

$$\|u_j\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq \text{Const.},$$

then there is a $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ and a subsequence $(u_{j'})$ such that

- (i) u is a W -minimizer and
- (ii) $\|u_{j'} - u\|_{L^2(K)} + \|\nabla(u_{j'} - u)\|_{L^2(K)} \rightarrow 0$ as $j' \rightarrow \infty$.

This is directly analogous to the well known Montel space property of bounded harmonic functions. The arguments here, in contrast to those of [SU, 4.6] and [HL₁, 6.4] do not make use of the regularity theory.

To give some perspective to the assertion about the density, note that a special case of W is

$$W(\nabla u) = \frac{1}{2} |\nabla u|^2, \quad (1.4)$$

the integrand of a harmonic mapping of $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ into $\mathbb{S}^2$. A W -minimizer u is then a solution of the system

$$\Delta u + |\nabla u|^2 u = 0 \quad \text{in } \Omega. \quad (1.5)$$

When $\Omega = \mathbb{B} = \{|x| < 1\}$, a large class of examples of solutions of (1.5) is given by the homogeneous extensions of conformal or anticonformal mappings of $\mathbb{S}^2$ onto itself, that is, by rational functions of z or $\bar{z}$. So if

$$\pi : \mathbb{S}^2 \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$$

denotes stereographic projection and $f(z) = p(z)/q(z)$ is a rational function, $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, then

$$n_f(x) = \pi^{-1} f(\pi(x/|x|)) \quad (1.6)$$

is a solution of (1.3). Moreover, for such an n_f ,

$$\Theta(0) = \max(\deg p, \deg q).$$

Our density bound illustrates that not all such f give rise to minima. Moreover, numerical experiments of M. Luskin et.al. [CHKLL] indicate that $\Theta(a) = 2$ probably does not occur. H. Brezis, J.P. Coron, and E. Lieb [BCL₁], [BCL₂] have shown that when $\Theta(a) \neq 0$, then

$$\Theta(a) = 1$$

and that any nonconstant homogeneous-degree-0 minimizer must be in the form

$$Q\left(\frac{x}{|x|}\right)$$

for some rotation Q of $\mathbb{R}^3$. Combined with the interior regularity theory of [SU] and the asymptotic decay estimate of [S], the latter result implies that near a point a with $\Theta(a) \neq 0$, the minimizer u behaves like

$$u(x) = Q\left(\frac{x-a}{|x-a|}\right) + \text{higher order terms}$$

for some rotation Q . In this harmonic mapping case, the energy bounds of the present paper lead to further results on the stability, the number, and the location of singularities [AL], [HL₂].

The bounds established here apply to a minimizer $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ of any functional satisfying the uniform growth condition (1.1). From our arguments, we deduce in §4 two other consequences:

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla u &\in L^q_{loc}(\Omega) \text{ for some } q > 2 \text{ depending only on } \Lambda, \text{ and} \\ \mathcal{H}^{3-q}(Z_u) &= 0; \text{ hence, the Hausdorff dimension of } Z_u \text{ is } < 1. \end{aligned}$$

In §6 we discuss how these results further generalize. They hold, roughly, for any mapping from a smooth compact Riemannian manifold with boundary to a smooth compact simply-connected Riemannian manifold that is a quasi-minimizer of some integrand satisfying uniform growth conditions. Without the simple connectivity hypothesis on the target, there may be no interior energy bound as shown by harmonic maps into the circle. In (1.1) one may also replace 2 by a number $p > 1$ provided one insists that the target manifold be simply $[p]-1$ connected [HL₁, §6]. For $p \neq 2$, minimizers are in general only $C^{1,\alpha}$ regular at their points of continuity (see [HL₁, §3], [Lu]).

For a fixed compact domain Ω and compact manifold N , the results here indicate similarities between the family of *energy-minimizing* (not just energy-stationary) mappings from Ω to N and a uniformly bounded family

of harmonic functions on the disk. The results are also somewhat analogous with the universal density and mass bounds of [Mo].

In an earlier work [HKL₂] we described how some of these ideas may be used to study an experiment of Williams, Pieranski, and Cladis [WPC]. Discussion of the static theory of liquid crystals may be found in [BC], [E], and [L]. Analytical questions which arise are discussed in [HK₁], [HK₂], and [HKLu].

The authors appreciate the discussions and continuing interest of J.L. Ericksen. They also thank H. Brezis and M. Luskin for their generous comments.

2. Density bound

Our proof of the density bound is based on the following consequence of [HKL, 2.3]. For the reader's convenience and for other applications we give a proof in the appendix. Note that this lemma differs from the corresponding estimate of R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck [SU, 4.3] in that there is in [HL₁, 6.2] no "smallness" assumption applied to the right hand side of the inequality.

2.1. LEMMA. *If $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $a \in \Omega$, then for almost every positive $r < \text{dist}(a, \partial\Omega)$, there is a function $w \in H^1(B_r(a); \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that*

$$w = u \text{ on } \partial B_r(a) \text{ and} \\ \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq C \left(\int_{\partial B_r(a)} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 dS \cdot \int_{\partial B_r(a)} |u - \xi|^2 dS \right)^{1/2}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$ is arbitrary and C is an absolute constant.

A proof of the lemma is given in the appendix. In particular, assume that u satisfies (1.2) in a domain Ω . Then u satisfies (1.2) in $B_\rho(a)$ for almost every $\rho > 0$ sufficiently small so

$$\Lambda^{-1} \int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \int_{B_\rho} w(\nabla u, u) dx$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \int_{B_\rho} W(\nabla w, w) \, dx \\
&\leq \Lambda \int_{B_\rho} |\nabla w|^2 \, dx \\
&\leq \Lambda C \left(\int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 \, dS \cdot \int_{\partial B_r} |u - \xi|^2 \, dS \right)^{1/2},
\end{aligned}$$

or

$$\int_{B_\rho(a)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq \Lambda^2 C \left(\int_{\partial B_r(a)} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 \, dS \cdot \int_{\partial B_r(a)} |u - \xi|^2 \, dS \right)^{1/2} \quad (2.2)$$

2.2. COROLLARY. *If $u \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$ is a W -minimizer and $B_r(a) \subset \Omega$, then*

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}r, a}(u) \leq \gamma [E_{r, a}(u)]^{1/2}$$

where $\gamma = 4\pi^{1/2} \Lambda^2 C$ depends only on Λ .

Proof. By Fubini's Theorem,

$$\int_{\partial B_s(a)} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 \, dS \leq 2r^{-1} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx = 2E_{r, a}(u) \quad (2.3)$$

for some s with $\frac{1}{2}r < s < r$. Choosing $w \in H^1(B_s(a); \mathbb{S}^2)$ as in Lemma 2.1 with $\xi = 0$ and r replaced by s , we conclude from (2.2), and the equality $|u| = 1$, that

$$\begin{aligned}
\Lambda^{-1} \left(\frac{1}{2}r \right) E_{\frac{1}{2}r, a}(u) &= \Lambda^{-1} \int_{B_{r/2}(a)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \\
&\leq \Lambda^{-1} \int_{B_s(a)} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \\
&\leq \Lambda C \left(\int_{\partial B_s(a)} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 \, dS \cdot \int_{\partial B_s(a)} |u|^2 \, dS \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq \Lambda C (2E_{r, a}(u) \cdot 4\pi s^2)^{1/2}
\end{aligned}$$

$$\leq 4\pi^{1/2} \Lambda C(\tfrac{1}{2}r) [E_{r,a}(u)]^{1/2} . \quad \square$$

2.3. THEOREM. If $u \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$ is a W -minimizer and $a \in \Omega$, then

$$\Theta(a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{8\pi r} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq M$$

where $M = 2\gamma^2$ depends only on Λ .

Proof. With $R = \text{dist}(a, \partial\Omega)$, we apply 2.2 iteratively with $r = R, \frac{1}{2}R, \frac{1}{4}R, \dots$ to find that, for each $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$,

$$E_{2^{-j}R,a}(u) \leq \beta^{1+\dots+(\frac{1}{2})^j} [E_{R,a}(u)]^{(\frac{1}{2})^j} .$$

Letting $j \rightarrow \infty$, we see that

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} E_{2^{-j}R,a}(u) \leq \gamma^2 \cdot 1 .$$

Finally, we may for any $0 < r < R$, choose $j \in \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ so that $2^{-j-1}R \leq r < 2^{-j}R$; hence,

$$E_{r,a}(u) \leq 2 E_{2^{-j}R,a}(u) . \quad \square$$

Let us consider briefly another application of LEMMA 2.1. Let $f(z)$ be a given polynomial of degree m and for $\epsilon > 0$ consider the harmonic mapping $n_{\epsilon f}(x)$ of the unit ball B into $\mathbb{S}^2$ defined via homogeneous extension as given in (1.6). With $n_{\epsilon f}(x)$ as boundary values on ∂B , let u_ϵ denote a minimizer of the Dirichlet integral, that is,

$$\int_B |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx = \inf_A \int_B |\nabla v|^2 dx ,$$

$$A = \{v \in H^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2): v = n_{\epsilon f} \text{ on } \partial B\} .$$

Although $n_{\epsilon f}$ is a solution of the equilibrium equations (1.5) it need not equal u_ϵ . Indeed, $\epsilon f(z) \rightarrow 0$ pointwise in the complex plane, from which it

immediately follows that

$$n_{\text{ef}} \rightarrow -e_3 \quad (= \text{the south pole}) \quad \text{in } L^2(\mathbb{S}^2).$$

Moreover, $\nabla_{\text{tan}} u_\epsilon = \nabla_{\text{tan}} n_{\text{ef}}$, so

$$\int_{\partial \mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} u_\epsilon|^2 dS = \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} n_{\text{ef}}|^2 dS = 8\pi m.$$

Consequently,

$$\int_{\mathbb{B}} |\nabla u_\epsilon|^2 dx \leq C(8\pi m \int_{\partial \mathbb{B}} |u_\epsilon + e_3|^2 dS)^{1/2} \rightarrow 0$$

as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. It is immediate that n_{ef} is not a minimizer for ϵ sufficiently small, even when $m = 1$.

3. Energy bound

The proof of the energy bound is also based on Lemma 2.1.

3.1. THEOREM. *For any compact subset K of Ω there is a constant C_K depending only on Ω , K , and Λ so that*

$$\int_K |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq C_K$$

for any W -minimizer $u \in H^1(\Omega, \mathbb{S}^2)$.

Proof. By compactness of K , it suffices to prove such a bound with $\Omega = \mathbb{B}$ and $K = \overline{\mathbb{B}}_{1-\delta}$ for a fixed positive $\delta < 1$. For $0 < \rho < 1$, let

$$\mathbf{D}(\rho) = \int_{\mathbb{B}_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dx,$$

and note that $\mathbf{D}$ is monotone increasing with

$$\mathbb{D}'(\rho) = \int_{\partial B_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dS \quad \text{for almost all } 0 < \rho < 1 .$$

By the W -minimality of u and 2.1 with $\xi=0$, we find that for almost all $0 < \rho < 1$,

$$\mathbb{D}(\rho) \leq \alpha \rho [\mathbb{D}'(\rho)]^{1/2} \quad \text{or} \quad \alpha / \rho^2 \leq \mathbb{D}'(\rho) / \mathbb{D}(\rho)^2$$

where $\alpha = 2\pi^{1/2}C$. Integrating this inequality from $1-\delta$ to 1 gives

$$\alpha\delta / (1-\delta) \leq 1 / \mathbb{D}(1-\delta) \quad \text{or} \quad \mathbb{D}(1-\delta) \leq \alpha^{-1} \{ (1-\delta) / \delta \} . \quad \square$$

4. Higher integrability

We illustrate here how our estimate 2.1 may be used to ascertain higher integrability of the gradient of a minimizer. The tool for this is the Reverse Hölder inequality, c.f. Gehring [Ge], Meyers and Elcrat [ME], and Giaquinta and Modica [GM], or Giaquinta's book [Gi], Chapter V.

4.1. THEOREM. *There is a $q > 2$ depending only on Λ so that any W -minimizer u belongs to $H_{1,0}^{1,q}(\Omega)$. Moreover, for any ball $B \subset \subset \Omega$,*

$$\|u\|_{H^{1,q}(B)} \leq C_1 \|u\|_{H^1(B)} \leq C_2$$

where C_1 and C_2 depend only on B and Λ .

Proof. Suppose $B_{2r} = B_{2r}(a) \subset \subset \Omega$. From 2.1, for any $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\int_{B_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \epsilon \int_{\partial B_\rho} |\nabla u|^2 dS + c\epsilon^{-1} \int_{\partial B_\rho} |u - \xi|^2 dS \quad \text{for } 0 < \rho \leq 2r ,$$

where c depends only on Λ . Integrating this from r to $2r$ shows that

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 dx \leq \epsilon r^{-1} \int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^2 dx + c_0(\epsilon r)^{-1} \int_{B_{2r}} |u - \xi|^2 dx .$$

Set $\epsilon = \delta r$ and choose

$$\xi = \bar{u} = \int_{B_{2r}} u \, dx .$$

Note that by Sobolev's inequality,

$$\int_{B_{2r}} |u - \bar{u}|^2 \, dx \leq c_1 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{2/p} ,$$

where $p = 2n/(2+n) = 6/5 < 2$ and c_1 is an absolute constant. Hence

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq \delta \int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + c_0 c_1 (\delta r^2)^{-1} \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{2/p} .$$

We now divide this inequality by $|B_r|$. We then obtain, for some constant c_2 depending only on Λ and δ that

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx \leq 8\delta \int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^2 \, dx + c_2 \left(\int_{B_{2r}} |\nabla u|^p \, dx \right)^{2/p} .$$

Fixing $\delta < 1/8$, we may now apply this reverse-Hölder inequality as in the references cited above to conclude the existence of a $q > 2$ such that

$$\nabla u \in L^q_{loc}(\Omega) .$$

Moreover, for c_3, c_4 depending on r and Λ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \|\nabla u\|_{L^q(B_{2r})} &\leq c_3 \left(\|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{2r})} + \|\nabla u\|_{L^p(B_{2r})} \right) \\ &\leq c_4 \|\nabla u\|_{L^2(B_{2r})} , \end{aligned}$$

since $p < 2$. The conclusion now follows using 3.1. $\square$

4.2. COROLLARY. *The singular set $Z_u = \{a \in \Omega : \Theta(a) > 0\}$ of the minimizer u has $\mathcal{H}^{3-q}(Z_u) = 0$. In particular, the Hausdorff dimension of Z_u is strictly less than 1.*

Proof. For $B_r(a) \subset \Omega$, we infer from Hölder's inequality that

$$\left(\int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 dx \right)^{1/2} \leq \left(\int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^q dx \right)^{1/q} |B_r|^{(q-2)/2q}.$$

Squaring and multiplying by $r^{-1} = r^{2(q-3)/q} \cdot r^{-3(q-2)/q}$, we see that

$$E_{r,\theta}(u) \leq \left(r^{q-3} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^q dx \right)^{2/q} |B|^{(q-2)/q};$$

hence,

$$Z_u \subset \{a \in \Omega : \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} r^{q-3} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^q dx > 0\},$$

and the corollary follows from 4.1 and a covering argument [G, §IV, 2.2]. $\square$

4.3. REMARK. Corollary 4.2 (with a possibly different $q > 2$) may also be derived directly from 2.3, the regularity lemma [HKL, 2.5], and the "Work-raccoon theorem" [W, 5.1] in the manner of [W, 5.2].

5. The compactness of minimizers

By imposing a convexity condition on W we shall show that a bounded set of minimizers has some compactness properties. For ease of exposition, we shall assume that $\Omega = B$, a unit ball.

This is an opportunity to distinguish between a local W -minimizer and a W -minimizer. To this point, the functions we have called W -minimizers need only satisfy (1.2) in some subdomain Ω of their domain of definition in order that the conclusions of the previous results hold in that subdomain. In particular, a function which satisfies (1.2) in some neighborhood of every point of its domain of definition must have $\Theta \leq M$ throughout.

However a function may have the property that (1.2) is satisfied in some neighborhood of every point without minimizing the functional in the entire domain. A simple example of this is given by the mapping $u: \mathbb{B}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ defined by

$$u(x) = (\cos \theta(x), \sin \theta(x), 0), \quad x \in \mathbb{B}_r,$$

where θ is an ordinary harmonic function in $\mathbb{R}^3$, which fails to minimize the Dirichlet integral (1.4) for large enough r among all functions $v: \mathbb{B}_r \rightarrow \mathbb{S}^2$ with $v = u$ on $\partial \mathbb{B}_r$. In order that the conclusions about compactness be valid, all the mappings in question must be W -minimizers in the same domain.

5.1 PROPOSITION. *Suppose that the functional*

$$\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla v, v) dx$$

is lower semicontinuous with respect to weak convergence in $H^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$. If (u_j) is a sequence of W -minimizers and if

$$u_j \rightarrow u \quad \text{in } H_{loc}^1(B) \text{ weakly,}$$

then u is a W -minimizer.

The lower semicontinuity hypothesis is implied by the convexity condition (5.9) discussed below [M]. Also the weak convergence hypothesis always holds for some subsequence by Theorem 3.1.

Proof. By rescaling slightly, we may assume that $(u_j) \subset H_{loc}^1(B_{1+\rho}; \mathbb{S}^2)$, $\rho > 0$, is the sequence of minimizers so that $u_j, u \in H^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $u_j|_B$ are W -minimizers. Assume that

$$w \in H^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2) \text{ with } w = u \text{ on } \partial B.$$

Given $\delta > 0$, choose $\eta \in H^{1,\infty}(B)$ so that

$$\eta = 1 \text{ on } B_{1-\delta}, \eta = 0 \text{ on } \partial B, \text{ and } |\nabla \eta| \leq 1/\delta \text{ on } A_\delta \hat{=} B - B_{1-\delta}.$$

Let us set

$$v_j = (1 - \eta)u_j + \eta w \quad \text{in } B$$

so that

$$|v_j| = |w| = 1 \quad \text{on } \partial B_{1-\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad |v_j| = |u_j| = 1 \quad \text{on } \partial B.$$

Applying our Extension Lemma A.1 in the appendix, with Ω chosen to be the region $A_\delta = B \setminus B_{1-\delta}$, we may find a function $w_j \in H^1(A_\delta; \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that

$$\int_{A_\delta} |\nabla w_j|^2 dx \leq C \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx, \quad (5.2)$$

$$w_j = v_j = w \quad \text{on } \partial B_{1-\delta} \quad \text{and} \quad w_j = v_j = u_j \quad \text{on } \partial B.$$

Extending w_j to all of B by letting

$$w_j = w \quad \text{on } B_{1-\delta},$$

we see that w_j is then an admissible variation with boundary data u_j , hence

$$\int_B W(\nabla u_j, u_j) dx \leq \int_B W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx.$$

From this inequality and the assumed lower semicontinuity, we see that given $\epsilon > 0$, for j sufficiently large,

$$\int_B W(\nabla u, u) dx - \frac{1}{2}\epsilon \leq \int_B W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx \quad (5.3)$$

for all sufficiently large j . Expanding the right hand side and employing (5.2), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx &\leq \int_{B_{1-\delta}} W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx + \int_{A_\delta} W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx \\ &\leq \int_{B_{1-\delta}} W(\nabla v, v) dx + \Lambda \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla w_j|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_B W(\nabla v, v) dx + C\Lambda \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.4)$$

For the latter integral we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx &\leq \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla(u_j - v)|^2 dx + \int_{A_\delta} |(u_j - v) \otimes \nabla \eta|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx + 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - v|^2 dx . \end{aligned} \quad (5.5)$$

Next we observe that by Poincaré's inequality, there is a constant c_B so that for all sufficiently small δ ,

$$\int_{A_\delta} |\zeta|^2 dx \leq c_B \delta^2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla \zeta|^2 dx \quad \text{for all } \zeta \in H^1(A_\delta) \text{ with } \zeta = 0 \text{ on } \partial B . \quad (5.6)$$

Applying (5.6) with $\zeta = u - v$ now gives

$$\begin{aligned} \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - v|^2 dx &\leq \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - u|^2 dx + \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u - v|^2 dx \\ &\leq \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - u|^2 dx + c_B \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx . \end{aligned} \quad (5.7)$$

Finally, according to Hölder's inequality and Theorem 4.1,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx &\leq \left(\int_{A_\delta} |\nabla u_j|^q dx \right)^{2/q} |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} \\ &\leq \left(\int_{\Omega_0} |\nabla u_j|^q dx \right)^{2/q} |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} \\ &\leq C_2^2 |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} . \end{aligned}$$

Consequently by (5.5) and (5.7)

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx &\leq 2C_2^2 |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} + 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - u|^2 dx \\ &\quad + c_B \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla(u - v)|^2 dx . \end{aligned}$$

Now permit $j \rightarrow \infty$. Since $u_j \rightarrow u$ in $L^2(B)$, the third term vanishes

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx &\leq 2C_2^2 |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} + 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v|^2 dx \\ &+ c_B \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla(u-v)|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Choosing δ small enough to make the right-hand side less than $\frac{1}{2}(C\Lambda)^{-1}\epsilon$, we see that (5.3) and (5.4) now imply that

$$\int_B W(\nabla u, u) dx \leq \int_B W(\nabla w, w) dx + \epsilon \quad \square$$

We shall now impose a few assumptions about W . Suppose

$$|W_A(A, u)| \leq \text{const}(|A| + 1) \quad \text{for } |u| = 1, \quad (5.8)$$

and

there is a $\lambda > 0$ such that for all $A, u, |u| = 1$,

$$W_{AA}(A, u) B \cdot B \geq \lambda |B|^2 \quad \text{for all } 3 \times 3 \text{ matrices } B. \quad (5.9)$$

It follows from (5.9) that for any (A, u) and (B, v) with $|u| = |v| = 1$,

$$W(B, v) - W(A, u) \geq W_A(A, v) \cdot (B - A) + \lambda |B - A|^2 + W(A, v) - W(A, u). \quad (5.10)$$

It ought to be noted that the liquid crystal integrand (1.3) may be written

$$W(A, u) = \frac{1}{2} \alpha |A|^2 + V(A, u)$$

where $V(A, u)$ is a nonnegative quadratic form in A which is smooth in u , cf. [HKL₁], [HK₁].

5.2. LEMMA. *If*

$$v_j \rightarrow v \text{ weakly in } H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2),$$

and if

$$\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla v_j, v_j) dx \rightarrow \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla v, v) dx, \quad (5.11)$$

then a subsequence of the (v_j) converges strongly in $H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{S}^2)$.

Proof. First select a subsequence of the (v_j) so that, after changing notations,

$$v_j \rightarrow v \text{ pointwise a.e. in } \Omega.$$

Integrating (5.10) gives that

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda \int_{\Omega} |\nabla(v_j - v)|^2 dx &\leq \int_{\Omega} [W(\nabla v_j, v_j) - W(\nabla v, v)] dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} W_A(\nabla v, v_j) \cdot (\nabla v_j - \nabla v) dx \\ &\quad - \int_{\Omega} [W(\nabla v, v_j) - W(\nabla v, v)] dx, \end{aligned} \quad (5.12)$$

and it suffices to show that the right-hand side of (5.12) approaches zero.

The first term on the right-hand side of (5.12) approaches zero by our hypothesis (5.11).

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} W_A(\nabla v, v_j) &\rightarrow W_A(\nabla v, v) \text{ pointwise a.e. and} \\ |W_A(\nabla v, v_j)| &\leq \text{const}(|\nabla v| + 1). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$W_A(\nabla v, v_j) \rightarrow W_A(\nabla v, v) \text{ (strongly) in } L^2(\Omega).$$

Inasmuch as

$$\nabla v_j \rightarrow \nabla v \text{ weakly in } L^2(\Omega),$$

the second term on the right-hand side of (5.12) also converges to zero.

Finally the third term goes to zero because

$$W(\nabla v, v_j) \rightarrow W(\nabla v, v) \text{ pointwise a.e. and}$$

$$|W(\nabla v, v_j)| \leq \text{const}(|\nabla v| + 1) \in L^2(\Omega) . \quad \square$$

5.3. THEOREM. *Suppose that W satisfies (5.8) and (5.9). For any sequence of W -minimizers, there is a subsequence (u_j) and a $u \in H_{loc}^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} u_j &\rightarrow u \text{ in } H_{loc}^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2) \text{ and} \\ u &\text{ is a } W\text{-minimizer for any subdomain } \Omega \subset\subset B . \end{aligned}$$

Proof. By Theorem 3.1, a subsequence (u_j) is weakly convergent in $H_{loc}^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$ to a function $u \in H_{loc}^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$. Moreover, u is W -minimizing by Proposition 5.1 because (5.9) implies the weak lower semicontinuity of the functional

$$\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla v, v) dx .$$

To prove the strong convergence in $H_{loc}^1(B; \mathbb{S}^2)$ it now suffices by this lower semicontinuity and Lemma 5.2 to show that

$$\int_{\Omega} W(\nabla u, u) dx \geq \limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{\Omega} W(\nabla u_j, u_j) dx \quad (5.13)$$

for any smooth domain $\Omega \subset\subset B$. This we will establish by an arguments similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1. In the argument we may rescale slightly and take $\Omega = B$. For $B_{1-\delta}$ and η as before, we here let

$$v_j = (1-\eta)u_j + \eta u \text{ in } B .$$

We again apply Extension Lemma A.1 to the function v_j restricted to the region $A_{\delta} = B \setminus B_{1-\delta}$ to obtain $w_j \in H^1(A_{\delta}; \mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfying (5.2). Extending w_j

to all of $B_{1-\delta}$ by letting $w_j = v$ on $B_{1-\delta}$, we infer from the minimality of $u_j \mid B$ that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_B W(\nabla u_j, u_j) dx &\leq \int_B W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx \\ &= \int_{B_{1-\delta}} W(\nabla u, u) dx + \int_{A_\delta} W(\nabla w_j, w_j) dx \\ &\leq \int_B W(\nabla u, u) dx + C \Lambda \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx. \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

We estimate the last term as before. Namely, using the Reverse Hölder inequality 4.1 as before and realizing that the limit u is also a minimizer,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx &\leq \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla(u_j - u)|^2 dx + \int_{A_\delta} |(u_j - u) \otimes \nabla \eta|^2 dx \\ &\leq 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla u_j|^2 dx + 2 \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla u|^2 dx + \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - u|^2 dx \\ &\leq 4C_2^2 |A_\delta|^{1-2/q} + \delta^{-2} \int_{A_\delta} |u_j - u|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\limsup_{j \rightarrow \infty} \int_{A_\delta} |\nabla v_j|^2 dx \leq 4C_2^2 |A_\delta|^{1-2/q}.$$

Combining this with (5.14) gives (5.15) and completes the proof.

6. Generalization

We make the following assumptions:

(a) *Domain*: We wish to let the domain be an arbitrary smooth compact Riemannian manifold M with boundary. However, since the results will be only local bounds with constants depending on M and since the functionals considered in (b) are general enough to include the affect of an arbitrary smooth metric on M , we will assume, without losing generality, that *the domain is an open subset Ω of $\mathbb{R}^n$ with the ordinary Euclidean*

metric.

(b) *Functional:* $A(u) = \int_{\Omega} A(x, u, \nabla u) dx$ where A is a measurable function satisfying the uniform growth conditions

$$\Lambda^{-1}|z|^p - \mu \leq A(x, y, z) \leq \Lambda|z|^p + \mu$$

for some constants $\Lambda \geq 1$, $\mu \geq 0$, and $p > 1$. For further assumptions on the functional which lead to partial regularity, see e.g. [EG], [FH], [G], [GG], [GM], [Lu]. It might be noted, in addition, that under appropriate assumptions, minimizers of unconstrained problems are actually fairly smooth [CE].

(c) *Target:* N is a smooth compact, simply $[p]-1$ connected (i.e. $\pi_0(N) = \pi_1(N) = \dots = \pi_{[p]-1}(N) = 0$) Riemannian manifold without boundary. Via an isometric embedding, we view N as a Riemannian submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^k$.

(d) *Quasi-minimizer:* $u \in L^{1,p}(\Omega, N)$ [HL₁, §1] and, for some constant $Q \geq 1$,

$$\int_{B_r(a)} A(x, u, \nabla u) dx \leq Q \int_{B_r(a)} A(x, w, \nabla w) dx$$

whenever $B_r(a) \subset \Omega$, $w \in L^{1,p}(B_r(a), N)$, and $w|_{\partial B_r(a)} \equiv u|_{\partial B_r(a)}$. This includes minimizers for certain vector-valued obstacle problems. See [G, p.252]. Partial regularity results for higher dimensional smooth obstacle mapping problems have been obtained in [DF] and [F]. These issues become significantly more complicated when the system of equilibrium equations is far from diagonal, cf. eg. [K]

Under these assumptions, we now use the notations

$$D(r) = \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^p dx \quad \text{and} \quad E_{r,a}(u) = r^{p-n} \int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^p dx.$$

Note that for $p \geq n$, $\lim_{r \rightarrow 0} E_{r,a}(u) = 0$ for all $a \in \Omega$ by the absolute continuity of $|\nabla u|^p dx$.

By the topological assumption in (c), [HL₁, 6.2] provides a suitable

replacement for 2.1. The conclusion now involves an additive inequality

$$r^{p-n} \int_{B_r} |\nabla w|^p dx \leq \lambda r^{p-n+1} \int_{\partial B_r} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^p dS + C \lambda^{-p} r^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_r} |u - \xi|^p dS \quad (6.1)$$

that is valid for all $\lambda > 0$.

In generalizing 3.1 we find that

$$D(r) \leq \lambda r D'(r) + \kappa \Lambda^{2p+2} Q^p \lambda^{-p} s^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_s(a)} |u - \xi|^p dS + \kappa \Lambda \mu Q r^p$$

for some constant κ depending only on n, k , and p . Moreover,

$$D(r) \leq \alpha [\max\{r^{1/p} D'(r), r^{1+p}\}]^{p/(1+p)}$$

where $\alpha = 2 + 2\kappa \Lambda^{2p+2} Q^p (n + \mu) |B|$. The second conclusion follows from the first by taking $\lambda = [r^p D'(r)]^{-1/(1+p)}$. One verifies the first by applying (6.1) with λ replaced by $\lambda/(\Lambda^2 Q)$ to obtain a suitable comparison function w with $w|_{\partial B_r(a)} \equiv u|_{\partial B_r(a)}$; hence,

$$\int_{B_r(a)} A(x, u, \nabla u) dx \leq Q \int_{B_r(a)} A(x, w, \nabla w) dx.$$

Similarly in generalizing Corollary 2.2, we find that

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}r, a}(u) \leq \lambda E_{r, a}(u) + \kappa \Lambda^{2p+2} Q^p \lambda^{-p} s^{1-n} \int_{\partial B_s(a)} |u - \xi|^p dS + \kappa \Lambda \mu Q r^p$$

for some s with $\frac{1}{2}r < s < r$ and constant κ depending only on n, k , and p . Moreover,

$$E_{\frac{1}{2}r, a}(u) \leq \beta [\max\{E_{r, a}(u), r^{1+p}\}]^{p/(1+p)}$$

where $\beta = 2 + 2\kappa \Lambda^{2p+2} Q^p (n + \mu) |B|$.

The new version of Theorem 3.1 should be:

For any compact subset K of Ω there is a constant C depending only on $\Omega, K, N, \Lambda, \mu, Q$, and p so that

$$\int_K |\nabla u|^p dx \leq C$$

for any W -minimizer u .

In modifying the proof of 3.1, we now find that

$$[D(r)]^{-(p+1)/p} D'(r) \geq \alpha^{-(p+1)/p} r^{-1/p} \geq \alpha^{-(p+1)/p}$$

for almost all $0 < r < 1$; hence,

$$D(1-\delta) \leq (p-1)^p \alpha^{p+1} \delta^{-p}.$$

Next we note that trivially, for $0 < r \leq 2\beta$,

$$(\frac{1}{2}r)^{1+p} \leq \beta [\max\{E_{r,\delta}(u), r^{1+p}\}]^{p/(1+p)},$$

and we may modify the proof of 2.2 by iterating using the quantity $\max\{E_{r,\delta}(u), r^{1+p}\}$ in place of $E_{r,\delta}(u)$. We now obtain the density bound

$$\Theta(a) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} E_{r,\delta}(u) = \limsup_{r \rightarrow 0} \max\{E_{r,\delta}(u), r^{1+p}\} \leq 2^{p-n} \beta^{1+p}.$$

We also obtain the reverse-Hölder inequality

$$\begin{aligned} & \left[\int_{B_{r/2}(a)} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{1/p} \\ & \leq \lambda \left[\int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^p dx \right]^{1/p} + \gamma \Lambda^{2+(2/p)} Q \lambda^{-p} \left[\int_{B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^m dx \right]^{1/m} + \alpha (\Lambda \mu Q)^{1/p} r \end{aligned}$$

where γ now depends on n , k , and p . Since $1 < m < p$ we infer from [G, §V, 1.1] that

the gradient of the minimizer u belongs to $L^q_{loc}(\Omega)$ for some $q > p$ depending only on n, k, p, Λ, μ , and Q .

Using this, Hölder's inequality and [G, §IV, 2.2] as before, we deduce that

the set $Z_0 = \{a \in \Omega : \Theta(a) > 0\}$ has $\mathbb{H}^{n-p}$ measure zero, and hence Hausdorff dimension strictly less than $n-p$. $\square$

Finally, we take note of the necessity of the simply connected hypothesis in (c). For example, the function

$$u_\lambda(x) = (\cos \lambda x_1, \sin \lambda x_1)$$

is the unique energy minimizing (even stationary) harmonic map from B to S^1 having boundary values $(\cos \lambda x_1, \sin \lambda x_1)$. But

$$\int_{B_r} |\nabla u_\lambda|^2 dx \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } \lambda \rightarrow \infty \text{ for any } r < 1$$

ruling out the possibility of an interior energy bound.

Appendix

Here we give succinct proofs of the extension lemmas which are used in this paper.

A.1. EXTENSION LEMMA. *Let Ω be B_1 , the unit ball, or the annulus $B_s \sim B_1$ for some s , $\frac{1}{2} < s < 1$. For any $v \in H^1(\Omega; \mathbb{R}^3)$ with $|v| = 1$ on $\partial\Omega$, there exists a function $w \in H^1(\Omega; S^2)$ such that*

$$w = v \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega \quad \text{and}$$

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w|^2 dx \leq C \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx$$

for an absolute constant C , independent of Ω .

Proof. For $|a| < 1$ consider the function

$$w_a(x) = (v(x) - a) / |v(x) - a|, \quad x \in \Omega,$$

whose gradient (with respect to x) is

$$\nabla w_a = |v-a|^{-1} \nabla v - |v-a|^{-3} (v-a) \otimes (v-a) \nabla v .$$

Thus

$$|\nabla w_a| \leq 2^{1/2} |v-a|^{-1} |\nabla v| \quad \text{in } \Omega ,$$

and hence

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla w_a|^2 da \leq 2 \int_{B_{1/2}} |v-a|^{-2} |\nabla v|^2 da < +\infty \quad \text{a.e. in } \Omega .$$

Indeed, elementary considerations show that

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} |v-a|^{-2} da \leq K < \infty$$

independent of $v \in \mathbb{R}^3$.

Integrating over Ω , we obtain

$$\int_{B_{1/2}} \int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_a|^2 da \leq \int_{\Omega} \int_{B_{1/2}} |\nabla w_a|^2 da \leq 2K \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx .$$

Hence there is an a_0 with $|a_0| \leq \frac{1}{2}$, such that

$$\int_{\Omega} |\nabla w_{a_0}|^2 da \leq 8K |B_1| \int_{\Omega} |\nabla v|^2 dx .$$

Now observe that on $\partial\Omega$,

$$w_a(x) = (v(x)-a)/|v(x)-a| \quad \text{with } |v| = 1 .$$

Let

$$\pi_a(\xi) = |\xi-a|^{-1} (\xi-a) \quad \text{for } \xi \in \mathbb{S}^2 \text{ and } |a| \leq \frac{1}{2} .$$

This is a bilipshitz homeomorphism of $\mathbb{S}^2$ onto itself. Indeed,

$$\pi_a^{-1}(\eta) = a + [(a \cdot \eta)^2 + (1-|a|^2)]^{1/2} \eta$$

with

$$|\nabla \pi_a^{-1}(\eta)| \leq L$$

uniformly independent of a with $|a| \leq \frac{1}{2}$. Thus we may choose

$$w = \pi_{a_0}^{-1} \circ w_{a_0}$$

and the constant

$$C = 8K \|B_1\| L^2. \quad \square$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1.

By Fubini's theorem,

$$\int_{\partial B_r(a)} |\nabla u|^2 dS < \infty$$

for almost every positive $r < \text{dist}(a, \partial\Omega)$. For any such r , we abbreviate $B = B_r(a)$ and let v be the harmonic extension of u to B and determine w by Lemma A.1 with $\Omega = B$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta v &= 0 \quad \text{in } B, \\ v &= u \quad \text{on } \partial B. \end{aligned}$$

It is well known and easy to check that v satisfies

$$0 \leq \int_B |\nabla v|^2 dx = r \int_{\partial B} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} v|^2 dS - r \int_{\partial B} v_\rho^2 dS,$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\partial B} v_\rho^2 dS &\leq \int_{\partial B} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} v|^2 dS \\ &= \int_{\partial B} |\nabla_{\text{tan}} u|^2 dS < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Now by Lemma A.1, for any $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^3$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\int_B |\nabla w|^2 dx &\leq C \int_B |\nabla v|^2 dx = C \int_{\partial B} v_p \cdot (v - \xi) dS \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\partial B} v_p^2 dS \int_{\partial B} |v - \xi|^2 dS \right)^{1/2} \\
&\leq C \left(\int_{\partial B} |\nabla_{\tan} u|^2 dS \int_{\partial B} |u - \xi|^2 dS \right)^{1/2}. \quad \square
\end{aligned}$$

This research was supported in part by N S F grants DMS 85 - 11357, MCS 83 - 01345, DMS 87-0672, and the Sloan Foundation.

References

- [AL] F. J. Almgren, Jr. and E. Lieb, *Singularities of energy minimizing maps from the ball to the sphere* (preprint)
- [BCL₁] H. Brezis, J.-M. Coron, & E. Lieb, *Estimations d'énergie pour des applications de $\mathbb{R}^3$ à valeurs dans S^2* . C.R.Acad.Sc. 12 Mai 1986.
- [BCL₂] ———, *Harmonic maps with defects*. To appear in Comm. Math. Physics.
- [BC] W. Brinkman and P. Cladis, *Defects in Liquid Crystals*, Physics Today, **35**, (1982), 48-54.
- [CE] M. Chipot and L. C. Evans, *Linearisation at infinity and Lipschitz estimates for certain problems in the calculus of variations*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh, **102A**, (1986), 291-303
- [CHKLL] R.Cohen, R.Hardt, D.Kinderlehrer, S.Y.Lin, M.Luskin, *Minimum energy configurations from liquid crystals: computational results*, Theory and appl. of liquid crystals, I.M.A. Volumes in Math. and Appl. **5** (to appear)
- [DF] F.Duzaar, M.Fuchs, *Optimal regularity theorems for variational problems with obstacles*. To appear in Manuscripta Math.
- [EG] L.C.Evans & R.F.Gariepy, *Blow-up, compactness and partial regularity in the calculus of variations*. Preprint, Univ. of Maryland, 1985.
- [E] J. L. Ericksen, *Equilibrium theory of liquid crystals*, Adv. Liq. Cryst., **2**, (G. H. Brown, ed.), Academic Press, (1976), 233-299.
- [F] H. Federer, *Geometric Measure Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York, 1969.
- [Fu] M.Fuchs, *P-harmonic obstacle problems*, Preprint, Dusseldorf, 1986.
- [FH] N.Fusco & J.Hutchinson, *Partial regularity for minimizers of certain functionals having nonquadratic growth*. Preprint, CMA, Canberra 1985.

- [G] M. Giaquinta, *Multiple integrals in the calculus of variation and nonlinear elliptic systems*. Ann. Math. Studies **105**, Princeton University Press, Princeton, 1983.
- [GG] M. Giaquinta & E. Giusti, *The singular set of the minima of certain quadratic functionals*. Ann. Sc. Norm. Sup.: Pisa(IV), **XI**, 1(1984), 45-55.
- [GM] M. Giaquinta & G. Modica, *Partial regularity of minimizers of quasiconvex integrals*, Ann. Inst. H. Poincaré, Analyse nonlinéaire **3** (1986).
- [HK₁] R. Hardt and D. Kinderlehrer, *Mathematical questions of liquid crystal theory*, Theory and Applications of Liquid Crystals, I.M.A. Vol. in Math. and Appl. 5 (J. L. Ericksen and D. Kinderlehrer, eds) Springer, (1987), 151-184
- [HK₂] R. Hardt and D. Kinderlehrer, *Mathematical questions of liquid crystal theory*, College de France Sem. (1987)
- [HKL₁] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, & F. H. Lin, *Existence and partial regularity of static liquid crystal configurations*. Comm. Math. Phys. **105**(1986), pp.547-570.
- [HKL₂] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, & F. H. Lin, *A remark about the stability of smooth equilibrium configurations of static liquid crystals*, Mol. Cryst. Liq. Cryst., **139**, (1986), 189-194
- [HKLu] R. Hardt, D. Kinderlehrer, and M. Luskin, *Remarks about the mathematical theory of liquid crystals*, Proc. C.I.R.M. Trento, (to appear) I.M.A. preprint 276
- [HL₁] R. Hardt and F. H. Lin, *Mappings minimizing the L^p Norm of the gradient*. To appear in Comm. Pure & Appl. Math.
- [HL₂] R. Hardt and F. H. Lin, *Stability of singularities of minimizing harmonic maps* (preprint)
- [K] D. Kinderlehrer, *Remarks about Signorini's problem in linear elasticity*. Ann. SNS Pisa, **VIII**, (1981), 605-645
- [L] F. Leslie, *Some topics in the equilibrium theory of liquid crystals*, Theory and Applications of Liquid Crystals, I.M.A. Vol. in Math. and Appl. 5 (J. L. Ericksen and D. Kinderlehrer, eds) Springer, (1987), 211-234.
- [Lu] S. Luckhaus, *Partial Holder continuity for minima of certain energies among maps into a Riemannian manifold*. Preprint 384 Universität Heidelberg, 1986.
- [Mo] F. Morgan, *Harnack-type mass bounds and Bernstein theorems for area minimizing flat chains mod ν* . Comm. P.D.E. **11**(1986), pp.1257-1286.
- [M] C. B. Morrey, Jr., *Multiple Integrals in the Calculus of Variations*, Springer, 1966
- [SU] R. Schoen and K. Uhlenbeck, *A regularity theory for harmonic maps*. J. Diff. Geom., **17**(1982), pp. 307-335.
- [W] B. White, *A regularity theorem for minimizing hypersurfaces mod ν* . Proc. Symp. Pure Math. AMS **44** (1984), 413-428.

- [WPC] C. Williams, P. Pieranski, and P. Cladis, *Nonsingular S = +1 screw disinclination lines in nematics*, Phys. Rev. Letters, **29**, (1972), 90-92.

School of Mathematics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

School of Mathematics
University of Minnesota
Minneapolis, MN 55455 USA

Courant Institute of
Mathematical Science -NYU
New York City, NY 10012 USA