ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF MATERIAL
SYMMETRY FOR ANISOTROPIC ELASTIC MATERIALS

BY
STEPHEN C. COWIN
AND
MORTEZA M. MEHRABADI

IMA Preprint Series # 204

December 1985

INSTITUTE FOR MATHEMATICS AND ITS APPLICATIONS
UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA
514 Vincent Hall
206 Church Street S.E.
Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

- 78 Abstracts for the Workshop on Bayesian Analysis in Economics and Game Theory
- 79 G. Chichilnitsky, G.M. Heal, Existence of a Competitive Equilibrium in L_p and Sobolev Spaces
- 80 Thomas Seligman, Time-dependent Solutions of a Nonlinear System in Semiconductivity Theory. II: Boundedness and Periodicity
- 81 Yakar Kannai, Engaging in R&D and the Emergence of Expected Non-convex Technologies
- 82 Herve Moulin, Choice Functions over a Finite Set: A Summary
- 83 Herve Moulin, Choosing from a Tournament
- 84 David Schmiedler, Subjective Probability and Expected Utility Without Additivity
- 85 I.G. Kevrekidis, R. Aris, L.D. Schmidt, and S. Peilkan, The Numerical Computation of Invariant Circles of Maps
- 86 F. William Lawvere, State Categories, Closed Categories, and the Existence of Semicontinuous Entropy Functions
- 87 F. William Lawvere, Functional Remarks on the General Concept of Chaos
- 88 Steven R. Williams, Necessary and Sufficient Conditions for the Existence of a Locally Stable Message Process
- 89 Steven R. Williams, Implementing a Generic Smooth Function
- 90 Dilip Abreu, Infinitely Repeated Games with Discounting: A General Theory
- 91 J.S. Jordan, Instability in the Implementation of Walrasian Allocations
- 92 Myrna Holtz Wooders, William R. Zame, Large Games: Fair and Stable Outcomes
- 93 J.L. Noakes, Critical Sets and Negative Bundles
- 94 Graciela Chichilnitsky, Von Neumann-Morgenstern Utilities and Cardinal Preferences
- 95 J.L. Erickson, Twinning of Crystals
- 96 Anna Nagurney, On Some Market Equilibrium Theory Paradoxes
- 97 Anna Nagurney, Sensitivity Analysis for Market Equilibrium
- 98 Abstracts for the Workshop on Equilibrium and Stability Questions in Continuum Physics and Partial Differential Equations
- 99 Milard Beatty, A Lecture on Some Topics in Nonlinear Elasticity and Elastic Stability
- 100 Filomena Pacella, Central Configurations of the N-Body Problem via the Equivariant Morse Theory
- 101 D. Carlson and A. Hoger, The Derivative of a Tensor-valued Function of a Tensor
- 102 Kenneth Mount, Privacy Preserving Correspondence
- 103 Milard Beatty, Finite Amplitude Vibrations of a Neo-hookean Oscillator
- 104 D. Emmons and N. Yannellis, On Perfectly Competitive Economies: Loeb Economies
- 105 E. Mascolo and R. Schianchi, Existence Theorems in the Calculus of Variations
- 106 D. Kinderlehrer, Twinning of Crystals (II)
- 107 R. Chen, Solutions of Minimax Problems Using Equivalent Differentiable Equations
- 108 D. Abreu, D. Pearce, and E. Stacchetti, Optimal Cartel Equilibria with Imperfect Monitoring
- 109 R. Lauterbach, Hopf Bifurcation from a Turning Point
- 110 C. Kahn, An Equilibrium Model of Quits under Optimal Contracting
- 111 M. Kaneko and M. Wooders, The Core of a Game with a Continuum of Players and Finite Coalitions: The Model and Some Results
- 112 Halm Brezis, Remarks on Sublinear Equations
- 113 D. Carlson and A. Hoger, On the Derivatives of the Principal Invariants of a Second-order Tensor
- 114 Raymond Deneckere and Steve Peilkan, Competitive Chaos
- 115 Abstracts for the Workshop on Homogenization and Effective Moduli of Materials and Media
- 116 Abstracts for the Workshop on the Classifying Spaces of Groups
- 117 Umberto Mosco, Pointwise Potential Estimates for Elliptic Obstacle Problems
- 118 J. Rodrigues, An Evolutionary Continuous Casting Problem of Stefan Type
- 119 C. Mueller and F. Weissler, Single Point Blow-up for a General Semilinear Heat Equation
- 120 D.R.J. Chillingworth, Three Introductory Lectures on Differential Topology and its Applications
- 121 Giorgio Vergara Caffarelli, Green's Formulas for Linearized Problems with Live Loads
- 122 F. Chiarenza and N. Garofalo, Unique Continuation for Nonnegative Solutions of Schrödinger Operators
- 123 J.L. Erickson, Constitutive Theory for some Constrained Elastic Crystals
- 124 Minoru Murata, Positive solutions of Schrödinger Equations
- 125 John Maddocks, and Gareth P. Parry, A Model for Twinning
- 126 M. Kaneko and M. Wooders, The Core of a Game with a Continuum of Players and Finite Coalitions: Nonemptiness with Bounded Sizes of Coalitions and Finite Coalitions: Production Economies with an Infinite Dimensional Commodity Space
- 127 William Zame, Equilibria in Production Economies with an Infinite Dimensional Commodity Space
- 128 Myrna Holtz Wooders, A Tiebout Theorem
- 129 Abstracts for the Workshop on Theory and Applications of Liquid Crystals
- 130 Yoshikazu Giga, A Remark on A Priori Bounds for Global Solutions of Semilinear Heat Equations
- 131 M. Chipot and G. Vergara-Caffarelli, The N-Membranes Problem
- 132 P.L. Lions and P.E. Souganidis, Differential Games and Directional Derivatives of Viscosity Solutions of Isaacs' Equations II
- 133 G. Capriz and P. Golovine, On Virtual Effects During Diffusion of a Dispersed Medium in a Suspension
- 134 Fall Quarter Seminar Abstracts
- 135 Umberto Mosco, Wiener Criterion and Potential Estimates for the Obstacle Problem
- 136 Chi-Sing Man, Dynamic Admissible States, Negative Absolute Temperature, and the Entropy Maximum Principle
- 137 Abstracts for the Workshop on Oscillation Theory, Computation, and Methods of Compensated Compactness
- 138 Arle Leizarowitz, Tracking Nonperiodic Trajectories with the Overtaking Criterion
- 139 Arle Leizarowitz, Convex Sets in R^n as Affine Images of some Fixed Set in R^n
- 140 Arle Leizarowitz, Stochastic Tracking with the Overtaking Criterion
- 141 Abstracts from the Workshop on Amorphous Polymers and Non-Newtonian Fluids
- 142 Winter Quarter Seminar Abstracts
- 143 D.G. Aronson and J.L. Vazquez, The Porous Medium Equation as a Finite-speed Approximation to a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
- 144 E. Sanchez-Palencia and H. Weinberger, On the Edge Singularities of a Composite Conducting Medium
- 145 Jon C. Luke, Soliton Solutions in a Class of Fully Discrete Nonlinear Wave Equations
- 146 Chi-Sing Man and H. Cohen, A Coordinate-Free Approach to the Kinematics of Membranes
- 147 J.L. Lions, Asymptotic Problems in Distributed Systems
- 148 Reiner Lauterbach, An Example of Symmetry Breaking with Submaximal Isotropic Subgroup
- 149 Abstracts from the Workshop on Metastability and Incompletely Posed Problem
- 150 B. Bozar-Karakiewicz and Jerry Bona, Wave-dominated Shelves: A Model of Sand-Ridge Formation by Progressive, Infragravity Waves
- 151 Abstracts from the Workshop on Dynamical Problems in Continuum Physics
- 152 V.I. Olliker, The problem of Embedding S^n into R^n with Prescribed Gauss
- 153 R. Betra, The force on a Lattice Defect in an Elastic Body
- 154 J. Fleckinger and Michael Lapidus, Eigenvalues of Elliptic Boundary Value Problems with and Indefinite Weight Function
- 155 R. Kohn and M. Vogelius, Thin Plates with Rapidly Varying Thickness, and Their relation to Structural Optimization
- 156 M. Gurtin, Some Results and Conjectures in the Gradient Theory of Phase Transitions
- 157 A. Novick-Cohen, Energy Methods for the Cahn-Hilliard Equation

On the Identification of Material
Symmetry for Anisotropic Elastic Materials

by

Stephen C. Cowin
Department of Biomedical Engineering
Tulane University
New Orleans, LA 70118

and

Morteza M. Mehrabadi
Department of Mechanical Engineering
Tulane University
New Orleans, LA 70118

Revised 10 September 1985

To appear in QJAMM

SUMMARY

The problem considered here is that of identifying the type of elastic material symmetry of a material, given the values of the components of the fourth rank elasticity tensor of the material relative to a known, but arbitrary, coordinate system. Four simple eigenvalue problems are posed for the determination of the normals to the planes of reflective material symmetry of the elastic material. The solution of the eigenvalue problems will determine the number and orientation of the normals to the planes of reflective material symmetry. This information is then used to determine if the material has triclinic, monoclinic, orthotropic, tetragonal, transversely isotropic or isotropic material symmetry. It is suggested that this restricted method of classification of elastic material symmetries should suffice for most materials where the elastic symmetry is not determined by the crystalline structure alone. This should include all materials except for single crystals. It would include structural materials, geomaterials and biological materials.

1. Introduction

(a) The General Problem

The problem considered here is that of determining the material symmetry of an anisotropic elastic material. The constitutive relation for linear anisotropic elasticity is the generalized Hooke's law,

$$T_{ij} = C_{ijkl} E_{kl}, \quad (1.1)$$

which is the most general linear relation between the stress tensor whose components are T_{ij} and the strain tensor whose components are E_{ij} , where the strain has been assumed to be measured from an unstressed reference state. The coefficients of linearity, C_{ijkl} , are the components of the fourth rank elasticity tensor. There are three important symmetry restrictions on the elasticity tensor C_{ijkl} , restrictions that are independent of those imposed by material symmetry. These are the symmetries

$$C_{ijkl} = C_{jikl}, \quad C_{ijkl} = C_{ijlk}, \quad C_{ijkl} = C_{klij}, \quad (1.2)$$

which follow from the symmetry of the stress tensor, the symmetry of the strain tensor, and the thermodynamic requirement that no work be produced by the elastic material in a closed loading cycle, respectively. The number of independent components of a fourth rank tensor in three dimensions is 81, but the restrictions (1.2) reduce the number of independent components of C_{ijkl} to 21. As is customary in the discussion of linear anisotropic elasticity, we introduce the single index notation for stress ($\alpha_1 = T_{11}$, $\alpha_2 = T_{22}$, $\alpha_3 = T_{33}$, $\alpha_4 = T_{23}$, $\alpha_5 = T_{13} = T_{31}$, $\alpha_6 = T_{12} = T_{21}$) and strain ($\epsilon_1 = E_{11}$, $\epsilon_2 = E_{22}$, $\epsilon_3 = E_{33}$, $\epsilon_4 = 2E_{23} = 2E_{32}$, $\epsilon_5 = 2E_{13} = 2E_{31}$, $\epsilon_6 = 2E_{12} = 2E_{21}$); thus (1.1) is replaced by

$$\sigma_{\alpha} = c_{\alpha\beta} \epsilon_{\beta}, \quad (1.3)$$

where the 6x6 matrix with components $c_{\alpha\beta}$ represents the components of C_{ijkl} . The shift from an index system with a range of three ($i, j = 1, 2, 3$) to one with a range of 6 ($\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6$) is accomplished by the following rules for replacing a pair of indices ij by a single index: 11 to 1, 22 to 2, 33 to 3, 23 to 4, 13 to 5 and 12 to 6. This change of notation incorporates the first two symmetries of (1.2) and the third is reflected in the symmetry of the 6x6 matrix c ,

$$c = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & c_{14} & c_{15} & c_{16} \\ c_{12} & c_{22} & c_{23} & c_{24} & c_{25} & c_{26} \\ c_{13} & c_{23} & c_{33} & c_{34} & c_{35} & c_{36} \\ c_{14} & c_{24} & c_{34} & c_{44} & c_{45} & c_{46} \\ c_{15} & c_{25} & c_{35} & c_{45} & c_{55} & c_{56} \\ c_{16} & c_{26} & c_{36} & c_{46} & c_{56} & c_{66} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (1.4)$$

For specific material symmetries the matrix (1.4) will have fewer than 21 non-zero and distinct components in special cartesian coordinate systems associated with the specific material symmetry involved. These special cartesian coordinate systems are referred to here as symmetry cartesian coordinate systems. As an example, there is a symmetry cartesian coordinate system for orthotropic material symmetry relative to which the components of C_{ijkl} reduce to nine distinct components. These components are represented by a c of the form

$$\underline{C} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11} & c_{12} & c_{13} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{12} & c_{22} & c_{23} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ c_{13} & c_{23} & c_{33} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & c_{44} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{55} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c_{66} \end{bmatrix} . \quad (1.5)$$

However, in general, in a coordinate system other than its symmetry coordinate system there will be 21 non-zero components of C_{ijkl} for orthotropic symmetry. The relationship between the representation of C_{ijkl} relative to an arbitrary coordinate system and relative to its symmetry coordinate system is analogous to the relationship between the representation of a symmetric second rank tensor T_{ij} relative to an arbitrary coordinate system,

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & T_{12} & T_{13} \\ T_{12} & T_{22} & T_{23} \\ T_{13} & T_{23} & T_{33} \end{bmatrix} , \quad (1.6)$$

and relative to its principal coordinate system,

$$\begin{bmatrix} T_{11} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & T_{22} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & T_{33} \end{bmatrix} . \quad (1.7)$$

Thus, for example, the components of C_{ijkl} for orthotropic symmetry relative to an arbitrary coordinate system will, in general, have a representation of the form (1.4), but relative to the symmetry

coordinate system it will have the representation (1.5). For each type of material symmetry the representation (1.5) and its associated symmetry coordinate system or systems will be different.

The general problem posed here assumes that one is given the numerical values of C_{ijkl} relative to an arbitrarily selected but known coordinate system x_k for a material of unknown symmetry. Methods for the measurement of the values of C_{ijkl} are described by Hayes (1) and by Van Buskirk, Cowin and Carter (2). Hayes (1) outlines a program of mechanical tests for the determination of the values. Van Buskirk, Cowin and Carter (2) suggest a method employing ultrasonic wave propagation which employs only one small material specimen. The general problem considered in this paper is to use this information to determine the symmetry coordinate systems for the material. Once the symmetry coordinate system has been determined the fourth rank tensor transformation law can be used to obtain the numerical values of C_{ijkl} in the symmetry coordinate system.

(b) The Restricted Problem

The general problem just described has alternative methods of solution in the case of a single crystal. The techniques of crystal physics can be used to identify crystallographic directions which, in turn, can be used to identify the symmetry coordinate systems. Measurements of the elastic constants can then be accomplished with a prior knowledge of the crystallographic directions and symmetry coordinate systems. In this way the general problem described above is avoided in the case of single crystals.

Two restrictions are placed on the general problem described above. Both of these restrictions tend to exclude the consideration of single crystals. The first restriction is a restriction on the class of real materials to which the following analysis is addressed. The second restriction is that the set of material symmetries considered is a subset of those permitted in linear anisotropic elasticity. These two restrictions are discussed in the following two paragraphs.

The real materials of interest here are described as mesomorphic because their elastic symmetry is not determined by their chemical constituents, but rather by an intermediate structural level of the material. Examples of mesomorphic materials include almost all geomaterials, all plant and animal tissue and almost all manufactured materials. A single crystal would not be mesomorphic because its elastic symmetry is generally determined by its chemical constituents. Structural metals, being polycrystals, are mesomorphic because the source of their material symmetry is their method of manufacture (extrusion, rolling, etc.) and not the crystalline microstructure required by their chemical composition.

The elastic material symmetries of interest are a subset described as the pure reflective symmetries. The pure reflective symmetries of elasticity are six in number and include isotropy, transverse isotropy, tetragonal symmetry, orthotropy, monoclinic and triclinic symmetry. Isotropy is the maximum material symmetry possible and triclinic symmetry is the minimum possible. Table 1 is a table of the pure reflective symmetries. The classification of the pure reflective symmetries is by the number of distinct planes of

symmetry possible in a given material symmetry. The number of the planes of symmetry associated with the six pure reflective symmetries are listed in the second column of Table 1. A plane of symmetry at a point in an elastic material is a plane with respect to which the material has reflective symmetry. The concept of the plane of symmetry is discussed by Love (3) and Spencer (4). It is one of the geometric elements, along with the concept of axis of symmetry, used in describing the groups that characterize the elastic material symmetries. The terminology pure reflective symmetries was introduced to describe the six elastic symmetries listed in Table 1 because it will be shown in section 4 that these symmetries are completely classified by the number of planes of reflective symmetry they possess.

The total number of material symmetries possible in linear anisotropic elasticity is 34. These include isotropy, transverse isotropy and the 32 crystal classes. The pure reflective symmetries include isotropy, transverse isotropy and 4 of the 32 crystal classes. Thus the sets of pure reflective symmetries and symmetries of the crystal classes contain all of the material symmetries possible in linear anisotropic elasticity, but they are intersecting subsets.

2. The Conditions for the Existence of a Plane of Symmetry

The main theorem of this paper is that the conditions

$$C_{irpq}a_r a_p a_q = (C_{rspq}a_r a_s a_p a_q)a_i, \quad (2.1)$$

$$C_{ikkj}a_j = (C_{pkkq}a_p a_q)a_i, \quad (2.2)$$

$$C_{ijkk}a_j = (C_{pqkk}a_p a_q)a_i, \quad (2.3)$$

and

$$C_{ijkl}b_jb_ka_m = (C_{rspq}b_sb_p a_r a_q)a_i, \quad (2.4)$$

constitute a set of necessary and sufficient conditions for the vector $\underline{a}$ to be the normal to a plane of symmetry of a material of given elasticities C_{ijkl} . The vector $\underline{b}$ is any vector perpendicular to $\underline{a}$.

We will prove necessity first. Let $\underline{R}$ be a symmetric improper orthogonal tensor representing the reflection in a plane with unit normal $\underline{a}$, then (see, e.g., Spencer (4))

$$R_{ij} = \delta_{ij} - 2a_i a_j. \quad (2.5)$$

The orthogonality of $\underline{R}$ is expressed by the conditions

$$R_{ki}R_{kj} = R_{ik}R_{kj} = \delta_{ij}. \quad (2.6)$$

The effect of $\underline{R}$ operating on the vector $\underline{a}$ and on $\underline{b}$, which is any vector perpendicular to $\underline{a}$, are easily calculated from (2.5), thus

$$R_{ij}a_j = R_{ji}a_j = -a_i, \quad (2.8)$$

$$R_{ij}b_j = R_{ji}b_j = b_i, \quad \underline{a} \cdot \underline{b} = 0. \quad (2.9)$$

The condition that $\underline{R}$ belongs to the symmetry group of the material whose elasticity tensor is C_{ijkl} is expressed by

$$C_{ijkl} = R_{ir}R_{js}R_{kp}R_{mq}C_{rspq}. \quad (2.10)$$

This is a special case of equation (e) on p. 70 of Gurtin (5) and it is a condition discussed in some detail by Spencer (4).

To prove the result (2.1) one contracts both sides of (2.10) with the triplet $a_j a_k a_m$ and then employs (2.8) three times and (2.5) once. To prove (2.2) one first contracts (2.10) with respect to the j and k indices and with a_m , thus from (2.6)

$$C_{ikkm}a_m = R_{ir}R_{mq}a_mC_{rppq}, \quad (2.11)$$

Employing (2.5) and (2.8), the result (2.2) follows. The proof of (2.3) is very similar to the proof of (2.2) and we omit its description. To prove (2.4) one contracts (2.10) with $b_j b_k a_m$ and then employs (2.8) and (2.5) once and (2.9) twice.

To prove sufficiency, it is shown that if $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ are solutions of (2.1) through (2.4), then $\underline{a}$ is the normal to a plane of symmetry. Let $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$ be the solutions of these equations. Then, without a loss in generality, one can take the coordinate axes x_1 and x_2 along the directions of $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$, respectively. With respect to such a coordinate system, (2.1) through (2.4) yield

$$\begin{aligned} C_{i111} &= C_{1111}\delta_{i1} & , & & C_{ikkl} &= C_{1kk1}\delta_{i1} & , \\ C_{i1kk} &= C_{11kk}\delta_{i1} & , & & C_{i221} &= C_{1221}\delta_{i1} & . \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

The explicit form of these results is

$$C_{1112} = C_{1113} = C_{2212} = C_{2213} = C_{2321} = C_{2331} = C_{3312} = C_{3313} = 0, \\ \text{or equivalently,}$$

$$c_{16} = c_{15} = c_{25} = c_{26} = c_{46} = c_{45} = c_{36} = c_{35} = 0. \quad (2.13)$$

From Table 1 it can be seen that the conditions (2.13) are the requirements for monoclinic material symmetry where the x_1 co-ordinate direction is the normal to the plane of symmetry. It follows then that any solution of (2.1) through (2.4) is the normal to a plane of symmetry. This completes the proof of the theorem.

The conditions (2.2) and (2.3) of this theorem show that $\underline{a}$ must be an eigenvector of the symmetric second rank tensors C_{ikkk} and C_{ijkk} , respectively. These two conditions allow one to calculate a set of possible $\underline{a}$'s. If one of the members of the set of $\underline{a}$'s also

satisfies (2.1) and (2.4), that is to say that it is also an eigenvector of $C_{irpq}a_p a_q$ (or $C_{irpq}a_r a_q$ or $C_{irpq}a_r a_p$) and $C_{ijkl}b_j b_k$, then it represents a normal to a plane of symmetry of a material characterized by C_{ijkl} .

As a numerical example of the application of this theorem, consider the following symmetric 6x6 matrix to be a given $\underline{Q}$ matrix of the type (1.4):

$$\underline{Q} = \frac{1}{81} \begin{bmatrix} 1769.48 & 873.50 & 838.22 & -17.68 & -110.32 & 144.92 \\ 873.50 & 1846.64 & 836.66 & -37.60 & -32.32 & 153.80 \\ 838.22 & 836.66 & 1603.28 & -29.68 & -93.52 & 22.40 \\ -17.68 & -37.60 & -29.68 & 438.77 & 57.68 & -50.50 \\ -110.32 & -32.32 & -93.52 & 57.68 & 439.79 & -34.78 \\ 144.92 & 153.80 & 22.70 & -50.50 & -37.78 & 501.80 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

We first seek the eigenvectors of C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkk} to determine if a plane of symmetry exists. That is to say, we first seek to satisfy equations (2.2) and (2.3). These calculations are summarized as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} C_{ijkk} &= \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13} & c_{16}+c_{26}+c_{36} & c_{15}+c_{25}+c_{35} \\ c_{16}+c_{26}+c_{36} & c_{12}+c_{22}+c_{23} & c_{14}+c_{24}+c_{34} \\ c_{15}+c_{25}+c_{35} & c_{14}+c_{24}+c_{34} & c_{13}+c_{23}+c_{33} \end{bmatrix} = \\ &= \frac{1}{9} \begin{bmatrix} 386.80 & 35.68 & -26.24 \\ 35.68 & 395.20 & -9.44 \\ -26.24 & -9.44 & 364.24 \end{bmatrix} = \underline{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 38.08 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 40.88 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 48.40 \end{bmatrix} \underline{Q}^T, \end{aligned} \quad (2.15)$$

$$C_{ikkk} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}+c_{55}+c_{66} & c_{16}+c_{26}+c_{45} & c_{15}+c_{46}+c_{35} \\ c_{16}+c_{26}+c_{45} & c_{22}+c_{44}+c_{66} & c_{24}+c_{34}+c_{56} \\ c_{15}+c_{46}+c_{35} & c_{24}+c_{34}+c_{56} & c_{33}+c_{44}+c_{55} \end{bmatrix} = \quad (2.16)$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} 33.47 & 4.4 & -3.14 \\ -4.4 & 34.41 & -1.26 \\ -3.14 & -1.26 & 30.64 \end{bmatrix} = \underset{\sim}{Q} \begin{bmatrix} 28.13 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 30.95 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 39.44 \end{bmatrix} \underset{\sim}{Q}^T,$$

where $\underset{\sim}{Q}$ is an orthogonal matrix given by

$$\underset{\sim}{Q} = \begin{bmatrix} 2/3 & 1/3 & 2/3 \\ -1/3 & -2/3 & 2/3 \\ 2/3 & -2/3 & -1/3 \end{bmatrix}. \quad (2.17)$$

These results show that, since the eigenvalues are distinct, not more than three planes of symmetry can exist. Further, since the eigenvectors of C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkk} are coincident, all three could be normals to planes of symmetry. These three eigenvectors are

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{e}'_1 &= (2/3)\underline{e}_1 - (1/3)\underline{e}_2 + (2/3)\underline{e}_3, \\ \underline{e}'_2 &= (1/3)\underline{e}_1 - (2/3)\underline{e}_2 - (2/3)\underline{e}_3, \\ \underline{e}'_3 &= (2/3)\underline{e}_1 + (2/3)\underline{e}_2 - (1/3)\underline{e}_3, \end{aligned} \quad (2.18)$$

where $\underline{e}_k$ are unit vectors along the coordinate axes of C_{ijkm} corresponding to $\underline{c}$ given by (2.14). The next step is to determine if the vectors $\underline{e}'_1$, $\underline{e}'_2$ and $\underline{e}'_3$ are also solutions of (2.1) and (2.4). They are. Since there are three mutually orthogonal planes of symmetry for the material whose $\underline{c}$ is given by (2.14), it follows that the material has orthotropic symmetry.

The three eigenvectors (2.18) form a symmetry cartesian coordinate system for the material whose $\underline{c}$ is given by (2.14). We

denote the components of $\underline{c}$ referred to its symmetry coordinate system by $\underline{c}'$. To calculate $\underline{c}'$ we recall the cartesian tensor transformation law for the fourth rank elasticity tensor

$$C'_{ijkl} = Q_{ii'} Q_{jj'} Q_{kk'} Q_{ll'} C_{i'j'k'l'} \quad (2.19)$$

where Q_{ik} is an orthogonal transformation whose numerical components are given by (2.17) and which transforms from the coordinate system whose base vectors are $\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2, \underline{e}_3$ to the symmetry coordinate system of the material. From (2.14), (2.19) and (2.17) it follows that

$$\underline{c}' = \begin{bmatrix} 18 & 9.98 & 10.1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 9.98 & 20.2 & 10.7 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10.1 & 10.7 & 27.6 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 6.23 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5.61 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4.52 \end{bmatrix} \quad (2.20)$$

This completes the numerical example. The values of $\underline{c}'$ given by (2.20) are the elasticities of human femoral bone reported by Ashman, Cowin, Van Buskirk and Rice (5). The numerical example was generated by applying the inverse transformation to (2.19) to (2.20) using (2.17), producing the matrix (2.14) which was the start of the numerical example.

3. Generation of the Set of Pure Reflective Material Symmetries

It has been noted that a material with triclinic material symmetry has no plane of symmetry and that a material with monoclinic symmetry has one. In order to continue this classification scheme

for material symmetries by planes of symmetry, a material with more than one plane of symmetry is considered next.

First assume that one plane of symmetry exists and choose x_1 -axis along its unit normal $\underline{a}$. With respect to such a coordinate system C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkj} will have the form

$$C_{ijkk} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{11kk} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{22kk} & C_{23kk} \\ 0 & C_{23kk} & C_{33kk} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}+c_{12}+c_{13} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{12}+c_{22}+c_{23} & c_{14}+c_{24}+c_{34} \\ 0 & c_{14}+c_{24}+c_{34} & c_{13}+c_{23}+c_{33} \end{bmatrix}, \quad (3.1)$$

and

$$C_{ikkj} = \begin{bmatrix} C_{1kk1} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & C_{2kk2} & C_{2kk3} \\ 0 & C_{2kk3} & C_{3kk3} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}+c_{55}+c_{66} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & c_{22}+c_{44}+c_{55} & c_{24}+c_{34}+c_{56} \\ 0 & c_{24}+c_{34}+c_{56} & c_{33}+c_{44}+c_{55} \end{bmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

where the conditions (2.13) have been employed. Now if a second plane of symmetry exists, since it has to be a principal plane of C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkj} , it must be orthogonal to the first plane of symmetry. Selecting the x_2 -axis along the normal to the second plane of symmetry, it follows from (3.1) that

$$C_{23kk} = c_{14} + c_{24} + c_{34} = 0, \quad (3.2)$$

$$C_{2kk3} = c_{24} + c_{34} + c_{56} = 0, \quad (3.3)$$

while (2.1) and (2.4) require that

$$C_{1222} = c_{24} = 0, \quad (3.4)$$

$$C_{1332} = c_{56} = 0, \text{ and } C_{3332} = c_{34} = 0. \quad (3.5)$$

Equations (3.2) through (3.5) are equivalent to the following

$$c_{14} = c_{24} = c_{34} = c_{56} = 0. \quad (3.6)$$

These conditions, in conjunction with the conditions for monoclinic symmetry (2.13), will admit a third plane of symmetry mutually orthogonal to the first two. To verify this one need only note that since the x_1 and x_2 axes have been chosen to coincide with the principal directions of C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkj} , $\underline{e}_3$, the unit vector along x_3 -axis, is the third eigenvector of C_{ijkk} and C_{ikkj} . Thus $\underline{a} = \underline{e}_3$ is a solution of (2.2) and (2.3). It is easy to show that $\underline{a} = \underline{e}_3$ also satisfies (2.1) and (2.4). Thus, a third plane of symmetry with the unit normal $\underline{e}_3$ exists. Therefore, it can be concluded that if two orthogonal planes of symmetry exist, then there exists a third mutually orthogonal plane of symmetry and the material has orthotropic symmetry.

Suppose a fourth plane of symmetry exists. Since this plane should also be a principal plane of C_{ijkk} , two of the eigenvalues of C_{ijkk} have to be equal, for example,

$$C_{11kk} = C_{22kk} . \quad (3.7)$$

This makes any direction in x_1 - x_2 plane a principal direction of C_{ijkk} . A similar argument holds for eigenvalues of C_{ikkj} , thus

$$C_{1kk1} = C_{2kk2} . \quad (3.8)$$

The equations (3.7) and (3.8) yield the following results:

$$C_{11} - C_{22} = C_{23} - C_{13} = C_{44} - C_{55} . \quad (3.9)$$

Now since $\underline{a}$, the unit normal to the fourth plane of symmetry, is in x_1 - x_2 plane, it could be represented as

$$\underline{a} = \cos\theta \underline{e}_1 + \sin\theta \underline{e}_2 , \quad (3.10)$$

and $\underline{b}$, the vector normal to $\underline{a}$, could be represented by

$$\underline{b} = -\sin\theta \underline{e}_1 + \cos\theta \underline{e}_2 . \quad (3.11)$$

With these definitions for $\underline{a}$ and $\underline{b}$, the matrices $C_{ijpq}a_p a_q$ and

$C_{ipqj}b_p b_q$, appearing in (2.1) and (2.4), would have the form

$$C_{ijpq}a_p a_q = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}\cos^2\theta + c_{12}\sin^2\theta & c_{66}\sin 2\theta & 0 \\ c_{66}\sin 2\theta & c_{12}\cos^2\theta + c_{22}\sin^2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{13}\cos^2\theta + c_{23}\sin^2\theta \end{bmatrix},$$

and

$$C_{ipqj}b_p b_q = \begin{bmatrix} c_{11}\sin^2\theta + c_{66}\cos^2\theta & -(c_{12}+c_{66})\sin 2\theta & 0 \\ -(c_{12}+c_{66})\sin 2\theta & c_{66}\sin^2\theta + c_{22}\cos^2\theta & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c_{55}\sin^2\theta + c_{44}\cos^2\theta \end{bmatrix}.$$

Now recalling (2.1) and (2.7), $\underline{a}$ has to be an eigenvector of these two matrices, thus

$$\begin{aligned} \tan 2\theta &= \frac{2c_{66}\sin 2\theta}{c_{11}\cos^2\theta + c_{12}(\sin^2\theta - \cos^2\theta) - c_{22}\sin^2\theta} = \\ &= \frac{-(c_{12}+c_{66})\sin 2\theta}{c_{11}\sin^2\theta + c_{66}(\cos^2\theta - \sin^2\theta) - c_{22}\cos^2\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

A little algebraic manipulation shows that these two conditions are equivalent to the following two equations:

$$c_{11} - c_{22} = 0, \quad (3.12)$$

and

$$[c_{66} - (c_{11} - c_{12})/2]\cos 2\theta = 0. \quad (3.13)$$

Combining (3.9), (3.12) and (3.13), we find that for four planes of symmetry to exist, we should have either

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= c_{22}, \quad c_{44} = c_{55}, \quad c_{13} = c_{23}, \\ \theta &= 45^\circ \quad \text{or} \quad 135^\circ, \end{aligned} \quad (3.14)$$

or

$$\begin{aligned} c_{11} &= c_{22}, \quad c_{44} = c_{55}, \quad c_{13} = c_{23}, \\ c_{66} &= (c_{11} - c_{12})/2, \\ \theta &\neq 45^\circ \quad \text{or} \quad 135^\circ. \end{aligned} \quad (3.15)$$

A material whose elasticity matrix satisfies the conditions (2.13), (3.6) and (3.14) has tetragonal symmetry which is characterized by five planes of symmetry. The five planes consist of four whose normals lie in one plane, each making an angle of 45° with its nearest neighbors, and a fifth whose normal lies in the plane perpendicular to the plane of the first four normals. These are the three mutually orthogonal planes of orthotropic symmetry plus two more mutually orthogonal planes making an angle of 45° with the first set of three.

When the elasticity matrix satisfies (2.13), (3.6), and (3.15), then any vector in the plane of $\underline{e}_1$ and $\underline{e}_2$ is the normal to a plane of symmetry and it follows that the $\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2$ plane is a plane of isotropy. Note that the discussion following (3.6) shows that any plane of isotropy is also a plane of symmetry. An elastic material with one plane of isotropy has transversely isotropic material symmetry.

Suppose now that an elastic material with transversely isotropic material symmetry has an additional, distinct plane of symmetry. It will be shown that such a material necessarily has isotropic material symmetry. To this end consider a transversely isotropic material whose plane of isotropy is the $\underline{e}_1, \underline{e}_2$ plane and whose elasticity matrix satisfies (2.13), (3.6) and (3.15). Since any additional, distinct plane of symmetry should be a principal plane of C_{ijkl} and C_{ikjk} , we must have, in addition to (3.7) and (3.8),

$$C_{22kk} = C_{33kk}, \quad C_{2kk2} = C_{33kk},$$

or equivalently

$$C_{22} - C_{33} = C_{13} - C_{12} = C_{55} - C_{66}. \quad (3.16)$$

Again since $\underline{a}$, the unit normal to the additional plane of symmetry,

is in x_2 - x_3 plane, it could be represented as

$$\underline{a} = \cos\phi \underline{e}_2 + \sin\phi \underline{e}_3 .$$

Requiring that $\underline{a}$ be further an eigenvector of $C_{ijpq}a_p a_q$ and $C_{ipqj}b_p b_q$ and following an argument similar to that which led to (3.12) and (3.13), we find

$$c_{22} - c_{33} = 0, \quad (3.17)$$

and

$$[c_{44} - (c_{22} - c_{23})/2] \cos 2\phi = 0 . \quad (3.18)$$

These equations can be obtained from (3.12) and (3.13) by changing $1 \rightarrow 2$, $2 \rightarrow 3$, and $6 \rightarrow 4$ in (3.12) and (3.13). Combining (3.16) and (3.17), we have

$$c_{22} = c_{33} , \quad c_{12} = c_{13} , \quad c_{55} = c_{66} . \quad (3.19)$$

It follows from (3.15)₂, (3.19)₃, (3.15)₄, (3.19)₂ and (3.15)₃ that

$$c_{44} = (c_{22} - c_{23})/2 , \quad (3.20)$$

and thus (3.18) is identically satisfied for any ϕ . The conditions (3.19) imposed on the elasticity coefficients for a transversely isotropic material require that it be isotropic.

Substitution of elasticity tensor C_{ijkl} for isotropic material symmetry

$$C_{ijkl} = \lambda \delta_{ij} \delta_{km} + \mu (\delta_{ik} \delta_{jm} + \delta_{im} \delta_{jk}) , \quad (3.21)$$

where λ and μ are the Lamé elastic constants, into the condition (2.10) for the existence of a normal for a plane of symmetry satisfies (2.10) identically for any $\underline{a}$. Thus, for an isotropic material it follows that any plane passing through a point is both a plane of symmetry and a plane of isotropy. The results developed in this section are summarized in the following theorem:

Theorem (The Classification Theorem for Anisotropic Elastic Material Symmetries by Planes of Symmetry): The following classification of elastic material symmetries by planes of symmetry is exhaustive. Anisotropic elastic material symmetry is characterized by either no planes of symmetry (triclinic), one plane of symmetry (monoclinic), three mutually orthogonal planes of symmetry (orthotropic), five planes of symmetry (one set of three which are mutually orthogonal and a second set of two which are mutually orthogonal but make an angle of 45° with respect to two planes of the first set of three) (tetragonal), one plane of isotropy (transverse isotropy) or by every plane passing through a point being a plane of symmetry and a plane of isotropy (isotropy).

These elastic material symmetries have been called the pure reflective material symmetries in this paper.

4. Discussion

The pure reflective material symmetries of elasticity represent the elastic symmetries of most materials that are not single crystals such as structural materials, biological materials and geological materials. The elastic symmetries of the 32 crystal classes, on the other hand, represent the elastic symmetries of single crystals. This division is not rigorous because, for example, there are four material symmetries that are contained in both the set of pure reflective material symmetries and the set of elastic symmetries of the 32 crystal classes. However, it does appear that one can broadly associate most single crystals with the material symmetries of the crystal classes and most mesomorphic materials with the pure

reflective material symmetries. Since this is the case, perhaps these two classes of material symmetry should receive equal treatment in general elasticity volumes, that is to say, elasticity volumes not devoted to crystal physics. It appears that tradition in elasticity has followed the work of Voigt (7) in relying heavily on the crystal classes in the discussion of anisotropy, while the applications of elasticity have been to non pure crystalline materials as well as to single crystals. The set of pure reflective material symmetries are a much simpler to understand set of material symmetries than those of the crystal classes and they apply to a broader class of materials.

Our initial calculations indicate that an exhaustive and complete solution to the problem of the identification of material symmetry is possible. That is to say, the restrictions in the present paper to mesomorphic materials and pure reflective symmetries are removable. We note that although there are 32 crystal classes as well as transverse isotropy and isotropy, these 34 symmetries correspond to only 10 distinct elasticity tensors of the form (1.5). These ten tensors are those of isotropy plus the nine associated with crystals originally worked out by Voigt and repeated in the works of Voigt (7), Love (3) and Gurtin (5). The present work develops a method for the identification of 6 of these 10 distinct elasticity tensors. It can be extended to identify the other four. For example, the results of this paper can be extended to identify the form of the elasticity tensor associated with the cubic crystal classes. If a tensor C_{ijkl} is found to represent orthotropic symmetry by the method of this paper and, in addition, is invariant under 90° rotations about the normals to each plane of symmetry, then

it is an elasticity tensor associated with the cubic crystal classes. A similar additional rotational requirement, if satisfied by a system determined to be monoclinic by the methods of this paper, will produce the elasticity tensor that represents the seven constant tetragonal crystal classes. Finally, it appears that the six and seven constant elasticity tensors characterizing some of the hexagonal crystal classes can be identified by seeking axes of symmetry corresponding to rotations of 120° . These results, combined with those presented in the present paper, will provide the structure of an algebraic sieve that will permit the identification of any one of the ten elasticity tensors that characterize the elastic symmetries of the thirty two crystal classes and the mesomorphic symmetries of isotropy, and transverse isotropy given the numerical values of C_{ijkl} relative to an arbitrary coordinate system. The present writers have undertaken the construction of the algebraic sieve described and will report on it in the future.

It is our ultimate goal to apply the method described to experimentally determined values of C_{ijkl} to identify the appropriate material symmetry. Experimental errors will be reflected as errors in eigenvectors which in turn, will cause the system of equations (2-1) to (2.4) not be satisfied, even when the elastic symmetry is one that should satisfy these equations. This statistical-numerical aspect of the algebraic sieve has not yet been studied.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The work of SCC was supported by the National Science Foundation, the Musculoskeletal Diseases Program of NIADDA, and the Edward G. Schlieder Foundation of New Orleans. The work of MMM was supported by the National Science Foundation. We thank Professors J. L. Erickson, J. B. Keller and W. C. Van Buskirk for helpful remarks on an earlier draft of this manuscript. We also thank the two thorough and painstaking referees who made suggestions that led to economy in the presentation.

References

1. M. Hayes, J. Mater. Sci., 4 (1969) 10.
2. W. C. Van Buskirk, S. C. Cowin and R. Carter, Jr., A Theory of Acoustic Measurement of the Elastic Constants of a General Anisotropic Solid, submitted to J. Mater. Sci.
3. A. E. H. Love, A Treatise on the Mathematical Theory of Elasticity, (Cambridge, 1927).
4. A. J. M. Spencer, Continuum Mechanics (Longman, 1980).
5. M. Gurtin, The Linear Theory of Elasticity, in Handbuch der Physik, VI a/2, (Springer Verlag, 1972).
6. R. B. Ashman, S. C. Cowin, W. C. Van Buskirk, and J. C. Rice, J. Biomechanics 17 (1984) 349.
7. W. Voigt, Lehrbuch der Kristallphysik (Leipzig, 1910).

Type of Material Symmetry	Number of Planes of Symmetry	Number of Planes of Isotropy	Number of Independent Elastic Coefficients	Restrictions on the Elastic Coefficients $c_{\alpha\beta}$
Triclinic ⁽¹⁾	0	0	21	NONE
Monoclinic ⁽²⁾	1	0	13	$c_{16} = c_{15} = c_{25} = c_{26} = 0,$ $c_{46} = c_{45} = c_{35} = c_{36} = 0,$
Orthotropic ⁽³⁾	3	0	9	All of the above plus $c_{14} = c_{24} = c_{34} = c_{56} = 0,$
Tetragonal ⁽⁴⁾	5	0	6	All of the above plus $c_{11} = c_{22}, c_{44} = c_{55}, c_{23} = c_{13},$
Transverse Isotropy ⁽⁵⁾	$\infty^1 + 1$	1	5	All of the above plus $c_{66} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{22} - c_{12}),$
Isotropy ⁽⁶⁾	∞^2	∞^2	2	All of the above plus $c_{11} = c_{33}, c_{13} = c_{12}, c_{44} = \frac{1}{2}(c_{11} - c_{13})$

TABLE 1 THE PURE REFLECTIVE MATERIAL SYMMETRIES OF LINEAR ANISOTROPIC ELASTICITY

The following is a description of the symmetry coordinate systems for the pure reflective symmetries.

- (1) Any coordinate system is a symmetry coordinate system for the triclinic symmetry because it is, in effect, the absence of symmetry. (2) Any coordinate system that contains the normal to the plane of symmetry as a coordinate direction is a symmetry coordinate system for monoclinic symmetry. (3,4) Any coordinate system formed from the normals to three perpendicular planes of symmetry as coordinate directions is a symmetry coordinate system for orthotropic symmetry or tetragonal symmetry. (5) Any coordinate system that contains the normal to the plane of isotropy as a coordinate direction is a symmetry coordinate system for transverse isotropy. (6) Any coordinate system is a symmetry coordinate system for isotropic symmetry.

#	Author(s)	Title	#	Author(s)	Title
158	M. Bifulco and U. Mosco	Wiener Estimates for Parabolic Obstacle Problems	199	M. Chipot, D. Kinderlehrer and L. Caffarelli	Some Smoothness Properties of Linear Laminae
159	E. Bennett and M. Zame	Prices and Bargaining in Cooperative Games	200	Y. Giga and R. Kohn	Characterizing Blow-up Using Similarity Variables
160	M.A. Harris and Y. Sibuya	The n -th Roots of Solutions of Linear Ordinary Differential Equations	201	P. Cannarsa and R. M. Sone	On the Singularities of the Viscosity Solutions to Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman Equations
161	Millard F. Beatty	Some Dynamical Problems in Continuum Physics	202	Andrew Majda	Nonlinear Geometric Optics for Hyperbolic Systems of Conservation Laws
162	P. Beaman and D. Phillips	Large-Time Behavior of Solutions to a Scalar Conservation Law in Several Space Dimensions	203	G. Buttazzo, G. Dal Maso and U. Mosco	A Derivation Theorem for Capacities with Respect to a Radon Measure
163	A. Novick-Cohen	Interfacial Instabilities in Directional Solidification of Dilute Binary Alloys: The Kuramoto-Sivashinsky Equation			
164	H.F. Weinberger	On Metastable Patterns in Parabolic Systems			
165	D. Arnold and R.S. Falk	Continuous Dependence on the Elastic Coefficients for a Class of Anisotropic Materials			
166	I.J. Bakelman	The Boundary Value Problems for Non-linear Elliptic Equation and the Maximum Principle for Euler-Lagrange Equations			
167	Ingo Müller	Gases and Rubbers			
168	Ingo Müller	Pseudoelasticity in Shape Memory Alloys - an Extreme Case of Thermoelasticity			
169	Luis Magalhães	Persistence and Smoothness of Hyperbolic Invariant Manifolds for Functional Differential Equations			
170	A. Daniellian and M. Vogelius	Homogenization Limits of the Equations of Elasticity in Thin Domains			
171	H.C. Simpson and S.J. Spector	On Hadamard Stability in Finite Elasticity			
172	J.L. Vazquez and C. Yaver	Isolated Singularities of the Solutions of the Schrödinger Equation with a Radial Potential			
173	G. Dal Maso and U. Mosco	Wiener's Criterion and Γ -Convergence			
174	John M. Maddocks	Stability and Folds			
175	R. Hardt and D. Kinderlehrer	Existence and Partial Regularity of Static Liquid Crystal Configurations			
176	M. Marukar	Construction of Smooth Ergodic Cocycles for Systems with Fast Periodic Approximations			
177	J.L. Erickson	Stable Equilibrium Configurations of Elastic Crystals			
178	Patricio Aviles	Local Behavior of Solutions of Some Elliptic Equations			
179	S.-N. Chow and R. Lauterbach	A Bifurcation Theorem for Critical Points of Variational Problems			
180	R. Pego	Phase Transitions: Stability and Admissibility in One Dimensional Nonlinear Viscoelasticity			
181	Mariano Glaque	Quadratic Functions and Partial Regularity			
182	J. Bone	Fully Discrete Galerkin Methods for the Korteweg de Vries Equation			
183	J. Maddocks and J. Keller	Mechanics of Robes			
184	F. Bernis	Qualitative Properties for some nonlinear higher order Nonlinear Higher Order Parabolic Equations with Absorption			
185	F. Bernis	Finite Speed of Propagation and Asymptotic Rates for some Nonlinear Higher Order Parabolic Equations with Minimal Strategy Spaces			
186	S. Reichelstein and S. Reiter	Game Forms with Minimal Strategy Spaces			
187	T. Ding	An Answer to Littlewood's Problem on Boundedness			
188	J. Rubinstein and R. Maury	Dispersion and Convection in Periodic Media			
189	M.H. Fleming and P.E. Souganidis	Asymptotic Series and the Method of Vanishing Viscosity			
190	H. Beirão da Veiga	Existence and Asymptotic Behavior for Strong Solutions of Navier-Stokes Equations in the Whole Space			
191	L.A. Caffarelli, J.L. Vazquez, and N.I. Molanski	Lipschitz Continuity of Solutions and Interfaces of the N -Dimensional Porous Medium Equation			
192	R. Johnson	m -Functions and Floquet Exponents for Linear Differential Systems			
193	F.V. Atkinson and L.A. Peletier	Ground States and Dirichlet Problems for $-\Delta = F(U)$ in $\mathbb{R}^N$			
194	G. Dal Maso, U. Mosco	The Wiener Modulus of a Radial Measure			
195	H. A. Levine and H.F. Weinberger	Inequalities between Dirichlet and Neumann Eigenvalues			
196	J. Rubinstein	On the Macroscopic Description of Slow Viscous Flow Past a Random Array of Spheres			
197	G. Dal Maso and U. Mosco	Wiener Criteria and Energy Decay for Relaxed Dirichlet Problems			
198	V. Ollier and P. Waltman	On the Monge-Ampère Equation Arising in the Reflector Mapping Problem			