**QUANTUM HYDRODYNAMIC SIMULATION OF HYSTERESIS
IN THE RESONANT TUNNELING DIODE**

By

**Zhangxin Chen
Bernardo Cockburn
Carl L. Gardner
and
Joseph W. Jerome**

IMA Preprint Series # 1227

April 1994

Quantum Hydrodynamic Simulation of Hysteresis in the Resonant Tunneling Diode

Zhangxin Chen^{*}

Department of Mathematics and
Institute for Scientific Computation
Texas A&M University, College Station, TX 77843

Bernardo Cockburn[†]

School of Mathematics
University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455

Carl L. Gardner[‡]

Department of Computer Science and
Department of Mathematics
Duke University, Durham, NC 27706

Joseph W. Jerome[§]

Department of Mathematics
Northwestern University, Evanston, IL 60208

Abstract

Hysteresis in the current-voltage curve of a resonant tunneling diode is simulated and analyzed in the quantum hydrodynamic (QHD) model for semiconductor devices. The simulations are the first to show hysteresis in

^{*}Research supported in part by the Department of Energy under grant DE-ACOS-84OR21400 and by the U.S. Army Research Office under grant DAAL03-89-C-0038 with the University of Minnesota Army High Performance Computing Research Center.

[†]Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-9103997 and by the Minnesota Supercomputer Institute.

[‡]Research supported in part by the U.S. Army Research Office under grant DAAL03-91-G-0146 and by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-9204189.

[§]Research supported in part by the National Science Foundation under grant DMS-9123208.

the QHD equations, and confirm that bistability is an intrinsic property of the resonant tunneling diode.

Hysteresis appears in many settings in fluid dynamics. The simulations presented here show that hysteresis is manifested in the extension of classical fluid dynamics to quantum fluid dynamics.

A finite element method for simulation of the time-dependent QHD model is introduced. The finite element method is based on a Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method for the QHD conservation laws and a mixed finite element method for Poisson's equation and the source terms in the QHD conservation laws.

1 Introduction

The quantum hydrodynamic (QHD) model approximates quantum effects in the propagation of electrons in a semiconductor device by adding quantum corrections to the classical hydrodynamic equations. The leading $O(\hbar^2)$ quantum corrections have been remarkably successful in simulating the effects of electron tunneling through potential barriers including single [1, 2] and multiple [3] regions of negative differential resistance in the current-voltage curves of resonant tunneling diodes.

There are three major advantages of using the quantum hydrodynamic model over other methods for simulating quantum semiconductor devices. First, the QHD method is much less computationally intensive than the Wigner function [4] or density matrix [5] methods, and includes the same physics if the expansion parameter $\hbar^2/8mTl^2$ is small. Second, the QHD equations are expressed in terms of intuitive classical fluid dynamical quantities (e.g. density, velocity, and temperature). Third, well-understood classical boundary conditions can be imposed in simulating quantum devices.

Intrinsic bistability (as opposed to extrinsic bistability, which is due to the coupling of the resonant tunneling diode to an external circuit) in the measured current-voltage curves of double barrier resonant tunneling diodes has been reported by various experimental groups (see Ref. [6]). In this investigation, we present QHD simulations of hysteresis in a GaAs/Al_xGa_{1-x}As double barrier resonant tunneling diode at 77 K. These are the first simulations of the QHD equations to show hysteresis. The simulations demonstrate that bistability is an intrinsic property of the resonant tunneling diode, in agreement with simulations of the Wigner-Boltzmann equation [4].

Thus the simulations presented here show that the $O(\hbar^2)$ QHD equations reproduce important features of solutions to the full Wigner-Boltzmann equation, and that hysteresis occurs in the extension of classical fluid dynamics to quantum fluid dynamics.

We introduce a finite element method for simulating the time-dependent QHD model, which generalizes a method successfully applied to two-dimensional clas-

sical hydrodynamic simulations of a MESFET [7]. The finite element method is based on a Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method for the QHD conservation laws and a mixed finite element method for Poisson's equation and the source terms in the QHD conservation laws.

Points on the current-voltage curve are obtained by integrating the time-dependent QHD equations to steady state.

2 The Quantum Hydrodynamic Model

The QHD model has exactly the same structure [2] as the classical hydrodynamic model (electrohydrodynamics):

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(nu_i) = 0 \quad (1)$$

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(mnu_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_i mnu_j - P_{ij}) = -n \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j} - \frac{mnu_j}{\tau_p} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial W}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_i W - u_j P_{ij} + q_i) = -nu_i \frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} - \frac{(W - \frac{3}{2}nT_0)}{\tau_w} \quad (3)$$

$$\nabla \cdot (\epsilon \nabla V) = e^2(N_D - N_A - n) \quad (4)$$

where n is the electron density, $\mathbf{u}$ is the velocity, m is the effective electron mass, P_{ij} is the stress tensor, $V = -e\phi$ is the potential energy, ϕ is the electric potential, $e > 0$ is the electronic charge, W is the energy density, $\mathbf{q}$ is the heat flux, T_0 is the temperature of the semiconductor lattice in energy units (k_B is set equal to 1), ϵ is the dielectric constant, N_D is the density of donors, and N_A is the density of acceptors. Spatial indices i, j equal 1, 2, 3, and repeated indices are summed over. The transport equations (1)–(3) express conservation of electron number, momentum, and energy, respectively, and Eq. (4) is Poisson's equation. The classical collision terms in Eqs. (2) and (3) are modeled by the relaxation time approximation, with momentum and energy relaxation times τ_p and τ_w . The heat flux is specified by Fourier's law $\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla T$, where T is the electron temperature.

Quantum mechanical effects appear in the stress tensor and the energy density. Ref. [2] derives the stress tensor and the energy density for the $O(\hbar^2)$ momentum-shifted thermal equilibrium Wigner distribution function [8]:

$$P_{ij} = -nT\delta_{ij} + \frac{\hbar^2 n}{12m} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i \partial x_j} \log(n) + O(\hbar^4) \quad (5)$$

$$W = \frac{3}{2}nT + \frac{1}{2}mnu^2 - \frac{\hbar^2 n}{24m} \nabla^2 \log(n) + O(\hbar^4). \quad (6)$$

Ancona, Iafrate, and Tiersten [9, 10] derived expression (5) for the stress tensor. In Ref. [1], Grubin and Kreskovsky formulated a one-dimensional version of the QHD equations.

The expansion parameter in the asymptotic series (5) and (6) is actually $\hbar^2/8mTl^2$, where l is a characteristic length scale of the problem [10, 11]. For the resonant tunneling diode in section 3 with $T \approx T_0 = 77$ K and $l = 100$ Å, the expansion parameter ≈ 0.23 .

In one dimension, the QHD model has two Schrödinger modes, one parabolic mode, and one elliptic mode. Thus eight boundary conditions are necessary. Well-posed boundary conditions for the resonant tunneling diode are $n = N_D$, $\partial n/\partial x = 0$, and $\partial T/\partial x = 0$ at the left and right diode boundaries x_L and x_R , with a bias ΔV across the device: $V(x_L) = T \log(n/n_i)$ and $V(x_R) = T \log(n/n_i) + e\Delta V$, where n_i is the intrinsic electron concentration.

3 Simulations of Hysteresis

To exhibit hysteresis, we simulate a GaAs resonant tunneling diode with double $\text{Al}_{0.3}\text{Ga}_{0.7}\text{As}$ barriers (the barrier height $\mathcal{B} = 0.209$ eV). The doping density $N_D = 10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the n^+ source and drain, and $N_D = 5 \times 10^{15} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ in the n channel (see Fig. 1). The channel is 250 Å long, the barriers are 50 Å wide, and the well between the barriers is 50 Å wide. The device has 50 Å spacers between the barriers and the contacts (source and drain) to enhance negative differential resistance.

The barrier height $\mathcal{B}$ is incorporated into the QHD transport equations (1)–(3) by the replacement $V \rightarrow V + \mathcal{B}$. Poisson's equation is not changed.

For the classical momentum and energy relaxation times, we use modified Baccarani-Wordemann models:

$$\tau_p = \tau_{p0} \frac{T_0}{T} \quad (7)$$

$$\tau_w = \frac{\tau_p}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\frac{3}{2}T}{\frac{1}{2}mv_s^2} \right) \quad (8)$$

where the low-energy momentum relaxation time τ_{p0} is set equal to 0.9 picoseconds from the low-field electron mobility in GaAs at 77 K. For lower valley electrons in GaAs at 77 K, the effective electron mass $m = 0.063 m_e$, where m_e is the electron mass, and the saturation velocity $v_s \approx 2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm/s}$. κ_0 is set equal to 0.4 in the Wiedemann-Franz formula for thermal conductivity

$$\mathbf{q} = -\kappa \nabla T, \quad \kappa = \kappa_0 \tau_{p0} n T_0 / m. \quad (9)$$

The dielectric constant $\epsilon = 12.9$ for GaAs.

Figure 1: Doping/ 10^{18} cm^{-3} and location of potential barriers (shaded gray).

The current-voltage curve for the resonant tunneling diode is plotted in Fig. 2 for ΔV increasing from 0 volts to 0.22 volts (upper curve) and decreasing from 0.22 volts to 0 volts (lower curve). Note that hysteresis occurs predominantly in the region of negative differential resistance. The physical mechanism for hysteresis is that electrons “see” a different potential energy due to different accumulated electron charges in the diode when the applied voltage is decreasing than when the applied voltage is increasing [4]. The electron charge per area in the diode is plotted in Fig. 3. The discontinuities in electron charge at the hysteresis points are intriguing—usually the current is discontinuous at the hysteresis points—and reflect a complex underlying mathematical structure; see Golubitsky and Schaeffer [12], pp. 222-225 for a discussion of various possibilities that can occur for a “nondegenerate cubic” bifurcation problem. Fig. 4 shows the electron charge per area in the quantum well, which is also discontinuous at the hysteresis points.

When the applied voltage is decreasing in the hysteresis loop ($0.135 \text{ volts} \leq \Delta V \leq 0.205 \text{ volts}$), the electron density is slightly lower in the quantum well and substantially higher around the channel/drain junction (see Figs. 5–7). The fact that the electron charge in the well is lower for decreasing voltage implies, through Gauss’ law

$$V(x) = V(x_L) + eE(x_L)(x - x_L) + \frac{e}{\epsilon} \int_{x_L}^x Q(s)ds \quad (10)$$

where $Q(x) = e \int_{x_L}^x (N(s) - n(s))ds$ is the total charge per area between x_L and x and E is the electric field, that the effective potential barriers $V + \mathcal{B}$ are higher (see

Figure 2: Current density in kA/cm^2 vs. voltage for the resonant tunneling diode at 77 K.

Figure 3: Electron charge/ $(-e)$ in 10^{13} cm^{-2} in the diode vs. voltage.

Figure 4: Electron charge/ $(-e)$ in 10^{13} cm^{-2} in the quantum well vs. voltage.

Figs. 8–10), thus allowing less current to flow through the device. In Eq. (10), the electric field $E = d(V/e)/dx$ vanishes at x_L for increasing voltage in the hysteresis loop, and is small and positive (which also increases the effective barrier heights) for decreasing voltage (see Figs. 8–10.) The densities for increasing (decreasing) applied voltage in the hysteresis loop are almost identical, with a slight increase (decrease) in the density in the quantum well as the voltage increases (decreases).

Note also that for decreasing voltage in the hysteresis loop, the electron temperature is slightly higher in the source and the well (see Figs. 11–13).

4 Numerical Method

We have generalized a finite element method [7], which was successfully applied to two-dimensional classical hydrodynamic simulations of a MESFET, for simulation of the time-dependent QHD model. The finite element method is based on a Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin method for the QHD conservation laws and a mixed finite element method for Poisson's equation and the source terms in the QHD conservation laws.

We first rewrite the QHD transport equations (1)–(3) in a form which is identical to that of the classical hydrodynamic model with source terms:

$$\frac{\partial n}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(nu_i) = 0 \quad (11)$$

Figure 5: $\text{Log}[\text{Density}/10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ for $\Delta V = 0.135$ volts. In this and subsequent figures, the black curve is the solution for increasing voltage and the grey curve is the solution for decreasing voltage, and x is in 0.1 microns.

Figure 6: $\text{Log}[\text{Density}/10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ for $\Delta V = 0.17$ volts.

Figure 7: $\text{Log}[\text{Density}/10^{18} \text{ cm}^{-3}]$ for $\Delta V = 0.205$ volts.

Figure 8: Conduction band energy in eV for $\Delta V = 0.135$ volts.

Figure 9: Conduction band energy in eV for $\Delta V = 0.17$ volts.

Figure 10: Conduction band energy in eV for $\Delta V = 0.205$ volts.

Figure 11: Electron temperature in eV for $\Delta V = 0.135$ volts.

Figure 12: Electron temperature in eV for $\Delta V = 0.17$ volts.

Figure 13: Electron temperature in eV for $\Delta V = 0.205$ volts.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(mnu_j) + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_i mnu_j + nT\delta_{ij}) = -n\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_j} - \frac{mnu_j}{\tau_p} - \frac{n}{3}\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_j} \quad (12)$$

$$\frac{\partial W_{cl}}{\partial t} + \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}(u_i[W_{cl} + nT]) = -nu_i\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_i} - \frac{(W_{cl} - \frac{3}{2}nT_0)}{\tau_w} + \nabla \cdot (\kappa \nabla T) + Q_W \quad (13)$$

where W_{cl} is the classical energy density

$$W_{cl} = \frac{3}{2}nT + \frac{1}{2}mnu^2 \quad (14)$$

Q is the quantum potential (see e.g. [13])

$$Q = -\frac{\hbar^2}{2m}\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}\nabla^2\sqrt{n} \quad (15)$$

and Q_W is given by

$$Q_W = \frac{\hbar^2 n}{24m\tau_w}\nabla^2 \log(n) - \frac{\hbar^2}{24m}\nabla \cdot (n\nabla^2 \mathbf{u}) - \frac{n}{3}\mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla Q. \quad (16)$$

The stress tensor is related to the quantum potential through the formula

$$-\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i}P_{ij} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x_j}(nT) + \frac{n}{3}\frac{\partial Q}{\partial x_j}. \quad (17)$$

If Q and Q_W are set to zero, Eqs. (11)–(13) are exactly the classical hydrodynamic transport equations.

Eqs. (11)–(13) can be written in conservation law form as

$$\frac{\partial \mathbf{w}}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}(\mathbf{w}) = \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{w}) \quad (18)$$

where $\mathbf{w} = (n, mnu_x, mnu_y, mnu_z, W_{cl})^t$.

We will briefly describe the Runge-Kutta discontinuous Galerkin (RKDG) method for discretizing the left-hand side of Eq. (18) and then the mixed finite element method for discretizing Poisson's equation and the right-hand side of Eq. (18). Details for the classical hydrodynamic model are given in Ref. [7].

Suppose that Ω is the device domain and $\mathcal{T} = (0, t_{final})$ is the time interval of interest. First, let Π_h be a triangulation of Ω , and set

$$V_h = \{\psi \in L^\infty(\Omega) : \psi|_R \text{ is linear, } \forall R \in \Pi_h\}. \quad (19)$$

Then for $t \in \mathcal{T}$, each of the components of the approximate solution $\mathbf{w}_h(t)$ is taken in V_h , and Eq. (18) is discretized in space using the discontinuous Galerkin method. The semi-discrete equations are written in the following ODE form:

$$\frac{d\mathbf{w}_h}{dt} = \mathbf{L}_h(\mathbf{w}_h) + \mathbf{R}_h(\mathbf{w}_h), \quad \mathbf{w}_h(t=0) = \mathbf{w}_{0h} \quad (20)$$

where each of the components of $\mathbf{w}_{0h}$ is taken to be the L^2 -projection of the corresponding component of $\mathbf{w}_0$ onto V_h , and $\mathbf{L}_h$ is the approximation of $-\nabla \cdot \mathbf{F}$. Eq. (20) gives an approximation $\mathbf{w}_h$ to $\mathbf{w}$ which is formally second-order accurate in space. The second-order accurate (in time) Runge-Kutta method is used to discretize the ODE (20). Finally, a local projection $\Lambda\Pi_h$ is applied to the intermediate values of the Runge-Kutta discretization to enforce nonlinear stability (through limiting the slope of the solution).

Let $\{(t_n, t_{n+1})\}_{n=0}^{N-1}$ be a partition of $\mathcal{T}$ into subintervals, and set $\Delta t_n = t_{n+1} - t_n$. Then the RKDG method is implemented in the following way:

1. Set $\mathbf{w}_h^0 = \Lambda\Pi_h(\mathbf{w}_{0h})$;
2. For $n = 0, \dots, N-1$, compute $\mathbf{w}_h^{n+1}$:
 - (i) Set $\mathbf{w}_h^{[0]} = \mathbf{w}_h^n$;
 - (ii) Compute $\mathbf{w}_h^{[1]}$ and $\mathbf{w}_h^{[2]}$:
$$\mathbf{w}_h^{[1]} = \Lambda\Pi_h \left(\mathbf{w}_h^n + \Delta t_n \mathbf{L}_h(\mathbf{w}_h^{[0]}) + \Delta t_n \mathbf{R}_h(\mathbf{w}_h^{[0]}) \right);$$

$$\mathbf{w}_h = \mathbf{w}_h^{[1]} + \Delta t_n \mathbf{L}_h(\mathbf{w}_h^{[1]}) + \Delta t_n \mathbf{R}_h(\mathbf{w}_h^{[1]});$$

$$\mathbf{w}_h^{[2]} = \Lambda\Pi_h \left(\frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{w}_h^n + \mathbf{w}_h) \right);$$
 - (iii) Set $\mathbf{w}_h^{n+1} = \mathbf{w}_h^{[2]}$.

The approximation operator $-\mathbf{L}_h$ and the local projection $\Lambda\Pi_h$ are described in Ref. [7].

To discretize Poisson's equation and the right-hand side of Eq. (18), we use the lowest-order Raviart-Thomas [14] mixed finite element method. We sketch out the details only for Poisson's equation

$$\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E} = \frac{e}{\epsilon}(N_D - N_A - n), \quad \mathbf{E} = -\nabla\phi \quad \text{in } \Omega \quad (21)$$

with Dirichlet or Neumann boundary conditions

$$\phi = \phi_D \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_D, \quad \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{n} = 0 \quad \text{on } \partial\Omega_N. \quad (22)$$

Here $\mathbf{n}$ is the outward pointing unit normal to $\partial\Omega$, $\partial\Omega = \partial\Omega_D \cup \partial\Omega_N$, and $\partial\Omega_D \cap \partial\Omega_N = \emptyset$.

The mixed finite element method discretization of Eqs. (21) and (22) gives the approximation $(\mathbf{E}_h, \phi_h) \in \mathbf{U}_h^\phi \times W_h$ as the solution of the weak formulation

$$(\nabla \cdot \mathbf{E}_h, \xi) = \left(\frac{e}{\epsilon}(N_D - N_A - n_h), \xi \right), \quad \forall \xi \in W_h \quad (23)$$

$$(\mathbf{E}_h, \xi) - (\phi_h, \nabla \cdot \xi) = -\langle \phi_D, \xi \cdot \mathbf{n} \rangle_{\partial\Omega_D}, \quad \forall \xi \in \mathbf{U}_h^\phi \quad (24)$$

where $(u, v) = \int_\Omega u v \, dx dy dz$, $\langle u, v \rangle_{\partial\Omega_D} = \int_{\partial\Omega_D} u(\gamma) v(\gamma) \, d\gamma$, n_h is the approximate density computed by the RKDG method, and $\mathbf{U}_h^\phi \times W_h$ is the lowest-order Raviart-Thomas mixed finite element space. This space over rectangular parallelepipeds is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{U}_h^\phi = \left\{ \xi \in \mathbf{H}(\text{div}; \Omega) : \xi|_R = \left(a_R^1 + a_R^2 x, a_R^3 + a_R^4 y, a_R^5 + a_R^6 z \right), \right. \\ \left. a_R^i \in \mathfrak{R}, \quad \forall R \in \Pi_h; \quad \xi \cdot \mathbf{n}|_{\partial\Omega_N} = 0 \right\} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$W_h = \left\{ \xi \in L^2(\Omega) : \xi|_R \text{ is a constant}, \quad \forall R \in \Pi_h \right\}. \quad (26)$$

Finally, the approximation $\mathbf{E}_h$ is used to evaluate the terms in $\mathbf{R}$ in Eq. (18) that involve $\mathbf{E}$. The mixed finite element method gives the electric field in the precise form needed by the RKDG method and to a better approximation [14, 15] than more standard finite element and finite difference methods.

5 Conclusion

The existence of intrinsic bistability in the resonant tunneling diode has been confirmed through simulations of the quantum hydrodynamic model. The simulations demonstrate that the $O(\hbar^2)$ QHD equations reproduce important features of solutions to the full Wigner-Boltzmann equation.

Hysteresis appears in many settings in fluid dynamics, perhaps most spectacularly in Taylor-Couette flow. In this investigation, we have shown that hysteresis is manifested in the extension of classical fluid dynamics to quantum fluid dynamics. As in the classical case, bifurcation theory can be applied in analyzing the hysteresis points (see Ref. [16] for an analysis of Taylor-Couette hysteresis). In this analysis, the Lyapunov-Schmidt reduction can be applied analytically and numerically to the solutions near the hysteresis points.

In semiconductor device simulation, numerical methods must be robust over a wide parameter regime, due to the extreme variations in doping densities in typical devices. In addition, the left-hand side of the QHD equations (18) defines a nonlinear hyperbolic operator, and its hyperbolic modes permit the development of shock waves [17, 18, 19, 20] in the classical hydrodynamic model. The novelty of our finite element method lies in the combination of the RKDG shock-capturing method for the nonlinear transport equations with a mixed finite element method for Poisson's equation and the right-hand side of Eq. (18). Since the RKDG/mixed finite element method works well for simulating both the classical and quantum hydrodynamic models, a single simulator can be used for modeling both standard and quantum devices.

References

- [1] H. L. Grubin and J. P. Kreskovsky, "Quantum moment balance equations and resonant tunnelling structures," *Solid-State Electronics*, vol. 32, pp. 1071–1075, 1989.
- [2] C. L. Gardner, "The quantum hydrodynamic model for semiconductor devices," *SIAM Journal on Applied Mathematics*, vol. 54, pp. 409–427, 1994.
- [3] C. L. Gardner, "Resonant tunneling in the quantum hydrodynamic model," *VLSI Design*, to appear, 1995.
- [4] N. C. Kluksdahl, A. M. Krivan, D. K. Ferry, and C. Ringhofer, "Self-consistent study of the resonant-tunneling diode," *Physical Review*, vol. B 39, pp. 7720–7735, 1989.
- [5] W. R. Frensley, "Simulation of resonant-tunneling heterostructure devices," *Journal of Vacuum Science and Technology*, vol. B3, pp. 1261–1266, 1985.
- [6] V. J. Goldman, D. C. Tsui, and J. E. Cunningham, "Observation of intrinsic bistability in resonant-tunneling structures," *Physical Review Letters*, vol. 58, pp. 1256–1259, 1987; vol. 59, p. 1623, 1987.

- [7] Z. Chen, B. Cockburn, J. W. Jerome, and C.-W. Shu, "Mixed-RKDG finite element method for the 2-D hydrodynamic model for semiconductor device simulation," *VLSI Design*, to appear, 1995.
- [8] E. Wigner, "On the quantum correction for thermodynamic equilibrium," *Physical Review*, vol. 40, pp. 749–759, 1932.
- [9] M. G. Ancona and H. F. Tiersten, "Macroscopic physics of the silicon inversion layer," *Physical Review*, vol. B 35, pp. 7959–7965, 1987.
- [10] M. G. Ancona and G. J. Iafrate, "Quantum correction to the equation of state of an electron gas in a semiconductor," *Physical Review*, vol. B 39, pp. 9536–9540, 1989.
- [11] H. Steinrueck and F. Odeh, "The Wigner function for thermal equilibrium," *ZAMP*, vol. 42, pp. 470–487, 1991.
- [12] M. Golubitsky and D. G. Schaeffer, *Singularities and Groups in Bifurcation Theory*, vol. I. New York: Springer-Verlag, 1984.
- [13] C. Philippidis, D. Bohm, and R. D. Kaye, "The Aharonov-Bohm effect and the quantum potential," *Il Nuovo Cimento*, vol. 71 B, pp. 75–88, 1982.
- [14] P. A. Raviart and J. M. Thomas, "A mixed finite element method for second order elliptic problems," in *Mathematical Aspects of the Finite Element Method*, vol. 606 of *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pp. 292–315, Berlin: Springer Verlag, 1977.
- [15] Z. Chen, "Analysis of mixed methods using conforming and nonconfirming finite element methods," *RAIRO Modélisation Mathématique et Analyse Numérique*, vol. 27, pp. 9–34, 1993.
- [16] D. G. Schaeffer, "Qualitative analysis of a model for boundary effects in the Taylor problem," *Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society*, vol. 87, pp. 307–337, 1980.
- [17] C. L. Gardner, J. W. Jerome, and D. J. Rose, "Numerical methods for the hydrodynamic device model: Subsonic flow," *IEEE Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems*, vol. 8, pp. 501–507, 1989.
- [18] C. L. Gardner, "Numerical simulation of a steady-state electron shock wave in a submicrometer semiconductor device," *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, vol. 38, pp. 392–398, 1991.
- [19] E. Fatemi, J. W. Jerome, and S. Osher, "Solution of the hydrodynamic device model using high-order non-oscillatory shock capturing algorithms," *IEEE*

Transactions on Computer-Aided Design of Integrated Circuits and Systems, vol. 10, pp. 232–244, 1991.

- [20] C. L. Gardner, “Hydrodynamic and Monte Carlo simulation of an electron shock wave in a one micrometer $n^+ - n - n^+$ diode,” *IEEE Transactions on Electron Devices*, vol. 40, pp. 455–457, 1993.

#	Author/s	Title
1143	M.H.A. Davis & M. Zervos,	A problem of singular stochastic control with discretionary stopping
1144	Bernardo Cockburn & Pierre-Alain Gremaud,	An error estimate for finite element methods for scalar conservation laws
1145	David C. Dobson & Fadil Santosa,	An image enhancement technique for electrical impedance tomography
1146	Jin Ma, Philip Protter, & Jiongmin Yong,	Solving forward-backward stochastic differential equations explicitly — a four step scheme
1147	Yong Liu,	The equilibrium plasma subject to skin effect
1148	Ulrich Hornung,	Models for flow and transport through porous media derived by homogenization
1149	Avner Friedman, Chaocheng Huang, & Jiongmin Yong,	Effective permeability of the boundary of a domain
1150	Gang Bao,	A uniqueness theorem for an inverse problem in periodic diffractive optics
1151	Angelo Favini, Mary Ann Horn, & Irena Lasiecka,	Global existence and uniqueness of regular solutions to the dynamic von Kármán system with nonlinear boundary dissipation
1152	E.G. Kalnins & Willard Miller, Jr.,	Models of q -algebra representations: q -integral transforms and “addition theorems”
1153	E.G. Kalnins, V.B. Kuznetsov & Willard Miller, Jr.,	Quadrics on complex Riemannian spaces of constant curvature, separation of variables and the Gaudin magnet
1154	A. Kersch, W. Morokoff & Chr. Werner,	Selfconsistent simulation of sputtering with the DSMC method
1155	Bing-Yu Zhang,	A remark on the Cauchy problem for the Korteweg-de Vries equation on a periodic domain
1156	Gang Bao,	Finite element approximation of time harmonic waves in periodic structures
1157	Tao Lin & Hong Wang,	Recovering the gradients of the solutions of second-order hyperbolic equations by interpolating the finite element solutions
1158	Zhangxin Chen,	L^p -posteriori error analysis of mixed methods for linear and quasilinear elliptic problems
1159	Todd Arbogast & Zhangxin Chen,	Homogenization of compositional flow in fractured porous media
1160	L. Qiu, B. Bernhardsson, A. Rantzer, E.J. Davison, P.M. Young & J.C. Doyle,	A formula for computation of the real stability radius
1161	Maria Inés Troparevsky,	Adaptive control of linear discrete time systems with external disturbances under inaccurate modelling: A case study
1162	Petr Klouček & Franz S. Rys,	Stability of the fractional step Θ -scheme for the nonstationary Navier-Stokes equations
1163	Eduardo Casas, Luis A. Fernández & Jiongmin Yong,	Optimal control of quasilinear parabolic equations
1164	Darrell Duffie, Jin Ma & Jiongmin Yong,	Black’s consol rate conjecture
1165	D.G. Aronson & J.L. Vazquez,	Anomalous exponents in nonlinear diffusion
1166	Ruben D. Spies,	Local existence and regularity of solutions for a mathematical model of thermomechanical phase transitions in shape memory materials with Landau-Ginzburg free energy
1167	Pu Sun,	On circular pipe Poiseuille flow instabilities
1168	Angelo Favini, Mary Ann Horn, Irena Lasiecka & Daniel Tataru,	Global existence, uniqueness and regularity of solutions to a Von Kármán system with nonlinear boundary dissipation
1169	A. Dontchev, Tz. Donchev & I. Slavov,	On the upper semicontinuity of the set of solutions of differential inclusions with a small parameter in the derivative
1170	Jin Ma & Jiongmin Yong,	Regular-singular stochastic controls for higher dimensional diffusions — dynamic programming approach
1171	Alex Solomonoff,	Bayes finite difference schemes
1172	Todd Arbogast & Zhangxin Chen,	On the implementation of mixed methods as nonconforming methods for second order elliptic problems
1173	Zhangxin Chen & Bernardo Cockburn,	Convergence of a finite element method for the drift-diffusion semiconductor device equations: The multidimensional case
1174	Boris Mordukhovich,	Optimization and finite difference approximations of nonconvex differential inclusions with free time
1175	Avner Friedman, David S. Ross, and Jianhua Zhang,	A Stefan problem for reaction-diffusion system
1176	Alex Solomonoff,	Fast algorithms for micromagnetic computations
1177	Nikan B. Firoozye,	Homogenization on lattices: Small parameter limits, H -measures, and discrete Wigner measures
1178	G. Yin,	Adaptive filtering with averaging
1179	Włodzimierz Byrc and Amir Dembo,	Large deviations for quadratic functionals of Gaussian processes
1180	Ilja Schmelzer,	3D anisotropic grid generation with intersection-based geometry interface
1181	Alex Solomonoff,	Application of multipole methods to two matrix eigenproblems
1182	A.M. Latypov,	Numerical solution of steady euler equations in streamline-aligned orthogonal coordinates
1183	Bei Hu & Hong-Ming Yin,	Semilinear parabolic equations with prescribed energy
1184	Bei Hu & Jianhua Zhang,	Global existence for a class of Non-Fickian polymer-penetrant systems

- 1185 **Rongze Zhao & Thomas A. Posbergh**, Robust stabilization of a uniformly rotating rigid body
- 1186 **Mary Ann Horn & Irena Lasiecka**, Uniform decay of weak solutions to a von Kármán plate with nonlinear boundary dissipation
- 1187 **Mary Ann Horn, Irena Lasiecka & Daniel Tataru**, Well-posedness and uniform decay rates for weak solutions to a von Kármán system with nonlinear dissipative boundary conditions
- 1188 **Mary Ann Horn**, Nonlinear boundary stabilization of a von Kármán plate via bending moments only
- 1189 **Frank H. Shaw & Charles J. Geyer**, Constrained covariance component models
- 1190 **Tomasz Luczaka**, A greedy algorithm estimating the height of random trees
- 1191 **Timo Seppäläinen**, Maximum entropy principles for disordered spins
- 1192 **Yuandan Lin, Eduardo D. Sontag & Yuan Wang**, Recent results on Lyapunov-theoretic techniques for nonlinear stability
- 1193 **Svante Janson**, Random regular graphs: Asymptotic distributions and contiguity
- 1194 **Rachid Ababou**, Random porous media flow on large 3-D grids: Numerics, performance, & application to homogenization
- 1195 **Moshe Fridman**, Hidden Markov model regression
- 1196 **Petr Klouček, Bo Li & Mitchell Luskin**, Analysis of a class of nonconforming finite elements for Crystalline microstructures
- 1197 **Steven P. Lalley**, Random series in inverse Pisot powers
- 1198 **Rudy Yaksick**, Expected optimal exercise time of a perpetual American option: A closed-form solution
- 1199 **Rudy Yaksick**, Valuation of an American put catastrophe insurance futures option: A Martingale approach
- 1200 **János Pach, Farhad Shahrokhi & Mario Szegedy**, Application of the crossing number
- 1201 **Avner Friedman & Chaocheng Huang**, Averaged motion of charged particles under their self-induced electric field
- 1202 **Joel Spencer**, The Erdős-Hanani conjecture via Talagrand's inequality
- 1203 **Zhangxin Chen**, Superconvergence results for Galerkin methods for wave propagation in various porous media
- 1204 **Russell Lyons, Robin Pemantle & Yuval Peres**, When does a branching process grow like its mean? Conceptual proofs of $L \log L$ criteria
- 1205 **Robin Pemantle**, Maximum variation of total risk
- 1206 **Robin Pemantle & Yuval Peres**, Galton-Watson trees with the same mean have the same polar sets
- 1207 **Robin Pemantle**, A shuffle that mixes sets of any fixed size much faster than it mixes the whole deck
- 1208 **Itai Benjamini, Robin Pemantle & Yuval Peres**, Martin capacity for Markov chains and random walks in varying dimensions
- 1209 **Włodzimierz Bryc & Amir Dembo**, On large deviations of empirical measures for stationary Gaussian processes
- 1210 **Martin Hildebrand**, Some random processes related to affine random walks
- 1211 **Alexander E. Mazel & Yuri M. Suhov**, Ground states of a Boson quantum lattice model
- 1212 **Roger L. Fosdick & Darren E. Mason**, Single phase energy minimizers for materials with nonlocal spatial dependence
- 1213 **Bruce Hajek**, Load balancing in infinite networks
- 1214 **Petr Klouček**, The transonic flow problems stability analysis and numerical results
- 1215 **Petr Klouček**, On the existence of the entropic solutions for the transonic flow problem
- 1216 **David A. Schmidt & Chjan C. Lim**, Full sign-invertibility and symplectic matrices
- 1217 **Piermarco Cannarsa & Maria Elisabetta Tessitore**, Infinite dimensional Hamilton-Jacobi equations and Dirichlet boundary control problems of parabolic type
- 1218 **Zhangxin Chen**, Multigrid algorithms for mixed methods for second order elliptic problems
- 1219 **Zhangxin Chen**, Expanded mixed finite element methods for linear second order elliptic problems I
- 1220 **Gang Bao**, A note on the uniqueness for an inverse diffraction problem
- 1221 **Moshe Fridman**, A two state capital asset pricing model
- 1222 **Paolo Baldi**, Exact asymptotics for the probability of exit from a domain and applications to simulation
- 1223 **Carl Dou & Martin Hildebrand**, Enumeration and random random walks on finite groups
- 1224 **Jaksa Cvitanic & Ioannis Karatzas**, On portfolio optimization under “drawdown” constraints
- 1225 **Avner Friedman & Yong Liu**, A free boundary problem arising in magnetohydrodynamic system
- 1226 **Dominic Welsh**, Randomised approximation schemes for Tutte-Gröthendieck invariants
- 1227 **Zhangxin Chen, Bernardo Cockburn, Carl L. Gardner, & Joseph W. Jerome**, Quantum hydrodynamic simulation of hysteresis in the resonant tunneling diode
- 1228 **E.G. Kalnins, G.C. Williams, & William Miller, Jr.**, Intrinsic characterisation of the separation constant for spin one and gravitational perturbations in Kerr geometry
- 1229 **Zhangxin Chen**, Large-scale averaging analysis of multiphase flow in fractured reservoirs
- 1230 **Bruce Hajek & Babu Narayanan**, Multigraphs with the most edge covers
- 1231 **K.B. Athreya**, Entropy maximization
- 1232 **F.I. Karpelevich & Yu.M. Suhov**, Functional equations in the problem of boundedness of stochastic branching dynamics
- 1233 **E. Dibenedetto & V. Vespri**, On the singular equation $\beta(u)_t = \Delta u$