

**SPIKE-LAYERS IN SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC  
SINGULAR PERTURBATION PROBLEMS**

By

**Wei-Ming Ni**

and

**Izumi Takagi**

**IMA Preprint Series # 943**

April 1992

# SPIKE-LAYERS IN SEMILINEAR ELLIPTIC SINGULAR PERTURBATION PROBLEMS†

WEI-MING NI\* AND IZUMI TAKAGI\*\*

The purpose of this expository paper is to describe a new method, introduced in a series of papers [LNT], [NT1,2], [NPT] and [J], in handling “spikes” (or “point-condensation” phenomena) for singularly perturbed semilinear elliptic equations of the form

$$(1) \quad \varepsilon^2 \Delta u + f(u) = 0$$

where  $\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2}$  is the Laplace operator in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  and  $\varepsilon$  is a small positive number. To illustrate the flexibility of our method, we shall treat *both Dirichlet and Neumann boundary value problems using the same method.*

We begin with the following Neumann problem

$$(2) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nu$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  ( $1 < p < \infty$  when  $n = 2$ ). Problem (2) arises in various models in pattern formation in mathematical biology, e.g. the Keller-Segel model in chemotaxis, and the shadow system for the non-saturated case of an activator-inhibitor system in morphogenesis proposed by Gierer and Meinhardt. (The idea of “diffusion-driven instability”, however, goes back to A. Turing. See [LNT] and the references therein for the background of the problem (2).)

In [LNT], [NT1, 2] it was established that (2) *has a solution  $u_\varepsilon$  (in fact, a “least-energy” solution, see §1 below) which possesses a single spike-layer at the boundary. Moreover, the “amplitude” and the “location” of the spike can be determined. Mathematically, the following statements hold.*

- (i) *For every  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small,  $u_\varepsilon$  has a unique local (thus, global) maximum point  $P_\varepsilon$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , and,  $P_\varepsilon$  must lie on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .*

---

†Research supported in part by National Science Foundation.

\*School of Mathematics, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455.

\*\*Mathematical Institute, Tohoku University, Sendai 980, JAPAN.

(ii)  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  everywhere in  $\overline{\Omega}$  outside a small neighborhood of  $P_\varepsilon$  and  $u_\varepsilon(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow w(0)$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $w$  is the unique solution of the problem

$$(3) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta w - w + w^p = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n, \\ w > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, w \rightarrow 0 & \text{at } \infty, \\ w(0) = \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} w. \end{cases}$$

(iii)  $P_\varepsilon$  must be situated at the “most curved” part of  $\partial\Omega$  when  $\varepsilon$  is sufficiently small. More precisely,  $H(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where  $H(P)$  denotes the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at  $P$ .

Our method of proof will be described in §1 below. It turns out that a suitable modification of the techniques can be used to handle the critical case of (2); i.e. if we replace  $p$  by  $\tau = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  in (2),  $n \geq 3$ . Note that the corresponding result must be somewhat different since (3) does not admit any solution if  $p$  is replaced by  $\tau$ . Nonetheless, our approach applies. This will be discussed in §2.

Our method also carries over automatically (with minimal changes) to the Dirichlet problem which we obtain from (2) by replacing the original Neumann boundary condition in (2) by the homogeneous Dirichlet condition “ $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ”. Thus, to further illustrate the power of our method, we shall include, in §3, a modification of our approach ([J]) to the following Dirichlet problem

$$(4) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where a typical example of the nonlinearity is the well-known “cubic” or “loop” case  $f(u) = u(1-u)(u-a)$ ,  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ , which has attracted lots of attention in recent years. Finally, we conclude this article with some remarks in §4.

**1. Neumann problem: Sub-critical case.** In this section we shall concentrate on the problem

$$(1.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^p = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Delta$  is the Laplacian,  $\Omega$  is a bounded smooth domain in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $\nu$  is the unit outer normal to  $\partial\Omega$ , and  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $1 < p < \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  ( $1 < p < \infty$  if  $n = 2$ ) are constants. We wish to give an outline of our method and refer the interested readers to [NT1,2] for the full details. We first define an “energy” functional  $J_\varepsilon$  on  $H^1(\Omega)$  by

$$(1.2) \quad J_\varepsilon(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon^2 |Du|^2 + u^2) - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\Omega} (u_+)^{p+1}$$

where  $u_+ = \max\{u, 0\}$ . It turns out that the Mountain-Pass Lemma applies and gives that

$$(1.3) \quad c_\varepsilon = \inf_{h \in \Gamma} \max_{0 \leq t \leq 1} J_\varepsilon(h(t))$$

where  $\{h(t) | 0 \leq t \leq 1\}$  denotes a continuous path connecting 0 and  $e$  (an arbitrary but fixed positive function in  $H^1(\Omega)$  with  $J_\varepsilon(e) = 0$ ) and  $\Gamma$  is the set of all such paths, is a *positive critical value* of  $J_\varepsilon$ . Moreover,

$$(1.4) \quad c_\varepsilon = \min\{J_\varepsilon(u) | u \in \mathcal{M}\}$$

where

$$(1.5) \quad \mathcal{M} = \left\{ 0 < u \in H^1(\Omega) \mid \int_{\Omega} (\varepsilon^2 |Du|^2 + u^2) = \int_{\Omega} u_+^{p+1} \right\}.$$

(Thus  $c_\varepsilon$  is independent of the choice of  $e$ .) Since  $\mathcal{M}$  clearly contains all possible solutions of (1.1), we call the corresponding critical point  $u_\varepsilon$  a *least-energy* solution. Then, standard elliptic regularity results show that  $u_\varepsilon$  is smooth on  $\overline{\Omega}$ .

The main results in [LNT], [NT1,2] may be summarized as follows.

**THEOREM 1.6.** *For every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , (1.1) possesses a least-energy solution  $u_\varepsilon$  (i.e.  $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = c_\varepsilon$ ) with the following properties:*

- (i)  $u_\varepsilon \equiv 1$  for every  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently large, and,  $u_\varepsilon$  has a unique local (thus, global) maximum point  $P_\varepsilon$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  for every  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. Furthermore,  $P_\varepsilon$  must lie on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ .
- (ii)  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  everywhere in  $\overline{\Omega}$  outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $P_\varepsilon$  and  $u_\varepsilon(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow w(0)$ , as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $w$  is the unique solution of (3).
- (iii)  $H(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \max_{P \in \partial\Omega} H(P)$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where  $H(P)$  denotes the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at  $P$ .

A few remarks are in order. First, it was shown in [LNT] that for  $\varepsilon$  large, (1.1), in fact, can only have one trivial solution  $u \equiv 1$ . As for the uniqueness of  $w$  in (3), we include a brief discussion about it in §4. Finally, we remark that the ‘‘asymptotic profile’’ (suitably rescaled as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ ) is given by  $w$ . (See the outline of the proof below, or [NT1,2] for full details.)

The proof of Theorem 1.6 is lengthy and technical, and, is carried out in several steps. First, for  $\varepsilon$  small we obtain a good upper bound for  $c_\varepsilon$ ; namely,

$$(1.7) \quad c_\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} I(w) - (n-1)H\gamma\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \right\}$$

where  $H$  is the maximum of  $H(P)$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ,

$$(1.8) \quad \begin{aligned} I(w) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} (|Dw|^2 + w^2) - \frac{1}{p+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} w^{p+1}, \\ \gamma &= \frac{1}{n+1} \int_{\mathbf{R}_+^n} [w'(|x|)]^2 x_n dx, \end{aligned}$$

and  $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbf{R}^n$ . This is done by choosing suitable paths in (1.3).

Now, let  $P_\varepsilon$  be a local maximum point of  $u_\varepsilon$  in  $\bar{\Omega}$ . Our second step is to show that  $\text{dist}(P_\varepsilon, \partial\Omega) \leq C\varepsilon$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. Suppose for contradiction that this does not hold. Then, using a scaling argument and the fact that  $u_\varepsilon$  is a least-energy solution we deduce that there exists a sequence  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that a scaled  $u_{\varepsilon_j}$  tends to  $w$  and  $c_{\varepsilon_j} \geq \varepsilon_j^n (I(w) - \delta)$  where  $\delta > 0$  is an arbitrarily small constant. This contradicts (1.7).

Next, we prove that  $P_\varepsilon$  actually lies on  $\partial\Omega$  for sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ . The proof here is more complicated than that of the previous step but the idea is somewhat similar. (The proof above deals with an ‘‘interior’’ situation while here we need to handle the boundary.) Again, suppose that there exists a sequence  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$  such that  $P_{\varepsilon_j} \rightarrow P \in \partial\Omega$  (by step 2 above). We then extend  $u_{\varepsilon_j}$  by reflection with respect to  $\partial\Omega$  near  $P$ . (This can be done since  $u_{\varepsilon_j}$  satisfies the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition.) Although the extended  $u_{\varepsilon_j}$ , which we denote by  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_j}$ , satisfies a different equation near  $P$ , this equation does converge to the equation in (3) and a scaled  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_j}$  converges to  $w$  as  $\varepsilon_j \rightarrow 0$ . Thus, if  $P_{\varepsilon_j} \notin \partial\Omega$ , then  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_j}$  would have two peaks near  $P$ , and, as the scaled  $\bar{u}_{\varepsilon_j}$  converges to  $w$ , these two peaks converge to  $P$ . Since  $w$  is radial and  $w'' < 0$  at  $P$ , this is not possible.

From the arguments above we observe that local maximum points are isolated. (In fact,  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon} \text{dist}(P_\varepsilon, P'_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  for any two local maximum points  $P_\varepsilon$  and  $P'_\varepsilon$ .) Then, from the ‘‘energy’’ consideration it follows that  $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \geq \varepsilon^n (I(w) - \delta)$  for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small if  $u_\varepsilon$  has more than one local maximum points (where  $\delta$  again denotes a small constant). This again contradicts (1.7) and essentially finishes the proof of parts (i) and (ii) of Theorem 1.6.

It remains to prove part (iii). This relies on a second order approximation of  $c_\varepsilon$ ; namely,

$$(1.9) \quad c_\varepsilon = \varepsilon^n \left\{ \frac{1}{2} I(w) - (n-1)H(P_\varepsilon)\gamma\varepsilon + o(\varepsilon) \right\}$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where the quantities  $I(w)$  and  $\gamma$  are defined in (1.8). Once (1.9) is established, (iii) follows immediately from (1.7).

To prove (1.9), it requires first to obtain a second order approximation (in  $\varepsilon$ ) to  $u_\varepsilon$  near  $P_\varepsilon$ . That is, if  $\Phi$  is a suitable diffeomorphism which straightens the boundary  $\partial\Omega$  near  $P_\varepsilon$ , then  $u_\varepsilon$  admits the following approximation

$$(1.10) \quad u_\varepsilon(\Phi^{-1}(\varepsilon y)) = w(y) + \varepsilon\phi(y) + o(\varepsilon)$$

as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ , where  $\phi$  is the unique solution of the linearized problem

$$(1.11) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta \phi - \phi + pw^{p-1}\phi = h & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n, \\ \phi \rightarrow 0 & \text{at } \infty \end{cases}$$

with the condition that for  $j = 1, \dots, n$

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \phi \frac{\partial w}{\partial y_j} = 0,$$

where the inhomogeneous term  $h$  depends on  $w$  and  $\Phi$  (which, in turn, involves the mean curvature of  $\partial\Omega$  at  $P_\varepsilon$ ). Substituting (1.10) into (1.2), we derive (1.9) from the fact that  $c_\varepsilon = J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ .

**2. Neumann problem: Critical case.** In this section, we take up the problem

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \Delta u - u + u^\tau = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu} = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where  $\tau = \frac{n+2}{n-2}$  and  $n \geq 3$ . As was pointed out before that the problem

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta w - w + w^\tau = 0 & \text{in } \mathbf{R}^n, \\ w > 0 \text{ in } \mathbf{R}^n \text{ and } w \rightarrow 0 & \text{at } \infty \end{cases}$$

does not admit any solutions. Nonetheless, to a certain extent our method described in §1 does carry over to this critical case with some modifications. Thus we will be brief in this section.

The first general existence result for least-energy solutions of (2.1) is due to X.-J. Wang [W] who also used the variational approach described in §1. Then, a different kind of spike-layers (than those given by Theorem 1.6) is established in [NPT].

**THEOREM 2.3.** *Let  $u_\varepsilon$  be a least-energy solution of (2.1). Then, for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small, the maximum of  $u_\varepsilon$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  is attained at exactly one point  $P_\varepsilon$ , and  $P_\varepsilon$  must lie on the boundary  $\partial\Omega$ . Furthermore, the following statements hold.*

- (i)  $u_\varepsilon(P_\varepsilon) \rightarrow \infty$  and  $u_\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  in  $\overline{\Omega}$  outside an arbitrarily small neighborhood of  $P_\varepsilon$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ .
- (ii)  $\varepsilon^{-n} \int_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^{\tau+1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} S^{n/2}$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$  where  $S = n(n-2)\pi [\Gamma(\frac{n}{2})/\Gamma(n)]^{2/n}$  is the best Sobolev constant.
- (iii) For any  $\delta > 0$ , there exist constants  $\varepsilon_0$  and  $R$  such that for  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_0$  it holds that for all  $x \in \Omega \cap B_{\beta_\varepsilon \varepsilon R}(P_\varepsilon)$

$$(2.4) \quad \left| \frac{u_\varepsilon(x)}{\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}} - U\left(\frac{\Phi^{-1}(x)}{\beta_\varepsilon \varepsilon}\right) \right| < \delta$$

where  $\beta_\varepsilon = \|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^\infty(\Omega)}^{-2/(n-2)}$ ,  $\Phi$  is a suitable diffeomorphism straightening a boundary portion of  $\partial\Omega$  around  $P_\varepsilon$ , and

$$U(x) = \left[ 1 + \frac{|x|^2}{n(n-2)} \right]^{-(n-2)/2}$$

satisfies

$$(2.5) \quad \Delta U + U^r = 0$$

in  $\mathbf{R}^n$  with  $U(0) = 1$ .

In fact, part (i) is a consequence of the fact that (2.2) does not have any solutions. Note also that in Theorem 2.3 we only prove that  $u_\varepsilon$  has one global maximum point in  $\bar{\Omega}$ , which is a weaker result than its counterpart (Theorem 1.6 (i)) for the sub-critical case. On the other hand, in contrast to the finite-amplitude spikes in the sub-critical case, we now have the peaks  $u_\varepsilon(P_\varepsilon)$  (in Theorem 2.3) tending to  $\infty$  as  $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ . It is also interesting to note the different scaling appeared in (2.4).

We omit the proof here but refer the interested readers to [NPT] for complete details.

**3. Dirichlet problem.** As we remarked earlier that if we simply replace the homogeneous Neumann boundary condition in (2) or (1.1) (*but not* (2.1)) by the homogeneous Dirichlet condition “ $u = 0$  on  $\partial\Omega$ ”, the method described in §1 still applies with minimal modification. (Of course, in the Dirichlet case, locating the peak of a least-energy solution requires new ideas and still remains open.)

To illustrate the power and the flexibility of our method, we shall, however, include a recent result of J. Jang in this section. In his Ph.D. thesis [J], Jang considered the following problem

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{cases} \varepsilon^2 \Delta u + f(u) = 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u > 0 & \text{in } \Omega, \\ u = 0 & \text{on } \partial\Omega, \end{cases}$$

where, for simplicity, we assume that  $f(u) = u(1-u)(u-a)$ ,  $0 < a < \frac{1}{2}$ , and that  $\Omega$  is convex.

As in the Neumann case, we define an “energy” functional in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$  (i.e. the completion of  $C_0^\infty(\Omega)$  under the  $H^1$ -norm) by

$$(3.2) \quad \tilde{J}_\varepsilon(u) = \int_{\Omega} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon^2}{2} |Du|^2 - F(u) \right]$$

where  $F(u) = \int_0^u f(t)dt$ . It is well-known that (3.1) possesses a “boundary-layer” solution  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  for each  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. This solution  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  may be obtained by using the standard monotone iteration scheme with the iteration started at the super-solution  $u \equiv 1$  of (3.1). It is not hard to show that  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  is the maximal solution of (3.1) and that  $J_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) < 0$  for  $\varepsilon$  small. (See our discussion below.) Thus, using  $u \equiv 0$  and  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  we can again apply the Mountain-Pass Lemma to ensure the existence of a second solution  $u_\varepsilon$  – a “mountain-pass” solution.

Jang’s result may be stated as follows.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *For each sufficiently small  $\varepsilon$ , (3.1) possesses a mountain-pass solution  $u_\varepsilon$  which has only one local maximum point  $P_\varepsilon$  in  $\Omega$ . Moreover, the scaled function  $v_\varepsilon(y) \equiv u_\varepsilon(P_\varepsilon + \varepsilon y)$ , for  $P_\varepsilon + \varepsilon y \in \Omega$ , tends to  $v$  in  $C_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$  where  $v$  is the unique solution of*

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{cases} \Delta v + f(v) = 0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \\ v > 0 \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n, v \rightarrow 0 & \text{at } \infty, \\ v(0) = \max_{\mathbb{R}^n} v. \end{cases}$$

We remark that the convexity assumption of  $\Omega$  can be relaxed. (See [J].) For the uniqueness of (3.4), we also include a brief discussion in §4.

In order to apply the method described in §1, it is crucial to obtain that  $\tilde{J}_\varepsilon(\tilde{u}_\varepsilon) < 0$  for  $\varepsilon$  small and that

$$(3.5) \quad \tilde{J}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) \leq C\varepsilon^n$$

for  $\varepsilon$  small. To establish these estimates it is first shown that the maximal solution  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$  is actually a global minimizer of the functional  $\tilde{J}_\varepsilon$  in  $H_0^1(\Omega)$ . A key ingredient in the proof of this fact is the following “local” uniqueness result for  $\tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ , which follows from a theorem of Clément and Sweers [CS].

**LEMMA 3.6.** *There exists a constant  $\delta > 0$ , independent of  $\varepsilon$ , such that if  $u_\varepsilon^*$  is a solution of (3.1) with  $\max_{\Omega} u_\varepsilon^* \geq 1 - \delta$ , then  $u_\varepsilon^* \equiv \tilde{u}_\varepsilon$ .*

Once (3.5) is obtained, one can then show that a mountain-pass solution  $u_\varepsilon$  has at most finitely many local maximum points (i.e. peaks). To prove that  $u_\varepsilon$  has exactly one peak, we need a more accurate estimate of  $J_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon)$ ; namely, for  $\varepsilon$  small,

$$(3.7) \quad \tilde{J}_\varepsilon(u_\varepsilon) = \varepsilon^n(J^* + o(1))$$

where

$$J^* = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left[ \frac{1}{2} |Dv|^2 - F(v) \right] > 0.$$



The initial step in proving (3.7) is furnished by a result of [GP] which asserts that *for sufficiently large  $R > 0$ , the following boundary value problem has exactly two positive solutions*

$$\begin{cases} v'' + \frac{n-1}{r}v' + f(v) = 0, & r \in (0, R), \\ v'(0) = v(R) = 0. \end{cases}$$

Now, Theorem 3.3 may be handled in a similar fashion by our method as in §1 since one can derive from (3.7) that

$$\|u_\varepsilon\|_{L^p(\Omega)}^p = \varepsilon^n \left( \|v\|_{L^p(\mathbf{R}^n)}^p + o(1) \right)$$

for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small.

#### 4. Remarks.

4.1. Other types of layer solutions, such as boundary layers or interior transition layers, also arise in various branches of applied mathematics (e.g. in mathematical biology, and in phase transition) and have received lots of attention. There have been many papers appeared in the literature dealing with them and we shall not touch them here.

As for spike layers, it seems that little was known prior to our work, even in the formal level. We ought to mention the recent work of Kelley and Ko [KeK] which contains some interesting examples (or counterexamples). We should also mention an earlier survey [NT3] on (1.1) and (2.1), which contains, among other things, results from the point of view of bifurcation.

4.2. In case  $\Omega$  is a ball, it is well-known that solutions of (4) (for any Lipschitz continuous nonlinearity  $f$ ) must be radially symmetric. However, the story changes for Neumann problems. Both Theorems 1.6 and 2.3 show that  $u_\varepsilon$  cannot be radially symmetric for  $\varepsilon$  small. Nonetheless, solutions  $u_\varepsilon$  do possess certain symmetries, e.g. Steiner symmetry. (See [NT1, §5].)

Radial solutions of (1.1) and (2.1) have also been studied by various authors, e.g. [BKP] and [N2]. Other work related to (2.1) include e.g. [CK1,2].

4.3. Multiple single-peak spike-layer solutions have been constructed by [NO1] and [Wa]. In [NO1], it is proved that for any given point  $P \in \partial\Omega$  with the second fundamental form of  $\partial\Omega$  at  $P$  being nondegenerate, there is a spike-layer solution of (1.1) with its only peak located near  $P$ , for  $\varepsilon$  sufficiently small. In [Wa], a topological argument is used to show that for  $\varepsilon$  small, the number of single-peak spike-layer solutions of (1.1) is bounded below by the Ljusternik-Schnirelman category of  $\partial\Omega$ , which is a much weaker result.

Using the approach in [NO1], multiple-peak spike-layer solutions are also constructed in [NO2].

4.4. The Mountain-Pass Lemma is due to [AR] originally. The fact that the mountain-pass critical value  $c_\varepsilon$  is actually independent of the particular choice  $\varepsilon$  and is given by (1.4) for a large class of nonlinearities (formulated in §1, (1.3)–(1.5)) is first observed in [DN]

and [N1]. In this connection, we should also mention the work of Nehari [Ne] which gives a different variational approach and eventually leads to the observation (1.4) explicitly appeared in [N1].

4.5. For the uniqueness of (3) and (3.4), we first apply a result of [GNN; Theorem 2] to show that a (any) solution of (3) or (3.4) must be radially symmetric, and thereby reduces (3) and (3.4) to ordinary differential equations.

Extending earlier work of [C], [MS] and [Z], Kwong eventually establishes the uniqueness of (3) in [K]. Further extensions of [K] include [CL], [KZ] and [M].

The uniqueness of the ODE (3.4) is proved by [PS1], which also has been further extended by [PS2] and [KaK].

#### REFERENCES

- [AR] A. AMBROSETTI AND P.H. RABINOWITZ, *Dual variational methods in critical point theory and applications*, J. Funct. Anal. 14 (1973), pp. 349–381.
- [BKP] C. BUDD, M.C. KNAAP AND L.A. PELETIER, *Asymptotic behavior of solutions of elliptic equations with critical exponent and Neumann boundary conditions*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 117A (1991), pp. 225–250.
- [CL] C.-C. CHEN AND C.-S. LIN, *Uniqueness of ground state solutions of  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ ,  $n \geq 3$* , Comm PDE 16 (1991), pp. 1549–1572.
- [CS] P. CLÉMENT AND G. SWEERS, *Existence and multiplicity results for a semilinear elliptic eigenvalue problem*, Ann. Scud. Norm. Sup. Pisa 14 (1987), pp. 97–121.
- [C] C.V. COFFMAN, *Uniqueness of the ground state solution for  $\Delta u - u + u^3 = 0$  and a variational characterization of other solutions*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 46 (1972), pp. 81–95.
- [CK1] M. COMTE AND M.C. KNAAP, *Solutions of elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents with Neumann boundary conditions*, Manuscripta Math. 69 (1990), pp. 43–70.
- [CK2] M. COMTE AND M.C. KNAAP, *Existence of solutions of elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents with Neumann boundary condition in general domains*, preprint.
- [DN] W.-Y. DING AND W.-M. NI, *On the existence of positive entire solutions of a semilinear elliptic equation*, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 91 (1986), pp. 283–308.
- [GP] R. GARDNER AND L.A. PELETIER, *The set of positive solutions of semilinear equations in large balls*, Proc. Royal Soc. Edinburgh 104 A (1986), pp. 53–72.
- [GNN] B. GIDAS, W.-M. NI AND L. NIRENBERG, *Symmetry of positive solutions of nonlinear elliptic equations in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Advances in Math. Supplementary Studies 7A (1981), pp. 369–402.
- [J] J. JANG, *On spike solutions of singularly perturbed semilinear Dirichlet problem*, Ph.D. Thesis, Univ. of Minnesota, February 1991.
- [KaK] H.G. KAPER AND MAN KAM KWONG, *Uniqueness of nonnegative solutions of a class of semilinear elliptic equations*, Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and their Equilibrium States II (W.-M. Ni, L.A. Peletier and J. Serrin, Eds.) (1988), pp. 1–18.
- [KeK] W. KELLEY AND B. KO, *Semilinear elliptic singular perturbation problems with nonuniform interior behavior*, J. Diff. Eqns. 86 (1990), pp. 88–101.
- [K] MAN KAM KWONG, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of  $\Delta u - u + u^p = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 105 (1989), pp. 243–266.
- [KZ] MAN KAM KWONG AND L. ZHANG, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in an annulus*, Differential Integral Equations 4 (1991), pp. 583–599.
- [LNT] C.-S. LIN, W.-M. NI AND I. TAKAGI, *Large amplitude stationary solutions to a chemotaxis system*, J. Diff. Eqns. 72 (1988), pp. 1–27.
- [M] K. MCLEOD, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , II*, preprint.
- [MS] K. MCLEOD AND J. SERRIN, *Uniqueness of positive radial solutions of  $\Delta u + f(u) = 0$  in  $\mathbb{R}^n$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 99 (1987), pp. 115–145.

- [Ne] Z. NEHARI, *On a class of nonlinear second order differential equations*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 95 (1960), pp. 101–123.
- [N1] W.-M. NI, *Recent progress in semilinear elliptic equations*, RIMS Kokyuroku 679 (Kyoto University) (1989), pp. 1–39.
- [N2] W.-M. NI, *On the positive radial solutions of some semilinear elliptic equations on  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Appl. Math. Optim. 9 (1983), pp. 373–380.
- [NO1] W.-M. NI AND Y.-G. OH, *Construction of single boundary-peak solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem*, preprint.
- [NO2] W.-M. NI AND Y.-G. OH, in preparation.
- [NPT] W.-M. NI, X.-B. PAN AND I. TAKAGI, *Singular behavior of least-energy solutions of a semilinear Neumann problem involving critical Sobolev exponents*, Duke Math. J., to appear.
- [NT1] W.-M. NI AND I. TAKAGI, *On the shape of least-energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 44 (1991), pp. 819–851.
- [NT2] W.-M. NI AND I. TAKAGI, *Locating the peaks of least-energy solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem*, preprint.
- [NT3] W.-M. NI AND I. TAKAGI, *On the existence and shape of solutions to a semilinear Neumann problem*, Nonlinear Diffusion Equations and their Equilibrium States III(N.G. Lloyd, W.-M. Ni, L.A. Peletier and J. Serrin, Eds.) (1992), to appear.
- [PS1] L.A. PELETIER AND J. SERRIN, *Uniqueness of positive solutions of semilinear equations in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , Arch. Rational Mech. Anal. 81 (1983), pp. 181–197.
- [PS2] L.A. PELETIER AND J. SERRIN, *Uniqueness of solutions of semilinear equations in  $\mathbf{R}^n$* , J. Diff. Eqns. 61 (1986), pp. 380–397.
- [W] X.-J. WANG, *Neumann problem of semilinear elliptic equations involving critical Sobolev exponents*, J. Diff. Eqns. 93 (1991), pp. 283–310.
- [Wa] Z.-Q. WANG, *On the existence of multiple single-peaked solutions for a semilinear Neumann problem*, preprint.
- [Z] L. ZHANG, *Uniqueness of ground state solutions*, Acta Math. Scientia 6 (1988), pp. 449–468.

#	Author/s	Title
866	Gui-Qiang Chen and Tai-Ping Liu,	Zero relaxation and dissipation limits for hyperbolic conservation laws
867	Gui-Qiang Chen and Jian-Guo Liu,	Convergence of second-order schemes for isentropic gas dynamics
868	Aleksander M. Simon and Zbigniew J. Grzywna,	On the Larché-Cahn theory for stress-induced diffusion
869	Jerzy Luczka, Adam Gadowski and Zbigniew J. Grzywna,	Growth driven by diffusion
870	Mitchell Luskin and Tsorng-Whay Pan,	Nonplanar shear flows for nonaligning nematic liquid crystals
871	Mahmoud Affouf,	Unique global solutions of initial-boundary value problems for thermodynamic phase transitions
872	Richard A. Brualdi and Keith L. Chavey,	Rectangular $L$ -matrices
873	Xinfu Chen, Avner Friedman and Bei Hu,	The thermistor problem with zero-one conductivity II
874	Raoul LePage,	Controlling a diffusion toward a large goal and the Kelly principle
875	Raoul LePage,	Controlling for optimum growth with time dependent returns
876	Marc Hallin and Madan L. Puri,	Rank tests for time series analysis a survey
877	V.A. Solonnikov,	Solvability of an evolution problem of thermocapillary convection in an infinite time interval
878	Horia I. Ene and Bogdan Vernescu,	Viscosity dependent behaviour of viscoelastic porous media
879	Kaushik Bhattacharya,	Self-accommodation in martensite
880	D. Lewis, T. Ratiu, J.C. Simo and J.E. Marsden,	The heavy top: a geometric treatment
881	Leonid V. Kalachev,	Some applications of asymptotic methods in semiconductor device modeling
882	David C. Dobson,	Phase reconstruction via nonlinear least-squares
883	Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga,	Minimal currents, geodesics and relaxation of variational integrals on mappings of bounded variation
884	Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga,	Partial regularity of least gradient mappings
885	Charles R. Johnson and Michael Lundquist,	Operator matrices with chordal inverse patterns
886	B.J. Bayly,	Infinitely conducting dynamos and other horrible eigenproblems
887	Charles M. Elliott and Stefan Luckhaus,	'A generalised diffusion equation for phase separation of a multi-component mixture with interfacial free energy'
888	Christian Schmeiser and Andreas Unterreiter,	The derivation of analytic device models by asymptotic methods
889	LeRoy B. Beasley and Norman J. Pullman,	Linear operators that strongly preserve the index of imprimitivity
890	Jerry Donato,	The Boltzmann equation with lie and cartan
891	Thomas R. Hoffend Jr., Peter Smereka and Roger J. Anderson,	A method for resolving the laser induced local heating of moving magneto-optical recording media
892	E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and Sanchita Mukherjee,	Models of $q$ -algebra representations: the group of plane motions
893	T.R. Hoffend Jr. and R.K. Kaul,	Relativistic theory of superpotentials for a nonhomogeneous, spatially isotropic medium
894	Reinhold von Schwerin,	Two metal deposition on a microdisk electrode
895	Vladimir I. Olikier and Nina N. Uraltseva,	Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows
896	Wayne Barrett, Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy and Tamir Shalom,	Rank incrementation via diagonal perturbations
898	Mingxiang Chen, Xu-Yan Chen and Jack K. Hale,	Structural stability for time-periodic one-dimensional parabolic equations
899	Hong-Ming Yin,	Global solutions of Maxwell's equations in an electromagnetic field with the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity
900	Robert Grone, Russell Merris and William Watkins,	Laplacian unimodular equivalence of graphs
901	Miroslav Fiedler,	Structure-ranks of matrices
902	Miroslav Fiedler,	An estimate for the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices
903	Miroslav Fiedler,	Remarks on eigenvalues of Hankel matrices
904	Charles R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, Michael Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche,	Spectra with positive elementary symmetric functions
905	Pierre-Alain Gremaud,	Thermal contraction as a free boundary problem
906	K.L. Cooke, Janos Turi and Gregg Turner,	Stabilization of hybrid systems in the presence of feedback delays
907	Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu,	A numerical transmutation approach for underwater sound propagation
908	LeRoy B. Beasley, Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,	Combinatorial orthogonality
909	Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader,	Strong hall matrices
910	Håkan Wennerström and David M. Anderson,	Difference versus Gaussian curvature energies; monolayer versus bilayer curvature energies applications to vesicle stability
911	Shmuel Friedland,	Eigenvalues of almost skew symmetric matrices and tournament matrices
912	Avner Friedman, Bei Hu and J.L. Velazquez,	A Free Boundary Problem Modeling Loop Dislocations in Crystals
913	Ezio Venturino,	The Influence of Diseases on Lotka-Volterra Systems
914	Steve Kirkland and Brvan L. Shader,	On Multipartite Tournament Matrices with Constant Team Size

- 915 **Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey**, More on Structure-Ranks of Matrices
- 916 **Douglas B. Meade**, Qualitative Analysis of an Epidemic Model with Directed Dispersion
- 917 **Kazuo Murota**, Mixed Matrices Irreducibility and Decomposition
- 918 **Richard A. Brualdi and Jennifer J.Q. Massey**, Some Applications of Elementary Linear Algebra in Combinations
- 919 **Carl D. Meyer**, Sensitivity of Markov Chains
- 920 **Hong-Ming Yin**, Weak and Classical Solutions of Some Nonlinear Volterra Integrodifferential Equations
- 921 **B. Leinkuhler and A. Ruehli**, Exploiting Symmetry and Regularity in Waveform Relaxation Convergence Estimation
- 922 **Xinfu Chen and Charles M. Elliott**, Asymptotics for a Parabolic Double Obstacle Problem
- 923 **Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan**, An Approximate Boundary Integral Method for Acoustic Scattering in Shallow Oceans
- 924 **Yongzhi Xu and Yi Yan**, Source Localization Processing in Perturbed Waveguides
- 925 **Kenneth L. Cooke and Janos Turi**, Stability, Instability in Delay Equations Modeling Human Respiration
- 926 **F. Bethuel, H. Brezis, B.D. Coleman and F. Hélein**, Bifurcation Analysis of Minimizing Harmonic Maps Describing the Equilibrium of Nematic Phases Between Cylinders
- 927 **Frank W. Elliott, Jr.**, Signed Random Measures: Stochastic Order and Kolmogorov Consistency Conditions
- 928 **D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and B.L. Shader**, Pick's Inequality and Tournaments
- 929 **J.W. Demmel, N.J. Higham and R.S. Schreiber**, Block  $LU$  Factorization
- 930 **Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez**, Regional Blow-Up in a Semilinear Heat Equation with Convergence to a Hamilton-Jacobi Equation
- 931 **Bryan L. Shader**, Convertible, Nearly Decomposable and Nearly Reducible Matrices
- 932 **Dianne P. O'Leary**, Iterative Methods for Finding the Stationary Vector for Markov Chains
- 933 **Nicholas J. Higham**, Perturbation theory and backward error for  $AX - XB = C$
- 934 **Z. Strakos and A. Greenbaum**, Open questions in the convergence analysis of the lanczos process for the real symmetric eigenvalue problem
- 935 **Zhaojun Bai**, Error analysis of the lanczos algorithm for the nonsymmetric eigenvalue problem
- 936 **Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, On an elliptic-parabolic problem related to phase transitions in shape memory alloys
- 937 **Bojan Mohar and Neil Robertson**, Disjoint essential circuits in toroidal maps
- 938 **Bojan Mohar**, Convex representations of maps on the torus and other flat surfaces
- 939 **Bojan Mohar and Svatopluk Poljak** Eigenvalues in combinatorial optimization
- 940 **Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey and Bryan L. Shader**, Conditional sign-solvability
- 941 **Roger Fosdick and Ying Zhang**, The torsion problem for a nonconvex stored energy function
- 942 **René Ferland and Gaston Giroux**, An unbounded mean-field intensity model: Propagation of the convergence of the empirical laws and compactness of the fluctuations
- 943 **Wei-Ming Ni and Izumi Takagi**, Spike-layers in semilinear elliptic singular Perturbation Problems
- 944 **Henk A. Van der Vorst and Gerard G.L. Sleijpen**, The effect of incomplete decomposition preconditioning on the convergence of conjugate gradients
- 945 **S.P. Hastings and L.A. Peletier**, On the decay of turbulent bursts
- 946 **Apostolos Hadjidimos and Robert J. Plemmons**, Analysis of  $p$ -cyclic iterations for Markov chains
- 947 **Åbjörck, H. Park and L. Eldén**, Accurate downdating of least squares solutions
- 948 **E.G. Kalnins, William Miller, Jr. and G.C. Williams**, Recent advances in the use of separation of variables methods in general relativity
- 949 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of  $LU$ , Cholesky and  $QR$  factorizations
- 950 **G.W. Stewart**, Gaussian elimination, perturbation theory and Markov chains
- 951 **G.W. Stewart**, On a new way of solving the linear equations that arise in the method of least squares
- 952 **G.W. Stewart**, On the early history of the singular value decomposition
- 953 **G.W. Stewart**, On the perturbation of Markov chains with nearly transient states
- 954 **Umberto Mosco**, Composite media and asymptotic dirichlet forms
- 955 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, The structure of the eigenvectors of sparse matrices
- 956 **Walter F. Mascarenhas**, A note on Jacobi being more accurate than  $QR$
- 957 **Raymond H. Chan, James G. Nagy and Robert J. Plemmons**, FFT-based preconditioners for Toeplitz-Block least squares problems
- 958 **Zhaojun Bai**, The CSD, GSVD, their applications and computations
- 959 **D.A. Gregory, S.J. Kirkland and N.J. Pullman**, A bound on the exponent of a primitive matrix using Boolean rank
- 960 **Richard A. Brualdi, Shmuel Friedland and Alex Pothén**, Sparse bases, elementary vectors and nonzero minors of compound matrices
- 961 **J.W. Demmel**, Open problems in numerical linear algebra
- 962 **James W. Demmel and William Gragg**, On computing accurate singular values and eigenvalues of acyclic matrices
- 963 **James W. Demmel**, The inherent inaccuracy of implicit tridiagonal  $QR$
- 964 **J.J.L. Velázquez**, Estimates on the  $(N - 1)$ -dimensional Hausdorff measure of the blow-up set for a semilinear heat equation