There is thus no need to invoke basal tractions due to subduction to explain pop-up structures, as has often been done for the western Americas^{27,28,29}.

The absence of slip beneath the Bhutan Himalaya in 1897 suggests that the 400-km region between the great Himalayan ruptures of 1934 and 1950 (Fig. 1) has remained a seismic gap for at least the past two centuries³⁰. At the higher end of our estimated slip rates, the faults bounding the Shillong plateau could absorb one-third of the inferred Himalayan contraction rate of 18 mm yr⁻¹ (ref. 31), correspondingly increasing the interval between great earthquakes in the Bhutan Himalaya.

Our conclusions also raise issues concerning the seismic hazard potential of the Shillong plateau. The >300-km length of the Dauki fault has not slipped recently, but were it to slip in a single earthquake its potential maximum magnitude ($M \geq 8$) would constitute a significant seismic threat to nearby densely populated regions of Bangladesh, and to the very large city of Dhaka less than 150 km to the south (Fig. 1). The interval between these giant plateau-building earthquakes fortunately exceeds 3,000 years. □

Received 1 September 2000; accepted 31 January 2001.

- Oldham, R. D. Report on the Great Earthquake of 12 June 1897. *Mem. Geol. Soc. India* **29**, 1–379 (1899).
- Seeber, L. & Armbruster, J. in *Earthquake Prediction: An International Review* (eds Simpson, D. W. & Richards, P. G. 259–277 (Maurice Ewing Series, Vol. 4, American Geophysical Union, Washington DC, 1981).
- Molnar, P. & Pandey, M. R. Rupture zones of great earthquakes in the Himalayan Region. *Proc. Indian Acad. Sci. (Earth Planet. Sci.)* **98**, 61–70 (1989).
- Molnar, P. The distribution of intensity associated with the great 1897 Assam earthquake and bounds on the extent of the rupture zone. *J. Geol. Soc. India* **30**, 13–27 (1987).
- Gahalaut, V. K. & Chander, R. A rupture model for the great earthquake of 1897, northeast India. *Tectonophysics* **204**, 163–174 (1992).
- Bond, J. in *Annual Report of Triangulation 1897–1898* (ed. Strahan, C.) Part II, xii–xiii (Survey of India Department, Calcutta, 1899).
- Burrard, S. G. *Great Trigonometrical Survey of India, North East Longitudinal Series, Synoptical Volume 35* (Survey of India, Dehra Dun, 1909).
- Wilson, C. A. K. *Triangulation of the Assam Valley Series, Geodetic Report 1938*, 10–22 (Survey of India, Dehra Dun, 1939).
- Strahan, G. *Great Trigonometrical Survey of India, Assam Valley Triangulation, Synoptical Volume 32* (Survey of India, Calcutta, 1891).
- Nagar, V. K., Singh, A. N. & Prakesh, A. Strain pattern in N. E. India inferred from geodetic triangulation data. *Mem. Geol. Soc. India* **23**, 265–273 (1992).
- Frank, F. C. Deduction of earth strains from survey data. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **56**, 35–42 (1966).
- Okada, Y. Surface deformation due to shear and tensile faults in a half-space. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **75**, 1135–1154 (1985).
- Das, J. D., Saraf, A. K. & Jain, A. K. Fault tectonics of the Shillong Plateau and adjoining regions, northeast India, using remote sensing. *Int. J. Remote Sensing* **16**, 1633–1646 (1995).
- Gomberg, J. & Ellis, M. Topography and tectonics of the central New Madrid seismic zone: results of numerical experiments using a three-dimensional boundary element program. *J. Geophys. Res.* **99**, 20299–20310 (1994).
- Chen, W.-P. & Molnar, P. Source parameters of earthquakes and intraplate deformation beneath the Shillong Plateau and the northern Indoburman ranges. *J. Geophys. Res.* **95**, 12527–12552 (1990).
- Le Dain, A. Y., Tappinier, P. & Molnar, P. Active faulting and tectonics of Burma and surrounding regions. *J. Geophys. Res.* **89**, 453–472 (1984).
- Khattari, K. N. Seismological investigations in north eastern region of India. *Mem. Geol. Surv. India* **23**, 275–302 (1992).
- Verma, R. K. & Mukhopadhyay, M. An analysis of the gravity field in northeastern India. *Tectonophysics* **42**, 283–317 (1977).
- Ambraseys, N. Reappraisal of North Indian earthquakes at the turn of the 20th century. *Current Sci.* **79**, 1237–1250 (2000).
- Murthy, M. V. N., Talukdar, S. C., Bhattacharya, A. C. & Chakrabarti, C. The Dauki Fault of Assam. *Bull. Oil Natural Gas Commission* **6**, 57–64 (1969).
- Johnson, S. J. & Alam, A. M. N. Sedimentation and tectonics of the Sylhet trough, Bangladesh. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **103**, 1513–1527 (1991).
- Evans, P. The tectonic framework of Assam. *J. Geol. Soc. India* **5**, 80–96 (1964).
- Paul, J. et al. Active deformation across India. *Geophys. Res. Lett.* **28**, 647–651 (2001).
- Sukhija, B. S. et al. Timing and return of major paleoseismic events in the Shillong Plateau, India. *Tectonophysics* **308**, 53–65 (1999).
- Rogers, J. Chains of basement uplifts within cratons marginal to orogenic belts. *Am. J. Sci.* **287**, 661–692 (1987).
- Lyon-Caen, H. & Molnar, P. Gravity anomalies, flexure of the Indian Plate, and the structure, support and evolution of the Himalaya and Ganga basin. *Tectonics* **4**, 513–538 (1985).
- Lipman, P. W., Prottska, H. J. & Christiansen, R. L. Evolving subduction zones in the western United States, as interpreted from igneous rocks. *Science* **174**, 821–825 (1971).
- Dickinson, W. R. & Snyder, W. S. Plate tectonics of the Laramide orogeny. *Geol. Soc. Am. Mem.* **151**, 355–366 (1978).
- Jordan, T. E. et al. Andean tectonics related to the geometry of the subducted Nazca plate. *Geol. Soc. Am. Bull.* **94**, 341–361 (1983).
- Bilham, R. & Gaur, V. K. The geodetic contribution to Indian seismotectonics. *Current Sci.* **79**, 1259–1269 (2000).

- Bilham, R., Larson, K., Freymueller, J., & Project Idyllim members. GPS measurements of present-day convergence across the Nepal Himalaya. *Nature* **386**, 61–64 (1997).
- Sibson, R. H. & Xie, G. Dip range for intracontinental reverse fault ruptures: the truth not stranger than friction. *Bull. Seismol. Soc. Am.* **88**, 1014–1022 (1998).

Acknowledgements

This work was funded by the National Science Foundation and the Natural Environment Research Council. R.B. received a John Simon Guggenheim Memorial Foundation fellowship while at Oxford University.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to R.B. (e-mail: bilham@stripe.colorado.edu).

Plant diversity enhances ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ and nitrogen deposition

Peter B. Reich*, Jean Knops†, David Tilman‡, Joseph Craine‡, David Ellsworth§, Mark Tjoelker*, Tali Lee*, David Wedin||, Shahid Naeem†, Dan Bahaiddin*, George Hendrey§, Shibu Jose*, Keith Wrage*, Jenny Goth* & Wendy Bengtson*

* Department of Forest Resources, University of Minnesota, St Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA

† Department of Ecology, Evolution and Behavior, University of Minnesota, St Paul, Minnesota 55108, USA

‡ Department of Integrative Biology, University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

§ Division of Environmental Biology, Brookhaven National Laboratory, Upton, New York 11973, USA

|| School of Natural Resource Sciences, University of Nebraska, Lincoln, Nebraska 68583, USA

Human actions are causing declines in plant biodiversity, increases in atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and increases in nitrogen deposition; however, the interactive effects of these factors on ecosystem processes are unknown^{1,2}. Reduced biodiversity has raised numerous concerns, including the possibility that ecosystem functioning may be affected negatively^{1–4}, which might be particularly important in the face of other global changes^{5,6}. Here we present results of a grassland field experiment in Minnesota, USA, that tests the hypothesis that plant diversity and composition influence the enhancement of biomass and carbon acquisition in ecosystems subjected to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and nitrogen deposition. The study experimentally controlled plant diversity (1, 4, 9 or 16 species), soil nitrogen (unamended versus deposition of 4 g of nitrogen per m² per yr) and atmospheric CO₂ concentrations using free-air CO₂ enrichment (ambient, 368 μmol mol⁻¹, versus elevated, 560 μmol mol⁻¹). We found that the enhanced biomass accumulation in response to elevated levels of CO₂ or nitrogen, or their combination, is less in species-poor than in species-rich assemblages.

In the twenty-first century humans will live in, manage and depend on ecosystems that are less diverse^{1,2} and subjected to higher CO₂ levels and nitrogen (N) deposition rates than in recorded human history¹. Although we are beginning to understand the individual impacts of each of these factors on terrestrial ecosystems, our understanding of their interactive effects is poor at best^{1–10}. Net primary productivity and carbon (C) input to ecosystems are usually enhanced by elevated CO₂, but this seems to be related to the extent and type of other limitations^{11–20}. Nitrogen-poor ecosystems have often shown less response to elevated CO₂ than more fertile systems^{18–25}, which is important given that, worldwide,

productivity in most terrestrial ecosystems is limited by N (ref. 26) and rates of N deposition are expected to increase in the future^{1,2,6}. In addition, it has been proposed that species-poor communities may be less responsive to elevated CO₂ or N compared with diverse communities⁷.

Our study focuses on the influence of plant diversity on the response of ecosystem functions, such as productivity, to elevated CO₂ and N supply rates. Theoretically, because the range in plant traits associated with acquisition of C and N is frequently correlated with the species or functional diversity of an ecosystem^{7,10}, ecosystem responses to elevated CO₂ and N deposition may be sensitive to variation in levels of biodiversity^{4,7,10,11,13–19}. High-diversity plant communities frequently have a greater range of plant functional traits that affect C (for example, C₃ and C₄ photosynthetic pathways) and N (for example, legumes associated with N-fixing symbionts and non-leguminous species) cycling than have species-poor communities^{7,10}, and therefore have potentially greater responsiveness of ecosystem functions to elevated CO₂ and N deposition. This can occur because diverse assemblages have a greater likelihood of containing species with strong responses to resources and strong impacts on ecosystem processes compared with species-poor assemblages (that is, a sampling effect)^{27,28}. Alternatively, this can occur because the greater range of traits extant in diverse assemblages positively affects competitive associations and interactions (such as niche complementarity and positive species interactions)^{3,7,10,28}.

Testing the influence of plant diversity on the impacts of elevated CO₂ and N to ecosystem functioning requires simultaneous manipulation of plant species composition, CO₂, and N. Although there have been glasshouse studies⁸ of species responses to elevated CO₂ in monocultures versus mixtures, and field studies of monocultures and bi-species mixtures^{9,15}, this is the first field study, to our knowledge, to test the hypothesis⁷ that plant species diversity influences ecosystem-scale biomass responses to elevated CO₂ and N levels. The BioCON experimental facility (see Methods) in Minnesota, USA, was designed expressly for the simultaneous manipulation of these three factors in experimental grassland plots under field conditions, using a well-replicated split-plot experiment comprising a full factorial combination of treatment levels in a completely randomized design.

Our study includes 296 individual plots (each 2 × 2 m) distributed among six 20-m diameter experimental areas (rings). In three elevated CO₂ rings, a free-air CO₂ enrichment system²⁹ was used during the 1998 and 1999 growing seasons to maintain the CO₂ concentration at an average of 560 μmol mol⁻¹, a concentration likely to be reached this century^{1,2,6}. Three ambient rings (368 μmol mol⁻¹ CO₂) were treated identically but without additional CO₂. All plots were planted with 1, 4, 9 or 16 perennial grassland plant species randomly chosen from 16 species in 4 functional groups (C₃ grasses, C₄ grasses, C₃ legumes, C₃ non-legume forbs). Hence high-diversity treatments incorporate greater species and functional group diversity. Native and secondary grasslands in Minnesota typically contain a mixture of these four functional types. Beginning in 1998, half the plots received addi-

tional N equivalent to 4 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, which is comparable to high rates of N deposition observed in industrialized regions¹.

Diversity, CO₂ and N treatments had significant main effects on total biomass (Table 1, Fig. 1). Above-ground and below-ground biomass both increased markedly with increasing species diversity. Elevated CO₂ predominantly stimulated below-ground biomass, whereas enriched N largely increased above-ground biomass (Table 1). Elevated CO₂ decreased and enriched N increased plant N concentration, total plant N, soil net N mineralization and soil solution N pools (Table 1).

There was no significant interaction between CO₂ and N, and elevated levels of both resources increased total biomass by 27% on average compared with ambient plots (Fig. 1). In essence, the productivity response to elevated CO₂ was not constrained by N limitation in unfertilized plots, even those lacking N-fixing legumes (data not shown). This result differs from many earlier studies^{11,18,20}, perhaps because we added smaller amounts of N (4 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) to represent elevated N deposition, whereas other studies (such as ref. 18) added high amounts (up to 56 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹) to mimic agricultural N addition.

Although we did not detect a CO₂ and N interaction, there were significant biomass interactions between diversity and CO₂ as well as between diversity and N (Fig. 1; and Methods), largely owing to responses of below-ground biomass, which comprised three-quarters of the total biomass. As the response to elevated CO₂ and N varied among diversity treatments, we tested their effects within diversity levels, using post-hoc comparisons of the mean responses averaged over all four harvests. In the 16-species plots, all treatments

Figure 1 Total biomass (above-ground plus below-ground, 0–20 cm depth) (± 1 s.e.) for plots planted with either 1, 4, 9 or 16 species, grown at four combinations of ambient (368 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) and elevated (560 $\mu\text{mol mol}^{-1}$) concentrations of CO₂, and ambient N and N addition (4 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹). Biomass data are shown for each of four harvests (June and August in both 1998 and 1999). The biomass (total and/or below-ground) response to elevated CO₂, enriched N, or both, differed significantly among diversity treatments at every harvest.

Table 1 Average two-year responses of experimental grassland communities to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations, N deposition, and species diversity

Parameter	R ²	F ratio	CO ₂ % change	N % change	Species diversity % change
Total biomass	0.54	16.9	+12**	+13***	+98***
Above-ground biomass	0.39	9.2	+8	+23***	+81***
Below-ground biomass	0.50	15.0	+14*	+9*	+105***
Whole plant %N	0.29	5.9	-13***	+14***	-26***
Total plant N content	0.47	12.9	-2	+29***	+64***
Soil net N mineralization	0.23	4.2	-15	+64*	-68***
Soil solution N (0–20 cm)	0.21	3.9	-14	+36	-76**

R² and F ratio shown for the whole model (see Methods), for which P values were always less than 0.001. Main effects (% difference, pooled across all other treatments) and significant level ($\dagger$, $P < 0.1$; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$) shown are based on measurements in all 296 plots. Species diversity % effects shown compare 16-species versus 1-species plots.

with elevated levels of either CO₂ or N had significantly greater biomass than the ambient CO₂/ambient N plots. In the 9-species plots, only the elevated CO₂/elevated N treatment had significantly greater biomass than the ambient/ambient treatment. In contrast, there was no significant effect of CO₂ or N treatment, alone or in combination, on total biomass for either the 1- or 4-species levels.

Thus, across the four harvests in 1998 and 1999, the enhancement of biomass owing to either elevated CO₂ or enriched N decreased with declining diversity. In the unamended N treatment, the average stimulation of total biomass in response to elevated CO₂ was 22% in 16-species plots, 18% in 9-species plots, 10% in 4-species plots and 7% in monoculture plots (Fig. 2). Differences in plant species diversity accounted for a fivefold difference in the impacts of CO₂ fertilization on biomass accumulation. For example, whereas elevated CO₂ increased biomass by 258 g m⁻² on average in the most diverse (16-species) plots, it increased biomass by only 47 g m⁻² on average in the monocultures (Fig. 2).

Similarly, under ambient CO₂, the average enhancement of total biomass in response to N addition ranged from 25% in 16-species plots to 18%, 11% and 2% in 9-species, 4-species and monoculture plots, respectively (Fig. 2). Thus, biomass enhancement by N enrichment was much less in low-diversity plots—N deposition increased biomass by almost 300 g m⁻² in the diverse plots and by only 15 g m⁻² in the monocultures. For plots subjected to both elevated CO₂ and enriched N, biomass increased by more than 400 g m⁻² in both the 16- and 9-species plots (+35%), but only by

roughly 100–150 g m⁻² in 4-species plots (+15%) and monocultures (+17%).

By sorting above-ground biomass to species, we found that the increase in biomass with increased diversity at each resource level was due largely to the four species that were most abundant in mixtures. The four species *Achillea*, *Bromus*, *Lupinus* and *Poa* together made up more than 80% of the above-ground biomass in 16-species plots (Table 2) and represent all three of the C₃ functional groups. Three of these species were among the four most productive species in monocultures. However, all four of the species abundant in mixtures had lower average monoculture biomass at a given resource level than the average 16-species mixtures at the same resource level (Table 2) and no one species comprised more than one-third of the above-ground biomass in the 16-species mixture at any level. Thus, consistent with a multiple-species 'sampling effect', there was collective dominance in 16-species mixtures by three of the more productive members of the species pool, but this dominance was moderate, and other species also contributed to the greater biomass of the high-diversity plots.

The effects of species diversity on biomass accumulation responses to elevated CO₂ and N deposition also appear to result from the combination of multiple-species sampling effects and niche complementarity or positive species interactions. The four dominant species (*Achillea*, *Bromus*, *Lupinus* and *Poa*) were responsible for much of the increase in biomass in 16-species plots at elevated resource levels (that is, elevated CO₂, enriched N, or both) and showed large responses to these treatments when grown in monoculture (Table 2; for example, *Achillea* and *Lupinus* for elevated CO₂; *Poa* and *Bromus* for elevated N).

Although the response of *Poa* monocultures to N fertilization was large enough to suggest that it may have the capability of explaining most of the increased biomass response of the 16-species mixture if heavily dominant, *Poa* was not more than one-third of the total above-ground biomass in those mixtures, indicating that other species are also important. For elevated CO₂ at ambient N, the stimulation of total biomass (+258 g m⁻²) in 16-species mixtures was greater than the stimulation in monoculture of any species to elevated CO₂. Thus, the increase in biomass of 16-species plots with increasing resource supply was substantially due to the presence and response of species that do respond strongly in monocultures (that is, interpretable as mainly a sampling effect), but they collectively increased more when together than when in monoculture (a niche effect).

In addition, different species were responsible for the enhanced responses to different combinations of CO₂ and N, again suggesting niche differentiation. The increased total biomass response of 16-species plots to elevated CO₂ at ambient N (of +22%, Figs 1 and 2) was largely due to the response of *Achillea*, *Lupinus* and *Bromus*, each of which maintained a roughly similar fraction of a higher total above-ground biomass under elevated than ambient CO₂ (Table 2). At either ambient or elevated CO₂, the enhanced biomass in N-enriched 16-species plots as compared with ambient/ambient plots was due to increases in biomass by *Poa*, *Bromus* and *Achillea*, in that

Figure 2 Change in total (above-ground plus 0–20 cm below-ground) biomass (compared with ambient levels of both CO₂ and N) in response to elevated CO₂ alone (at ambient soil N), to enriched N alone (at ambient CO₂), and to the combination of elevated CO₂ and enriched soil N, for plots containing 1, 4, 9 or 16 species. Data were averaged for 4 harvests over 2 yr. Per cent change is shown above each histogram for each diversity treatment.

Table 2 Total biomass of 16-species mixtures and of monocultures of the dominant species in the 16-species mixtures

	Ambient CO ₂ , ambient N		+CO ₂ , ambient N		Ambient CO ₂ , +N		+CO ₂ , +N	
Biomass (g m ⁻²) of 16-species mixtures	1,165		1,423		1,462		1,581	
Species	Monoculture biomass (g m ⁻²)	% of 16-species mixture	Monoculture biomass (g m ⁻²)	% of 16-species mixture	Monoculture biomass (g m ⁻²)	% of 16-species mixture	Monoculture biomass (g m ⁻²)	% of 16-species mixture
<i>Achillea</i>	1,124	34%	1,314	33%	848	31%	1,321	34%
<i>Poa</i>	979	22%	1,089	19%	1,322	33%	1,407	27%
<i>Lupinus</i>	509	15%	672	17%	449	6%	670	9%
<i>Bromus</i>	1,021	11%	989	12%	1,228	14%	1,082	14%
All other species	590	18%	615	19%	587	16%	664	16%

Totals are above-ground plus below-ground biomass, at all four combinations of CO₂ and N. Also shown is the % of total above-ground biomass for each of the dominant species in the 16-species mixtures at each CO₂ and N combination.

order, despite a decline in *Lupinus* biomass.

These results suggest that multiple-species sampling effects, niche complementarity and positive species interactions^{3,7,10,28} jointly help to explain the greater responses of diverse than species-poor plots to elevated levels of the two major global change factors: atmospheric CO₂ and N deposition. Moreover, the central finding of this study—that changes in plant diversity influence the magnitude of CO₂ and N impacts on ecosystem functioning—is important regardless of which set of mechanisms are operating.

Our study raises concerns about the consequences of widespread changes in plant composition and diversity in ecosystems worldwide for responses to other global changes^{1,2}, because the results show that, in response to elevated levels of CO₂ and N, ecosystems with decreased diversity may acquire less C and biomass than ecosystems with greater diversity. It is unclear whether the responses observed in 1998–1999 will change with time as the composition of the communities changes, the climate varies, and long-term soil microbial feedbacks occur^{20–25}, or whether natural or managed vegetation would respond similarly to combinations of diversity, CO₂ and N. Nonetheless, our results suggest that the reduction of diversity occurring globally may reduce the capacity of ecosystems to capture additional C under conditions of rising atmospheric CO₂ concentrations and N deposition levels. □

Methods

BioCON experiment

The BioCON (Biodiversity, CO₂ and N) experiment (<http://swan.lter.umn.edu/biocon/>) is located at the Cedar Creek Natural History area in Minnesota, USA. Plots were established on a secondary successional grassland on a sandy outwash soil after removing the previous vegetation. The experimental treatments were arranged in complete factorial combination of CO₂ (ambient or 560 µmol mol⁻¹), species number (1, 4, 9, and 16) and N level (control and fertilized). The species numbers were chosen as the squares of 1, 2, 3 and 4 to represent roughly equal effective differences in diversity, on the basis of earlier studies⁴. Each plot was planted in 1997 with 12 g m⁻² of seed partitioned equally among all species planted in a plot.

The design consisted of a split-plot arrangement of treatments in a completely randomized design. CO₂ treatment is the whole-plot factor and is replicated three times among the six rings. The subplot factors of species number and N treatment were assigned randomly and replicated in individual plots among the six rings. For each of the four combinations of CO₂ and N levels, pooled across all rings, there were 32 randomly assigned replicates for the plots planted to 1 species, 15 for those planted to 4 species, 15 for 9 species, and 12 for 16 species. Beginning in 1998, the plots assigned to the N addition treatment were amended with 4 g N m⁻² yr⁻¹, applied over three dates each year. CO₂ was added in elevated treatments during all daylight hours from 9 April to 16 October 1998, and from 20 April to 9 November 1999. Although there was modest variation in CO₂ concentrations spatially within and across rings, the average CO₂ concentrations were not more than 1–2 µmol mol⁻¹ different among rings, or among diversity or N treatment levels averaged within and across rings (see Supplementary Information).

Species and biomass measurements

The 16 species used in this study were all native or naturalized to the Cedar Creek Natural History Area. They include four C₄ grasses (*Andropogon gerardii*, *Bouteloua gracilis*, *Schizachyrium scoparium*, *Sorghastrum nutans*), four C₃ grasses (*Agropyron repens*, *Bromus inermis*, *Koeleria cristata*, *Poa pratensis*), four N-fixing legumes (*Amorpha canescens*, *Lespedeza capitata*, *Lupinus perennis*, *Petalostemum villosum*) and four non-N-fixing herbaceous species (*Achillea millefolium*, *Anemone cylindrica*, *Asclepias tuberosa*, *Solidago rigida*), and all are referred to by genus elsewhere. Monocultures of all species were replicated twice at all CO₂ and N levels. The 4- and 9-species plots were random selections from all species. Plots were regularly weeded to remove unwanted species. In June and August of each year, we assessed above- and below-ground (0–20 cm) biomass, plant C and N, and soil N (see Supplementary Information). Soil net N mineralization rates were measured once each year. Above-ground biomass was sorted to species at each harvest. The species richness of clipped above-ground biomass samples was 1.0, 3.8, 8.0 and 13.6 species on average for plots planted with 1, 4, 9 and 16 species, respectively, on the basis of the number of species identified in each plot from the four above-ground harvests (each 0.1 m²).

Statistical analysis

In analysis of variance all treatment effects were considered fixed. Using *F*-tests, the effect of CO₂ (1 degree of freedom, d.f.) was tested against the random effect of ring nested within CO₂ (4 d.f.). The main effects of species number (3 d.f.) and N (1 d.f.), and interactions between CO₂ and N were tested against the residual error. The main effect of species number and its first-order interaction terms were partitioned into single-degree-of-freedom contrasts for linear, quadratic and cubic terms to test for interactions between diversity and either CO₂ or N treatments, and additionally to test hypotheses about

predetermined contrasts of elevated resource levels (elevated CO₂, enriched N, or both) versus the ambient/ambient conditions. There were interactions for below-ground biomass between species diversity and CO₂ treatments in the August 1998 ($P < 0.05$), June 1999 ($P < 0.10$) and August 1999 ($P < 0.10$) harvests, and between species diversity and N treatments in the June 1999 ($P < 0.05$) and August 1999 ($P < 0.05$) harvests. For the pre-planned contrast between the ambient/ambient and the elevated CO₂/enriched N treatments, there were significantly different responses (for below-ground and total biomass) for different diversity treatments at the June 1998 ($P < 0.05$), August 1998 ($P < 0.05$) and June 1999 ($P < 0.05$) harvests. Given interactions between species diversity and resource treatments, post-hoc Student's *t*-tests were also conducted to compare individual resource treatments within species diversity levels. All analyses were conducted for each harvest, for each year (pooling harvest data by plot), and across years averaged (pooling data for all harvests by plot), with similar results, although there was harvest-to-harvest variation (see Fig. 1).

Received 20 November 2000; accepted 18 January 2001.

1. Vitousek, P. M. Beyond global warming: ecology and global change. *Ecology* **75**, 1861–1876 (1994).
2. Sala, O. E. *et al.* Global biodiversity scenarios for the year 2100. *Science* **287**, 1770 (2000).
3. Hector, A. *et al.* Plant diversity and productivity experiments in European grasslands. *Science* **286**, 1123 (1999).
4. Tilman, D. *et al.* The influence of functional diversity and composition on ecosystem processes. *Science* **277**, 1300–1302 (1997).
5. Stocker, R., Körner, C., Schmid, B., Niklaus, P. A. & Leadley, P. W. A field study of the effects of elevated CO₂ and plant species diversity on ecosystem-level gas exchange in a planted calcareous grassland. *Global Change Biol.* **5**, 95–105 (1999).
6. Schimel, D. *et al.* Contribution of increasing CO₂ and climate to carbon storage by ecosystems in the United States. *Science* **287**, 2004 (2000).
7. Bolker, B. M., Pacala, S. W., Bazzaz, F. A., Canham, C. D. & Levin, S. A. Species diversity and ecosystem response to carbon dioxide fertilization: conclusions from a temperate forest model. *Global Change Biol.* **1**, 373 (1995).
8. Navas, M.-L., Garnier, E., Austin, M. P. & Gifford, R. M. Effect of competition on the responses of grasses and legumes to elevated atmospheric CO₂ along a nitrogen gradient: differences between isolated plants, monocultures and multi-species mixtures. *New Phytol.* **143**, 323–331 (1999).
9. Schenk, U., Jäger, H.-J. & Weigel, H.-J. The response of perennial ryegrass/white clover swards to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentrations. *New Phytol.* **135**, 67–79 (1997).
10. Schmid, B., Joshi, J., Schläpfer, F. in *Functional Consequences of Biodiversity: Experimental Progress and Theoretical Extensions* (eds Kinzig, A., Tilman, D. & Pacala, S.) (Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, in the press).
11. Körner, C. & Bazzaz, F. A. *Carbon Dioxide, Populations and Communities* (Academic, San Diego, 1996).
12. DeLucia, E. H. *et al.* Net primary production of a forest ecosystem with experimental CO₂ enrichment. *Science* **284**, 1177–1179 (1998).
13. Wand, S. J., Midgley, G. F., Jones, M. H. & Curtis, P. S. Responses of wild C₄ and C₃ (Poaceae) species to elevated atmospheric CO₂ concentration: a meta-analytic test of current theories and perceptions. *Global Change Biol.* **5**, 723–741 (1999).
14. Owensby, C. E., Ham, J. M., Knapp, A. K. & Auen, L. M. Biomass production and species composition change in a tallgrass prairie ecosystem after long-term exposure to elevated atmospheric CO₂. *Global Change Biol.* **5**, 497–506 (1999).
15. Lüscher, A., Hendrey, G. R. & Nösberger, J. Long-term responsiveness to free-air CO₂ enrichment of functional types, species, and genotypes of plants from fertile permanent grassland. *Oecologia* **113**, 37–45 (1998).
16. Warwick, K. R., Taylor, G. & Blum, H. Biomass and compositional changes occur in chalk grassland turves exposed to elevated CO₂ for two seasons in FACE. *Global Change Biol.* **4**, 375–385 (1998).
17. Leadley, P. W., Niklaus, P. A., Stocker, R. & Körner, C. A field study of the effects of elevated CO₂ on plant biomass and community structure in a calcareous grassland. *Oecologia* **118**, 39–49 (1999).
18. Zanetti, S. *et al.* Does nitrogen nutrition restrict the CO₂ response of fertile grassland lacking legumes? *Oecologia* **112**, 17–25 (1997).
19. Hebeisen, T. *et al.* Growth response of *Trifolium repens* L. and *Lolium perenne* L. as monocultures and bi-species mixture to free air CO₂ enrichment and management. *Global Change Biol.* **3**, 149–160 (1997).
20. Zak, D. R. *et al.* Atmospheric CO₂, soil-N availability, and allocation of biomass and nitrogen by *Populus tremuloides*. *Ecol. Appl.* **10**, 34–46 (2000).
21. Hu, S., Firestone, M. K., Chapin, F. S. III. Soil microbial feedbacks to atmospheric CO₂ enrichment. *Trends Ecol. Evol.* **14**, 433 (1999).
22. Diaz, S., Grime, J. P., Harris, J. & McPherson, E. Evidence of a feedback mechanism limiting plant response to elevated carbon dioxide. *Nature* **364**, 616–617 (1993).
23. Zak, D. R. *et al.* Elevated atmospheric CO₂ and feedback between carbon and nitrogen cycles. *Plant Soil* **151**, 105–117 (1993).
24. Hungate, B. A. *et al.* The fate of carbon in grasslands under carbon dioxide enrichment. *Nature* **388**, 576–579 (1997).
25. Cannell, M. & Thornley, J. N-poor ecosystems may respond more to elevated [CO₂] than N-rich ones in the long term. A model analysis of grassland. *Global Change Biol.* **4**, 431–442 (1998).
26. Vitousek, P. M. & Howarth, R. W. Nitrogen limitation on land and in the sea: how can it occur? *Biogeochemistry* **13**, 87–115 (1991).
27. Huston, M. A. Hidden treatments in ecological experiments: re-evaluating the ecosystem function of biodiversity. *Oecologia* **110**, 449–460 (1997).
28. Tilman, D., Lehman, C. L. & Thomson, K. T. Plant diversity and ecosystem productivity: theoretical considerations. *Proc. Natl Acad. Sci. USA* **94**, 1857–1861 (1997).
29. Lewin, K. F., Hendrey, G. R., Nagy, J. & LaMorte, R. Design and application of a free-air carbon dioxide enrichment facility. *Agric. Forest Meteorol.* **70**, 15–29 (1994).

Supplementary information is available on Nature's World-Wide Web site (<http://www.nature.com>) or as paper copy from the London editorial office of Nature.

Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to P.R. (e-mail: preich@forestry.umn.edu).