

**EVOLUTION OF NONPARAMETRIC SURFACES WITH SPEED
DEPENDING ON CURVATURE, III. SOME REMARKS
ON MEAN CURVATURE AND ANISOTROPIC FLOWS**

By

Vladimir I. Oliker

and

Nina N. Uraltseva

IMA Preprint Series # 895

December 1991

Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows

Vladimir I. Oliker¹ and Nina N. Uraltseva²

Dedicated to James Serrin on the occasion of his 65th birthday

1. Introduction

This paper is a sequel to our paper [OU] where we investigated questions concerning solvability and asymptotic behavior of solutions to the mean curvature evolution problem

$$u_t = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} H(u) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.1)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.2)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}, \quad u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\bar{\Omega}), \quad (1.3)$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n , $n \geq 2$, with C^∞ boundary $\partial\Omega$, H is the mean curvature operator

$$H(u) := \operatorname{div} \frac{Du}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}}$$

$Du = \operatorname{grad} u$, $|Du|^2 = \langle Du, Du \rangle$, and $u_t = \partial u / \partial t$.

In the first part of this paper, we investigate the same equation (1.1) in case of nonhomogeneous Dirichlet boundary condition and in the second part we study the problem (1.1)-(1.3) with $H(u)$ replaced by its "anisotropic" version (see the equation 1.22) below).

In the nonhomogeneous case the mean curvature evolution problem is formulated as follows.

Suppose there exists a function $\phi \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega})$ such that

$$H(\phi) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega, \quad (1.4)$$

1 Research sponsored by AFOSR (AFSC) under Contract F49620-9-0001. The United States Government is authorized to reproduce and distribute reprints for government purposes notwithstanding any copyright notation thereon. The author was also partially supported by the Fulbright Award.

2 Research was supported in part by the Institute for Mathematics and its Applications with funds provided by the National Science Foundation.

that is, the graph of the function ϕ is a minimal surface. Here the graph of ϕ is considered in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} in which a Cartesian coordinate system x_1, \dots, x_n, x_{n+1} is chosen so that Ω lies in the (hyper)plane $x_{n+1} = 0$ and the graph of ϕ is given by the set $(x, \phi(x)), x \in \overline{\Omega}$.

We are interested in solvability of the problem

$$u_t = \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} H(u) \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.5)$$

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x) \text{ in } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.6)$$

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x) + u_0(x) \in \overline{\Omega}, \quad u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\overline{\Omega}). \quad (1.7)$$

The equation (1.5) describes a motion of the surface $(x, u(x, t))$ evolving with normal speed equal to the mean curvature $H(u)$. The boundary of the surface remains fixed. At the initial moment $u(x, 0)$ is a smooth bounded perturbation of the minimal surface $x_{n+1} = \phi(x)$, $x \in \Omega$.

We show in this paper that (1.5)-(1.7) admits a "solution" u for all time; however, this solution may develop singularities on $\partial\Omega$ in finite time and, in general, will not satisfy (1.6). After a sufficiently long time the singularities will disappear and the solution will be smooth in $\overline{\Omega}$. Furthermore, the solution $u(x, t) \rightarrow \phi(x)$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$.

It is known that if the domain Ω satisfies the condition of H. Jenkins and J. Serrin (see [JS] and [S]) then a smooth in $\overline{\Omega}$ solution of (1.5)-(1.7) exists for all time. This was shown by G. Huisken [H]. The novelty in our case is that we do not assume that Ω satisfies the Jenkins-Serrin condition.

In order to formulate the results we need the notion of a generalized solution; it is similar to the one in [LT] and [OU].

A function $u(x, t)$ is called a **generalized solution** of (1.5)-(1.7) if it satisfies the following conditions:

$$u \in C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty)); \quad u \in L_\infty([0, \infty)); \quad W^{1,1}(\Omega); \quad (1.8)$$

$$u_t \in L_\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty)); \quad (1.9)$$

$$Lu := u_t - \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} H(u)$$

$$\equiv u_t - \Delta u + \frac{u_i u_j}{1 + |Du|^2} u_{ij} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.10)$$

where $u_i := \partial u / \partial x_i$, $u_{ij} := \partial^2 u / \partial x_i \partial x_j$, Δ is the Laplace operator, and the convention about

summation over repeated indices is assumed here and throughout the paper;

$$-\frac{\langle Du, \nu \rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \in \text{sign}(u - \phi) \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.11)$$

where ν is the exterior unit normal field on $\partial\Omega$;

$$u(x, 0) = \phi(x). \quad (1.12)$$

Now we can formulate the first result.

Theorem 1. *Problem (1.5)-(1.7) admits a generalized solution.*

In order to construct this generalized solution, we consider a family of regularized problems:

$$L^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon := Lu^\varepsilon - \varepsilon \sqrt{1 + |Du^\varepsilon|^2} \Delta u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.13)$$

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) = \phi(x) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.14)$$

$$u^\varepsilon(x, 0) = \phi(x) + u_0(x) \text{ in } \bar{\Omega}. \quad (1.15)$$

The problems (1.13)-(1.15) are uniformly parabolic for each $\varepsilon > 0$ and each of them admits a unique solution u^ε from $C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty))$. The generalized solution of (1.5)-(1.7) that we construct is obtained as a limit of a subsequence of $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ converging in $C^k(\bar{\Omega}' \times [0, T])$ for any $k \geq 0$, any $T > 0$, and any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ to some function u satisfying (1.8)-(1.12).

The next result shows that $u(x, t)$ is smooth in $\bar{\Omega}$, possibly, after a sufficiently long time.

Theorem 2. *Let $u(x, t) = \lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ be the generalized solution of (1.5)-(1.7). There exists $\bar{t} \geq 0$ such that*

$$u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, \infty)), \quad (1.16)$$

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x), \quad (x, t) \in \partial\Omega \times [\bar{t}, \infty). \quad (1.17)$$

Furthermore,

$$|u(x, t) - \phi(x)| \leq C e^{-\mu t}, \quad x \in \bar{\Omega}, \quad t \geq 0, \quad (1.18)$$

where C and μ are positive constants depending on initial data and domain $\bar{\Omega}$.

The proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 follow the same plans as the proofs of Theorem A and the first part of Theorem D in [OU]. However, some of the barriers needed here for the C^0 estimates are different from those in [OU] and new efforts are needed to obtain these estimates. These proofs are given in sections 2 and 3.

Remark. Everywhere in the paper the capitals C, C_1, \dots etc. denote positive constants depending, at most, on the domain Ω , initial data, and function ϕ .

In the second part of this paper, we show how the techniques in [OU] can be extended to allow investigation of the "anisotropic" version of the evolution flow under mean curvature. For closed surfaces, this evolution was considered by Y.-G. Chen, Y. Giga, and S. Goto in [GG] and [CGG]. In this connection see also the paper by S. Angenent and M. Curtin [AC]. We study here the evolution of graphs and establish the following result.

Let $F(p), p \in \mathbf{R}^n$, be a positive C^∞ function satisfying for all $p, \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n$ the following structure conditions:

$$|F_i(p)| \leq \alpha_0, \quad (1.19)$$

$$F_i(p)p_i \geq \alpha_1 \frac{p^2}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} - \alpha_2, \quad (1.20)$$

$$\frac{\alpha_3 |\xi_p|^2}{(1+p^2)^{3/2}} + \frac{\alpha_3 |\xi'|^2}{\sqrt{1+p^2}} \leq F_{ij}(p) \xi_i \xi_j \leq \frac{\alpha_4 |\xi|^2}{\sqrt{1+p^2}}, \quad (1.21)$$

where

$$F_i := \frac{\partial F}{\partial p_i}, \quad F_{ij} := \frac{\partial^2 F}{\partial p_i \partial p_j},$$

$$\xi_p = \left\langle \xi, \frac{p}{|p|} \right\rangle \frac{p}{|p|} \text{ for } p \neq 0, \quad \xi' = \xi - \xi_p,$$

$\alpha_0, \alpha_1, \alpha_3, \alpha_4$ are positive constants and $\alpha_2 \geq 0$.

These structure conditions are derived from postulated properties of a function defined in \mathbf{R}^{n+1} which represents physically the interfacial energy; for more details see [AC], [GG], and [CGG]. The special case where $F(p) = \sqrt{1+p^2}$ leads to the mean curvature evolution equation as it can be seen from the equation (1.22) below.

Consider the initial boundary value problem

$$u_t = \sqrt{1+|Du|^2} \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{\partial F(p)}{\partial p_i} \right) \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty), \quad (1.22)$$

$$u(x, t) = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.23)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u_0 \in C_0^\infty(\Omega), \quad (1.24)$$

where d/dx_i denotes the total derivative, and Ω , as before, is a bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n with smooth boundary.

Again, we define the generalized solution of (1.22)-(1.24) as a function $u(x, t)$ with properties:

$$u \in C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty)); \quad u \in L_\infty([0, \infty); W^{1,1}(\Omega)); \quad (1.25)$$

$$u_t \in L_\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty)); \quad (1.26)$$

$$Lu := u_t - \sqrt{1 + |Du|^2} \frac{d}{dx_i} \left(\frac{\partial F(p)}{\partial p_i} \right) = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times (0, \infty); \quad (1.27)$$

$$-\frac{\langle Du, \nu \rangle}{\sqrt{1 + |Du|^2}} \in \text{sign}(u) \text{ a.e. on } \partial\Omega \times (0, \infty); \quad (1.28)$$

$$u(x, 0) = u_0(x) \text{ in } \Omega. \quad (1.29)$$

In order to construct a generalized solution, we consider the regularized problem

$$L^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon := Lu^\varepsilon - \varepsilon \sqrt{1 + |Du^\varepsilon|^2} \Delta u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.30)$$

$$u^\varepsilon = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (1.31)$$

$$u^\varepsilon = u_0 \text{ on } \Omega \times \{0\}. \quad (1.32)$$

Theorem 3. *The problem (1.22)-(1.24) admits a generalized solution which can be constructed as a limit of solutions of (1.30)-(1.32). For this generalized solution, there exists some $\bar{t} \geq 0$ such that*

$$u \in C^\infty(\bar{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, \infty)) \text{ and } u = 0 \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [\bar{t}, \infty).$$

The proof of Theorem 3 is given in section 4. It follows the same basic steps as the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2. In comparison to [OU] essentially new arguments are needed only for construction of C^0 -barriers. We present these estimates in detail. The local C^1 -estimates in x and t are obtained by a slight modification of the arguments in [OU].

2. C^0 -estimates for solutions of (1.13)-(1.15)

Lemma 2.1. *There exist positive constants C and μ depending on Ω , u_0 , and ϕ such that for all $(x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$*

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t) - \phi(x)| \leq C e^{-\mu}. \quad (2.1)$$

Proof. The proof is obtained by constructing appropriate barriers and then applying the usual maximum principle.

It will be convenient to use the following notation:

$$\bar{u}^\varepsilon := u^\varepsilon - \phi,$$

$$a_{ij}(D\bar{u}^\varepsilon + D\phi) := \left[1 + \varepsilon \sqrt{1 + |D\bar{u}^\varepsilon + D\phi|^2}\right] \delta_{ij} - \frac{\partial_i(\bar{u}^\varepsilon + \phi) \partial_j(\bar{u}^\varepsilon + \phi)}{1 + |D\bar{u}^\varepsilon + D\phi|^2}, \quad \partial_i := \partial/\partial x_i,$$

$$b_{ij}(D\bar{u}^\varepsilon) := a_{ij}(D\bar{u}^\varepsilon + D\phi).$$

By (1.13) we have in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$

$$L^\varepsilon \bar{u}^\varepsilon = \bar{u}_t - b_{ij}(D\bar{u}^\varepsilon) \bar{u}_{ij}^\varepsilon - b_{ij}(D\bar{u}^\varepsilon) \phi_{ij} = 0, \quad (2.2)$$

where $\bar{u}_{ij}^\varepsilon = \partial^2 \bar{u}^\varepsilon / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ and $\phi_{ij} = \partial^2 \phi / \partial x_i \partial x_j$.

The equation (2.2) is invariant relative to parallel translations of the origin O of the coordinate system in the plane $x_{n+1} = 0$. Therefore, we may assume that $O \in \Omega$. Denote by B_R a ball of radius R centered at O . Assume that $R > 1$ and $\Omega \subset B_{R-1}$.

Consider the functions

$$v^\pm(x, t) = \pm \frac{m_0}{m} e^{-\mu} (e^m - e^{\psi(x)}),$$

where $\psi(x) = -m(R^2 - 1/2 |x|^2 - 1)$ and the constants m_0 , m , and μ are positive and to be chosen so that

$$L^\varepsilon(v^+ + \phi) = v_t^+ - b_{ij}(Dv^+) v_{ij}^+ - b_{ij}(Dv^+) \phi_{ij} > 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty) \quad (2.3)$$

$$L^\varepsilon(v^- + \phi) < 0 \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.3)'$$

$$v^+(x, t) \geq 0 \quad \text{in } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.4)$$

$$v^+(x, 0) \geq |u_0(x)| \quad \text{in } \overline{\Omega}. \quad (2.5)$$

Later we show that (2.3), (2.3)', (2.4), and (2.5) imply (2.1).

The inequality (2.4) is satisfied trivially. It is also clear that there exists $\bar{m} > 0$ such that for all $m \geq \bar{m}$, $(1/m)(e^m - e^{\psi(x)}) \geq 1$. Setting

$$m_0 = \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |u_0(x)|,$$

we obtain (2.5) with any $m \geq \bar{m}$.

Consider now (2.3). We have

$$\begin{aligned} v_i^+ &= -\mu v^+, \quad v_i^+ = -m_0 e^{-\mu} e^{\psi(x)} x_i, \quad (v_i = \partial_i v) \\ v_{ij}^+ &= -m_0 e^{-\mu} e^{\psi(x)} (m x_i x_j + \delta_{ij}), \quad (v_{ij} = \partial^2 v / \partial x_i \partial x_j). \end{aligned}$$

Note next that

$$b_{ij}(Dv^+)v_{ij}^+ = -m_0 e^{-\mu} e^{\psi(x)} [m b_{ij}(Dv^+)x_i x_j + b_{ii}(Dv^+)],$$

$$b_{ij}(Dv^+)x_i x_j \geq \frac{|x|^2}{1 + |Dv^+|^2 + |D\phi|^2}, \quad (2.6)$$

$$b_{ii}(Dv^+) \geq n - 1. \quad (2.7)$$

From the expression for v_i^+ we get

$$|Dv^+| \leq m_0 e^{-\mu} e^{\psi(x)} |x|. \quad (2.8)$$

Let Φ be a constant such that

$$\|\phi\|_{C^2(\bar{\Omega})} \leq \Phi.$$

From (2.6) and (2.8) we obtain

$$b_{ij}(Dv^+)x_i x_j \geq \frac{|x|^2}{1 + m_0^2 R^2 + \Phi^2}. \quad (2.9)$$

We also have,

$$b_{ij}(Dv^+) \phi_{ij} = a_{ij}(D\phi) \phi_{ij} + \int_0^1 \left(\frac{d}{d\tau} a_{ij}(\tau Dv^+ + D\phi) \right) d\tau \phi_{ij} = \bar{a}_{ij,k} v_k^+ \phi_{ij},$$

where

$$\bar{a}_{ij,k} = \int_0^1 \frac{\partial}{\partial p_k} a_{ij}(\tau Dv^+ + D\phi) d\tau, \quad p_k = v_k^+.$$

It is straight-forward to check that

$$\max_{i,j,k} \max_{\bar{\Omega}} |\bar{a}_{ij,k}| \leq 1.$$

Since $a_{ij}(D\phi) \phi_{ij} = 0$, we obtain

$$|b_{ij}(Dv^+) \phi_{ij}| \leq \Phi |Dv^+| \leq m_0 \Phi e^{-\mu} e^{\psi(x)} |x|.$$

From this inequality and (2.7), (2.9) we get

$$L^\varepsilon(v^+ + \phi) \geq \left\{ -\frac{\mu}{m} + e^{-m(R^2 - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2)} \left(\frac{m|x|^2}{1 + m_0^2 R^2 + \Phi^2} - \Phi|x| + n - 1 \right) \right\} m_0 e^{-\mu} e^m.$$

Let

$$m = \max \left\{ \frac{\phi^2(1 + m_0^2 R^2 + \phi^2)}{4(n - 3/2)}, \bar{m} \right\}, \quad \mu = \frac{1}{4} m e^{-mR^2}.$$

Then $L^\varepsilon(v^+ + \phi) > 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ and (2.3) is satisfied.

Consider now (2.3)'. In this case one shows in the same way as above that

$$L^\varepsilon(v^- + \phi) \leq \left\{ \frac{\mu}{m} - e^{-m(R^2 - \frac{1}{2}|x|^2)} \left(\frac{m|x|^2}{1 + m_0^2 R^2 + \phi^2} - \phi|x| + n - 1 \right) \right\} m_0 e^{-\mu} e^m$$

and, consequently, $L^\varepsilon(v^- + \phi) < 0$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$.

It is also clear from (2.4) and (2.5) that

$$v^+(x, t) + \phi(x) \geq \phi(x) = u^\varepsilon(x, t) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty),$$

(2.10)

$$v^+(x, 0) + \phi(x) \geq u_0(x) + \phi(x) = u^\varepsilon(x, 0) \text{ on } \bar{\Omega},$$

$$v^-(x, t) + \phi(x) \leq \phi(x) = u^\varepsilon(x, t) \text{ on } \partial\Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (2.10)'$$

$$v^-(x, 0) + \phi(x) \leq u_0(x) + \phi(x) = u^\varepsilon(x, 0) \text{ on } \overline{\Omega}.$$

By the maximum principle, it follows from (2.3), (2.10), and (2.3)', (2.10)' that in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t) - \phi(x)| \leq v^+(x, t).$$

Letting $C = m_0/m \cdot e^m$, we obtain (2.1). The lemma is proved.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $d(x) = \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}$. There exist positive constants C_1 and T depending on Ω , the constant C in (2.1), and $\Phi = \|\phi\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega})}$ such that*

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t) - \phi(x)| \leq C_1 d(x) e^{-\mu t}, \quad (x, t) \in \overline{\Omega} \times [T, \infty). \quad (2.11)$$

Proof. Let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < \delta\}$ where δ is so small that $d \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}_\delta)$. Put $f(d(x)) = (1/k)(1 - e^{-kd(x)})$, where k is a positive constant to be chosen later, and $g(t) = [(T - t)_+]^2$, where $(\)_+$ denotes the nonnegative part. Consider the functions

$$w(x, t) = v(x, t) + \phi(x),$$

$$v(x, t) = C_2 [f(d(x)) + g(t)] e^{-\mu t}.$$

We want to show that by choosing appropriately T , C_2 , k , and δ we can arrange so that w is an upper barrier for $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ in $\overline{\Omega}_\delta \times [T - 1, \infty)$. For that we need to verify the following inequalities for some $T \geq 1$:

$$v(x, t) \geq 0 \text{ in } \partial\Omega \times [T - 1, \infty), \quad (2.12)$$

$$w(x, t) \geq u^\varepsilon(x, t) \text{ for } d(x) = \delta \text{ and } t \geq T - 1, \quad (2.13)$$

$$w(x, T - 1) \geq u^\varepsilon(x, T - 1) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_\delta \quad (2.14)$$

$$L^\varepsilon w \geq 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\delta \times (T - 1, \infty). \quad (2.15)$$

The inequality (2.12) is obviously true in $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$. Further, if

$$C_2 \delta e^{k\delta} \geq C, \quad (2.16)$$

where C is as in (2.1), then because of (2.1) and since $f(\delta) \geq \delta e^{-k\delta}$ we have

$$v(x, t) \geq C e^{-\mu t} \geq u^\varepsilon(x, t) - \phi(x) \text{ for } d(x) = \delta \text{ and } t \geq 0.$$

This implies (2.13).

Next we note that by (2.1)

$$v(x, T-1) \geq C_2 e^{-\mu(T-1)} \geq C e^{-\mu(T-1)} \geq u^\varepsilon(x, T-1) - \phi(x) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_\delta,$$

provided

$$C_2 \geq C, \quad (2.17)$$

and this implies (2.14).

Thus, if (2.16), (2.17), and (2.15) can be satisfied, then w is indeed an upper barrier. Then by the maximum principle

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq w(x, t) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_\delta \times [T-1, \infty). \quad (2.18)$$

Since $g(t) = 0$ for $t \geq T$, and because one can choose a constant C_1 so that

$$C_2 f(d(x)) \leq C_1 d(x) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega},$$

we obtain

$$u^\varepsilon(x, t) \leq C_1 d(x) e^{-\mu t} + \phi(x) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega} \times [T, \infty).$$

The bound from below is obtained by constructing similarly a lower barrier. Thus, we obtain (2.11).

Consider now (2.15). We have

$$v_i = C_2 e^{-\mu t} f' d_i, \quad v_{ij} = C_2 e^{-\mu t} [f'' d_i d_j + f' d_{ij}],$$

where $d_i = \partial d / \partial x_i$, $d_{ij} = \partial^2 d / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ and similarly v_i , v_{ij} . Using the same notation a_{ij} , b_{ij} as in the proof of Lemma 2.1, we get

$$b_{ij}(Dv)v_{ij} = f'' \left[q - \frac{\langle D(v+\phi), Dd \rangle^2}{1 + |D(v+\phi)|^2} \right] + f' \left[q \Delta d - \frac{2v_i \phi_j + \phi_i \phi_j}{1 + |D(v+\phi)|^2} d_{ij} \right], \quad (2.19)$$

where $q = 1 + \varepsilon\sqrt{1 + |D(v + \phi)|^2}$. Here, we used the facts that $|Dd| = 1$ and $d_i d_j d_{ij} = 0$.

In the same way as in the proof of Lemma 2.1 we get

$$|b_{ij}(Dv)\phi_{ij}| \leq \Phi|Dv| \leq \Phi C_2 f'' e^{-\mu}. \quad (2.20)$$

Now using (2.19), (2.20) and noting that $f'' < 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L^\varepsilon w \geq C_2 e^{-\mu} \{ & -\mu(f + g) + g_i + [1 + |D(v + \phi)|^2]^{-1} \times \\ & [-f'' - f'[(1 + |D(v + \phi)|^2)(q|\Delta d| + \Phi) + |2v_i \phi_j + \phi_i \phi_j| d_{ij}]] \} \end{aligned}$$

Recall that $d(x) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_\delta})$ for any $\delta < \delta_0 := \inf_{\partial\Omega} (1/\kappa_\nu(x))$ where $\kappa_\nu(x)$ is the maximum of the absolute value of the normal curvature of $\partial\Omega$ at $x \in \partial\Omega$ (see [S], p. 421). Put $\rho := \|d\|_{C^2(\overline{\Omega_\delta})}$.

On the other hand, $|Dv| \leq C_2 e^{-\mu}$. Thus, if

$$C_2 e^{-\mu(T-1)} \leq 1 \quad (2.21)$$

then

$$L^\varepsilon w \geq C_2 e^{-\mu} \{-\mu(1 + \delta) - 2 + (2 + \Phi^2)^{-1} [k - M] d^{-k\delta}\},$$

where

$$M = (2 + \Phi^2) [(3 + \Phi^2)\rho + \Phi] + \rho\Phi(2 + \Phi)$$

and it is assumed that $\varepsilon \leq 1$.

Set

$$k = M + (2 + \Phi^2) [2 + \mu(1 + \delta_0)]e, \quad \delta = \min(\delta_0/2, 1/k, 1), \quad C_2 = C\delta^{-1}e^{k\delta},$$

and choose T so that (2.21) is satisfied.

Then (2.16), (2.17) are satisfied and

$$L^\varepsilon w \geq C_2 \mu \frac{\delta_0}{2} e^{-\mu} > 0 \text{ in } \Omega_\delta \times [T - 1, \infty).$$

The lemma is proved.

3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2

Before we can proceed with the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2, we need to record some preliminary estimates which allow applications of the estimates in x and t from [OU].

Proposition 3.1. *Let*

$$M_0 = \max\{\max_{\Omega} |\phi + u_0|, \max_{\partial\Omega} \phi\}$$

and $u^\varepsilon(x, t)$ a solution of (1.13)-(1.15). Then

$$\sup_{\Omega \times [0, \infty)} |u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq M_0, \quad (3.1)$$

$$\sup_{\Omega \times [0, \infty)} |u_i^\varepsilon(x, t)| (x, t) \leq M, \quad (3.2)$$

where M is a constant depending on the C^2 -norms of u_0 and ϕ , and

$$\int_{\Omega} (|Du^\varepsilon| + \varepsilon |Du^\varepsilon|^2) dx \leq C_3 \text{ for all } t \geq 0. \quad (3.3)$$

Proof. The inequality (3.1) is a consequence of the maximum principle applied to (1.13) - (1.15). The inequality (3.2) also follows from the maximum principle applied to the differentiated in t equation (1.13); one needs to observe here that $u_i^\varepsilon = 0$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$ and

$$u_i^\varepsilon = \sqrt{1 + |D(\phi + u_0)|^2} [H(\phi + u_0) + \varepsilon \Delta(u_0 + \phi)] \text{ in } \Omega \times \{0\}.$$

In order to verify (3.3), note first that by (1.13)

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{u_i^\varepsilon \eta + u_i^\varepsilon \eta_i}{\sqrt{1 + |Du^\varepsilon|^2}} + \varepsilon u_i^\varepsilon \eta_i \right) dx = 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for any $\eta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$ and $t \geq 0$, where $\eta_i = \partial\eta/\partial x_i$. Take $\eta = u^\varepsilon - \phi$ and substitute in (3.4). Then, taking into account (3.1) and (3.2), and after some straight forward manipulations, we obtain (3.3). The proposition is proved.

Once the inequalities (3.1)-(3.3) are established we can apply Theorem C in [OU] and conclude that for any subdomain $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and any $T > 0$ there exists a constant $\bar{C} = \bar{C}(\text{dist}(\Omega', \partial\Omega), T)$ such that

$$\sup_{\Omega} |Du^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_4 \text{ for } t \in [0, T]. \quad (3.5)$$

This estimate, (3.2) and standard results on uniformly parabolic equations imply that there is a subsequence of $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ converging in $C^k(\overline{\Omega}' \times [0, T])$, for any $k \geq 0$, to some function $u \in C^\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$. The function u satisfies (1.5), (1.7), and it follows from (3.1) - (3.3) that $u_t \in L_\infty(\Omega \times [0, \infty))$ and $u \in W^{1,1}(\Omega)$ for all $t \geq 0$. It is shown, as in [OU], section 4.13 (cf. [LT], section 3.2), that u satisfies (1.11).

Proof of Theorem 2. It follows from (1.14) and (2.11) by standard arguments that

$$|D(u^\varepsilon(x, t) - \phi(x))| \leq C_5 e^{-\mu t} \text{ in } \partial\Omega \times [T, \infty).$$

By Theorem C' in [OU], this inequality and (3.1)-(3.3) imply that there exists some $\bar{t} \geq T$ such that

$$|Du^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_6 \text{ on } \overline{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, \infty), \quad (3.6)$$

where $C_6 = C_6(\bar{t}, C_5)$. Then the solutions $\{u^\varepsilon\}$ and all their derivatives admit uniform bounds in $\overline{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, T']$ independent on ε for any $T' > \bar{t}$ (see [LSU], ch. IV). Therefore, the generalized solution $u(x, t)$ of (1.5)-(1.7) is in $C^1(\overline{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, T'])$ and by general results on uniformly parabolic PDE's, it is in $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, \infty))$. Consequently, (1.16) and (1.17) are satisfied. The inequality (1.18) follows now from (2.1) after passing to the limit in ε for $t > \bar{t}$ and, if necessary, replacing C by a larger constant. Theorem 2 is proved.

4. Proof of Theorem 3

The proof of this theorem follows the same steps as the proofs of Theorems 1 and 2.

For each $\varepsilon > 0$ the equation (1.30) is uniformly parabolic and, therefore, the problem (1.30)-(1.32) admits a solution u^ε of class $C^\infty(\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty))$. It follows from the maximum principle that u^ε is a unique solution.

We begin by establishing C^0 -estimates for all time.

(a) We may assume that the origin of the coordinate system is inside Ω . Let B_R be a ball of radius R centered at O and containing Ω strictly inside.

Let

$$v(x, t) = m \left(2R^2 - \frac{1}{2}x^2 \right) e^{-\mu},$$

where $m = \sup_{\Omega} |u_0(x)| R^{-2}$, and μ a positive constant to be determined. Using (1.21), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} L^\varepsilon v &= -\mu v + \sqrt{1 + m^2 |x|^2} e^{-2\mu} \left(\sum_{i=1}^n F_{ii} \right) m e^{-\mu} + \varepsilon n \sqrt{1 + m^2 |x|^2} e^{-2\mu} m e^{-\mu} \\ &\geq \left[-2\mu R^2 + \alpha_3 (1 + m^2 |x|^2)^{-3/2} \right] m e^{-\mu} \\ &\geq \left[-2\mu R^2 + \alpha_3 (1 + m^2 R^2)^{-3/2} \right] m e^{-\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

Let $\mu = 3^{-1} R^{-2} \alpha_3 (1 + m^2 R^2)^{-3/2}$. Then $L^\varepsilon v > 0$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$ and, clearly, $\pm L^\varepsilon u^\varepsilon = 0 < L^\varepsilon v$ in $\overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty)$. Obviously, $|u_0(x)| \leq v(x, 0)$ in Ω and $\pm u^\varepsilon = 0 < v$ on $\partial\Omega \times [0, \infty)$. By the maximum principle

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq v(x, t) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega} \times [0, \infty). \quad (4.1)$$

(b) Next we improve the estimate (4.1) for large t .

Let $\Omega_\delta = \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x) < \delta\}$ where $d(x) \equiv \text{dist}(x, \partial\Omega)$ and $\delta > 0$ is such that $d \in C^\infty(\overline{\Omega}_\delta)$. Put $f(d(x)) = (1/k)(1 - e^{-kd(x)})$, $x \in \overline{\Omega}_\delta$, where $k = \text{const} > 0$ is to be chosen later.

Consider the function

$$w(x, t) = c [f(d(x)) + g(t)] e^{-\mu t}$$

where $g(t) = [(T - t)_+]^2$, μ as in (4.1), and $c > 0$ a constant to be chosen. Put also $d_i = \partial d / \partial x_i$ and $d_{ij} = \partial^2 d / \partial x_i \partial x_j$ and note that $|Dd| = 1$.

We have

$$\begin{aligned} L^\varepsilon w &= -\mu w + c g_t e^{-\mu t} - \sqrt{1 + |Dw|^2} \\ &\quad \times [F_{ij} (f'' d_i d_j + f' d_{ij}) + \varepsilon (f'' + f' \Delta d)] c e^{-\mu t} \quad (g_t := \partial g / \partial t). \end{aligned}$$

Since $f'' < 0$, we have, using (1.21),

$$\begin{aligned}
-f''F_{ij}(Dw)d_id_j &= -f''e^{2\mu}\frac{F_{ij}(Dw)(\partial w/\partial x_i)(\partial w/\partial x_j)}{c^2f'^2} \\
&\geq -\frac{f''\alpha_3}{(1+|Dw|^2)^{3/2}}.
\end{aligned}$$

Using the inequality on the right hand side of (1.21) we get

$$|F_{ij}(Dw)d_ij| \leq \frac{C_1\alpha_4}{\sqrt{1+|Dw|^2}},$$

where C_1 is a constant depending on dimension n and C^2 -norm of $d(x)$ in $\overline{\Omega_\delta}$. Recall that $d(x) \in C^2(\overline{\Omega_\delta})$ for any $\delta < \delta_0$ where δ_0 is the same as at the end of the proof of Lemma 2.2.

Noting that $g_i \geq -2$ and $f' > 0$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
L^\varepsilon w &\geq [-\mu(f+g) - 2 - \frac{f''\alpha_3}{1+c^2f'^2e^{-2\mu}} - f'C_1\alpha_4 - \\
&\quad - \varepsilon\sqrt{1+c^2e^{-2\mu}f'^2(f''+f'\Delta d)}]ce^{-\mu}.
\end{aligned} \tag{4.2}$$

We now want to show that one can choose $T \geq 1$, c , k , δ , and ε_0 so that

$$L^\varepsilon w \geq 0 \text{ for all } \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0 \text{ in } \Omega_\delta \times (T-1, \infty), \tag{4.3}$$

$$w(x, T-1) \geq 2me^{-\mu(T-1)}, \tag{4.4}$$

$$w(x, t) \geq 2me^{-\mu} \text{ in } \{x \in \Omega \mid d(x) = \delta\} \times [T-1, \infty). \tag{4.5}$$

In order to establish (4.3)-(4.5), we proceed as follows. First, restrict c and $T \geq 1$ by the requirement

$$ce^{-\mu(T-1)} \leq 1. \tag{4.6}$$

Obviously, $ce^{-\mu} \leq 1$ for all $t \geq T-1$. Also, $g(t) \leq 1$ for all $t \geq T-1$. Next, we note that $f(d(x)) \leq \delta_0$ when $x \in \overline{\Omega_\delta}$, $\delta < \delta_0$. Now we obtain from (4.2)

$$\begin{aligned}
L^\varepsilon w &\geq [(2^{-1}k\alpha_3 - C_1\alpha_4)e^{-kd} - 2 - \mu(1 + \delta_0) - \\
&\quad - \varepsilon\sqrt{2\left(k + \sup_{\overline{\Omega_\delta}}|\Delta d|\right)}]ce^{-\mu} \text{ in } \Omega_\delta \times [T-1, \infty).
\end{aligned}$$

In this inequality the constant C_1 depends on the C^2 -norm of w in $\overline{\Omega}_\delta$. Assuming that $\delta \leq \delta_0/2$, we may suppose that C_1 is determined by the bound on the C^2 -norm of w in $\overline{\Omega}_{\delta_0/2}$.

Choose k and δ so that

$$(2^{-1}k\alpha_3 - C_1\alpha_4)e^{-1} - 2 - \mu(1 + \delta_0) > 0 \text{ and } \delta = \min\{1/k, \delta_0/2\}.$$

Next let

$$c = \max\{2m, 2me^{-\delta}\},$$

and define T as the smallest $T \geq 1$ satisfying (4.6). Then

$$w(x, T-1) = c[f+g]e^{-\mu(T-1)} \geq ce^{-\mu(T-1)} \geq 2me^{-\mu(T-1)},$$

and (4.4) is satisfied. Further,

$$\begin{aligned} w(x, t)|_{d(x)=\delta} &= c(f+g)e^{-\mu t}|_{d(x)=\delta} \geq c\delta e^{-k\delta}e^{-\mu t} \\ &\geq 2me^{-\mu t} \text{ for } t \geq T-1, \end{aligned}$$

and (4.5) is also satisfied. On the other hand, $w(x, t)|_{\partial\Omega} \geq 0 = u^\varepsilon(x, t)$. This, (4.3)-(4.5), and the maximum principle imply that for $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq w(x, t) \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_\delta \times [T-1, \infty).$$

For the rest of this section it is assumed without further reminding that $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$.

Since $g(t) = 0$ for $t \geq T$ we conclude that

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_2 d(x) e^{-\mu t} \text{ in } \overline{\Omega}_\delta \times [T, \infty),$$

where $C_2 = ce^{-1}$. Combining the last inequality with (4.1) and adjusting the constant C_2 if necessary we conclude that

$$|u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_2 d(x) e^{-\mu t} \text{ in } \overline{\Omega} \times [T, \infty). \quad (4.7)$$

(c) A standard argument shows now that

$$\left| \frac{\partial u^\varepsilon}{\partial \nu} \right| \leq C_2 e^{-\mu} \text{ in } \partial\Omega \times [T, \infty),$$

where ν is the exterior unit normal vector field on $\partial\Omega$. Since $u^\varepsilon(x, t) =$ on $\partial\Omega$, we conclude that

$$|Du^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq C_2 e^{-\mu} \text{ in } \partial\Omega \times [T, \infty). \quad (4.8)$$

(d) We have the following analogue of Proposition 3.1. *Let $M_0 = \sup_\Omega |u_0|$. Then*

$$\sup_{\Omega \times [0, \infty)} |u^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq M_0, \quad (4.9)$$

$$\sup_{\Omega \times [0, \infty)} |u_t^\varepsilon(x, t)| \leq M, \quad (4.10)$$

where M is a constant depending on the C^2 -norm of u_0 . Also,

$$\int_\Omega (|Du^\varepsilon| + \varepsilon |Du^\varepsilon|^2) dx \leq c_0 \text{ for all } t \geq 0, \quad (4.11)$$

where $c_0 = c_0(M_0, M, \alpha_0)$.

The inequalities (4.9)-(4.11) are established similarly to (3.1)-(3.3) except that when proving (4.11) one needs to take into account (1.19).

As in [LU] and [OU], section 4.4, we will use the tangential operator δ defined on $C^1(\Omega)$ as

$$\delta g = \nabla g - \langle \nabla g, N \rangle N,$$

where $g \in C^1(\Omega)$, $\nabla g = (g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n, 0)$, $g_i = \partial g / \partial x_i$, $N = (-Du^\varepsilon, 1)/\nu$, and $\nu = \sqrt{1 + |Du^\varepsilon|^2}$. Evidently,

$$|\delta g|^2 \geq |Dg|^2 (1 - |Du^\varepsilon|^2/\nu^2) = |Dg|^2/\nu^2, \quad (4.12)$$

and using (1.21) we get

$$\alpha_3 \nu^{-1} |\delta g|^2 \leq F_{ij} g_i g_j \leq \alpha_4 \nu^{-1} |Dg|^2. \quad (4.13)$$

Rewrite the equation (1.30) as

$$u_t^\varepsilon - \nu \frac{dF_i^\varepsilon}{dx_i} = 0 \text{ in } \Omega \times [0, \infty), \quad (4.14)$$

where $F_i^\varepsilon = F_i + \varepsilon p_i$ and $p \equiv Du^\varepsilon$. Here and below in this section we omit the argument Du^ε at F

and its derivatives.

Applying the operator $(p_k/v)d/dx_k$ to (4.14) we obtain

$$v_t - v \frac{d}{d} x_i (F_{ij}^\varepsilon v_j) + v\Lambda = \left(\frac{p_k v_k}{v} \right) \frac{dF_i^\varepsilon}{dx_i}, \quad (4.15)$$

where $v_t = \partial v / \partial t$, $v_i = \partial v / \partial x_i$ and

$$\Lambda \equiv \left[\frac{d}{dx_j} \left(\frac{p_k}{v} \right) \right] \frac{dF_i^\varepsilon}{dx_k} = \frac{\partial}{\partial p_s} \left(\frac{p_k}{v} \right) F_{ij}^\varepsilon u_{si}^\varepsilon u_{jk}^\varepsilon.$$

Since

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial p_s} \left(\frac{p_k}{v} \right) \xi_s \xi_k \geq v^{-3} |\xi|^2 \quad \text{for all } \xi \in \mathbf{R}^n,$$

and, because of (1.21), $\Lambda \geq 0$.

Multiply (4.15) by ηv^{-1} , $\eta \in H_0^1(\Omega)$, and integrate over Ω . After integration by parts on the left, and estimating the right hand side, using (4.14), (4.12), and the inequality $p^2/v^2 < 1$, we obtain

$$\int_{\Omega} \left(\frac{v_t}{v} \eta + F_{ij}^\varepsilon v_i \eta_j + \Lambda \eta \right) dx \leq M \int_{\Omega} \frac{|\delta v|}{v} |\eta| dx \quad \text{for all } t \geq 0, \quad (4.16)$$

where M is the constant from the inequality (4.10).

This inequality replaces the inequality (4.22) in [OU]. Formally both look the same, but the function F here replaces the function $\sqrt{1+p^2}$ in [OU] (also denoted by F in [OU]). Using (4.16) and (3.1)-(3.3) one derives exact analogues of Theorems C and C' in [OU] for the function F satisfying conditions (1.19)-(1.21). The arguments used in [OU] to prove Theorems C and C' carry over to our case with only minor modifications; one only needs to use the structure conditions (1.19)-(1.21), inequalities (4.9)-(4.11), and (4.13) instead of the corresponding properties of the function $\sqrt{1+p^2}$ and its derivatives. The details are lengthy but straight forward and we will not repeat them here.

Once analogues of Theorems C and C' in [OU] are established we have an estimate of $|Du^\varepsilon(x, t)|$ in $\Omega' \times [0, T]$ for any $\Omega' \subset\subset \Omega$ and $T > 0$, and, on the account of (4.8), we have an estimate of $|Du^\varepsilon(x, t)|$ in $\bar{\Omega} \times [\bar{t}, \infty)$ for some $\bar{t} \geq 0$. These estimates are similar to (3.5) and (3.6) in section 3 and the proof of Theorem 3 is completed in the same way as the proofs of Theorems 2 and 3.

References

- [AC] S. Angenent and M. Curtin, Multiphase thermomechanics with interfacial structure. 2. Evolution of an isothermal interface, *Arch. Rat. Mech. Anal.* **108**(1989), 323-391
- [CGG] Y-G. Chen, Y. Giga and S. Goto, Analysis toward snow crystal growth, *Proceedings on Functional Analysis and Related Topics*, ed. by S. Koshi, Sapporo, 1990
- [GG] Y. Giga and S. Goto, Geometric evolutions of phase boundaries, *IMA Preprint Series #738*
- [H] G. Huisken, Nonparametric mean curvature evolution with boundary conditions, *J. Diff. Eq.* **77**(1989), 369-378
- [JS] H. Jenkins and J. Serrin, The Dirichlet problem for the minimal surface equation in higher dimensions, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **229**(1968), 170-187.
- [LU] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya and N.N. Uraltseva, Local estimates for gradients of solutions of non-uniformly elliptic and parabolic equations, *Comm. on Pure and Applied Math.*, **23**(1970), 677-703
- [LSU] O.A. Ladyzhenskaya, V.A. Solonnikov and N.N. Uraltseva, Linear and Quasilinear Equations of Parabolic Type, *American Math. Society, R.I.*, 1968
- [LT] A. Lichnerowicz and R. Temam, Pseudosolutions of the time-dependent minimal surface problem, *J. Diff., Eq.* **30**(1978), 340-364
- [OU] V. Oliker and N. Uraltseva, Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, II. The mean curvature case, *IMA Preprint Series #832*
- [S] J. Serrin, The problem of Dirichlet for quasilinear elliptic differential equations with many independent variables, *Philos. Trans. Royal Soc. London Ser. A*, **164**(1969), 413-196

Department of Mathematics
and Computer Science
Emory University
Atlanta, GA 30322 USA

Department of Mathematics
and Mechanics
Leningrad University
Leningrad, USSR

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
818	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu ,	The Stefan problem with kinetic condition at the free boundary
819	M.A. Grinfeld ,	The stress driven instabilities in crystals: mathematical models and physical manifestations
820	Bei Hu and Lihe Wang ,	A free boundary problem arising in electrophotography: solutions with connected toner region
821	Yongzhi Xu, T. Craig Poling, and Trent Brundage ,	Direct and inverse scattering of time harmonic acoustic waves in an inhomogeneous shallow ocean
822	Steven J. Altschuler ,	Singularities of the curve shrinking flow for space curves
823	Steven J. Altschuler and Matthew A. Grayson ,	Shortening space curves and flow through singularities
824	Tong Li ,	On the Riemann problem of a combustion model
825	L.A. Peletier & W.C. Troy ,	Self-similar solutions for diffusion in semiconductors
826	C.J. van Duijn, L.A. Peletier & R.J. Schotting ,	On the analysis of brine transport in porous media
827	Minkyu Kwak ,	Finite dimensional description of convective reaction-diffusion equations
828	Minkyu Kwak ,	Finite dimensional inertial forms for the 2D Navier-Stokes equations
829	Victor A. Galaktionov and Sergey A. Posashkov ,	On some monotonicity in time properties for a quasilinear parabolic equation with source
830	Victor A. Galaktionov ,	Remark on the fast diffusion equation in a ball
831	Hi Jun Choe and Lihe Wang ,	A regularity theory for degenerate vector valued variational inequalities
832	Vladimir I. Oliker and Nina N. Uraltseva ,	Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, II. The mean curvature case.
833	S. Kamin and W. Liu ,	Large time behavior of a nonlinear diffusion equation with a source
834	Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez ,	Singular solutions of some nonlinear parabolic equations
835	Bernhard Kawohl and Robert Kersner ,	On degenerate diffusion with very strong absorption
836	Avner Friedman and Fernando Reitich ,	Parameter identification in reaction-diffusion models
837	E.G. Kalnins, H.L. Manocha and Willard Miller, Jr. ,	Models of q -algebra representations I. Tensor products of special unitary and oscillator algebras
838	Robert J. Sacker and George R. Sell ,	Dichotomies for linear evolutionary equations in Banach spaces
839	Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich ,	Numerical solution of diffraction problems: a method of variation of boundaries
840	Oscar P. Bruno and Fernando Reitich ,	Solution of a boundary value problem for Helmholtz equation via variation of the boundary into the complex domain
841	Victor A. Galaktionov and Juan L. Vazquez ,	Asymptotic behaviour for an equation of superslow diffusion. The Cauchy problem
842	Josephus Hulshof and Juan Luis Vazquez ,	The Dipole solution for the porous medium equation in several space dimensions
843	Shoshana Kamin and Juan Luis Vazquez ,	The propagation of turbulent bursts
844	Miguel Escobedo, Juan Luis Vazquez and Enrike Zuazua ,	Source-type solutions and asymptotic behaviour for a diffusion-convection equation
845	Marco Biroli and Umberto Mosco ,	Discontinuous media and Dirichlet forms of diffusion type
846	Stathis Filippas and Jong-Shenq Guo ,	Quenching profiles for one-dimensional semilinear heat equations
847	H. Scott Dumas ,	A Nekhoroshev-like theory of classical particle channeling in perfect crystals
848	R. Natalini and A. Tesei ,	On a class of perturbed conservation laws
849	Paul K. Newton and Shinya Watanabe ,	The geometry of nonlinear Schrödinger standing waves
850	S.S. Sritharan ,	On the nonsmooth verification technique for the dynamic programming of viscous flow
851	Mario Taboada and Yuncheng You ,	Global attractor, inertial manifolds and stabilization of nonlinear damped beam equations
852	Shigeru Sakaguchi ,	Critical points of solutions to the obstacle problem in the plane
853	F. Abergel, D. Hilhorst and F. Issard-Roch ,	On a dissolution-growth problem with surface tension in the neighborhood of a stationary solution
854	Erasmus Langer ,	Numerical simulation of MOS transistors
855	Haim Brezis and Shoshana Kamin ,	Sublinear elliptic equations in \mathbb{R}^n
856	Johannes C.C. Nitsche ,	Boundary value problems for variational integrals involving surface curvatures
857	Chao-Nien Chen ,	Multiple solutions for a semilinear elliptic equation on \mathbb{R}^N with nonlinear dependence on the gradient
858	D. Brochet, X. Chen and D. Hillhorst ,	Finite dimensional exponential attractor for the phase field model
859	Joseph D. Fehribach ,	Mullins-Sekerka stability analysis for melting-freezing waves in helium-4
860	Walter Schempp ,	Quantum holography and neurocomputer architectures
861	D.V. Anosov ,	An introduction to Hilbert's 21st problem
862	Herbert E Huppert and M Grae Worster ,	Vigorous motions in magma chambers and lava lakes

- 863 **Robert L. Pego and Michael I. Weinstein**, A class of eigenvalue problems, with applications to instability of solitary waves
- 864 **Mahmoud Affouf**, Numerical study of a singular system of conservation laws arising in enhanced oil reservoirs
- 865 **Darin Beigie, Anthony Leonard and Stephen Wiggins**, The dynamics associated with the chaotic of tangles two dimensional quasiperiodic vector fields: theory and applications
- 866 **Gui-Qiang Chen and Tai-Ping Liu**, Zero relaxation and dissipation limits for hyperbolic conservation laws
- 867 **Gui-Qiang Chen and Jian-Guo Liu**, Convergence of second-order schemes for isentropic gas dynamics
- 868 **Aleksander M. Simon and Zbigniew J. Grzywna**, On the Larché-Cahn theory for stress-induced diffusion
- 869 **Jerzy Luczka, Adam Gadowski and Zbigniew J. Grzywna**, Growth driven by diffusion
- 870 **Mitchell Luskin and Tsorng-Whay Pan**, Nonplanar shear flows for nonaligning nematic liquid crystals
- 871 **Mahmoud Affouf**, Unique global solutions of initial-boundary value problems for thermodynamic phase transitions
- 872 **Richard A. Brualdi, Keith L. Chavey and Bryan L. Shader**, Rectangular L -matrices
- 873 **Xinfu Chen, Avner Friedman and Bei Hu**, The thermistor problem with zero-one conductivity II
- 874 **Raoul LePage**, Controlling a diffusion toward a large goal and the Kelly principle
- 875 **Raoul LePage**, Controlling for optimum growth with time dependent returns
- 876 **Marc Hallin and Madan L. Puri**, Rank tests for time series analysis a survey
- 877 **V.A. Solonnikov**, Solvability of an evolution problem of thermocapillary convection in an infinite time interval
- 878 **Horia I. Ene and Bogdan Vernescu**, Viscosity dependent behaviour of viscoelastic porous media
- 879 **Kaushik Bhattacharya**, Self-accommodation in martensite
- 880 **D. Lewis, T. Ratiu, J.C. Simo and J.E. Marsden**, The heavy top: a geometric treatment
- 881 **Leonid V. Kalachev**, Some applications of asymptotic methods in semiconductor device modeling
- 882 **David C. Dobson**, Phase reconstruction via nonlinear least-squares
- 883 **Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga**, Minimal currents, geodesics and relaxation of variational integrals on mappings of bounded variation
- 884 **Patricio Aviles and Yoshikazu Giga**, Partial regularity of least gradient mappings
- 885 **Charles R. Johnson and Michael Lundquist**, Operator matrices with chordal inverse patterns
- 886 **B.J. Bayly**, Infinitely conducting dynamos and other horrible eigenproblems
- 887 **Charles M. Elliott and Stefan Luckhaus**, 'A generalised diffusion equation for phase separation of a multi-component mixture with interfacial free energy'
- 888 **Christian Schmeiser and Andreas Unterreiter**, The derivation of analytic device models by asymptotic methods
- 889 **LeRoy B. Beasley and Norman J. Pullman**, Linear operators that strongly preserve the index of imprimitivity
- 890 **Jerry Donato**, The Boltzmann equation with lie and cartan
- 891 **Thomas R. Hoffend Jr., Peter Smereka and Roger J. Anderson**, A method for resolving the laser induced local heating of moving magneto-optical recording media
- 892 **E.G. Kalnins, Willard Miller, Jr. and Sanchita Mukherjee**, Models of q -algebra representations: the group of plane motions
- 893 **T.R. Hoffend Jr. and R.K. Kaul**, Relativistic theory of superpotentials for a nonhomogeneous, spatially isotropic medium
- 894 **Reinhold von Schwerin**, Two metal deposition on a microdisk electrode
- 895 **Vladimir I. Oliker and Nina N. Uraltseva**, Evolution of nonparametric surfaces with speed depending on curvature, III. Some remarks on mean curvature and anisotropic flows
- 896 **Wayne Barrett, Charles R. Johnson, Raphael Loewy and Tamir Shalom**, Rank incrementation via diagonal perturbations
- 897 **Thierry Dombre, Alain Pumir, and Eric D. Siggia**, On the interface dynamics for convection in porous media
- 898 **Mingxiang Chen, Xu-Yan Chen and Jack K. Hale**, Structural stability for time-periodic one-dimensional parabolic equations
- 899 **Hong-Ming Yin**, Global solutions of Maxwell's equations in an electromagnetic field with the temperature-dependent electrical conductivity
- 900 **Robert Grone, Russell Merris and William Watkins**, Laplacian unimodular equivalence of graphs
- 901 **Miroslav Fiedler**, Structure-ranks of matrices
- 902 **Miroslav Fiedler**, An estimate for the nonstochastic eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices
- 903 **Miroslav Fiedler**, Remarks on eigenvalues of Hankel matrices
- 904 **Charles R. Johnson, D.D. Olesky, Michael Tsatsomeros and P. van den Driessche**, Spectra with positive elementary symmetric functions
- 905 **Pierre-Alain Gremaud**, Thermal contraction as a free boundary problem
- 906 **K.L. Cooke, Janos Turi and Gregg Turner**, Stabilization of hybrid systems in the presence of feedback delays
- 907 **Robert P. Gilbert and Yongzhi Xu**, A numerical transmutation approach for underwater sound propagation
- 908 **LeRoy B. Beasley, Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader**, Combinatorial orthogonality
- 909 **Richard A. Brualdi and Bryan L. Shader**, Strong hall matrices
- 910 **Håkan Wennerström and David M. Anderson**, Difference versus Gaussian curvature energies; monolayer versus bilayer curvature energies applications to vesicle stability
- 911 **Shmuel Friedland**, Eigenvalues of almost skew symmetric matrices and tournament matrices