**A NOTE ON GROUP CONTRACTIONS
AND RADAR AMBIGUITY FUNCTIONS**

By

E.G. Kalnins

and

W. Miller, Jr.

IMA Preprint Series # 682

September 1990

A NOTE ON GROUP CONTRACTIONS AND RADAR AMBIGUITY FUNCTIONS

E.G. KALNINS[†] AND W. MILLER, JR.[‡]

Abstract. The Heisenberg group is a contraction of $G_A \times R$, where G_A is the affine group and R is the additive group of the real numbers. We work out the details of the contraction procedure and use it to show how the narrow-band cross-ambiguity function arises as a limit of the wideband cross-ambiguity function. We also demonstrate how the expansion formula for the target distribution function in the narrow-band case arises as a limit of the corresponding expansion formula for the wideband distribution function via the contraction procedure.

Key words. group contraction, radar ambiguity function

AMS(MOS) subject classifications. 22E70, 78A45, 43A70

1. Introduction. It is well known that the narrow-band radar ambiguity and cross-ambiguity functions are approximations of the corresponding wideband functions. Some of the details of the approximations can be found in [1], [4], [20]. Here we shall employ the concept of group contraction to shed more light on the relationship between these functions. Further, we shall demonstrate how the expansion formula for the target distribution function in the narrow-band case arises as a limit of the corresponding expansion formula for the wideband distribution function via the contraction procedure. Most of our computations will be formal, but the needed rigor can be supplied.

We review briefly the Doppler effect as it relates to radar and sonar. Consider a stationary transmitter/detector and a moving (point) target located at a distance $R(t)$ from the transmitter at time t . We assume that the distance from the transmitter to the target changes linearly with time,

$$(1.1) \quad R(t) = r + vt.$$

Now suppose that the transmitter emits an electromagnetic pulse $s(t)$. The pulse is transmitted at a constant speed c in the ambient medium (e.g., air or water) impinges the target, and is reflected back to the transmitter/receiver where it is detected as the echo $e_{r,v}(t)$. (We assume $c > |v|$.) It is not difficult to show that the echo $e_{r,v}(t)$ takes the form

$$(1.2) \quad e_{r,v}(t) = \sqrt{\frac{c-v}{c+v}} s \left(t \left[\frac{c-v}{c+v} \right] - \frac{2r}{c+v} \right),$$

[†]Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Waikato Hamilton, New Zealand

[‡]School of Mathematics, 127 Vincent Hall, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, MN 55455, U.S.A.

where the factor $\sqrt{\frac{c-v}{c+v}}$ is needed if we require that the energy of the pulse is conserved:

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |s(t)|^2 dt = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |e(t)|^2 dt.$$

Changing notation, we write the echo as

$$(1.3) \quad e_{x,y}(t) = \sqrt{y}s(y[t+x])$$

where $y = (c-v)/(c+v)$ and $x = -2r/(c-v)$. Note that the transformation $(x, y) \rightarrow (r, v)$ is one-to-one. The result (1.2) is the exact (**wideband**) solution for the given assumptions, [16], [17]. We can generalize this analysis to allow a distribution of moving targets with density function $D(x, y)$, where the support of this function is contained in the set $\{(x, y) : y > 0\}$. Then the echo from the signal $s(t)$ is

$$(1.4) \quad e(t) = \int_0^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \sqrt{y}s(y[t+x])D(x, y)dx dy.$$

It is very common to approximate the wideband solution under the assumption that $|v| \ll c$ and t is small (of the order of r/c) over the period of observation. (See [4] and [17] for careful analyses of the relationship between the wideband solution and the narrow-band approximation.) One writes the signal in the form

$$s(t) = a(t)e^{2\pi i \omega_0 t}$$

where $a(t)$ is assumed to be a slowly varying complex function of t (the **envelope** of the waveform) with respect to the exponential factor.

Then we have

$$e_{x,y}(t) = \sqrt{y}a(y[t+x])e^{2\pi i(y[t+x])\omega_0}$$

or, since $y \approx 1 - 2\beta$, $yx \approx -(2r/c)(1 - \beta)$ where $\beta = v/c$, we have

$$(1.4) \quad \begin{aligned} e_{x,y}(t) &\approx a(t - 2r/c)e^{2\pi i \omega_0 [t(1-2\beta) - 2(r/c)(1-\beta)]} \\ &\approx s(t - 2r/c)e^{-4\pi i \beta \omega_0 [t - 2r/c]}. \end{aligned}$$

This is called the **narrow-band approximation** of the Doppler effect. (Generally speaking, the narrow-band approximation is usually adequate for radar applications but is less appropriate for sonar.) Similarly, we can derive an expression for the echo due to a distribution of moving targets in the narrow-band approximation.

Suppose $\{s_n\}$ is a basis for $L_2(R)$, the standard space of square integrable functions on the real line. Then we can consider the cross-correlation of s_m with the echo e_n from s_n :

$$(1.5) \quad A_{nm}(x, y) = \langle e_n, s_m \rangle = \sqrt{y} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} s_n(y[t+x])\bar{s}_m(t)dt.$$

We call A_{nm} the **cross-ambiguity function** of s_n and s_m . Similarly the **(narrow-band)** cross-ambiguity function is

$$(1.6) \quad \psi_{nm}(u, w) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i t w} s_n(t+u) \bar{s}_m(t) dt$$

where $u = -2r/c$, $w = -2v\omega_0/c$ and we have ignored the phase factor $e^{8\pi i v r \omega_0/c^2}$. If $n = m$ these expressions are called **ambiguity functions**. The ambiguity and cross-ambiguity functions are of fundamental importance in the theory of radar and sonar. The use of the ambiguity function to estimate the range and velocity of point targets and, more generally, of the cross-ambiguity function to estimate target distribution functions in both the wideband and narrow-band cases is basic to the theory, [3], [4], [14], [20].

There is an intimate relationship between the cross-ambiguity functions defined above and the theory of group representations. In particular the wide-band cross-ambiguity functions can be interpreted as matrix elements of unitary irreducible representations of the two-dimensional affine group; the narrow-band cross-ambiguity functions are matrix elements of unitary irreducible representations of the three-dimensional Heisenberg group. The basic properties of these functions thus emerge as consequences of analysis on affine and Heisenberg groups.

The affine group G_A is the matrix group with elements

$$A(a, b) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad a > 0.$$

As is easy to check, the operators $\mathbf{L}[a, b]$ define a unitary representation of G_A on the Hilbert space $L_2(R)$:

$$(1.7) \quad (\mathbf{L}[a, b]\varphi)(t) = a^{-\frac{1}{2}} \varphi\left(\frac{t+b}{a}\right), \quad \varphi \in L_2(R).$$

The representation $\mathbf{L}$ is reducible, [9], [18]. Indeed it decomposes into a direct sum of two irreducible representations: $\mathbf{R}_+$ (whose representation space consists of those $\varphi \in L_2(R)$ whose Fourier transforms have support on the positive half line) and $\mathbf{R}_-$ (whose representation space consists of those $\varphi \in L_2(R)$ whose Fourier transforms have support on the negative half line). Note that the matrix element $\langle \mathbf{L}[a, b]s_n, s_m \rangle$ coincides with the wideband cross-ambiguity function (1.5) with $y = a^{-1}$, $x = b/a$.

The decomposition of the right regular representation of G_A is of interest for radar and sonar theory, [6], [7], [9], [10]. Here the representation space consists of functions $f(a, b)$ on G_A , square integrable with respect to the right invariant measure $da db/a$, ($0 < a < \infty$, $-\infty < b < \infty$) and the group acts on this space by right multiplication. The decomposition can be written in the form

$$(1.8) \quad f(a, b) \equiv D(X, Y) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\langle \tilde{e}_n^-, \mathbf{L}[a, b]s_n^- \rangle + \langle \tilde{e}_n^+, \mathbf{L}[a, b]s_n^+ \rangle),$$

where $X = b$, $Y = a^{-1}$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
\tilde{e}_n(\tau) &= \int_{G_A} f(a', b') \mathbf{L}[a', b'] \tilde{s}_n(\tau) d_\ell A \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} f(a', b') \frac{1}{\sqrt{a'}} \tilde{s}_n\left(\frac{\tau + b'}{a'}\right) \frac{da' db'}{(a')^2} \\
&= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} D(x, y) \sqrt{y} \tilde{s}_n(y[\tau + x]) dy dx \\
(1.9) \quad &= F'(f) \tilde{s}_n(\tau).
\end{aligned}$$

Also, $\{s_n^+\}$ is an ON basis for the subspace of $L_2(R)$ transforming according to $\mathbf{R}_+$ and $\{s_n^-\}$ is an ON basis for the subspace transforming according to $\mathbf{R}_-$. Finally, $\tilde{S}_n^\pm(\omega) = \omega S_n^\pm(\omega)$ where $\tilde{S}_n^\pm$ and $S_n^\pm$ are the Fourier transforms of $\tilde{s}_n^\pm$ and $s_n^\pm$, respectively. If the $\{s_n\}$ belong to the Schwartz class then

$$\tilde{s}_n(t) = -\frac{i}{2\pi} \frac{ds_n}{dt}(t).$$

Note that (1.9) provides a scheme for determining the distribution $D(X, Y)$ experimentally: we can send out signals $\tilde{s}_n^\pm$, measure the echos $\tilde{e}_n^\pm$ and then cross-correlate these echos with the test signals $\mathbf{L}[a, b]s_n^\pm$ to reconstruct D .

It is not difficult to show [6], [10] that the operator $-\frac{i}{2\pi} \mathbf{L}^{-1}[a, b] F'(f) \frac{d}{dt}$ acting on Schwartz class functions is a Hilbert-Schmidt operator with finite trace. In particular, (1.9) is independent of the choice of ON basis for $L_2(R)$, [5], [11], [12]. Choosing an arbitrary ON basis $\{s_n\}$ in the Schwartz class, we obtain the more compact expansion

$$(1.10) \quad f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \tilde{e}_n, \mathbf{L}[a, b] s_n \rangle.$$

The (three-dimensional real) Heisenberg group H_R is the group of matrices

$$B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad x_j \in R.$$

For each real $\lambda \neq 0$, H_R admits a unitary irreducible representation by operators $\mathbf{T}^\lambda[x_1, x_2, x_3]$ acting on the Hilbert space $L_2(R)$:

$$(1.11) \quad \mathbf{T}^\lambda[x_1, x_2, x_3] \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda (x_3 + t x_2)} \boldsymbol{\varphi}(t + x_1), \quad \boldsymbol{\varphi} \in L_2(R).$$

This is called the **Schrödinger representation** of the Heisenberg group, [9], [15].

It can be shown that

$$(1.12) \quad \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx_1 dx_2 \langle \mathbf{T}^\lambda(x_1, x_2) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_4, \mathbf{T}^\lambda(x_1, x_2) \boldsymbol{\varphi}_3 \rangle = \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_1, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_3 \rangle \langle \boldsymbol{\varphi}_4, \boldsymbol{\varphi}_2 \rangle$$

where

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \varphi_1(t) \overline{\varphi_2(t)} dt$$

is the usual inner product on $L_2(R)$ and $\mathbf{T}^\lambda(x_1, x_2) = \mathbf{T}^\lambda[x_1, x_2, 0]$, so that

$$(1.13) \quad \langle \mathbf{T}^\lambda(x_1, x_2) \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{2\pi i \lambda t x_2} \varphi_1(t + x_1) \overline{\varphi_2(t)} dt.$$

Moreover, if $\{s_n\}$ is an ON basis for $L_2(R)$ then $\{\psi_{nm}(x_1, x_2) = \langle \mathbf{T}^\lambda(x_1, x_2) s_n, s_m \rangle\}$ is an ON basis for $L_2(R^2)$, [2], [9], [14], [15]. It follows from (1.6) that narrow-band cross-ambiguity functions are, essentially, matrix elements of the representation $\mathbf{T}^1$ of H_R .

If $f(x_1, x_2)$ belongs to $L_2(R^2)$ we can expand it in terms of the basis of cross-ambiguity functions to obtain

$$(1.14) \quad \begin{aligned} f(x_1, x_2) &= \sum_{n,m} \int \int f(y_1, y_2) \langle \mathbf{T}^1(y_1, y_2) s_n, s_m \rangle dy_1 dy_2 \overline{\langle \mathbf{T}^1(x_1, x_2) s_n, s_m \rangle} \\ &= \sum_n \left\langle \int \int f(y_1, y_2) \mathbf{T}^1(y_1, y_2) s_n dy_1 dy_2, \mathbf{T}^1(x_1, x_2) s_n \right\rangle \\ &= \sum_n \langle e_n, \mathbf{T}^1(x_1, x_2) s_n \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$e_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(y_1, y_2) \mathbf{T}^1(y_1, y_2) s_n(t) dy_1 dy_2$$

is the (narrow-band) echo from the signal s_n due to the target distribution f .

We shall use group contractions to relate the expansion formulas (1.10) and (1.14).

2. The contraction procedure. We denote by $G_A \times R$ the direct product of the affine group G_A and the real line. A matrix realization of this group consists of the elements

$$(2.1) \quad A(a, b, c) = \begin{pmatrix} a & b & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^c \end{pmatrix}, \quad a > 0$$

with multiplication rule

$$A(a, b, c) A(a', b', c') = A(aa', ab' + b, c + c').$$

Here a , b and c are real numbers. A basis for the Lie algebra $L(G_A \times R)$ consists of the matrices

$$(2.2) \quad \mathcal{A}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{A}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with commutation relations

$$(2.3) \quad [\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2] = \mathcal{A}_2, \quad [\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_3] = \Theta, \quad [\mathcal{A}_2, \mathcal{A}_3] = \Theta$$

where Θ is the zero matrix, [8], [18]. We can pass from the Lie algebra to the group by means of the relation

$$(2.4) \quad A(a, b, c) = \exp c \mathcal{A}_3 \exp b \mathcal{A}_2 \exp \ln a \mathcal{A}_1,$$

where

$$\exp c \mathcal{A} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{c^n}{n!} \mathcal{A}^n,$$

as the reader can verify.

The Heisenberg group H_R has the matrix realization

$$(2.5) \quad B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad x_j \in R$$

with group product

$$(2.6) \quad B(x_1, x_2, x_3)B(y_1, y_2, y_3) = B(x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + x_1 y_2).$$

A basis for the Lie algebra $L(H_R)$ consists of the matrices

$$(2.7) \quad \mathcal{B}_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \mathcal{B}_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

with commutation relations

$$(2.8) \quad [\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2] = \mathcal{B}_3, \quad [\mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_3] = \Theta, \quad [\mathcal{B}_2, \mathcal{B}_3] = \Theta.$$

Here,

$$(2.9) \quad B(x_1, x_2, x_3) = \exp x_3 \mathcal{B}_3 \exp x_2 \mathcal{B}_2 \exp x_1 \mathcal{B}_1.$$

The Lie algebra $L(H_R)$ is a **contraction** of $L(G_A \times R)$, [13], [19]. Rather than review the formal definition of contraction we shall say explicitly what we mean for this single example. Let $\epsilon \neq 0$ be a real parameter and define the elements $\mathcal{C}_j(\epsilon)$ of $L(G_A \times R)$ by

$$(2.10) \quad \mathcal{C}_1(\epsilon) = \epsilon \mathcal{A}_1, \quad \mathcal{C}_2(\epsilon) = \mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_3, \quad \mathcal{C}_3(\epsilon) = \epsilon \mathcal{A}_3.$$

Then $\{\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3\}$ is a new basis for $L(G_A \times R)$ with commutation relations

$$(2.11) \quad [\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_2] = \epsilon \mathcal{C}_2 + \mathcal{C}_3, \quad [\mathcal{C}_1, \mathcal{C}_3] = \Theta, \quad [\mathcal{C}_2, \mathcal{C}_3] = \Theta.$$

Thus $[\mathcal{C}_j(\epsilon), \mathcal{C}_k(\epsilon)] = \sum_{\ell=1}^3 c_{jk}^\ell(\epsilon) \mathcal{C}_\ell(\epsilon)$ where the $c_{jk}^\ell(\epsilon)$ are the **structure constants** of the Lie algebra $L(G_A \times R)$ with respect to this basis. Now pass to the limit in (2.11) as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. We see from (2.10) that in the limit the $\{\mathcal{C}_j\}$ no longer form a basis. However the structure constants on the right hand side of (2.11) have a well defined limit and, since they must still satisfy the antisymmetry and Jacobi identity conditions, [10], in the limit, the coefficients $c_{jk}^\ell = \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} c_{jk}^\ell(\epsilon)$ determine a Lie algebra, not necessarily isomorphic to $L(G_A \times R)$. It follows immediately from (2.11) that this new Lie algebra has commutation relations

$$(2.12) \quad [\mathcal{C}_1(0), \mathcal{C}_2(0)] = \mathcal{C}_3(0), \quad [\mathcal{C}_1(0), \mathcal{C}_3(0)] = \Theta, \quad [\mathcal{C}_2(0), \mathcal{C}_3(0)] = \Theta.$$

Comparing (2.12) and (2.8) we see that with the identification $\mathcal{C}_j(0) \equiv \mathcal{B}_j$, $L(H_R)$ is a contraction of $L(G_A \times R)$.

Now let us consider this contraction at the group level. For $\epsilon \neq 0$ an alternate parametrization of $G_A \times R$ is provided by the group elements

$$(2.13) \quad A[a, \beta, c] = \exp c \mathcal{C}_3(\epsilon) \exp a \mathcal{C}_2(\epsilon) \exp(-\beta) \mathcal{C}_1(\epsilon) = \exp \epsilon c \mathcal{A}_3 \exp a(\mathcal{A}_2 - \mathcal{A}_3) \exp(-\epsilon \beta) \mathcal{A}_1$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} e^{-\epsilon \beta} & a & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & e^{\epsilon(c - a\beta) - a} \end{pmatrix} = A(e^{-\epsilon \beta}, a, \epsilon(c - a\beta) - a).$$

In terms of the group parameters $[a, \beta, c]$ the group multiplication law for $G_A \times R$ is

$$(2.14) \quad [a, \beta, c][a', \beta', c'] = [a + a' e^{-\epsilon \beta}, \beta + \beta',$$

$$c + c' + a\beta' + \frac{a'}{\epsilon}(e^{-\epsilon \beta} - 1) + a' e^{-\epsilon \beta} \beta + a' \beta'(e^{-\epsilon \beta} - 1)].$$

In the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, (2.14) becomes

$$(2.15) \quad [a, \beta, c][a', \beta', c'] = [a + a', \beta + \beta', c + c' + a\beta'],$$

which is the multiplication law for H_R with $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = \beta$, $x_3 = c$.

The action of $G_A \times R$ associated with the wideband ambiguity function is the unitary representation $\mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)$ acting on the Hilbert space $L_2(R)$ of Lebesgue square integrable functions f on the real line:

$$(2.16) \quad \mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)f(t) = a^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi i \lambda c} f\left(\frac{t + b}{a}\right).$$

Here λ is a real parameter, (a, b, c) are the coordinates (2.1) on $G_A \times R$ and the operators $\mathbf{L}^\lambda$ satisfy the group representation property

$$(2.17) \quad \mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)\mathbf{L}^\lambda(a', b', c') = \mathbf{L}^\lambda(aa', ab' + b, c + c').$$

Further, we have

$$(2.18) \quad \langle \mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)f_1, \mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)f_2 \rangle = \langle f_1, f_2 \rangle.$$

The Lie algebra of generalized Lie derivatives associated with the representation (2.16) has the basis

$$(2.19) \quad A_1 = -t \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{1}{2}, \quad A_2 = \frac{d}{dt}, \quad A_3 = 2\pi i \lambda.$$

Indeed

$$(2.20) \quad [A_1, A_2] = A_2, \quad [A_1, A_3] = [A_2, A_3] = O$$

where O is the zero operator, and

$$\mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c) = \exp cA_3 \exp bA_2 \exp \ln aA_1.$$

As stated earlier, the action of H_R associated with the narrow-band ambiguity function is the unitary irreducible representation $\mathbf{T}^1$ acting on the Hilbert space $L_2(R)$, defined by the unitary operators $\mathbf{T}^1[x_1, x_2, x_3]$:

$$(2.21) \quad \mathbf{T}^1[x_1, x_2, x_3]g(t) = e^{2\pi i(x_3 + tx_2)}g(t + x_1), \quad g \in L_2(R).$$

These operators satisfy the group property

$$(2.22) \quad \mathbf{T}^1[x_1, x_2, x_3]\mathbf{T}^1[y_1, y_2, y_3] = \mathbf{T}^1[x_1 + y_1, x_2 + y_2, x_3 + y_3 + x_1y_2].$$

Moreover, the associated Lie algebra of generalized Lie derivatives has the basis

$$(2.23) \quad B_1 = \frac{d}{dt}, \quad B_2 = 2\pi it, \quad B_3 = 2\pi i$$

with commutation relations

$$(2.24) \quad [B_1, B_2] = B_3, \quad [B_1, B_3] = [B_2, B_3] = O.$$

Now we will show how to relate the representations $\mathbf{L}^\lambda$ and $\mathbf{T}^1$ through the group contraction procedure. The method is most easily understood at the Lie algebra level.

In the Hilbert space associated with $\mathbf{L}^\lambda$ we express each $f \in L_2(R)$ in the form $f(y) = e^{2\pi i \lambda t} g(t)$. Clearly $g \in L_2(R)$ and we can transfer the action of the operators $\mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c)$ to the $g(t)$ via the unitarily equivalent action $\mathbf{K}^\lambda(a, b, c) = e^{-2\pi i \lambda t} \mathbf{L}^\lambda(a, b, c) e^{2\pi i \lambda t}$:

$$(2.25) \quad \mathbf{K}^\lambda(a, b, c)g(t) = a^{-\frac{1}{2}} e^{2\pi i \lambda c} e^{2\pi i \lambda [t(1-a)+b]/a} g\left(\frac{t+b}{a}\right).$$

The associated Lie algebra action is defined by the operators

$$(2.26) \quad A'_1 = -t \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{1}{2} - 2\pi i \lambda, \quad A'_2 = \frac{d}{dt} + 2\pi i \lambda, \quad A'_3 = 2\pi i \lambda,$$

which satisfy the commutation relations (2.20) again. Now, in analogy with (2.10), we construct a one-parameter family of bases $\{C_1, C_2, C_3\}$ for this Lie algebra:

$$(2.27) \quad \begin{aligned} C_1(\epsilon) &= \epsilon A'_1 = -\epsilon t \frac{d}{dt} - \frac{\epsilon}{2} - 2\pi i \epsilon \lambda(\epsilon) t \\ C_2(\epsilon) &= A'_2 - A'_3 = \frac{d}{dt}, \quad C_3(\epsilon) = \epsilon A'_3 = 2\pi i \epsilon \lambda(\epsilon). \end{aligned}$$

This basis family satisfies the commutation relations (2.11). The only nonzero commutator is

$$[C_1(\epsilon), C_2(\epsilon)] = \epsilon C_2(\epsilon) + C_3(\epsilon).$$

Note that we have allowed the parameter λ to vary with ϵ . Indeed we choose $\lambda(\epsilon) = \epsilon^{-1}$. Then in the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ we obtain the result

$$(2.28) \quad C_1(0) = -2\pi i t = -B_2, \quad C_2(0) = \frac{d}{dt} = B_1, \quad C_3(0) = 2\pi i = B_3,$$

i.e., the Lie algebra version of the representation $\mathbf{T}^1$ of H_R .

At the group level, we see from (2.13) that for $\epsilon \neq 0$ we have the representation $\mathbf{K}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ of $G_A \times R$:

$$(2.29) \quad \begin{aligned} \mathbf{K}^{\epsilon^{-1}}[a, b, c] &= \exp[c - a\beta] C_3(\epsilon) \exp a C_2(\epsilon) \exp -\beta C_1(\epsilon) \\ \mathbf{K}^{\epsilon^{-1}}[a, b, c]g(t) &= e^{2\pi i(c-a\beta+\epsilon\beta/2)} e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\epsilon}(\epsilon^{\epsilon\beta}-1)(t+a)} g((t+a)e^{\epsilon\beta}). \end{aligned}$$

For $\epsilon \neq 0$, $\mathbf{K}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ is unitary equivalent to the representation $\mathbf{L}^{\epsilon^{-1}}$ of $G_A \times R$. In the limit $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$ it becomes

$$\mathbf{K}[a, b, c]g(t) = e^{2\pi i c} e^{2\pi i \beta t} g(t+a),$$

in agreement with the operator $\mathbf{T}^1[x_1, x_2, x_3]$, (2.21), associated with H_R for $x_1 = a$, $x_2 = \beta$, $x_3 = c$.

It follows from these results that the narrow-band cross-ambiguity function can be obtained from the wideband cross-ambiguity function by taking the limit

$$(2.31) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} e^{-i(a\beta + a/\epsilon)} \langle \mathbf{L}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) f_1^\epsilon, f_2^\epsilon \rangle = \langle \mathbf{T}^1(a, \beta) g_1, g_2 \rangle$$

where $\mathbf{L}$ and $\mathbf{T}^1$ are given by (1.7) and (1.11), respectively. Here, $f_j^\epsilon(t) = e^{it/\epsilon} g_j(t)$. Moreover, for $\epsilon > 0$ sufficiently small we have

$$|\langle \mathbf{L}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) f_1^\epsilon, f_2^\epsilon \rangle| \approx |\langle \mathbf{T}^1(a, \beta) g_1, g_2 \rangle| = |\langle \mathbf{T}^1(a, \beta) f_1^\epsilon, f_2^\epsilon \rangle|.$$

3. Decomposition of the regular representation. Recall that if $f(a, b)$ is a function on the group G_A , square integrable with respect to the right invariant measure $d_r A = \frac{da db}{a}$, then f can be expanded in the form

$$(3.1) \quad f(a, b) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \tilde{e}_n, \mathbf{L}(a, b) s_n \rangle$$

where $\{s_n\}$ is an ON basis for $L_2(R)$. Further, if s_n is continuously differentiable,

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n(t) &= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{G_A} f(a', b') \mathbf{L}(a', b') \frac{ds_n}{dt}(t) d_\ell A \\ &= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{f(a', b')}{\sqrt{a'}} \frac{ds_n}{dt} \left(\frac{t + b'}{a'} \right) \frac{da' db'}{(a')^2} \end{aligned}$$

where $d_\ell A = \frac{da' db'}{(a')^2}$ is the left invariant measure on G_A . Using the concept of group contraction, we will show how (3.1) goes to the expansion formula (1.14) for the group H_R , in an appropriate limit. Strictly speaking, we should consider instead the expansion formula for functions $f(a^\circ, b^\circ, c^\circ)$ on the group $G_A \times R$. Since the unitary irreducible representations of R are one-dimensional, it is sufficient to consider functions of the form $f_\lambda[a^\circ, b^\circ, c^\circ] = f_\lambda(a^\circ, b^\circ) e^{2\pi i \lambda c^\circ}$ for λ a real parameter. The right invariant measure on $G_A \times R$ is $da^\circ db^\circ dc^\circ / a^\circ$, and the left invariant measure is $da^\circ db^\circ dc^\circ / (a^\circ)^2$. Introducing the coordinates $[a', \beta', c']$, (2.13)

$$a^\circ = e^{-\epsilon\beta'}, \quad b^\circ = a', \quad c^\circ = \epsilon(c' - a\beta') - a',$$

we find that the right and left invariant measures are, respectively,

$$(3.3) \quad \epsilon^2 d\beta' da' dc', \quad \epsilon^2 e^{\epsilon\beta'} d\beta' da' dc'.$$

Performing the integration on c' from $-\infty$ to $+\infty$ we cancel a factor $\epsilon dc'$ in (3.3). Finally we set $s_n(t) \equiv s_n^{\lambda^{-1}}(t) = e^{2\pi i \lambda t} g_n(t)$ and $\lambda = \epsilon^{-1}$. Then $\tilde{e}_n(t)$ becomes

$$(3.4) \quad \begin{aligned} \tilde{e}_n^{(\epsilon)}(t) &= \frac{-i}{2\pi} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{\epsilon^{-1}}(e^{-\epsilon\beta'}, a') e^{\epsilon\beta'/2} e^{-2\pi i (a'\beta' + a'/\epsilon)} \\ &\quad \cdot \left[\frac{2\pi i}{\epsilon} g_n \left(\frac{t + a'}{e^{-\epsilon\beta'}} \right) + \frac{dg_n}{dt} \left(\frac{t + a'}{e^{-\epsilon\beta'}} \right) \right] e^{\frac{2\pi i}{\epsilon} (t + a') e^{\epsilon\beta'}} \epsilon e^{2\epsilon\beta'} d\beta' da' \end{aligned}$$

and

$$(3.5) \quad \langle \tilde{e}_n^\epsilon, \mathbf{L}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) s_n^\epsilon \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \tilde{e}_n^\epsilon(t) e^{\epsilon\beta/2} \bar{g}_n \left(\frac{t+a}{e^{-\epsilon\beta}} \right) e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{\epsilon}(t+a)e^{\epsilon\beta}} dt$$

with

$$(3.6) \quad f_{\epsilon^{-1}}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) e^{-2\pi i(a\beta+a/\epsilon)} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle \tilde{e}_n^\epsilon, \mathbf{L}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) s_n^\epsilon \rangle$$

Now we multiply both sides of (3.6) by the factor $e^{2\pi i(a\beta+a/\epsilon)}$ and pass to the limit as $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$. With the assumption that $\lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} f_{\epsilon^{-1}}(e^{-\epsilon\beta}, a) = F(a, \beta)$ where F is Lebesgue square integrable with respect to the measure $d\beta da$, we find

$$F(a, \beta) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \langle e_n, \mathbf{T}^1(a, \beta) g_n \rangle$$

where

$$e_n(t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} F(a', \beta') e^{2\pi i \beta' t} g_n(t + a') da' d\beta',$$

in agreement with the expansion formula (1.14).

REFERENCES

- [1] L. AUSLANDER AND I. GERTNER, *Wide-band ambiguity functions and $a \cdot x + b$ group*, Signal Processing, Part I: Signal Processing Theory, Vol 22, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, L. Auslander, T. Kailath and S. Mitter, eds., Springer Verlag, New York (1990), pp. 1–12.
- [2] L. AUSLANDER AND R. TOLIMIERI, *Radar ambiguity function and group theory*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., 16, No. 3 (1985), pp. 577–601.
- [3] RICHARD E. BLAHUT, *Theory of remote surveillance algorithms*, in RADAR and SONAR, A. Grunbaum, ed., IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York (to appear).
- [4] C. E. COOK AND M. BERNFELD, *Radar Signals*, Academic Press, New York (1967).
- [5] S. GAAL, *Linear Analysis and Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1973.
- [6] I. KHALIL, *Sur l'analyse harmonique du groupe affine de la droite*, Studia Mathematica, LI(2) (1974), pp. 139–167.
- [7] A. KOHARI, *Harmonic analysis on the group of linear transformations of the straight line*, Proceedings of the Japanese Academy, 37 (1961), pp. 250–254.
- [8] W. MILLER, JR., *Symmetry Groups and their Applications*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
- [9] W. MILLER, JR., *Topics in harmonic analysis with applications to Radar and Sonar*, in RADAR and SONAR, A. Grunbaum, ed., IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York (to appear).
- [10] H. NAPARST, *Radar signal choice and processing for a dense target environment*, Signal Processing, Part II: Control Theory and Applications, Vol 23, IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, F.A. Grunbaum, J.W. Helton and P. Khargonekar, eds., Springer Verlag, New York (1990), pp. 293–319.
- [11] A. NAYLOR AND G. SELL, *Linear Operator Theory in Engineering and Science*, Holt, New York, 1971.

- [12] F. RIESZ AND B. SZ.-NAGY, *Functional Analysis*, English Transl. Ungar, New York, 1955.
- [13] E. J. SALETAN, *Contractions of Lie groups*, J. Math. Phys., 2 (1961), pp. 1–21.
- [14] W. SCHEMPP, *Radar ambiguity functions, the Heisenberg group, and holomorphic theta series*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 92 (1984), pp. 103–110.
- [15] W. SCHEMPP, *Harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group with applications to signal theory*, Longman Scientific and technical, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematical Sciences, 147, Harlow, Essex, UK (1986).
- [16] D. A. SWICK, *An ambiguity function independent of assumption about bandwidth and carrier frequency*, NRL Report 6471, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., December (1966).
- [17] D. A. SWICK, *A Review of Wideband Ambiguity Functions*, NRL Report 6994, Naval Research Laboratory, Washington, D.C., December (1969).
- [18] N. JA. VILENKIN, *Special Functions and the Theory of Group Representations*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, Rhode Island (1966).
- [19] E. P. WIGNER AND E. INÖNU, *On the contractions of groups and their representations*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U. S., 39 (1953), pp. 510–524.
- [20] C. H. WILCOX, *The Synthesis Problem for Radar Ambiguity Functions*, MRC Technical Summary Report 157, Mathematics Research Center, United States Army, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, April (1960), Also in *RADAR and SONAR*, A. Grunbaum, ed., IMA Volumes in Mathematics and its Applications, Springer-Verlag, New York (to appear),.

Recent IMA Preprints

#	Author/s	Title
618	L.E. Fraenkel ,	On a linear, partly hyperbolic model of viscoelastic flow past a plate
619	Stephen Schecter and Michael Shearer ,	Undercompressive shocks for nonstrictly hyperbolic conservation laws
620	Xinfu Chen ,	Axially symmetric jets of compressible fluid
621	J. David Logan ,	Wave propagation in a qualitative model of combustion under equilibrium conditions
622	M.L. Zeeman ,	Hopf bifurcations in competitive three-dimensional Lotka-Volterra Systems
623	Allan P. Fordy ,	Isospectral flows: their Hamiltonian structures, Miura maps and master symmetries
624	Daniel D. Joseph, John Nelson, Michael Renardy, and Yuriko Renardy ,	Two-Dimensional cusped interfaces
625	Avner Friedman and Bei Hu ,	A free boundary problem arising in electrophotography
626	Hamid Bellout, Avner Friedman and Victor Isakov ,	Stability for an inverse problem in potential theory
627	Barbara Lee Keyfitz ,	Shocks near the sonic line: A comparison between steady and unsteady models for change of type
628	Barbara Lee Keyfitz and Gerald G. Warnecke ,	The existence of viscous profiles and admissibility for transonic shocks
629	P. Szmolyan ,	Transversal heteroclinic and homoclinic orbits in singular perturbation problems
630	Philip Boyland ,	Rotation sets and monotone periodic orbits for annulus homeomorphisms
631	Kenneth R. Meyer ,	Apollonius coordinates, the N-body problem and continuation of periodic solutions
632	Chjan C. Lim ,	On the Poincaré–Whitney circuitspace and other properties of an incidence matrix for binary trees
633	K.L. Cooke and I. Györi ,	Numerical approximation of the solutions of delay differential equations on an infinite interval using piecewise constant arguments
634	Stanley Minkowitz and Matthew Witten ,	Periodicity in cell proliferation using an asynchronous cell population
635	M. Chipot and G. Dal Maso ,	Relaxed shape optimization: The case of nonnegative data for the Dirichlet problem
636	Jeffery M. Franke and Harlan W. Stech ,	Extensions of an algorithm for the analysis of nongeneric Hopf bifurcations, with applications to delay-difference equations
637	Xinfu Chen ,	Generation and propagation of the interface for reaction–diffusion equations
638	Philip Korman ,	Dynamics of the Lotka–Volterra systems with diffusion
639	Harlan W. Stech ,	Generic Hopf bifurcation in a class of integro-differential equations
640	Stephane Laederich ,	Periodic solutions of non linear differential difference equations
641	Peter J. Olver ,	Canonical Forms and Integrability of BiHamiltonian Systems
642	S.A. van Gils, M.P. Krupa and W.F. Langford ,	Hopf bifurcation with nonsemisimple 1:1 Resonance
643	R.D. James and D. Kinderlehrer ,	Frustration in ferromagnetic materials
644	Carlos Rocha ,	Properties of the attractor of a scalar parabolic P.D.E.
645	Debra Lewis ,	Lagrangian block diagonalization
646	Richard C. Churchill and David L. Rod ,	On the determination of Ziglin monodromy groups
647	Xinfu Chen and Avner Friedman ,	A nonlocal diffusion equation arising in terminally attached polymer chains
648	Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee ,	Inner and outer j- Radii of convex bodies in finite-dimensional normed spaces
649	P. Szmolyan ,	Analysis of a singularly perturbed traveling wave problem
650	Stanley Reiter and Carl P. Simon ,	Decentralized dynamic processes for finding equilibrium
651	Fernando Reitich ,	Singular solutions of a transmission problem in plane linear elasticity for wedge-shaped regions
652	Russell A. Johnson ,	Cantor spectrum for the quasi-periodic Schrödinger equation
653	Wenxiong Liu ,	Singular solutions for a convection diffusion equation with absorption
654	Deborah Brandon and William J. Hrusa ,	Global existence of smooth shearing motions of a nonlinear viscoelastic fluid
655	James F. Reineck ,	The connection matrix in Morse–Smale flows II
656	Claude Baesens, John Guckenheimer, Seunghwan Kim and Robert Mackay ,	Simple resonance regions of torus diffeomorphisms
657	Willard Miller, Jr. ,	Lecture notes in radar/sonar: Topics in Harmonic analysis with applications to radar and sonar

- 658 **Calvin H. Wilcox**, Lecture notes in radar/sonar: Sonar and Radar Echo Structure
659 **Richard E. Blahut**, Lecture notes in radar/sonar: Theory of remote surveillance algorithms
660 **D.V. Anosov**, Hilbert's 21st problem (according to Bolibruch)
661 **Stephane Laederich**, Ray–Singer torsion for complex manifolds and the adiabatic limit
662 **Geneviève Raugel and George R. Sell**, Navier-Stokes equations in thin 3d domains: Global
regularity of solutions I
663 **Emanuel Parzen**, Time series, statistics, and information
664 **Andrew Majda and Kevin Lamb**, Simplified equations for low Mach number combustion with
strong heat release
665 **Ju. S. Il'yashenko**, Global analysis of the phase portrait for the Kuramoto–Sivashinsky equation
666 **James F. Reineck**, Continuation to gradient flows
667 **Mohamed Sami Elbialy**, Simultaneous binary collisions in the collinear N-body problem
668 **John A. Jacquez and Carl P. Simon**, Aids: The epidemiological significance of two different mean
rates of partner-change
669 **Carl P. Simon and John A. Jacquez**, Reproduction numbers and the stability of equilibria of SI
models for heterogeneous populations
670 **Matthew Stafford**, Markov partitions for expanding maps of the circle
671 **Ciprian Foias and Edriss S. Titi**, Determining nodes, finite difference schemes and inertial manifolds
672 **M.W. Smiley**, Global attractors and approximate inertial manifolds for abstract dissipative equations
673 **M.W. Smiley**, On the existence of smooth breathers for nonlinear wave equations
674 **Hitay Özbay and Janos Turi**, Robust stabilization of systems governed by singular integro-differential
equations
675 **Mary Silber and Edgar Knobloch**, Hopf bifurcation on a square lattice
676 **Christophe Golé**, Ghost circles for twist maps
677 **Christophe Golé**, Ghost tori for monotone maps
678 **Christophe Golé**, Monotone maps of $T^n \times R^n$ and their periodic orbits
679 **E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr.**, Hypergeometric expansions of Heun polynomials
680 **Victor A. Pliss and George R. Sell**, Perturbations of attractors of differential equations
681 **Avner Friedman and Peter Knabner**, A transport model with micro- and macro-structure
682 **E.G. Kalnins and W. Miller, Jr.**, A note on group contractions and radar ambiguity functions
683 **George R. Sell**, References on dynamical systems
684 **Shui-Nee Chow, Kening Lu and George R. Sell**, Smoothness of inertial manifolds
685 **Shui-Nee Chow, Xiao-Biao Lin and Kening Lu**, Smooth invariant foliations in infinite dimensional spaces
686 **Kening Lu**, A Hartman–Grobman theorem for scalar reaction-diffusion equations
687 **Christophe Golé and Glen R. Hall**, Poincaré's proof of Poincaré's last geometric theorem
688 **Mario Taboada**, Approximate inertial manifolds for parabolic evolutionary equations via Yosida approxi-
mations
689 **Peter Rejto and Mario Taboada**, Weighted resolvent estimates for Volterra operators on unbounded inter-
vals
690 **Joel D. Avrin**, Some examples of temperature bounds and concentration decay for a model of solid fuel
combustion
691 **Susan Friedlander and Misha M. Vishik**, Lax pair formulation for the Euler equation
692 **H. Scott Dumas**, Ergodization rates for linear flow on the torus
693 **A. Eden, A.J. Milani and B. Nicolaenko**, Finite dimensional exponential attractors for semilinear wave
equations with damping
694 **A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko & R. Temam**, Inertial sets for dissipative evolution equations
695 **A. Eden, C. Foias, B. Nicolaenko & R. Temam**, Hölder continuity for the inverse of Mañé's pro-
jection
696 **Michel Chipot and Charles Collins**, Numerical approximations in variational problems with potential
wells
697 **Huanan Yang**, Nonlinear wave analysis and convergence of MUSCL schemes
698 **László Gerencsér and Zsuzsanna Vágó**, A strong approximation theorem for estimator processes in
continuous time
699 **László Gerencsér**, Multiple integrals with respect to L -mixing processes
700 **David Kinderlehrer and Pablo Pedregal**, Weak convergence of integrands and the Young measure
representation
701 **Bo Deng**, Symbolic dynamics for chaotic systems
702 **P. Galdi, D.D. Joseph, L. Preziosi, S. Rionero**, Mathematical problems for miscible, incompressible fluids
with Korteweg stresses
703 **Charles Collins and Mitchell Luskin**, Optimal order error estimates for the finite element approximation
of the solution of a nonconvex variational problem
704 **Peter Gritzmann and Victor Klee**, Computational complexity of inner and outer j -radii of polytopes in
finite-dimensional normed spaces